

Model for Trapped Ion interacting with Standing Wave

S. Alam* and C. Bentley†

Theory Group, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Abstract

We treat the interaction of a trapped ion with a standing-wave light field relaxing the previous condition of the trapping ion being on the node of the standing wave. In addition we work to second order in the Lamb-Dicke parameter η , the extension to higher orders in η is also indicated. Only a simple transformation to the “dressed basis” [subject to the constraint of Pauli algebra] is required in order to show that the ion interacting with a standing light-wave may be described by the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian.

*email: sher@theory.kek.jp

†Prairie View Texas A & M University, Texas, USA.

Field theories in particle physics of spin one-half fermions [matter fields] interacting with spin-one vector bosons [force fields] subject to the principle of local gauge invariance have been very successful in fundamental processes. The familiar examples are quantum electrodynamics [QED], quantum chromodynamics [QCD] and quantum flavorodynamics [QFD]. QED the theory of electrically charged spin one-half fermions interacting with massless spin one photons is remarkably precise in predicting physical quantities up to 15 decimal places. The quantum corrections up to several loop orders in QED precisely and beautifully fit the experimental data.

In the context of quantum optics the Jaynes-Cummings model is a favorite among theorists and experimentalists. As is well-known when we consider a quantum two-level system interacting with a single mode of quantized field one is led to the familiar Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian [JCH] [1] provided one is interested only in the difference of the population of the two levels. The JCH has been extensively used as a model Hamiltonian in fields such as quantum optics, nuclear magnetic resonance, and quantum electronics. It is *well-known* that a two-level system interacting with a single mode of radiation is mathematically equivalent to spin one-half system interacting with the a single mode of radiation. It appears that of authors Yang et al., [2] seem to overlook the fact that this similarity between JC model and one describing a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a magnetic field is well-known, to quote them [2] “We now establish the similarity between JC model and one describing a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a magnetic field.” A compact method/notation to solve various nonlinear extensions of the JCH will be reported elsewhere [3].

An interesting study of the JCH is the periodic spontaneous collapse and revival due to quantum granularity of the field [4]. In the rotating wave approximation [RWA], the JCH becomes solvable and it has been broadly used in the last years [5–10]. There are many other interesting works on the JCH.

A question that naturally arises is, why is the JCH so successful and rich in predictions? An answer could be that it is the simplest Hamiltonian one can write for spin-half system interacting with a single mode of quantized field and thus covers a whole spectrum of natural phenomena, since as already mentioned it seems that we can formulate a large class of successful quantum theories based on the interaction of spin one-half fields interacting with spin one fields. Exploiting the general structure of spin one-half system interacting with radiation field by using well-known results a compact method/notation has been developed to solve various nonlinear extensions of the JCH [3]. For example in the present note by demanding that the transformed dressed matrices obey the Pauli algebra we are able to demonstrate the correspondence of trapped ion dynamics interacting with a standing-wave light field to the JCH.

One can also attempt to solve Hamiltonians using the mathematics of Supersymmetry [SUSY]. Although SUSY still awaits experimental verification in the context of particle physics nevertheless one is free to use SUSY as a mathematical tool to solve various physical problems. In particular SUSY quantum mechanics has proved quite useful in the solution of various problems, see [11] for a review. One example of SUSY quantum mechanics at work

is the exact supersymmetry in nonrelativistic hydrogen atom considered by Tangerman and Tjon [11], there are many others. Solutions of the JCH and its extensions using SUSY will be reported elsewhere [12].

The Hamiltonian of the trapped ion interacting with a standing wave where the trapping ion is on the *node* of the standing wave to first order in the Lamb-Dicke parameter η was given by Cirac et al., [13]. It was shown in [13] that the dynamics of the laser cooled two-level trapped ion is described by the JCH. The analogy established in [13] between trapped ion dynamics and cavity QED is an important one and has resulted in many proposals/ideas for example quantum non-demolition measurement of final temperature [14,15], experimental study of collapse and revivals [16] and theoretical studies of the same [17,13,18]. One intuitively expects that the dynamics of the ion away from the node will also be described by JCH. The main purpose of this note is to extend the result of [13] by allowing the trapped ion to be anywhere [not just the node] on the standing-wave light field. Recently Wu and Yang [19] [see Note added] have also attempted to extend the work of [13]. In their analysis [19] they introduce the interaction picture which is not necessary as is clear from our work. More precisely the use of interaction picture in our approach is not needed in the context of establishing a correspondence between trapped two-level ion interacting with a standing-wave light field and JCH. One simply needs to use the transformation to the dressed basis to establish the correspondence between the trapped ion interacting with the standing-wave light field and JCH. The particular form of the transformation is determined by imposing the condition that algebra of Pauli matrices be maintained. Moreover we go beyond the first order in η and point out that by keeping second and higher order terms in η we are lead to the multi-photon or m-photon JCH [20–23]. The master equation of a single two-level ion trapped in a harmonic potential and located at the node of standing-wave light field is [13],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = & -i \left[\nu a^\dagger a + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_z - \frac{\Omega_0}{2} (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) \sin[\eta(a + a^\dagger)], \rho \right] \\ & + \frac{\Gamma}{2} (2\sigma_- \tilde{\rho} \sigma_+ - \sigma_+ \sigma_- \rho - \rho \sigma_+ \sigma_-), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where Δ is the detuning of the two-level transition from the laser frequency, ν is the trap frequency, Ω_0 is the laser Rabi frequency, and $\eta = \pi a_0/\lambda$ is the Lamb-Dicke parameter with a_0 the amplitude of the ground state of the trap and λ the optical wavelength. a^\dagger and a are the creation and destruction operators for phonons respectively. The σ_i 's $i = x, y, z$ are usual Pauli matrices [4] with $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)$, the raising and lowering operators for the two-level system. We note the familiar relations governing σ 's i.e. $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$, $i, j = x, y, z$. $\tilde{\rho}$ does not concern us here and is defined in [13]. As mentioned in [13] it accounts for the momentum transfer associated with spontaneous emission of a photon.

The Hamiltonian for the single two-level ion trapped in a harmonic potential and located at the node of a standing-wave light field is easily read from Eq. 1, one has

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar \nu a^\dagger a + \hbar \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_z - \hbar \frac{\Omega_0}{2} (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) \sin[\eta(a + a^\dagger)]. \quad (2)$$

We note that the population occupation operators are defined in the usual manner $\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{11} = \sigma_z$ and $\sigma_{22} + \sigma_{11} = 1$ or alternatively $\sigma_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma_z)$, and $\sigma_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_z)$.

It is straightforward to extend Eq. 2 to the case when the ion is not necessarily on the node by letting $-\sin[\eta(a + a^\dagger)] \rightarrow -\sin[\pi/2] \sin[\eta(a + a^\dagger)] \rightarrow \cos[\eta(a + a^\dagger) + \pi/2] \rightarrow \cos[\eta(a + a^\dagger) + \chi]$. We thus obtain the Hamiltonian for the trapped ion in a harmonic potential and located anywhere on a standing-wave light field,

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar\nu a^\dagger a + \hbar\frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma_z + \hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)\cos[\eta(a + a^\dagger) + \chi], \quad (3)$$

We can write $\cos[\eta(a + a^\dagger) + \chi] = \cos[\eta(a + a^\dagger)]\cos\chi - \sin[\eta(a + a^\dagger)]\sin\chi$ and expand Eq. 4 in powers of the Dicke parameter η to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \hbar\nu a^\dagger a + \hbar\frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma_z \\ & + \hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\cos\chi)(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) - \eta\hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\sin\chi)(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)(a + a^\dagger) \\ & - \frac{\eta^2}{2}\hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\cos\chi)(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)(a + a^\dagger)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\eta^3). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For the moment ignoring the term of order η^2 and using the definition/relation of $\sigma_+ + \sigma_- = \sigma_x$ we may rewrite Eq. 4 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \hbar\nu a^\dagger a + \hbar\frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma_z + \hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\cos\chi)\sigma_x \\ & - \eta\hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}(\sin\chi)(\sigma_+ a + \sigma_- a^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where in the last line we have gone to the usual RWA. We have gone to the RWA since we want to compare our Hamiltonian to the JCH which is usually written using RWA. In order to cast Eq. 5 into the JC form we can combine the second and third terms in Eq. 5 into a single term by defining the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_z = & \frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\sigma_z + \frac{\Omega_0 \cos\chi}{\Omega}\sigma_x, \\ \Omega = & \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Omega_0^2 \cos^2\chi}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We note that $\Sigma_z^2 = 1$. Using 6 in Eq. 5 we can write the latter as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \hbar\nu a^\dagger a + \hbar\frac{\Omega}{2}\Sigma_z \\ & - \eta\hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}\sin\chi(\sigma_+ a + \sigma_- a^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In order to have a consistent Pauli algebra between the Σ matrices we must supplement Σ_z in 6 by Σ_x and Σ_y where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_x &= \frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\sigma_x - \frac{\Omega_0 \cos \chi}{\Omega}\sigma_z, \\
\Sigma_y &= \sigma_y, \\
\Sigma_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma_x \pm i\Sigma_y),
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which are/[can be] found/determined after some simple algebra. For example a simple way to determine Σ_x is to assume that it is some arbitrary linear combination of σ_x and σ_z and then determine the coefficients appearing in the linear combination by using the fact that $\{\Sigma_z, \Sigma_x\} = 0$. It is easily checked that $\Sigma_x^2 = 1$, $\Sigma_y^2 = 1$, $\{\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y\} = 0$, $\{\Sigma_y, \Sigma_z\} = 0$, $\{\Sigma_z, \Sigma_x\} = 0$, $[\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y] = 2i\Sigma_z$, $[\Sigma_y, \Sigma_z] = 2i\Sigma_x$, and $[\Sigma_z, \Sigma_x] = 2i\Sigma_y$. These relations can be summarized or put into the usual compact notation namely $\{\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $[\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\Sigma_k$, $i, j = x, y, z$, we thus have a consistent Pauli algebra.

Using Eq. 8 we may rewrite Eq. 7 completely in terms of Σ 's, viz

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \hbar\nu a^\dagger a + \hbar\frac{\Omega}{2}\Sigma_z \\
&\quad - \eta\hbar\frac{\Omega_0}{2}\frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\sin\chi(\Sigma_+a + \Sigma_-a^\dagger).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Comparing the Hamiltonian of Eq. 9 with the JCH [13],

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar\omega_f a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\sigma_z + \hbar g(\sigma_+a + \sigma_-a^\dagger), \tag{10}$$

we see a direct correspondence. In particular by making the identifications $\nu \leftrightarrow \omega_f$, $\Omega \leftrightarrow \omega_0$, and $g \leftrightarrow \eta\frac{\Omega_0}{2}\frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\sin\chi$ we immediately see that there is a direct correspondence between the two-level trapped ion interacting with a standing-wave light field and the familiar JCH. The effective coupling $\eta\frac{\Omega_0}{2}\frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\sin\chi$ is dependent on the Rabi frequency and can be adjusted in experiments. It is clear that Eq. 9 reduces in the special case of the ion at the node [i.e. setting $\chi = \pi/2$] to the previous result [13].

Going back to Eq. 4 we see that the second-order term in η corresponds in the RWA approximation to the two-photon term in the m-photon JCH [20,21,23]. The higher-order terms in η will similarly correspond to the multi-photon terms in the m-photon JCH.

One can see that the fluorescence spectrum without including the decay rate will consist of three peaks as noted in [13], in our case the three peaks will be centered at $\nu = 0, \pm\Omega$ with Ω given in 6, we note that our Ω_0 corresponds to Ω in [13]. If the decay rate is included Ω will be changed to something like $\Omega = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Omega_0^2 \cos^2 \chi + c \Gamma^2}$, where c is a constant. It is claimed that $c = 5$ in [19], however we have not checked this.

In conclusion:

- We have treated the interaction of a trapped ion with standing-wave light field relaxing the previous condition that the trapping ion remain on the node of the standing-wave [13]. It has been shown here that the two-level trapped ion dynamics both off and on the node is described by JCH .

- Our analysis relies on a transformation to the dressed basis, the transformation in turn is determined by demanding that the Pauli algebra should hold between the transformed matrices.
- We have also shown/indicated that by going to higher orders i.e. beyond first order in Lamb-Dicke parameter η we are lead to the m-photon JCH.

One could use the well-established field of ion trapping as a testing ground for strongly coupled QED because for a trapped ion the coupling parameters can be varied by laser field strength. The ability to control/vary the coupling is an attractive feature of the trapped ion system.

Note added: We recently noticed the work by Wu and Yang [19] which also deals with the extension of [13]. However during the course of their analysis they go to the interaction picture and in the end drop the time-dependences. In contrast our approach is based on maintaining the algebra of Pauli matrices after the transformation to the “dressed basis”. And hence the use of interaction picture in our approach is not needed in the context of establishing a correspondence between trapped two-level ion interacting with a standing-wave light field and JCH.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of S. Alam was supported in part by a COE Fellowship from the Japanese Ministry of Education and culture and in part by the JSPS.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings, Proc. IEEE 51 (1963) 89.
- [2] Xiaoxue Yang et al., Phys. Rev. A55 (1997) 4545.
- [3] S. Alam, *Compact Method/Notation for Nonlinear extensions of Jaynes-Cummings Model*, in preparation.
- [4] P. Meystre, M. Sargent III, Elements of Quantum Optics. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1990).
- [5] J.H. Eberly, N.B. Narozhny, and J.J. Sanchez-Mondragon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 1323.
- [6] R. R. Schlicher, Opt. Comm. 70 (1989) 97.
- [7] Hong-bin Huang and Hong-yi Fan, Phys. Lett. A 166 (1992) 308.
- [8] Ho Trung Dung and A.S. Shumovsky, Phys. Lett. A 169 (1992) 379.
- [9] C. A. Miller, J. Hilsenbeck, and H. Risken, Phys. Rev. A46 (1992) 4323.
- [10] S. V. Prants and L. S. Yacoupova, J. Mod. Opt. 39 (1992) 961.
- [11] A. Lahari et. al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5 (1990) 1383; R. D. Tangerman and J. A. Tjon, Phys. Rev. A48 (1993)1089.
- [12] S. Alam, *Supersymmetry based Methods for Jaynes-Cummings Model and its extensions*, in preparation.
- [13] J. I. Cirac et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 762.
- [14] J. I. Cirac et al., Phys. Rev. A 49 (1994) 1202.
- [15] C. D'Helon and G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995) 4755.
- [16] D. M. Meekhof et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 1796; C. Monroe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4011.
- [17] J. I. Cirac et al., Phys. Rev. A 46 (1992) 2668.
- [18] I. Marzoli et al., Phys. Rev. A 49 (1994) 2771.
- [19] Ying Wu and Xiaoxue Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3086.
- [20] B. Buck and C. V. Sukumar, Phys. Lett. 81 (1981) 132.
- [21] C. V. Sukumar and B. Buck, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen 17 (1984) 885.
- [22] V. Bartzis and N. Nayak, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B8 (1991) 1779.
- [23] S. Alam, Progress of Theoretical Physics Vol. 98, No. 2, 1997.