

# NEW EXPRESSION FOR THE TRANSVERSE DEFLECTION OF RELATIVISTIC PARTICLE IN HIGH-FREQUENCY FIELDS AND CORRELATION WITH PANOFSKY-WENZEL THEOREM

V.N.Melekhin

15 January 1997

## Abstract

It is shown that change in transverse momentum of a relativistic particle, crossing an accelerating cavity parallel to its axis ( $z$ -axis), may be presented as an integral over trajectory, the integrand of which is proportional to  $z$ -component of magnetic field. The changes in  $x$ - and  $y$ -components of momentum are equal in value but opposite in sign. The obtained result is compared with Panofsky-Wenzel theorem.

Some general theorem was demonstrated by Panofsky and Wenzel [1] for a beam of fast particles passing through a cavity parallel to its axis. The transverse momentum  $p_{\perp}$  imparted to the particle (charge  $e$ ) can be presented as

$$p_{\perp} = e \int_0^d \nabla_{\perp}(A_z) \cdot dz, \quad (1)$$

where  $z$  is the distance along the axis of the cavity, the ends of which corresponds to  $z = 0$ ,  $d$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  is the vector potential. This equation was derived for the special case of a cavity being some part of waveguide and

having no transit holes. However, in paper [2] eq.(1) was extended to the common case, when cavity has an arbitrary shape and has transit holes.

For any TE-mode (no component of electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  parallel to the axis) eq.(1) gives  $p_{\perp} = 0$ . Here and throughout this paper the physical reason of such a result is as follows: the actions of transverse electric and magnetic fields cancel each other being integrated over trajectory. For a TM-mode (no component of magnetic field  $\mathbf{H}$  parallel to the axis) the equation (1) was presented in paper [1] as some integral of  $E_{\perp}$  only, independently of particle velocity. However, this conclusion generally is in contradiction with Bell's paper [3] in which it was shown that TM-mode, excited in circular cavity with round transit holes, gives  $p_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$  at  $v_z \rightarrow c$ . We will discuss below the reason of such a discrepancy.

The latter result is of great importance for electron accelerators because it inhibits, at first sight, high-frequency (HF) focusing of electrons by accelerating field. However, in our paper [4] the method of HF focusing was proposed which consists in using not round but oval transit holes, may be slits, or in using of noncircular cavities. In both cases the circular symmetry is broken and in some direction the focusing by electric field exceeds the magnetic field defocusing whereas in perpendicular direction one has the reverse situation. This method was successfully used in classical microtron (see [4] and [5]) and recently it was used for calculation of race-track microtron [6].

In all these papers only circular or rectangular cavities were considered. Let us calculate the particle deflection in the common case with the only restriction that a cavity has  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$  planes of symmetry. In this case, taking the cavity symmetry into consideration, we can write approximate formulae

$$E_x = f_x x, \quad E_y = f_y y, \quad H_x = g_x y, \quad H_y = g_y x, \quad H_z = g_z xy, \quad (2)$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are small deviations of a particle trajectory from the cavity axis, all coefficients  $f$  and  $g$  are certain functions of  $z$  and an electromagnetic field inside the cavity can be written as  $\mathbf{E} \cos(\omega t + \phi_0)$ ,  $\mathbf{H} \sin(\omega t + \phi_0)$  with a frequency  $\omega$  and an arbitrary initial phase  $\phi_0$ . Now one can obtain the following relations, using one of the Maxwell equations:

$$g_z - \frac{dg_x}{dz} = k f_y, \quad \frac{dg_y}{dz} - g_z = k f_x, \quad (3)$$

where  $k = \frac{\omega}{c}$  is wave number.

Integrating by parts, we can write

$$\int_0^d g_{\perp} \sin\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) dz = \frac{\beta}{k} \left[ g_{\perp}(0) - g_{\perp}(d) \cos\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) + \int_0^d \frac{dg_{\perp}}{dz} \cos\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) dz \right], \quad (4)$$

where  $\frac{kz}{\beta} = \omega t + \phi_0$ ,  $\beta = \frac{v_z}{c} \approx \text{const}$  and  $g_{\perp}$  is  $g_x$  or  $g_y$ . First and second terms in eq.(4) reduce to zero if the initial ( $z = 0$ ) and final ( $z = d$ ) points of trajectory are situated outside the cavity. Taking eqs. (3) and (4) into account, one can derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_x}{x} &= \frac{e}{\omega\beta} \int_0^d \left[ (1 - \beta^2) \frac{dg_y}{dz} - g_z \right] \cos\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) dz, \\ \frac{p_y}{y} &= -\frac{e}{\omega\beta} \int_0^d \left[ (1 - \beta^2) \frac{dg_x}{dz} - g_z \right] \cos\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We see that at  $\beta \rightarrow 1$ , that usually takes place in electron accelerators, the first terms of the integrands in both equations vanish, the result depends only on  $z$ -component of magnetic field and we have the following relationships:

$$\frac{p_x}{x} = -\frac{p_y}{y} = -\frac{e}{\omega\beta} \int_0^d g_z \cos\left(\frac{kz}{\beta}\right) dz. \quad (6)$$

The obtained result is interesting in some respects. First, one can see that at any shape of cavity and transit holes HF focusing in some direction is accompanied by defocusing in transverse direction the same as it takes place for usual quadrupole focusing. Next, it follows from eqs.(6) that  $p_{\perp} = 0$  for TM-mode at  $v_z \rightarrow c$ , the same as it follows from eq.(1) for TE-mode at any velocity. Such a TM-mode may arise, for example, in a cavity of cylindrical symmetry and this result corresponds to that of paper [3]. Such mode may also be excited in rectangular cavity having such transit holes that parameters  $G = \alpha' = \alpha''$  which appear in eq.(4.13) of monograph [5]. It is worth mentioning that first of the relations (6) follows from eqs. (4.13) and (4.14) of this monograph for the calculated there circular and rectangular cavities.

This result, concerning TM-mode, obviously is in contradiction with the formula (7) of paper [1], in which  $p_{\perp} \neq 0$  at any velocity. Such a discrepancy arises not only due to different boundary conditions. The mentioned

formula (7) is incorrect because it was derived from the correct equation (3) of paper [1], which corresponds to eq.(1) of this paper, under the assumption  $\nabla_{\perp}(A_z) \propto E_{\perp}$  (see eq.(4) in paper [1]), which is valid only for a waveguide, not for a cavity. In any cavity mode the mentioned values are displaced in time by quarter of a period. At the same time eq.(6) is agreed upon the equation (1) first obtained by Panofsky and Wenzel [1].

Here the equations (6) were derived for a cavity of an arbitrary shape having two planes of symmetry. In this common case TM-modes also can exist if the cavity is extended in some of these planes as much that it corresponds to transit holes asymmetry. If there is no such an accordance then magnetic lines penetrate into holes from the cavity and weak component  $H_z$  arises. However, despite low level of this component and the fact that Lorentz force does not depend on  $H_z$ , the resulting focusing at  $\beta \rightarrow 1$  is proportional to  $H_z$  as it follows from eq.(6). So, it follows from paper [1] together with this paper, that high-energy electrons can be deflected or focused only by such HF fields that have all six components and, hence, they are nor TE- nor TM-modes.

The relations (6) are of practical importance for the numerical calculation of HF focusing. Such calculation is hampered by the fact that great focusing and defocusing impulses, produced by quasi-static electric field near transit holes and by HF magnetic field inside the cavity, are subtracted from each other and final value is little compared to initial ones. For this reason one needs to know the field distribution with very high accuracy that is conjectural. Taking this into account, the equations (6) can be used to check a result of numerical calculations.

I am grateful to V.I.Shvedunov and N.P.Sobenin called my attention to the papers [1] and [2].

## References

- [1] W.K.H.Panofsky, W.A.Wenzel, *Rev.Sci.Instr.*, **27**, (1956)
- [2] M.J.Browman, *Proc. 1993 Particle Accelerator Conf. (USA)*, IEEE, p.800
- [3] J.S.Bell, *Proc. Phys. Soc.*, **B66**, p.802, (1953)
- [4] V.N.Melekhin, *JETP*, **42**, p.821 (1962, in Russian).

- [5] S.P.Kapitza and V.N.Melekhin, *The Microtron* (Moscow, Science, 1969, in Russian) or (Harwood, London, 1978).
- [6] V.N.Melekhin, A.I.Karev, V.I.Shvedunov, N.P.Sobenin, W.P.Trower, in *Proc. 1996 European Particle Conf. - EPAC-96, v.2, p.1380*