# A New Theory of Geomagnetism

B.G. Sidharth\*

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarsh Nagar, Hyderabad - 500 063 (India)

#### Abstract

It is pointed out, that in the light of recent results on the semionic or anomalous behaviour of electrons below the Fermi temperature, the solid core of the earth which has been ignored so far, would contribute significantly to Geomagnetism and help explain the puzzling magnetic reversals.

## 1 Introduction

In 1905 Albert Einstein described Geomagnetism as one of the five unsolved problems of physics[1]. After nearly a century, inspite of a tremendous amount of work which has culminated in the dynamo model of Geomagnetism, [2], it cannot be said with confidence that the problem has been solved. From time to time, simulations are developed which improve upon earlier models[3, 4, 5], but several unexplained features persist. These include the problems of Geomagnetic reversals[6, 7, 8, 9]. In particular it may be mentioned (cf.ref.[9] and [1])that Muller and Morris have attributed the reversals to asteroid impacts, which in turn have been related to mass extinctions.

We will point out in what follows that in the light of recent work on semionic and anomalous behaviour of Fermions under special conditions, the solid core of the earth which has hitherto not been considered could contribute significantly to Geomagnetism, and could even facilitate an explanation for the magnetic reversals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>\*Email:birlasc@hd1.vsnl.net.in; birlard@ap.nic.in

### 2 Magnetism of the Solid Core

It is well known[10, 11] that the earth has a solid core with a radius of about 1200 kilometers, composed mostly of Iron (90%) and Nickel (10%), at a temperature of about 6000 degrees centigrade and with a relative density around 10. This in turn is surrounded by the liquid core which it is believed gives rise to the dynamo model of Geomagnetism.

Given the above data, using the atomic weight of iron, we can easily calculate that the number of atoms in the solid core, N is given by,

$$N \approx 10^{48} \tag{1}$$

where the symbol  $\approx$  denotes, "of the order of".

We next calculate the Fermi temperature of the conduction electrons in the solid core. This is given by [12],

$$kT_F = \left\{ 6\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{V}\right) \right\}^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tag{2}$$

where V is the volume of the solid core, k is the Boltzmann constant  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant and m the electron mass.

It follows from (2) that

$$T_F \approx 10^{5^o} C \tag{3}$$

Thus one can see that the temperature of the solid core is below the Fermi temperature of the conduction electrons.

In recent years it has been realized that under special conditions like low dimensionality or temperatures, conduction electrons do not strictly obey Fermi-Dirac statistics, but rather they are semionic, that is they obey statistics between the Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein statistics [13, 14]. In particular, this is true below the Fermi temperature [15]. The implications are interesting:

Given Fermi Dirac statistics, at temperatures below the Fermi temperature we would have (cf.ref.[12]) for the magnetisation M per unit volume the formula

$$M = \frac{\mu(2\bar{N}_+ - N)}{V} \tag{4}$$

where  $\mu$  is the electron magnetic moment and  $\bar{N}_+$  is the average number of electrons with spin up, say, where  $\bar{N}_+ \approx \frac{N}{2}$ , so that M in (4) is very small.

However if the behaviour is not Fermionic, but rather Bosonic, then

$$\bar{N}_+ \approx N$$

In our case,

$$\frac{N}{2} < \bar{N}_+ < N$$

With this input (4) becomes,

$$M \le \frac{\mu N}{V} \tag{5}$$

From (5) we can easily deduce that the terrestrial magnetic field H is given by,

$$H \le \frac{MV}{r^3} \approx 1G$$

The above order of magnetic calculation thus gives the correct order of the terrestrial magnetism. Moreover, this would have the added advantage that it could explain geomagnetic reversals: The semionic behaviour of the electrons in the solid core is sensitive to external magnetic influences and could thus flip or reverse polarity. On the other hand, the explanation in the case of the convective dynamo model would be contrived in comparison.

## References

- [1] Report from Physics Today, February 1987, 17-20.
- [2] Brush G.S., 1996, Nebulous Earth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [3] Glatzmaier, G.A., and Roberts, P.H., 1996, Science 274, 1887-1890.
- [4] Kuang, W., and Bloxham, 1997, An Earth-like Numerical Dynamo Model, Nature 389, 371-374.
- [5] Olson, P., 1997, Probing Earth's Dynamo, Nature 389, 337-338.
- [6] Raup, D., 1985, Magnetic Reversals and Mass Extinctions, Nature 314, 341-343.

- [7] Negi, J.G., and Tiwari, R.K., 1983, Matching Long Term Periodicities of Geomagnetic Reversals and Galactic Motions of the Solar System, GRL, 10 (8), 713-716.
- [8] Stothers, R.B., 1986, Periodicity of the Earth's Magnetic Reversals, Nature 322, 444-446.
- [9] Muller, R.A., Morris D.E., 1986, Geomagnetic Reversals From Impacts on the Earth, GRL 13 (11), 1177-1180.
- [10] Emiliani, C., 1995, Planet Earth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [11] Lawrie, W., 1997, Fundamentals of Geophysics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [12] Huang, K., 1975, Statistical Mechanics, Wiley Eastern, New Delhi.
- [13] Schonhammer, K., and Meden, V., 1996, Am.J.Phys., 64(9), 1168-1176.
- [14] Sridhar, R., 1996, Advances in Theoretical Physics (ed.), Pathak A.P., Narosa, New Delhi.
- [15] Sidharth, B.G., 1999, Anomalous Fermions, Journal of Statistical Physics 95, 3/4.