

Discontinuity of Electrostatic Fields Across a Planar Surface With Multipolar Surface Charge Density

S. Gov, S. Shtrikman* and H. Matzner

Department of Electronics, Weizmann Institute of Science,
Rehovot 76100, Israel.

Abstract

We use covariance and dimensional analysis to find expressions for the discontinuity of the potential and normal electric field across a flat surface with *multipolar* charge surface density in vacuum. In particular we show that

$$\delta E_z = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{l,m} (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

and that

$$\delta \Psi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l b_{l,m} (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

Here, E_z is the normal electric field, Ψ is the electrostatic potential, $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ is the surface density of the (l^{th} , m^{th}) electric multipole and $\partial_{\pm} \equiv \partial/\partial x \pm i\partial/\partial y$. The prefactors $a_{l,m}$ and $b_{l,m}$ in these relations are calculated by explicitly evaluating the field of a localized unit multipole, both above and below the surface.

*Also with the Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, 92093 CA, USA.

1 Introduction

One of the common problems in electrostatics is the determination of electric field or potential in the presence of a surface distribution of charges. The knowledge of the discontinuity in the electric field across charged surfaces is useful in solving boundary value problems in electrostatic. Gauss's law allows us to write down a result directly. According to Gauss law the discontinuity of the normal electric field across a surface charge density σ is $4\pi\sigma$ (in CGS units)[1]. Another important result is the discontinuity of the electrostatic potential across a dipolar layer with the dipoles pointing normal to the surface. In this case[2] $\delta\Psi = 4\pi P_z$ where Ψ is the potential and P_z is the dipole surface density.

In this paper we study the electric field produced by a flat surface, in vacuum, having general (l^{th} , m^{th}) multipole surface density and give expressions for the discontinuity in the normal and tangential electric field. We show that the discontinuity in the normal electric field is given by

$$\delta E_z(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l 2\pi [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (1)$$

$$(\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

whereas the discontinuity in the potential is and

$$\delta\Psi(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l 2\pi [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (2)$$

$$(\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

Here,

- $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ is the (l^{th} , m^{th}) multipolar surface charge density over the plane.
- $\partial_{\pm} \equiv \partial/\partial x \pm i\partial/\partial y$
- E_z is the normal electric field
- Ψ the potential.

From another point of view Eq.(1) may be interpreted as the discontinuity in the normal electric field due to an ‘effective’ surface charge density that is given by

$$\sigma^{eff}(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{1}{2} [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

Similarly, the right hand side Eq.(2) is equivalent to an ‘effective’ surface dipolar layer given by

$$P_z^{eff}(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l 2\pi [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y)$$

2 Dimensional Analysis & Covariance

2.1 Definition of the problem.

Consider a surface at $z = 0$ charged with (l^{th}, m^{th}) multiples whose surface density is $q_{l,m}(x, y)$. Thus, $q_{l,m}(x, y)\delta x\delta y$ is the strength of the (l^{th}, m^{th}) multipole located at the point (x, y) on the surface. If, for example, $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ represents a unit multipolar charge at the origin then $q_{l,m}(x, y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$ producing a potential given by

$$\Psi = \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)}{r^{l+1}}$$

To fully characterize the surface charge all the multipole density functions must be given (recall that $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = -l, -(l-1), \dots, (l-1), l$). For example, for a surface with ‘regular’ charge density, given by $\sigma(x, y)$, the $q_{l,m}$ ’s are as follows: $q_{0,0}(x, y) = \sigma(x, y)/\sqrt{4\pi}$ and $q_{l,m}(x, y) = 0$ for higher multiples. These multipole moments give rise to an electric field above and below the surface. The purpose of this paper is to find expressions for the *discontinuity* of the normal field and potential in terms of $q_{l,m}(x, y)$. Thus, for instance, in the example given above we would expect to find that $\delta E_z(x, y) \propto q_{00}(x, y) \propto \sigma(x, y)$ where E_z is the normal component of the electric field.

2.2 The permissible operators, their transformation rules and dimensions.

In order to find expressions for the discontinuity of the field we use covariance and dimensional analysis. We start by stating all the operations that can be performed on $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ together with their transformation rule under rotation and their dimensions. Using these we show that there is only one possible form for the expression, up to an additional multiplicative factor, for the discontinuity of the field which is both covariant and dimensionally correct.

When the system is rotated by an angle α around the \hat{z} axis we note that $q_{l,m}$ transforms according to $q_{l,m} \rightarrow e^{im\alpha} q_{l,m}$ (see Appendix A). The potential Ψ is a scalar under rotation. Next, any expression for the fields discontinuity must depend *locally* on $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ as the field near the surface (i.e. $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z \rightarrow 0^\pm)$) is determined mostly by the presence of charge distribution at the vicinity of the point $(x, y, z = 0)$.

The first operators that come to mind are multiplication by x and/or y . These operators, however, are not permissible since their use would break the translational symmetry that is supposed to hold. The only operators that are both local and translational invariant are $\partial/\partial x$ and $\partial/\partial y$. However, each of these operators separately has no definite transformation under rotation around the \hat{z} axis. Operators which *do* have definite transformation rules are easily constructed from these. Consider the operators $\partial_\pm \equiv \partial/\partial x \pm i\partial/\partial y$. When the coordinate system is rotated around the \hat{z} axis by an angle α to form a new coordinate system- (x', y') the newly defined operators transform according to (see Appendix A) $\partial_\pm \rightarrow e^{\mp i\alpha} \partial_\pm$. Note also that the operator $\partial_- \partial_+$ is a scalar in this sense and is nothing but the two-dimensional Laplacian (which can also be written as $\partial_- \partial_+$ since ∂_+ and ∂_- commutes).

We now turn to the transformation rules for the electric field. Obviously, the component of the field along the \hat{z} axis acts as a scalar under the rotation. As for the tangential components we again construct new fields defined by $E_\pm = E_x \pm iE_y$. As $E_\pm = -\partial_\pm \Psi$ and Ψ is a scalar the transformation rules for E_\pm are the same as those for ∂_\pm , namely $E_\pm \rightarrow e^{\mp i\alpha} E_\pm$.

The following table summarizes the transformation rules under rotation for each of the quantities above together with their dimensions:

Transformation Rule	Dimensions
$q_{l,m} \rightarrow e^{im\alpha} q_{l,m}$	$[Charge][Length]^{l-2}$
$\partial_+ \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \partial_+$	$[Length]^{-1}$
$\partial_- \rightarrow e^{+i\alpha} \partial_-$	$[Length]^{-1}$
$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi$	$[Charge][Length]^{-1}$
$E_z \rightarrow E_z$	$[Charge][Length]^{-2}$
$E_+ \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} E_+$	$[Charge][Length]^{-2}$
$E_- \rightarrow e^{+i\alpha} E_-$	$[Charge][Length]^{-2}$
$\partial_+ \partial_- \rightarrow \partial_+ \partial_-$	$[Length]^{-2}$

2.3 Covariant expression for the discontinuity in the normal electric field E_z .

We start with $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ which transforms like $e^{im\alpha}$. To find the discontinuity in $E_z(x, y)$ we operate with ∂_+ a total number of M times and with ∂_- a total number of N times to get

$$\delta E_z(x, y) \propto (\partial_+)^M (\partial_-)^N q_{l,m}(x, y) \quad (3)$$

Applying the transformation rules on both sides of the equation yields the first connection between N and M , namely:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= e^{-i\alpha M} e^{+i\alpha N} e^{i\alpha m} \\ &\Downarrow \\ 0 &= -M + N + m \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Dimensional analysis, on the other hand, requires that

$$\begin{aligned} [Charge][Length]^{-2} &= [Charge][Length]^{-M-N+l-2} \\ &\Downarrow \\ -2 &= -M - N + l - 2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Solving for M and N gives

$$M = \frac{1}{2}(l + m) \quad N = \frac{1}{2}(l - m) \quad (6)$$

Thus, the general expression for the discontinuity in E_z is

$$\delta E_z(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{l,m} (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{l,m}(x, y) \quad (7)$$

where an additional multiplicative factor has been included to make an equality out of the proportionality (Note that the multiplicative factor depends on l and m as there is no reason to assume otherwise). The result is further summed over all the multiples .

2.4 Covariant expressions for the discontinuity in the potential Ψ and the tangential electric field E_+ & E_- .

Similar arguments lead to the following result for the discontinuity in Ψ :

$$\delta\Psi(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l b_{l,m} (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y) \quad (8)$$

3 Determination of $a_{l,m}$ and $b_{l,m}$.

In this section we actually resolve the problem of finding the discontinuity of the fields across a multipolar layer. This time however, the exact mathematical expressions are derived together with the required multiplicative factor.

3.1 Fourier Decomposition of Spherical Harmonics Moments.

Let $\Phi_{l,m}(r, \theta, \varphi)$ denote the potential due to a *localized* (l, m) -moment with unit strength. Thus [3],

$$\Phi_{l,m}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)}{r^{l+1}} \quad (9)$$

The total potential, $\Psi_{lm}(x, y, z)$, is then given by a superposition of all the contributions from the surface, namely

$$\Psi_{lm}(x, y, z) = \int dx' \int dy' q_{lm}(x', y') \Phi_{lm}(x - x', y - y', z) \equiv q_{lm} * \Phi_{lm} \quad (10)$$

where the notation $*$ stands for the *two dimensional* convolution operation.

The unit multipole potential may be rewritten in terms of its Fourier transform over the (x, y) plane. Since Φ_{lm} is singular at the origin, the plane wave expansion for the upper hemisphere ($z > 0$) may be different from that for the lower hemisphere ($z < 0$). To make this point clear consider for example the case of a dipole at the origin pointing in the \hat{z} direction. Here $\Phi_{1,0}(x, y, z_0) = -\Phi_{1,0}(x, y, -z_0)$ so that the expansion of Φ for the upper hemisphere differs in sign from the expansion for the lower hemisphere. Therefore, the plane wave expansion for Φ_{lm} should generally be written as

$$\Phi_{lm}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint \tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} |z|} dk_x dk_y \quad (11)$$

where the $+$ sign applies for $z > 0$ and the $-$ sign for $z < 0$. The dependence on z has been included by using $\nabla^2 \Phi_{lm} = 0$ and demanding that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{lm} = 0$. A similar expression for q_{lm} reads:

$$q_{lm}(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint \tilde{q}_{lm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} dk_x dk_y \quad (12)$$

When Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) are inserted into Eq.(10), and the identity

$$\iint e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy = 4\pi^2 \delta(k_x) \delta(k_y)$$

is used, the result becomes

$$\Psi_{lm}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint \tilde{q}_{lm}(k_x, k_y) \tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} |z|} dk_x dk_y. \quad (13)$$

In Appendix B we show that $\tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y)$, as defined by Eq.(11), is given by:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{2\pi}{i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (14)$$

$$(k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{(l-m-1)/2} (k_x + ik_y)^m.$$

Substituting Eq.(14) for $\tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y)$ into Eq.(13) gives

$$\Psi_{lm}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{2\pi}{i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times$$

$$\iint (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{(l-m-1)/2} (k_x + ik_y)^m \tilde{q}_{lm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}|z|} dk_x dk_y \quad (15)$$

3.2 The Discontinuity of the potential Ψ across the Surface.

The discontinuity of the potential across the surface (denoted by $\delta\Psi_{lm}$) is defined as

$$\delta\Psi_{lm} \equiv \Psi_{lm}(x, y, z = 0^+) - \Psi_{lm}(x, y, z = 0^-). \quad (16)$$

Using Eq.(15) in Eq.(16) gives

$$\delta\Psi_{lm}(x, y) = \frac{2\pi}{i^m} [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{(l-m-1)/2} (k_x + ik_y)^m \tilde{q}_{lm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dk_x dk_y \right\}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{i^m} [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \frac{1}{i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right]^{(l-m-1)/2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^m q_{lm}(x, y),$$

where in the last equality we have used the fact that a multiplication by $i(k_x \pm ik_y)$ in (k_x, k_y) -space is equivalent to the differentiation $i\partial_{\pm}$ in (x, y) -space. Rearranging the differentiation operators in Eq.(17) yields

$$\delta\Psi_{lm}(x, y) = \frac{2\pi}{i^m} [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \frac{1}{i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times$$

$$(\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y).$$

Comparing this last result with Eq.(8) we first see that the covariant form of Eq.(17) is identical with what was predicted earlier, and that the prefactor $b_{l,m}$ is given by

$$b_{l,m} = 2\pi [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}}. \quad (18)$$

For the case of $l = 1, m = 0$ for which $q_{1,0}(x, y) = \sqrt{3/4\pi}P_z$ (a uniform double layer with density P_z) we find that $\delta\Psi_{lm} = 4\pi P_z$ as required.

3.3 The Discontinuity of E_z across the Surface.

The discontinuity of the normal electric field across the surface (denoted by $\delta(E_z)_{l,m}$) is

$$\delta(E_z)_{l,m} \equiv \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_{lm}(x, y, z = 0^+) \right] - \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_{lm}(x, y, z = 0^-) \right] \quad (19)$$

Thus, using Eq.(15) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(E_z)_{l,m}(x, y) &= \frac{2\pi}{i^m} [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{(l-m)/2} (k_x + ik_y)^m \tilde{q}_{lm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dk_x dk_y \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{i^m} [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \frac{1}{i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \\ &\quad \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right]^{(l-m)/2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^m q_{lm}(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(E_z)_{l,m}(x, y) &= 2\pi [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \\ &\quad (\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{lm}(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Comparing this result with Eq.(7) we see again that the covariant form is identical with the one that was predicted earlier, and that the prefactor

$a_{l,m}$ is

$$a_{l,m} = 2\pi [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}}. \quad (22)$$

Note that $a_{l,m}$ vanishes for odd $(l+m)$ whereas $b_{l,m}$ vanishes for even $(l+m)$. Consequently, for a given (l, m) there can be only discontinuity either in the normal field or in the potential but not in both simultaneously.

4 Summary

In this paper we derived expressions for the electrostatic field discontinuity across a flat surface in vacuum, having general (l^{th}, m^{th}) multipole surface charge density. We showed that dimensional analysis and the principle of covariance alone are suffice to determine the form of the expression. The exact expressions for the field discontinuity were then derived mathematically and were shown to confirm with our early prediction. These expressions are

$$\delta E_z(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l 2\pi [1 + (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (23)$$

$$(\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}(l+m)} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-m)} q_{l,m}(x, y),$$

for the discontinuity of the normal electric field, and

$$\delta \Psi(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l 2\pi [1 - (-1)^{l+m}] \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times$$

$$(\partial_+)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)+m]} (\partial_-)^{\frac{1}{2}[(l-1)-m]} q_{l,m}(x, y),$$

for the discontinuity of the potential. Here, $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ is the (l^{th}, m^{th}) multipolar surface charge density over the plane, $\partial_{\pm} \equiv \partial/\partial x \pm i\partial/\partial y$, E_z is the normal electric field and Ψ is the potential.

It is interesting to note how these expressions can be extended to the *electrodynamic* case (especially to the discontinuity of the magnetic field). To give the reader a sense of the subtleties that arise when considering the electrodynamic case we point out that, here, further complication arises as there is an additional parameter that must be taken into account, namely k_0 ,

the wave number. The arguments that led us earlier to a unique form for the discontinuity relation are no longer valid. Instead, we must now incorporate the presence of k_0 into the expressions in a covariant form. This procedure, however, is not uniquely determined as is shown by the following argument: The operator $(\partial_+)^N$ must now be replaced by an operator that has similar dimension, transforms as $(\partial_+)^N$ and may include k_0 as an additional parameter. The general operator that obeys these restrictions is $P_N(\partial_+, k_0 e^{-i\varphi})$ where $P_N(x, y)$ is a general two-dimensional polynomial of degree N and φ is $Tan^{-1}(y/x)$. Similarly, $(\partial_-)^N$ should be replaced by $Q_N(\partial_-, k_0 e^{+i\varphi})$ where $Q_N(x, y)$ is yet another two dimensional polynomial. Covariance and dimensional analysis alone cannot yield the coefficients of P_N and Q_N .

Yet another interesting question is how does the discontinuity of the fields depends (if any) on the curvature of the surface. Recall that the discontinuity in the normal electric field due to a surface charge density, as well as the discontinuity in the potential due to a surface dipolar density *does not* depend on the curvature of the surface. One might then carelessly conclude that for higher multipolar densities this is the case too. However, the existence of the differential operators in Eq.(23), which do not appear at $(l, m) = (0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$, might suggest that for higher multiples the curvature *does* appear. We conjecture that the curvature *does* enter in the form of a covariant differentiation which replaces the ∂_{\pm} operators.

A Transformation rule for $q_{l,m}(x, y)$ and ∂_{\pm} .

When the system of coordinate is rotated by an angle α around the z -axis, the coordinates transform as

$$\begin{aligned} r &\rightarrow r' = r \\ \varphi &\rightarrow \varphi' = \varphi - \alpha \\ \partial/\partial r &\rightarrow \partial/\partial r' = \partial/\partial r \\ \partial/\partial \varphi &= \partial/\partial \varphi' \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Thus, while the potential due to a multipolar charge $q_{l,m}$ at the origin is

$$\Phi_{l,m}(r, \theta, \varphi) = q_{l,m} \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)}{r^{l+1}}$$

as expressed with the old coordinate system, the potential in the new coordinate system is

$$\Phi'_{l,m}(r', \theta', \varphi') = q'_{l,m} \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{Y_{l,m}(\theta', \varphi')}{r'^{l+1}}$$

Since they must give the same value we have

$$q_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = q'_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta', \varphi') = q'_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi - \alpha)$$

Using the properties of $Y_{l,m}()$ which depends on φ only through $e^{im\varphi}$ we have

$$q_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = q'_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) e^{-im\alpha}$$

which shows that $q'_{l,m}$ must be given by

$$q'_{l,m} = q_{l,m} e^{im\alpha}$$

We now turn to find the transformation rule for ∂_{\pm} . Rewriting ∂_{\pm} in terms of polar coordinate system yields

$$\partial_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \pm i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = e^{\pm i\varphi} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \pm \frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right] \quad (25)$$

When these are used with Eq.(24) one finds that

$$\partial'_{\pm} = e^{\pm i\varphi'} e^{\mp i\alpha} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \pm \frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right] = e^{\mp i\alpha} \partial_{\pm}$$

B Derivation of $\tilde{\Phi}_{l,m}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y)$.

In this section we show that $\tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y)$ as given by Eq.(15) is indeed the Fourier transform of $\Phi_{lm}(x, y, z = 0)$. The Fourier transform of Φ_{lm} over the x - y plane may be calculated straightforwardly by using the following definite integral[4]

$$\int_0^{\infty} x(a^2 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu} P_{\mu-1}^{-\nu} \left[\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+x^2}} \right] J_{\nu}(xy) dx = \frac{y^{\mu-2} e^{-ay}}{\Gamma(\mu+\nu)}$$

$(\text{Re}(a) > 0, y > 0, \text{Re}(\nu) > -1, \text{Re}(\mu) > \frac{1}{2}).$

However we prefer to show it by explicitly evaluating the inverse Fourier transform.

Substitution of Eq.(15) inside Eq.(11) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint \tilde{\Phi}_{lm}^{\pm}(k_x, k_y) e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} |z|} dk_x dk_y & (26) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \\ &\quad \iint (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{(l-m-1)/2} (k_x + ik_y)^m e^{i[k_x x + k_y y]} e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} |z|} dk_x dk_y. \end{aligned}$$

By using polar coordinates (defined by $k_r \equiv \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$ and $\varphi_k \equiv Tg^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$) Eq.(26) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times & (27) \\ &\quad \int_0^{\infty} k_r dk_r \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_k k_r^{(l-1)} e^{im\varphi_k} e^{ik_r r \sin \theta \cos(\varphi_k - \varphi)} e^{-k_r r |\cos \theta|} \end{aligned}$$

The integral over the angular part is easily evaluated by using[5]

$$J_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix \cos \varphi - im\varphi} d\varphi. \quad (28)$$

This gives:

$$I = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (29)$$

$$\int_0^\infty k_r dk_r k_r^{(l-1)} e^{im\varphi} 2\pi i^m J_m(k_r r \sin \theta) e^{-k_r r |\cos \theta|}$$

Since[4]

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} J_\nu(\beta x) e^{-\alpha x} dx = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{-\mu/2} \Gamma(\mu + \nu) P_{\mu-1}^{-\nu}(\alpha/\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}) \quad (30)$$

$$\alpha, \beta > 0 ; Re(\mu + \nu) > 0,$$

we get

$$I = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (31)$$

$$e^{im\varphi} 2\pi i^m r^{-(l+1)} \Gamma(l+m+1) P_l^{-m}(|\cos \theta|)$$

By using [5]

$$P_l^{-m}(x) = (-1)^m \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} P_l^m(x) \quad (32)$$

and the definition of $\Gamma(x)$ by which $\Gamma(l+m+1) = (l+m)!$ we find that

$$I = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{2\pi i^m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \times \quad (33)$$

$$e^{im\varphi} 2\pi i^m r^{-(l+1)} (l+m)! (-1)^m \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} P_l^m(|\cos \theta|)$$

$$= e^{im\varphi} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} r^{-(l+1)} \sqrt{\frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ (-1)^{l+m} \end{array} \right\} P_l^m(|\cos \theta|)$$

Using the properties of $P_l^m(x)$ under inversion it may be easily verified that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & ; z > 0 \\ (-1)^{l+m} & ; z < 0 \end{array} \right\} P_l^m(|\cos \theta|) = P_l^m(\cos \theta), \quad (34)$$

and since [5]

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} P_l^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\varphi}, \quad (35)$$

we finally find, as required, that

$$I = \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)}{r^{l+1}} = \Phi_{lm}(\vec{r}) \quad (36)$$

References

- [1] J. D. Jackson, “Classical Electrodynamics”, 2nd Ed. , Ch. 1, pp. 36, Eq. (1.22).
- [2] J. D. Jackson, “Classical Electrodynamics”, 2nd Ed. , Ch. 3, pp. 38, Eq. (1.27).
- [3] J. D. Jackson, “Classical Electrodynamics”, 2nd Ed. , Ch. 4, pp. 136, Eq. (4.1).
- [4] Gradshteyn, “Tables of series and Integrals”, Eq. 7.188 pp. 824
- [5] J. D. Jackson, “Classical Electrodynamics”, 2nd Ed. , Ch. 3.