

# Simple Linearizations of the Simple Pendulum for Any Amplitude\*

**M. I. Molina**

Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Física, Universidad de Chile  
Casilla 653, Las Palmeras 3425, Santiago, Chile.

## **Abstract**

Two simple, interpolatory-like linearizations are shown for the simple pendulum which can be used for any initial amplitude.

*Key words:* *pendulum, linearization.*

*PACS:* *07.10.Y, 02.60.E*

---

\*mmolina@abello.dic.uchile.cl

A commonplace approximation when teaching the motion of a simple pendulum is to assume a small initial amplitude, so that the equation of motion can be safely approximated with the one corresponding to a harmonic oscillator, leading to an amplitude-free period. If one wishes to consider the case of not necessarily small initial amplitudes one is obliged to use the full formula for the period of the pendulum, involving an elliptic integral or its perturbation series<sup>1</sup> or numerical methods. I describe below, a simple way around this difficulty that allows one to obtain an amplitude-dependent period for the pendulum that can be used for any initial amplitude.

Let us consider a simple pendulum of length  $l$  in a (uniform) gravitational field  $g$ . The equation of motion is

$$\frac{d^2\Theta}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{g}{l}\right)\sin(\Theta) \quad (1)$$

If the initial amplitude is small, we can approximate  $\sin(\Theta)$  by  $\Theta$  (linearization), which leads to the approximate equation  $(d^2\Theta/dt^2) = -(g/l)\Theta$ , i.e., the harmonic oscillator equation with period  $T_0 = 2\pi\sqrt{l/g}$ . What we want to do is to extend this linearization procedure in such a way as to be able to use it even at *large initial amplitudes*. To do that, we have to replace the  $\sin(\Theta)$  term by something of the form  $F(\Theta_0)\Theta$ , where  $F(\Theta_0)$  is an amplitude-dependent coefficient. This term will compensate for the fact that the force is not really linear in  $\Theta$ . Thus, for small initial amplitudes where the usual linearization is valid,  $F(\Theta_0) \approx 1$ , while for amplitudes near  $\pi$ , where the force on the pendulum is small,  $F(\Theta_0) \approx 0$ . In addition,  $F(\Theta_0)$  must be even in

$\Theta_0$  since by symmetry, the period of the pendulum can only depend on the magnitude of the initial amplitude,  $|\Theta_0|$ . A simple  $F(\theta_0)$  that obeys these requirements is

$$F(\Theta_0) = \left( \frac{\sin(\Theta_0)}{\Theta_0} \right)^\alpha \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a number to be chosen judiciously (see below). With the above, the equation of motion is

$$\frac{d^2\Theta}{dt^2} + \left( \frac{g}{l} \right) \left( \frac{\sin(\Theta_0)}{\Theta_0} \right)^\alpha \Theta = 0 \quad (3)$$

and leads to a period  $T = T_0(\Theta_0/\sin(\Theta_0))^{\alpha/2}$ , The parameter  $\alpha$  can be chosen in a variety of ways. We can, for instance, impose that the main corrective term in the low-amplitude expansion of  $T$ , coincide with the leading corrective term for the *exact* period<sup>1</sup>:

$$T_{exact} = (2/\pi) T_0 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{(1 - k^2 \sin^2(\phi))^{1/2}} \quad (4)$$

with  $k = \sin(\Theta_0/2)$ . For small  $\Theta_0$ , we have

$$T_{exact} = T_0(1 + (1/16)\Theta_0^2 + \dots) \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, in our scheme we have

$$T = T_0(1 + (\alpha/12)\Theta_0^2 + \dots) \quad (6)$$

Comparison of Eqs.(5) and (6) determines  $\alpha = 3/4$ , and  $T$  becomes

$$T = T_0 \left( \frac{\Theta_0}{\sin(\Theta_0)} \right)^{3/8} \quad (7)$$

In the same spirit, the reader can check that the choice

$$F(\Theta_0) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\Theta_0}{\pi} \right)^2 \right]^{\pi^2/8} \quad (8)$$

also satisfy our requirements and leads to a period

$$T = T_0 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\Theta_0}{\pi} \right)^2 \right]^{-\pi^2/16} \quad (9)$$

which, in the low amplitude limit, coincides with Eq.(5), although its fit over all the angular range is not as good as with the first choice, Eq.(2).

Figure 1 shows a comparison between the periods for the exact case, Eq.(4), the main perturbative correction to the low-amplitude case, Eq.(5) and our two interpolatory approximations, Eqs.(7) and (9). The agreement using the interpolation scheme is quite satisfactory, remaining at  $\Theta = 2$  ( $114.6^\circ$ ) within 1% and 4% from the exact values for interpolations (7) and (9), respectively.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> J. B. Marion and S. T. Thornton, *Classical Dynamics of Particles and Systems*, third edition (Saunders, 1988), pp. 143-147.

## Captions List

**Fig.1 :** Comparison of periods for the simple pendulum obtained from the exact solution, perturbative, interpolation scheme (7) and interpolation scheme (9).

