The Dynamics of Crowd Disasters: An Empirical Study

Dirk Helbing, Anders Johansson

Dresden University of Technology, Andreas-Schubert-Str. 23, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Habib Zein Al-Abideen

Central Directorate of Development of Projects, Minstry of Municipal and Rural Affairs, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Many observations in the dynamics of pedestrian crowds, including various self-organization phenomena, have been successfully described by simple many-particle models. For ethical reasons, however, there is a serious lack of experimental data regarding crowd panic. Therefore, we have analyzed video recordings of the crowd disaster in Mina/Makkah during the Hajj in 1426H on January 12, 2006. They reveal two subsequent, sudden transitions from laminar to stop-and-go and "turbulent" flows, which question many previous simulation models. While the transition from laminar to stop-and-go flows supports a recent model of bottleneck flows [D. Helbing et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 168001 (2006)], the subsequent transition to turbulent flow is not yet well understood. It is responsible for sudden eruptions of pressure release comparable to earthquakes, which cause sudden displacements and the falling and trampling of people. The insights of this study into the reasons for critical crowd conditions are important for the organization of safer mass events. In particularly, they allow one to understand where and when crowd accidents tend to occur. They have also led to organizational changes, which have ensured a safe Hajj in 1427H.

INTRODUCTION

The interest of physicists in pedestrian dynamics dates back at least to the year 1995, when a manyparticle model was proposed to describe observed selforganization phenomena such as the directional segregation ("lane formation") in pedestrian counterstreams and oscillations of the passing direction at bottlenecks [1]. It took five more years until clogging effects and intermittent flows in situations of crowd panic were discovered [2]. Since the year 2000, there is an avalanche of publications on pedestrians. This includes papers on other force models [3] and cellular automata models of pedestrian dynamics [4–6], addressing counterflows [4, 5, 8], the self-organized dynamics at intersecting flows [9], capacity drops by interaction effects [10], and the instability of pedestrian flows [11]. Recent studies focus on the empirical or experimental study of pedestrian flows [6, 12-18] by means of video analysis [19, 20].

One of the most relevant and at the same time most challenging problems are panic stampedes, which are a serious concern during mass events [21–24]. Despite huge numbers of security forces and crowd control measures, hundreds of lives are lost in crowd disasters each vear. In this paper, we present a high-performance video analysis of unique recordings of the Muslim pilgrimage in Mina/Makkah, Saudi Arabia. It suggests that highdensity flows can turn "turbulent" and cause people to fall (see Sec.). The occuring eruptions of pressure release bear analogies with earthquakes and are de facto uncontrollable. We will, however, identify variables that are helpful for an advance warning of critical crowd conditions. In our summary and outlook, we will indicate how our insights facilitated the organization of a safe Hajj in 1427H, i.e. during the stoning rituals between December

30, 2006, and January 1, 2007.

DATA ANALYSIS AND FUNDAMENTAL DIAGRAM

While panic has recently been studied in animal experiments with mice [25] and ants [26], there is still an evident lack of data on critical conditions in human crowds. In homogeneous corridors and at very large openings, unidirectional pedestrian flows are mostly assumed to move smoothly according to the "fluid-dynamic" flow-density relationship $Q(\rho) = \rho V(\rho)$, where Q represents the flow, ρ is the pedestrian density, and the average velocity V is believed to go to zero at some maximum density as in traffic jams [15, 27–31] (see Fig. 1a). This formula is often used as basis for the dimensioning and design of pedestrian facilities, for safety and evacuation studies. Our video analysis of the Muslim pilgrimage in Mina/Makkah shows, however, that this description needs corrections at extreme densities. In particular, it does not allow one to understand the "turbulent" dynamics causing serious trampling accidents in dense crowds trying to move forward.

We have evaluated unique video recordings of a $27.7 \text{m} \times 22.5 \text{m}$ large area in front of the 44m wide entrance of the previous Jamarat Bridge, where upto 3 million Muslims perform the stoning ritual within 24 hours. On the 12th day of Hajj, about 2/3 of the pilgrims executed lapidation even within 7 hours. With a new computer algorithm developed by us, we have extracted the positions $\vec{r}_i(t)$ and speeds $\vec{v}_i(t)$ of pedestrians *i* as a function of time. This algorithm is based on the successive application of several digital transformation, contrast enhancement, motion prediction and pattern recognition

techniques [32, 33]. It has been calibrated with data obtained by manual evaluation and tested in field experiments under well-known conditions, with an accuracy of about 95%. For the time period from 11:45am to 12:30 on January 12, 2006, the resulting dataset contains more than 30 million position-velocity pairs in the evaluated *central* area of size $20m \times 14m$. We have restricted ourselves to the evaluation of this area in order to avoid any boundary effects of the measurement algorithm. The resolution of 25 pixels per meter and 8 frames per second allows one to determine even small average speeds by calculating the mean value of a large sample of individual speed measurements.

The data extracted from the videos allowed us to determine not only densities in larger areas, but also local densities, speeds, and flows. The local density at place $\vec{r} = (x, y)$ and time t was measured as

$$\rho(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{j} f(\vec{r}_{j}(t) - \vec{r}) \,. \tag{1}$$

 $\vec{r}_j(t)$ are the positions of the pedestrians j in the surrounding of \vec{r} and

$$f(\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \exp[-\|\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}\|^2 / R^2] \qquad (2)$$

is a Gaussian distance-dependent weight function. R is a measurement parameter. The greater R, the greater the effective measurement radius, which is greater than R. It can be calculated that the weight of neighboring pedestrians located within the area $A_R = \pi R^2$ of radius R is 63%. In another paper [33], we have shown that the average of the local density values obtained with formulas (1) and (2) agrees well with the actual average density ρ . Moreover, the variance of the local density measurements around the given, average density ρ , goes down with larger values of R. In fact, for $R \to \infty$, all local density measurements result in the same value, which corresponds exactly to the overall number N_R of pedestrians, divided by the area $A_R = \pi R^2$ they are distributed in. The latter corresponds to the classical method of determining the average ("global") density ρ . However, it can also be determined by averaging over local density measurements $\rho_t^R(\vec{r})$, i.e.

$$\varrho = \frac{N_R}{A_R} \approx \frac{1}{A_R} \int d^2 r \, \rho_t^R(\vec{r}) \approx \lim_{R \to \infty} \rho_t^R(\vec{r}_i) \,, \qquad (3)$$

where the approximate equality becomes exact for $R \rightarrow \infty$. This is, because of

$$\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int \exp[-\|\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}\|^2 / R^2] d^2 r$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2 / R^2} r \, d\varphi \, dr = 1, \qquad (4)$$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \exp[-\|\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}_i(t)\|^2 / R^2] = 1, \qquad (5)$$

and $N_R = \sum_j 1$.

The local speeds have been defined via the weighted average

$$\vec{V}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\sum_{j} \vec{v}_{j} f(\vec{r}_{j}(t) - \vec{r})}{\sum_{j} f(\vec{r}_{j}(t) - \vec{r})},$$
(6)

while flows have been determined according to the fluiddynamic formula

$$\vec{Q}(\vec{r},t) = \rho(\vec{r},t)\vec{V}(\vec{r},t)$$
. (7)

In the fundamental diagram, one displays the absolute value of the flow as a function of the density. For further aspects regarding the above definitions see Ref. [34].

As the criticality in the crowd depends on the local conditions, we are interested in local measurements with a small value of R, while many other measurements in the literature present averages over larger areas. In the central recorded area studied by us, local densities reached values greater than 10 persons per square meter, if R = 1m was chosen (Fig. 1a). The local densities vary considerably (see Fig. 1c). As a rule of thumb, the maximum local densities are twice as high as the average densities. When a local density of 6 persons per square meter is exceeded, the local flow decreases by a factor of 3 or more, so that the outflow drops significantly below the inflow (see Figs. 1b and 2b). This causes a higher and higher compression in the crowd, until the local densities become critical in the end. While this seems to explain crushing accidents quite well [2, 22], it is startling that the crowd accident on January 12, 2006 occured in a flat and practically open area without significant counterflows.

Our video analysis revealed that, even at extreme densities, the *average* local speeds and flows staved finite, i.e. there was no level of crowdedness under which people completely stopped moving (Fig. 1a). This is in marked contrast to vehicle traffic [34, 35], where drivers keep some minimum safety distance and stop to avoid collisions. It also causes an unexpected, second flow maximum at 9 persons/ m^2 , which implies the possibility of alternating forward and backward moving shockwaves [36, 37] with serious consequences for the resulting crowd dynamics. Such shock waves cause safety hazards and have actually been observed [14, 28, 38, 39]. However, a quantitative characterization of their properties and a satisfactory understanding of the underlying mechanisms are lacking. Current models fail, as it is hardly conceivable that a 44m wide opening would cause intermittent outflows through arching effects [2]. Moreover, the notion of shockwaves is confusing, as it is mostly used for discontinuities in the density that are caused by random initial velocity or density variations, while we observe emergent, self-generated waves (Fig. 2a).



FIG. 1: (Color Online)**a**, Average of the local speeds $V(\vec{r}, t) =$ $\|\vec{V}(\vec{r},t)\|$ as a function of the local density $\rho(\vec{r},t)$. Our own data points are for R = 1m and shown as red stars. Other symbols correspond to data by Fruin [39] (black), Mori and Tsukaguchi [29] (green), Polus et al. [30] (purple), and Seyfried et al. [15] (blue), obtained with other measurement methods. The solid fit curve is from Weidmann [31]. Scaling the density with a factor 0.7 (and the Mori data by a factor 0.6), our data become compatible with Weidmann's curve (see inset), i.e. the different average projected body areas of people in different countries are very important to consider [40]. Note, however, that the average local speed does not become zero at extreme densities. **b**, Average of the local flows $Q(\vec{r},t) = \rho(\vec{r},t)V(\vec{r},t)$ as a function of the local density $\rho(\vec{r}, t)$. We have used the same symbols as in Fig. 1a. Note the second flow peak, i.e. the local maximum at 9 persons/m². c, Distribution of *local* densities ρ for a given *av*erage density ρ (red: 1.6 persons/m², blue: 3.0 persons/m², black: 5.0 persons/m^2). The Gamma distribution fits the histograms with 50 bins well (solid lines). d, Distribution of local speeds for the same average densities ρ as in Fig. 1c (same colors for same densities). The distributions deviate from the expected normal distributions, as many pilgrims are parts of large groups including people of high age. Solid lines are smoothed fit curves serving as guides for the eye. Note that, at low densities, a small percentage of pilgrims returns against the main flow direction.

TRANSITION FROM LAMINAR TO STOP-AND-GO AND "TURBULENT" FLOWS

When viewing our video recordings in a 10 times accelerated fast-forward mode, we made some unexpected discoveries: As the average density increased, we observed a sudden transition from laminar to temporarily interrupted, longitudinally unstable flows around 11:53am (see the supplement [41] and Fig. 2). The emergent, upstream moving stop-and-go waves persisted for more than 20 minutes and were not caused by the Hajj rituals. Around 12:19 and even higher densities, we found a



FIG. 2: (Color Online)a, After a laminar phase, the density of pilgrims shows a sudden transition to stop-and-go waves around 11:53am. The densities shown were determined by Gaussian smoothing in space and time. Blue colors correspond to low densities, yellow and orange colors reflect high values. **b**, Average flow as a function of time. Note that the drop of the average pedestrian flow below a value of 0.8 persons per meter and second coincides with the occurence of stop-and-go waves, see Fig. 2a. c, Locationdependent velocity field $\vec{U}(\vec{r}) = \langle \vec{V}(\vec{r},t) \rangle_t$ of pilgrim motion (where the bracket indicates an average over the index variable, i.e. over time). Arrows represent pedestrian speeds, averaged over the period from 11:45am to 12:30am on January 12, 2006. To avoid boundary effects, the evaluation focussed on the 20m×14m central area of our video recordings. The x-coordinate denotes the distance to the on-ramp of the Jamarat Bridge and points into the direction of its entrance. One can clearly see the merging of pedestrians coming from different directions, which caused a bottleneck effect. The contour plot below the arrows represents the "pressure" $P(\vec{r}) = \rho(\vec{r}) \operatorname{Var}_{\vec{r}}(\vec{V})$, which we have defined as the average pedestrian density $\rho(\vec{r})$ times the velocity variance $\operatorname{Var}_{\vec{r}}(\vec{V}) = \langle [V(\vec{r},t) - \vec{U}(\vec{r})]^2 \rangle_t$ around the average velocity $U(\vec{r})$ [51]. The dark red area represents the highest values of the "pressure", where the most violent dynamics occurred (see the crowd video in the supplementary material [41]). This is also the area where people stumbled and where the accident began.

sudden transition to irregular flows (see the supplement [41] and Fig. 3), indicating a second instability. These irregular flows were characterized by random, unintended displacements into all possible directions, which pushed people around. With a certain likelihood, this caused them to stumble. As the people behind were moved by the crowd as well and could not stop, fallen individuals were trampled, if they did not get back on their feet quickly enough. Tragically, the area of trampled people spread to larger and larger areas in the course of time, as they became obstacles for others.

Let us study these observations in more detail. Due to the small acceleration times of pedestrians, the delay-based mechanism suggested to describe stop-andgo waves in vehicle traffic [34, 35] cannot be transferred to pedestrian crowds. However, a recent theoretical approach suggests that intermittent flows at bottlenecks can also be generated by coordination problems in bottleneck areas, causing an alternation between forward pedestrian motion and backward gap propagation [37]. This theory predicts a transition from smooth flows to stop-and-go patterns when the inflow exceeds the outflow. In our videos of January 12, 2006, stop-and-go waves started in fact at the time when the outflow from the recorded area dropped below a value of 0.8 persons per meter and second (Fig. 2b), which supports this theory.

But how do we explain the second transition from stopand-go to irregular flows (Fig. 3a, b), which occured at 12:19 (Fig. 3c)? A closer look at our videos reveals that, at this time, people were so densely packed that they were moved involuntarily by the crowd. This is reflected by random displacements into all possible directions (see the crowd video in the supplement [41]). To distinguish these irregular flows from laminar and stop-and-go flows and due to their visual appearance, we will refer to them as "crowd turbulence".

As in certain kinds of fluid flows, "turbulence" in crowds results from a sequence of instabilities in the flow pattern. Additionally, we find the sharply peaked probability density function of velocity increments

$$V_x^{\tau} = V_x(\vec{r}, t + \tau) - V_x(\vec{r}, t), \qquad (8)$$

which is typical for turbulence [44], if the time shift τ is small enough (Fig. 3d). We also observe a powerlaw scaling of the displacements indicating self-similar behaviour (Fig. 3e). As we do not observe large eddies, the similarity with *fluid* turbulence is limited, but there is still an analogy to turbulence at currency exchange markets [44] (see Fig. 3d). Instead of vortex cascades in turbulent fluids, we rather find a hierarchical fragmentation dynamics: At extreme densities, individual motion is replaced by mass motion (Fig. 1a), but there is a stick-slip instability which leads to "rupture" when the stress in the crowd becomes too large. That is, the mass splits up into clusters of different sizes with strong velocity correlations *inside* and distance-dependent correlations *between* the clusters.

"Crowd turbulence" has further specific features. Due to the physical contacts among people in extremely dense crowds, we expect commonalities with granular media beyond the level of *analogy* established in previous work [43]. In fact, dense driven granular media may form density waves, while moving forward [45], and can display turbulent-like states [46, 47]. Moreover, under quasistatic conditions [46], force chains [48] are building up, causing strong variations in the strengths and directions



FIG. 3: (Color Online)a, Representative trajectories in laminar flow, stop-and-go motion, and "turbulent" flow. Each trajectory extends over an x-range of 8 meters, while the time required for this stretch was scaled to 1. To indicate the different speeds, symbols were included in the curves every 5 seconds. While the laminar flow (red line) is fast and smooth. motion is temporarily interrupted in stop-and-go flow (black line), and backward motion can occur in "turbulent" flows (blue line). **b**, Example of the temporal evolution of the velocity components $V_y(t)$ in y-direction and $V_x(t)$ in x-direction during "turbulent" crowd dynamics. A symbol is shown every second. One can clearly see the irregular motion into all possible directions. **c**, "Pressure" $P(t) = \rho(t) \operatorname{Var}_t(\vec{V})$ as a function of time t, where $\rho(t)$ is the spatial average of the density in the central recorded area and $\operatorname{Var}_t(\vec{V}) = \langle [V(\vec{r},t) - \langle V \rangle_{\vec{r}}]^2 \rangle_{\vec{r}}$ is the velocity variance. (For the spatial dependence see Fig. 2c, for the spatio-temporal evolution, see the video animation in the supplement [41].) The transition to "turbulent" crowd dynamics (see the crowd video in the supplement [41]) starts at 12:19, i.e. when the "pressure" exceeds the value $0.02/s^2$. The crowd accident began when the "pressure" reached its peak. d, Probability density functions of the velocity increment $V_x^{\tau} = V_x(\vec{r}, t+\tau) - V_x(\vec{r}, t)$ in the laminar and the turbulent regime, determined over many locations \vec{r} for $R = \sqrt{10/\rho}$ (see Fig. 1) and $\tau = 0.1$ s (red curves), $\tau = 1$ s (green curves), $\tau = 10s$ (blue curves), and $\tau = 100s$ (black curves). For clarity of presentation, the curves are shifted in vertical direction. Note the non-parabolic, peaked curve for small values of τ , which distinguishes turbulent from laminar flows. e, Distribution of displacements (i.e. location changes between subsequent stops, defined by $\|\vec{V}(\vec{r},t)\| < 0.1$ m/s). The double-logarithmic representation reveals a power law reminiscent of a Gutenberg-Richter law for earthquake amplitudes. Here, the slope is 2.01 ± 0.15 . f, Double-logarithmic representation of the structure function $\langle \| \vec{V}(\vec{r} + \Delta \vec{r}, t) - \vec{V}(\vec{r}) \|^2 \rangle_{\vec{r},t}$ of "turbulent" crowd motion, measuring the dependence of the relative speed on the distance $\Delta \vec{r}$. As in fluids, the slope at small distances is 2, but the slope of 0.18 ± 0.02 at large separations (in the so-called "inertial regime") differs from turbulent fluids due to the increased propulsion forces during "crowd panics".

of local forces. As in earthquakes [49, 50] this can lead to events of sudden, uncontrollable stress release with power-law distributed displacements. Such a power-law has also been discovered by our video-based crowd analysis (see Fig. 3d).

In contrast to purely density-based assessments, we suggest to quantity the criticality of the situation in the crowd by the "pressure"

$$P(\vec{r},t) = \rho(\vec{r},t) \operatorname{Var}_{\vec{r},t}(\vec{V}), \qquad (9)$$

i.e. the local pedestrian density times the local velocity variance $\operatorname{Var}_{\vec{r},t}(\vec{V})$. Closeup video recordings show that, under "turbulent" conditions, the densities and resulting mechanical pressures are so unbearable that people try to escape the crowd and start pushing to gain space. This state, which is sometimes called "crowd panic", is characterized by additional energy input in compressed areas, in contrast to normal fluids or granular media. This causes particularly violent displacements in extremely dense crowds, which are practically impossible to control even by large numbers of security forces and reflected by a different scaling behaviour of the so-called structure function

$$S(\Delta \vec{r}) = \langle \|\vec{V}(\vec{r} + \Delta \vec{r}, t) - \vec{V}(\vec{r})\|^2 \rangle_{\vec{r}, t}$$
(10)

compared to fluids (Fig. 3f). Current simulation models of crowd panics fail to reproduce this "turbulent" dynamics, as they neglect that the propulsion force of people *increases* in areas of extreme densities.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In summary, even in extremely dense crowds with local densities up to 10 persons per square meter, the motion of the crowd is not entirely stopped. This produces overcritical densities. The largest danger, however, originates from the dramatically different crowd dynamics at high densities. We have found two sudden transitions leading from laminar to stop-and-go flows and from there to "turbulent" crowd motion, which can trigger the trampling of people, in contrast to previously discovered selforganisation phenomena in pedestrian crowds [14]. Stopand-go waves start, when the density is high and the flow drops below a critical value (Fig. 2a, b), while "turbulent" dynamics sets in with overcritical "pressures" in the crowd [51], see Fig. 3c. The critical values depend on the choice of R in the evaluation of the local densities, speeds, and variances, see Eq. (2). It is still an unresolved challenge to simulate both transitions, from laminar to stopand-go and "turbulent" flows by a many-particle model just by increasing the inflow to a bottleneck area.

5

Practical Implications

Due to the generality of these mechanisms, we expect that our findings are transferable to other mass gatherings. In fact, qualitatively similar conclusions can be drawn from video recordings at the same location during the Hajj in the year 2005. In that year, the pressure did not reach so high values and no accident occurred, but in 1997 and 1998 there were crowd disasters North of the ramp of the Jamarat Bridge as well. Similar observations were reported by Fruin [39] from other places: "At occupancies of about 7 persons per square meter the crowd becomes almost a fluid mass. Shock waves can be propagated through the mass, sufficient to ... propel them distances of 3 meters or more. ... People may be literally lifted out of their shoes, and have clothing torn off. Intense crowd pressures, exacerbated by anxiety, make it difficult to breathe, which may finally cause compressive asphyxia. The heat and the thermal insulation of surrounding bodies cause some to be weakened and faint. Access to those who fall is impossible. Removal of those in distress can only be accomplished by lifting them up and passing them overhead to the exterior of the crowd." This drastic picture visualizes the conditions in extremely dense crowds quite well, but Fruin and others have not provided a scientific analysis and interpretation.

Turbulent waves are experienced in dozens of crowdintensive events each year all over the world [39]. Therefore, it is necessary to understand why, where and when potentially critical situations occur. Viewing real-time video recordings is not very suited to identify critical crowd conditions: While the average density rarely exceeds values of 6 persons per square meter, the local densities can vary considerably due to dynamical patterns in the crowd (see Fig. 1c). Moreover, evaluating the local densities is not enough to identify the critical times and locations precisely, which also applies to an analysis of the velocity field [41]. The decisive quantity is rather the variance of speeds, multiplied by the density, i.e. what we call the "pressure" [51]. It allows one to identify critical locations (Fig. 2c) and times (Fig. 3c). There are even advance warning signs of critical crowd conditions: The crowd accident on January 12, 2006 started about 10 minutes after "turbulent" crowd motion set in, i.e. after the "pressure" exceeded a value of $0.02/s^2$ (Fig. 3c). Moreover, it occured more than 30 minutes after the average flow dropped below a critical threshold (Fig. 2b), which can be identified by watching out for stop-and-go waves in accelerated surveillance videos (Fig. 2a). Such advance warning signs of critical crowd conditions can be evaluated on-line by an automated video analysis system. In many cases, this can help one to gain time for corrective measures such as flow control, pressure relief strategies, or the separation of crowds into blocks to stop the propagation of shockwaves [41]. Such anticipative crowd

control could certainly increase the level of safety during future mass events.

Implications for the Hajj in 1427H

Based on our insights in the reasons for the accidents during the Hajj in 1426H, we have recommended many improvements. In the following, we mention only the most important changes in the organization of the Hajj in 1427H:

- The stoning capacity of the Jamarahs and, thereby, the flow capacity of the different levels of the Jamarat Bridge was improved by an elongated, elliptical shape, as suggested by Dr. Keith Still.
- On the plaza around the Jamarat Bridge, no opportunity for accumulation of the crowd was given.
- An automated counting of pilgrims using the signals of the surveillance cameras was implemented to have all the time a reliable overview over the densities and capacity utilizations in critical places of the system.
- Complementary, a new plaza design allowed the General Security to easily balance the flows between the ground floor of the current construction stage of the new Jamarat Bridge and the Northern and Southern ramps of the first floor, in order to avoid overloading and a breakdown of the flow.
- The two-way operation of the street system and the Jamarat plaza was replaced by a one-way operation in order to avoid obstructions and problems by counterflows.
- A systematically optimized scheduling and routing program was applied in order to reach a homogeneous distribution of registered pilgrims in space and time.
- Squatters were removed from the streets in order to avoid bottleneck situations.

These and some further changes (which will be described in detail in some other publications) ultimately reached comfortable and continuous flows conditions and a safe Hajj in 1427H, although the situation was expected to be particularly critical due to a lack of experience with the implemented changes and due to the significantly increased number of pilgrims in 1427H. As the new Jamarat Bridge will be expanded in 2007 by additional floors, it will have a greater capacity in 1428H and imply the need for changes in the organizational concepts to avoid bottlenecks in other places. This will pose new challenges for crowd researchers, experts, and authorities in the future.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the German Research Foundation for funding (DFG project He 2789/7-1), to the Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs for providing data and organisational support, and to its minister, H.R.H., for facilitating this scientific collaboration. They also thank Salim Al-Bosta and the Stesa staff for spending many hours positioning the cameras, converting the video recordings, and the great cooperation and support. Finally, D.H. appreciates the insightful discussions with various colleagues and the phantastic collaboration with Dirk Serwill, Knut Haase, Erfan Qasimi and many others, who have contributed to the progress of this project. He congratulates the Saudi authorities to their great efforts and the successful implementation.

- D. Helbing and P. Molnár, Phys. Rev. E 51, 4282 (1995).
- [2] D. Helbing, I. Farkas, and T. Vicsek, *Nature* 407, 487 (2000).
- [3] W. J. Yu, R. Chen, L. Y. Dong, and S. Q. Dai, *Phys. Rev. E* 72, 026112 (2005).
- [4] M. Muramatsu and T. Nagatani, *Physica A* 275, 281 (2000).
- [5] C. Burstedde, K. Klauck, A. Schadschneider, and J. Zittartz, *Physica A* 295, 507 (2001).
- [6] D. Helbing, M. Isobe, T. Nagatani, and K. Takimoto, *Phys. Rev. E* 67, 067101 (2003).
- [7] A. Kirchner, K. Nishinari, and A. Schadschneider, *Phys. Rev. E* 67, 056122 (2003).
- [8] W. G. Weng, T. Chen, H. Y. Yuan, and W. C. Fan, *Phys. Rev. E* 74, 036102 (2006).
- [9] D. Helbing, R. Jiang, and M. Treiber, *Phys. Rev. E* 72, 046130 (2005).
- [10] R. Jiang, Q. Wu, and X. Li, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036120 (2002).
- [11] A. Nakayama, K. Hasebe, and Y. Sugiyama, *Phys. Rev.* E **71**, 036121 (2005).
- [12] W. Daamen and S. P. Hoogendoorn, in Proceedings of the 82nd Annual Meeting at the Transportation Research Board (CDROM, Washington D.C., 2003).
- [13] M. Isobe, D. Helbing, and T. Nagatani, *Phys. Rev. E* 69, 066132 (2004).
- [14] D. Helbing, L. Buzna, A. Johansson, and T. Werner, *Transportation Science* **39**(1), 1 (2005).
- [15] A. Seyfried, B. Steffen, W. Klingsch, and M. Boltes, J. Stat. Mech. P10002 (2005).
- [16] T. Kretz, A. Grünebohm, and M. Schreckenberg, J. Stat. Mech. P10014 (2006).
- [17] T. Kretz, A. Grünebohm, M. Kaufman, F. Mazur, and M. Schreckenberg, J. Stat. Mech. P10001 (2006).
- [18] T. Kretz, M. Wölki, and M. Schreckenberg, J. Stat. Mech. P02005 (2006).
- [19] K. Teknomo, (PhD thesis, Tohoku University Japan, Sendai, 2002).
- [20] S. P. Hoogendoorn, W. Daamen, and P. H. L. Bovy, in Proceedings of the 82nd Annual Meeting at the Transportation Research Board (CDROM, Washington D.C.,

2003).

- [21] M. Batty, J. Desyllas, and E. Duxbury, Int. J. Geographical Information Science 17(7), 673 (2003).
- [22] R. S. C. Lee and R. L. Hughes, J. Transpn. Engineering 131(8), 575 (2005).
- [23] S. A. H. AlGadhi and H. S. Mahmassani, in *Proceedings* of the 11th International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory, edited by M. Koshi (Elsevier, New York, 1990), pp. 59.
- [24] K. Still, Crowd Dynamics (PhD Thesis, University of Warwick, 2000).
- [25] C. Saloma, G. J. Perez, G. Tapang, M. Lim, and C. Palmes-Saloma, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **100**(21), 11947 (2003).
- [26] E. Altshuler, O. Ramos, Y. Núñez, J. Fernández, A. J. Batista-Leyva, and C. Noda, *The American Naturalist* 166, 643 (2005).
- [27] J. J. Fruin, Highway Research Record 355, 1 (1971).
- [28] V. M. Predtechenskii and A. I. Milinskii, *Planning for Foot Traffic Flow in Buildings* (Amerind, New Delhi, 1978).
- [29] M. Mori and H. Tsukaguchi, Transportation Research A 21(3), 223 (1987).
- [30] A. Polus, J. L. Schofer, and A. Ushpiz, *Journal of Transportation Engineering* 109, 46 (1983).
- [31] U. Weidmann, Transporttechnik der Fußgänger (Schriftenreihe des Institut für Verkehrsplanung, Transporttechnik, Straßen- und Eisenbahnbau 90, ETH Zürich, 1993).
- [32] A. Johansson, D. Helbing, and P. K. Shukla, Specification of the social force pedestrian model by evolutionary adjustment to video tracking data, *Advances in Complex Systems*, submitted (2006).
- [33] H. Zein Al-Abideen, A. Johansson, S. Al-Bosta, and D. Helbing, Evaluations of video data of crowds around the Jamarat Bridge and their safety implications, submitted (2006).
- [34] D. Helbing, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 1067 (2001).
- [35] T. Nagatani, Rep. Prog. Phys. 65, 1331 (2002).

- [36] R. M. Colombo and M. D. Rosini, Pedestrian flows and non-classical shocks, http://www.hyke.org/preprint/2005/01/015.pdf
- [37] D. Helbing, A. Johansson, J. Mathiesen, M. H. Jensen, and A. Hansen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 168001 (2006).
- [38] M. R. Virkler and S. Elayadath, in *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Highway Capacity*, edited by R. Akçelik (Transportation Research Board, Washington, 1994), Vol. 2, pp. 671.
- [39] J. J. Fruin, in *Engineering for Crowd Safety*, edited by R. A. Smith and J. F. Dickie (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993), pp. 99.
- [40] S. T. Pheasant, (Taylor and Francis, London, 1998).
- [41] Supplementary material (videos, further references, etc.) is available at http://vwitme011.vkw.tudresden.de/~johansson/crowddisasters_h4Dfa1O
- [42] M. Schreckenberg and S. D. Sharma, S. D. (eds.) Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- [43] S. P. Hoogendoorn, S. Luding, P. H. L. Bovy, M. Schreckenberg, and D. E. Wolf (eds.) *Traffic and Granular Flow* '03 (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- [44] S. Ghashghaie, W. Breymann, J. Peinke, P. Talkner, and Y. Dodge, *Nature* 381, 767 (1996).
- [45] G. Peng and H. J. Herrmann, Phys. Rev. E 49, R1796 (1994).
- [46] F. Radjai and S. Roux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 064302 (2002).
- [47] K. R. Sreenivasan, Nature 344, 192 (1990).
- [48] M. E. Cates, J. P. Wittmer, J.-P. Bouchaud, and P. Claudin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 1841 (1998).
- [49] P. Bak, K. Christensen, L. Danon, and T. Scanlon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 178501 (2002).
- [50] P. A. Johnson and X. Jia, *Nature* **437**, 871 (2005).
- [51] This "gas-kinetic" definition of the pressure is to be distinguished from the mechanical pressure experienced in a crowd. However, a monotonously increasing functional relationship between both kinds of pressure is likely, at least when averaging over force fluctuations.