

Comment to Manipulating the polarization of laser beams by an external magnetic field, arXiv:physics/0603175v1 21 Mar 2006

R. Battesti*, B. Pinto Da Souza†, C. Rizzo‡, C. Robilliard‡, J. Vigué†

August 6, 2018

The basic assumption of the authors of manuscript arXiv:physics/0603175v1 21 Mar 2006 is that a laser wave differs from a plane wave because of photon coherence. This is not true and therefore their conclusion is also wrong.

The authors claim that a laser wave is a coherent state of photons with momentum \mathbf{k} and polarization λ , while a plane wave is not. Actually, a plane wave is a coherent state which coherence length l_c is infinite, where $l_c = c/\Delta\nu$, c being the light velocity and $\Delta\nu$ the spectral width of the wave packet. Obviously $\Delta\nu$ is zero for a plane wave.

Thus the $\kappa^{(1)}(\omega)$ of equation 10 does not represent the absorption rate for a plane wave but simply the absorption rate for a single incident photon. Therefore equation 10 simply states that the total absorption rate is proportional to the number of photons, which is trivial. The enhancement of the absorption rate of a laser beam compared to that of a single photon has thus nothing to do with quantum coherence. The same announcement can be made for a plane wave having the same mean number of photons.

Moreover, the authors claim that their calculations may explain for instance the results of the PVLAS collaboration (See ref. [2] of the original manuscript), but they do not explain how they figured it out. Let us make the calculation: according to the authors, the ellipticity and apparent dichroism should be enhanced by a factor

$$\bar{N} \simeq \frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{\hbar\omega} S l_c,$$

where $E \sim 1$ kV/m corresponds to a power of 100 mW, $\hbar\omega \sim 2 \times 10^{-19}$ J corresponds to a wavelength of 1.06 μm , $S \sim 10^{-6}$ m² the waist of the beam and $l_c = c/\Delta\nu \sim 10^6$ m of the coherence length of the cavity.

As a result, the enhancement factor should be at least on the order of $\bar{N} \sim 10^{13}$, i.e. 9 orders of magnitude larger than what was indeed observed!

*Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Pulsés, CNRS/INSA/UPS, UMR 5147, 31432 Toulouse, France.

†IRSAMC, Laboratoire Collisions Agrégats Réactivité, UPS/CNRS, UMR 5589, 31062 Toulouse, France.