## CLASSICAL TUNNELING OF SOMMERFELD SPHERE IN CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS

Alexander A. Vlasov

High Energy and Quantum Theory Department of Physics Moscow State University Moscow, 119899 Russia

One-dimensional motion of Sommerfeld sphere in the case of potential barrier is numerically investigated. The effect of classical tunneling is found out - Sommerfeld sphere overcomes the barrier and finds itself in the forbidden, from classical point of view, area

03.50.De

Here numerical investigations of one-dimensional motion of Sommerfeld sphere with total charge  $Q$ , mechanical mass  $m$  and radius  $a$  are continued (for prev. results - see  $[1,2]$ ).

We consider one-dimensional motion for the case of potential barrier, produced by homogeneous static electric field  $E$ , stretched in  $z$  - direction for  $0 < z < L$  (like in plane condenser):

$$
E = \begin{cases} 0, & z < 0; \\ E, & 0 < z < L; \\ 0, & L < z; \end{cases}
$$

For dimensionless variables  $y = R/L$ ,  $x = ct/L$ ,  $a^* = 2a/L$  the equation of motion of Sommerfeld sphere for our problem is

$$
\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \left(1 - \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}
$$

$$
\left\{k \cdot \left[-\int_{x^{-}}^{x^+} dz \frac{z - a^*}{L^2} + \ln \frac{L^+}{L^-} + \left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - 1\right) \ln \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta}\right] + \lambda \cdot F_Z\right\} \tag{1}
$$

here

$$
x^{\pm} = a^* \pm L^{\pm}, \quad L^{\pm} = y(x) - y(x - x^{\pm}), \quad L = y(x) - y(x - z),
$$
  

$$
\beta = dy/dx, \qquad k = \frac{Q^2}{2mc^2a}, \quad \lambda = \frac{LQE}{mc^2}
$$

$$
F_Z = \begin{cases} 0, & y < -a^*/2; \\ (2y/a^* + 1)/2, & -a^*/2 < y < a^*/2; \\ 1, & a^*/2 < y < 1 - a^*/2; \\ (-2y/a^* + 1 + 2/a^*)/2, & 1 - a^*/2 < y < 1 + a^*/2; \\ 0, & 1 + a^*/2 < y; \end{cases}
$$

Later on we take  $k = 1$ .

It is useful to compare solutions of (1) with classical point charge motion in the same field, governed by the following relativistic equation without radiation force:

$$
\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \left(1 - \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2\right)^{3/2} \lambda \cdot F_E \tag{2}
$$

here

$$
F_E = \lambda \begin{cases} 0, & y < 0; \\ 1, & 0 < y < 1; \\ 0, & 1 < y; \end{cases}
$$

A.

Numerical calculations of eqs. (1-2) show that there is the effect of classical tunneling for Sommerfeld sphere.

Indeed, classical point particle motion, governed by eq. (2), is simple:

$$
\gamma = \lambda + \gamma_0 \tag{3}
$$

here  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}$  - Lorentz factor, and  $\gamma_0$  - Lorentz factor for initial velocity.

Thus for given initial velocity for  $\lambda < 1 - \gamma_0$  there is the turning point - i.e. classical particle cannot overcome the potential barrier (for ex., for  $(v/c)_0 = 0.3$ ) the crucial value of  $\lambda$  is approximately  $-0.0482848...$ ) and the electric field turns the particle back.

For numerical calculations of eq.(1) we took  $(v/c)_0 = 0.3$  and  $\lambda = -0.04829$ .

Numerical results for different values of  $a^*$  are shown on fig. (A.1-A.3) (vertical axis is velocity  $dy/dx$ , horizontal axis is coordinate y; trajectories of Sommerfeld sphere are compared with trajectories of classical particle):

we see that for  $a^* = 0.2$ ,  $(Fig.A.1)$  and for  $a^* = 0.001$ ,  $(Fig.A.2)$  there is the effect of classical tunneling - Sommerfeld sphere overcomes the barrier and finds itself in the forbidden, from classical point of view, area with resulting velocities  $(v/c)_r = 0.139630$  for  $a^* = 0.2$  and  $(v/c)_r = 0.037190$  for  $a^* = 0.001$ ; while for  $a^* = 0.0002$ ,  $(Fig.A.3)$  - this effect is absent (the barrier is too

high... ).

These results confirm the results of the works [3,4] where the effects of "pumping" of extended object in the potential hole [4] (on the example of some model in classical field theory) and classical tunneling [3] for Lorentz-Dirac eq. were found.

We see that these unusual (from point of view of classical mechanics) results are not the consequences of "point-like" description of charged particles but reflect the general features of equations with retardation (difference-differential equations).

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Alexander A.Vlasov, [physics/9901051](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/9901051).
- 2. Alexander A.Vlasov, [physics/9902018](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/9902018).
- 3. W.Troost et al., preprint [hep-th/9602066.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9602066)
- 4. Alexander A.Vlasov, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 109, n.3, 1608(1996).



-1.00e-13.00e-2 1.60e-1 2.90e-1 4.20e-1 5.50e-1 6.80e-1 8.10e-1 9.40e-1 1.07e0 1.20e0

Fig.A.1



-5.00e-41.20e-1 2.40e-1 3.60e-1 4.80e-1 6.00e-1 7.20e-1 8.40e-1 9.60e-1 1.08e0 1.20e0

Fig.A.2



Fig.A.3