Imaging using nano metallic films: from evanescent wave lens to resonant tunnelling lens

Jensen Li, C.T. Chan Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

A thin metal slab is known to be able to focus the near fields of TM wave of a point source. Here, we show that a thin metal slab in fact possesses a far-field image and through a simple modification on the system by resonance tunneling, a double metal slab can give a bright image with both the far-field and the near-field details.

Double-negative (DNG) medium, with permittivity (ϵ) and permeability (μ) simultaneously negative, exhibits a negative value of refractive index [1, 2] and the resulting negative refraction has been demonstrated both numerically [3] and experimentally [4, 5]. The DNG slab with $\epsilon = \mu = -1$ is a perfect lens [2] in the sense that it can focus all geometric beams (the far fields) of different directions from a point source to a real image by negative refraction and it can compensate exactly all the evanescent waves (the near fields). The experimental realization of the perfect lens is difficult due to its extreme sensitivity to the system parameters [6]. On the other hand, if we are working in the near-field regime for TM waves, the condition $\epsilon = -1$ is sufficient so that a thin metallic film acts as a near-field lens [2, 7, 8]. However, for the metallic film, the position of the focal plane for the far fields is ambiguous although it is a common practice [7, 9] to place the image plane at a distance double the slab thickness beyond the point source. The position of the focal plane is proposed to be determined from the phase contour or the streamline of Poynting vector [3, 10] but whether a far-field image exists remains unclear.



FIG. 1: A transmitted beam undergoes negative refraction for a slab of thickness d. Δ_x is the lateral beam shift. ϕ is the phase change across the slab. ϕ_0 is the phase change in vacuum and ϕ_{tot} is the total phase change for the beam in returning to the z axis.

In this paper, we take an alternative approach by considering the far-field focusing of a thin slab with arbitrary ϵ and μ . We show that if the slab can be regarded as a lens, the phase of the source-to-image transfer function can only be certain quantized values. The $\epsilon = \mu = -1$ perfect lens is a special case of the general condition. It follows naturally from our results that a "single negative" metallic film can focus a point source to a far-field image. In addition, a Fabry-Perot-like cavity of two metallic films can provide both far-field and near-field imaging with high transmittance. Here, a far-field image means the image formed by all the propagating waves and it can be recorded (e.g. by a camera) far away from the lens.

Let us first establish the condition for negative refraction (a negative lateral shift) for a flat slab of prespecified values of permittivity ϵ and permeability μ . Without loss of generality, we consider TM waves. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram showing the situation when a geometric beam impinges on this slab. f here is defined as the distance measured from the exit interface of the slab when the beam returns to the z-axis and Δ_x is the lateral beam shift. For negative (positive) refraction, f is positive (negative) and Δ_x is negative (positive). Using the stationary-phase method [11], f can be proved to be given by

$$f(k_{0z}) = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial k_{0z}},\tag{1}$$

where ϕ is the phase change across the slab and we use $k_z(k_{0z})$ to denote the wave number in the z-direction in the slab (vacuum). In general, f is a function of the incident angle where k_{0z} ranges from 0 at grazing incidence to k_0 (the wave number in vacuum) at normal incidence.

Now, we search for optimal configurations such that f is nearly independent of the incident angle (measured by k_{0z}). Such an optimal configuration implies the slab behaves like a lens, and the lensing condition can be restated in terms of the phase change as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{tot}\left(k_{0z}\right)}{\partial k_{0z}} = k_{0z} \frac{\partial f\left(k_{0z}\right)}{\partial k_{0z}} \approx 0, \qquad (2)$$

where ϕ_{tot} is the total phase change when the beam returns to the z-axis. We can measure how well Eq. (2) can be satisfied by defining an operational aberration using

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_0^3} \int_0^{k_0} \left(f\left(k_{0z}\right) - f(k_0) \right)^2 3k_{0z}^2 dk_{0z}}, \qquad (3)$$

which measures the deviation of $f(k_{0z})$ from its paraaxial value. For a slab of thickness $d = 0.19\lambda$, the values of *a* are plotted in grayscale in Fig. 2 with black color denoting smaller values. The loci of the local minima of *a* in the $\epsilon - \mu$ plane defines the configurations for good focusing. Interestingly, they form bands and each band can be characterized according to the total elapsed phase by

$$\phi_{tot}\left(k_{0z}\right) \approx m\pi/2,\tag{4}$$

where m is any integer and the approximation is valid for all k_{0z} ranging from 0 to k_0 . The stationary ϕ_{tot} is thus quantized. In fact, the bands can be approximated by analytic formulas. We will not prove them in details but simply state them here. We found that there are two scenarios that can lead to Eq. (4). The first scenario is given by

$$\chi = m\pi, \tag{5}$$

where χ is defined by $\chi = \sqrt{\epsilon \mu - 1} k_0 d$ and m is an integer. Eq. (5) yields the bands of $\phi_{tot} = m\pi$ in the $\epsilon - \mu$ plane. These bands for a slab of $d = 0.19\lambda$ are plotted as black open circles in Fig. 2. The two bands of m = 0 correspond to a transparent slab of refractive index +1 and a DNG slab of refractive index -1. The second scenario is given by

$$\epsilon^{2} = -\frac{3\tan(\chi)}{2} \frac{2\chi + \sin(2\chi)}{7 + \cos(2\chi)},$$
(6)

which yields the bands of $\phi_{tot} = (m + 1/2)\pi$. These bands are plotted as white crosses in Fig. 2. In Eq. (6), χ can be either purely real or purely imaginary from its definition.

We see that the bands of $\phi_{tot} = (m + 1/2)\pi$ is in general broader than the bands of $\phi_{tot} = m\pi$ (which includes n = -1 as a special case). The DNG lens with $\epsilon = \mu = -1$ is the "best lens" since it has exactly zero aberration, but it is also the least robust. The "poor man's superlens" show up as a special case as the band $\phi_{tot} = \pi/2$. Only ϵ is negative (single-negative), but the aberration can be very small. In particular, we select the case $(\epsilon, \mu) = (-1.0914, 1)$ along this band. It has the smallest aberration of $a = 2 \times 10^{-4}\lambda$ with $f = 0.256\lambda$. The lens formula, like the DNG lens, is governed by $s_1 + s_2 = f$ where s_1 (s_2) is the distance of the point source (image) from the first (second) interface. s_2 is positive (negative) for a real (virtual) image. If we denote t_{tot} as the complex transfer amplitude from the source

to the image for the lens, the image is formed due to the phase conservation of t_{tot} at different incident angles and it does not require the amplitude of t_{tot} to be a constant. In the current example, the amplitude varies from 0.3 at normal incidence to 0 at grazing incidence. As the image is formed by tunnelling, f stays nearly the same as we increases the slab thickness (unlike the DNG slab where f scales with the slab thickness). However, the transfer amplitude drops exponentially with the slab thickness.



FIG. 2: Lensing condition for a slab of thickness $d = 0.19\lambda$. The open circles and crosses show the analytic results. The grayscale shows the operational aberration (a/λ) defined in text with black color denoting smaller values of aberration.



FIG. 3: The contour of the far-field E-field profile (square magnitude) at resonance-tunnelling focusing. Black (while) color denotes the high (low) values. The curved arrows show the phase change of the wave in different regions.

We have shown that a metal slab can have a far-field image. Moreover, an example of optimal aberration has



FIG. 4: (a) The source-to-image transfer function. Solid line shows the square magnitude and dashed line shows the phase; (b) and (c) show the image profiles at the image plane with far fields only and with near fields included in solid lines. Dashed lines show the profile (4x magnified) when 0.1i is added to the permittivity of the metal.

been given explicitly. Based on the optimal example, the brightness of the image can in fact be increased to nearly unity using an interesting property of tunnelling focusing. As the slab has a mirror symmetry in the z-axis, the reflection phase ϕ_r from the slab entrance interface satisfies $\phi_r = \phi \pm \pi/2$. If we stack another slab of the same configuration at precisely one f beyond the original slab (details shown in Fig. 3), the phase change per cycle within the cavity is $2(\phi_r + \phi_0) = 0$ or 2π . It is a resonating condition satisfied for all incident angles, resulting in an all-angle (or equivalently a real-space) Fabry-Perot (FP) cavity. Moreover, a real image is formed at one fbeyond the second slab with $\phi_{tot} = 2(\phi + \phi_0) = \pi$ which is independent of the incident angle. As an example, if we stack two identical metal slabs with $\epsilon = -1.0914$ and thickness $d = 0.19\lambda$ together with an air gap equal to one f of that a single slab in between, we can form a real image with $s_1 + s_2 = 0.256\lambda$. Fig. 3 illustrates the source and the image profile for this double-slab system if we place a point source at f/2 in front of the first slab. Only propagating fields from the point source are included in our calculation. The intensity at the source

point is normalized to one and nearly the same intensity is found at the image. In principle, the propagating wave transmittance is essentially unity independent of the incident angle for thin slabs, but if thicker metal slabs are employed, the transmittance of the double-slab system becomes more sensitive to the aberration the single-slab system.

We now consider the evanescent wave components. Fig. 4(a) shows t_{tot} of the same system plotted against k_x , the wave number in the transverse direction. From $k_x = 0$ to k_0 (the propagating waves), $t_{tot} \approx -1$ for all incident angles. Therefore, the image profile for a farfield observer is simply $(sin(k_0x)/(k_0x))^2$ as shown by the solid line in Fig. 4(b). Therefore, for a far-field observer, the double-slab system behaves just like the DNG perfect lens. For the near fields with $k_x > 1$, the doubleslab system can also support surface plasmons, indicated by the peaks shown in Fig. 4(a), and this leads to superresolution. The image profile with the evanescent waves included is shown by the solid line in Fig. 4(c). It has a half-pitch resolution of 0.25λ which breaks the diffraction limit. The dashed lines in Fig. 4(b) and (c) show the image profile if we introduce some absorption (an extra 0.1iis added to the permittivity of the metal) into the system. The lensing effect is still retained. A double-layer structure is currently employed for reducing the absorption in near-field focusing in the literature [8] Our results show that a similar system can work for the far fields as well by tuning it into FP resonance. The image can be transferred farther away by cascading a number of these cavities.

In summary, we have considered the general lensing condition for a homogeneous slab, which is found to be discrete values of the transmission phase. For a metal slab capable in focusing, an all-angle FP cavity can be constructed by stacking two of them. A far-field image with high transmittance is formed. The double-slab system is an improved version of the near-field lens from a single metallic film as far-field focusing can also be obtained.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by RGC Hong Kong through CA02/03.SC01. Jensen Li is also supported by the Croucher Foundation fellowship from Hong Kong.

- [1] V.G. Veselago, Sov. Phys. Usp. 10, 509 (1968).
- [2] J. B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3966 (2000).
- [3] R. W. Ziolkowski and E. Heyman, Phys. Rev. E 64, 056625 (2001).
- [4] R. A. Shelby, D. R. Smith and S. Schultz, Science 292, 77 (2001).
- [5] C. G. Parazzoli, R. B. Greegor, K. Li, B. E. C. Koltenbah,

and M. Tanielian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 107401 (2003).

- [6] D.R. Smith, et.al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 1506 (2003).
- [7] N. Fang, et.al., Science **308**, 534 (2005).
- [8] D. O. S. Melville and R. J. Blaikie, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 23, 461 (2006).
- [9] J. T. Shen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 3286 (2002).
- [10] E. Shamonina, VA Kalinin, KH Ringhofer and L. Soly-

mar, Electron. Lett. 37, 1243 (2001).[11] F. Goos and H. Hänchen, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 1, 333

(1947); K. Artmann, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) $\mathbf{2},\,87$ (1948).