

Probability and Dirac Equation

G.Quznetsov
quznets@geocities.com

May 10, 2019

Abstract

The Dirac equation is deduced from the probability properties by the Poincare group transformations.

I'm use notation:

$$\vec{x} = (x, y, z),$$

$$\beta_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \beta_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \beta^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For every tracklike [1] probability density 3 + 1-vector

$$\langle \rho(t, \vec{x}), j_x(t, \vec{x}), j_y(t, \vec{x}), j_z(t, \vec{x}) \rangle$$

the 4-components complex vector function (4-spinor) $\Psi(t, \vec{x})$ exists, for which:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \Psi^\dagger \cdot \Psi, \\ j_x &= \Psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_x \cdot \Psi, \quad j_y = \Psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_y \cdot \Psi, \quad j_z = \Psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_z \cdot \Psi, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The operator $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$, which acts in the set of these spinors, is denoted as the evolution operator for the spinor $\Psi(t, \vec{x})$, if:

$$\Psi(t + \Delta t, \vec{x}) = \widehat{U}(\Delta t) \Psi(t, \vec{x}).$$

$\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ is a linear operator.

The set of the spinors, for which $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ is the evolution operator, is denoted as the operator $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ space.

The operator space is the linear space.

Let for an infinitesimal Δt :

$$\widehat{U}(\Delta t) = 1 + \Delta t \cdot i \cdot \widehat{H}.$$

Hence for an elements of the operator $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ space:

$$i \cdot \widehat{H} = \partial_t.$$

Since the functions ρ, j_x, j_y, j_z fulfill to the continuity equation:

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x j_x + \partial_y j_y + \partial_z j_z = 0$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\partial_t \Psi^\dagger) + (\partial_x \Psi^\dagger) \cdot \beta_x + (\partial_y \Psi^\dagger) \cdot \beta_y + (\partial_z \Psi^\dagger) \cdot \beta_z \right) \cdot \Psi = \\ & = -\Psi^\dagger \cdot ((\partial_t + \beta_x \cdot \partial_x + \beta_y \cdot \partial_y + \beta_z \cdot \partial_z) \Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Let:

$$\widehat{Q} = \left(i \cdot \widehat{H} + \beta_x \cdot \partial_x + \beta_y \cdot \partial_y + \beta_z \cdot \partial_z \right).$$

Hence:

$$\Psi^\dagger \cdot \widehat{Q}^\dagger \cdot \Psi = -\Psi^\dagger \cdot \widehat{Q} \cdot \Psi.$$

Therefore $i \cdot \widehat{Q}$ is the Hermitean operator.

Hence \widehat{H} is the Hermitean operator, too. And $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ is the unitary operator for the scalar product of the following type:

$$(\Phi, \Psi) = \int d\vec{x} \cdot \Phi^\dagger \cdot \Psi.$$

Therefore:

$$\widehat{H} = \beta_x \cdot (i \cdot \partial_x) + \beta_y \cdot (i \cdot \partial_y) + \beta_z \cdot (i \cdot \partial_z) - i \cdot \widehat{Q}.$$

The unitary operator $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ is denoted as the natural evolution operator if the operator $\widehat{U}(\Delta t)$ space is invariant for the complete Poincare group transformations.

For every natural evolution operator the real number m exists for which all elements Ψ of this operator space obey to the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^2} = -m^2 \cdot \Psi.$$

Therefore in this case:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q} \cdot \widehat{Q} &= -m^2, \\ \widehat{Q} \cdot \beta_x &= -\beta_x \cdot \widehat{Q}, \\ \widehat{Q} \cdot \beta_y &= -\beta_y \cdot \widehat{Q}, \\ \widehat{Q} \cdot \beta_z &= -\beta_z \cdot \widehat{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$-i \cdot \widehat{Q} = m \cdot \gamma$$

then some real number α exists for which:

$$\gamma = \cos(\alpha) \cdot \gamma^0 + \sin(\alpha) \cdot \beta^4$$

and

$$\widehat{H} = \beta_x \cdot (i \cdot \partial_x) + \beta_y \cdot (i \cdot \partial_y) + \beta_z \cdot (i \cdot \partial_z) + m \cdot \gamma.$$

The probability is denoted as the elementary free lepton probability if for this probability density vector the spinor Ψ exists which is element of some natural evolution operator space and which obeys to (1).

Therefore the physics elementary particle behavior in the vacuum looks like to the trackelike probability behavior. That is if the partition with two slits between the source of the physics particle and the detecting screen exists in the vacuum then the interference of the probability is observed. But if this system shall be placed in the Wilson cloud chamber then the particle shall got the clear trace, marked by the condensate drops, and whole interference shall vanished. It looks like to the following: the physics particle exists in the moment, only, in which some event on this particle is happening. And in other times this particle does not exist and the probability of some event on this particle exists, only.

Hence, if events on this particle do not happen between the event-birth and the event-detection then the particle behavior is the probability behavior between these events, and the interference is visible. But in the Wilson cloud chamber, where the ionization acts are form the almost continuous line, the particle has got the clear trace and no the interference. And the particle moves because such line is not absolutely continuous. Every point of the ionization act has got the neighboring ionization point, and the event on this particle is not happen between these points. Therefore, the physics particle moves because the corresponding probability is propagated in the space between these points.

References

- [1] xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/9803035