

A relativistic study of Bessel beams

S. Hacyan and R. Jáuregui

October 22, 2018

*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apdo. Postal 20-364, México D. F. 01000, Mexico.*

We present a fully relativistic analysis of Bessel beams revealing some noteworthy features that are not explicit in the standard description. It is shown that there is a reference frame in which the field takes a particularly simple form, the wave appearing to rotate in circles. The concepts of polarization and angular momentum for Bessel beams is also reanalyzed.

PACS:42.50.Vk, 32.80.Lg

Keyword: Bessel, angular momentum of light

Bessel beams are solutions of Maxwell's equations with important properties: they propagate with an intensity pattern that remains invariant along a given axis [1], and carry an angular momentum that is not due to their polarization state [2]. Their experimental realization in laboratories has attracted much attention in recent years [3].

The aim of the present paper is to study the general properties of Bessel beams within a fully relativistic framework. We show that, contrary to the case of a plane wave, there is a privileged reference frame in which the linear propagation is eliminated and the wave propagates circularly. This particular frame corresponds, in a certain sense, to an *antiparaxial* limit. A general implication is that Maxwell's equations admit as solutions electromagnetic waves that propagate in circles.

Our starting point is the definition of a Bessel electromagnetic wave in terms of Hertz potentials Π_1 and Π_2 [4]. In cylindrical coordinates $\{\rho, \phi, z\}$, the electromagnetic potentials are given by [5]

$$\Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Pi_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \Pi_2, -\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Pi_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_1 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

(in units with $c = 1$), and both Hertz potentials Π_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy the equation:

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Pi_i + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Pi_i \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \Pi_i + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \Pi_i = 0. \quad (3)$$

Any solution of this equation regular at the origin can be written as a linear combination of the functions

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{\mathcal{B}_K}{k_\perp^2} J_m(k_\perp \rho) \exp\{-i\omega t + ik_z z + im\phi\}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\Pi_2 = \frac{\mathcal{E}_K}{k_\perp^2} J_m(k_\perp \rho) \exp\{-i\omega t + ik_z z + im\phi\}, \quad (5)$$

where J_m is the Bessel function of order m , \mathcal{E}_K and \mathcal{B}_K are constants, and $k_\perp = \sqrt{\omega^2 - k_z^2}$ is the transverse wave-number; here and in the following, the set of numbers $\{k_\perp, m, k_z\}$ will be denoted with the generic symbol K .

An electromagnetic mode is associated to each Hertz potential, giving rise to transverse magnetic (TM) and electric (TE) modes respectively. Using a Cartesian basis, it follows that the most general superposition of these waves is given by [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_K = \frac{1}{2k_\perp} e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z} & \left[(\omega \mathcal{E}_K + ik_z \mathcal{B}_K) J_{m-1}(k_\perp \rho) e^{i(m-1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \right. \\ & + (\omega \mathcal{E}_K - ik_z \mathcal{B}_K) J_{m+1}(k_\perp \rho) e^{i(m+1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \left. \right] \\ & + e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z} \mathcal{B}_K J_m(k_\perp \rho) e^{im\phi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_K = \frac{1}{2k_\perp} e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z} & \left[(ik_z \mathcal{E}_K - \omega \mathcal{B}_K) J_{m-1}(k_\perp \rho) e^{i(m-1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \right. \\ & - (ik_z \mathcal{E}_K + \omega \mathcal{B}_K) J_{m+1}(k_\perp \rho) e^{i(m+1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \left. \right] \\ & + e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z} \mathcal{E}_K J_m(k_\perp \rho) e^{im\phi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Clearly the electric and magnetic fields are interchanged under a duality transformation $\mathcal{B}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_K$ and $\mathcal{E}_K \rightarrow -\mathcal{B}_K$. A compact way to write the above expressions is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_K \pm i\mathbf{B}_K = (\mathcal{E}_K \mp i\mathcal{B}_K) e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z} & \left[\frac{\omega \mp k_z}{2k_\perp} J_{m-1} e^{i(m-1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\omega \pm k_z}{2k_\perp} J_{m+1} e^{i(m+1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \pm iJ_m e^{im\phi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(here and in the following, the argument of all Bessel functions is $k_\perp \rho$).

The complex Lorentz invariant of the electromagnetic field follows from the above expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E}_K + i\mathbf{B}_K) \cdot (\mathbf{E}_K^* + i\mathbf{B}_K^*) = \\ \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}_K - i\mathcal{B}_K) (\mathcal{E}_K^* - i\mathcal{B}_K^*) \left(|J_{m+1}|^2 + |J_{m-1}|^2 - 2|J_m|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} (\Re \mathbf{E}_K)^2 - (\Re \mathbf{B}_K)^2 + 2i(\Re \mathbf{E}_K) \cdot (\Re \mathbf{B}_K) = \\ \frac{1}{4} \left[(\mathcal{E}_K - i\mathcal{B}_K) e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z + im\phi} + (\mathcal{E}_K^* - i\mathcal{B}_K^*) e^{i\omega t - ik_z z - im\phi} \right]^2 (J_{m+1} J_{m-1} - J_m^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{E}_K - i\mathcal{B}_K)(\mathcal{E}_K^* - i\mathcal{B}_K^*)(J_{m+1} - J_{m-1})^2, \quad (10)$$

where $\Re\mathbf{E}$ and $\Re\mathbf{B}$ are the real parts of the electric and magnetic fields, that is, the physically measurable quantities. Notice that, unlike the case of a plane wave, the Lorentz invariant $\Re\mathbf{E}_K \cdot \Re\mathbf{B}_K$ can be different from zero. From Maxwell equations, this implies that

$$\Re\mathbf{E}_K \cdot \nabla \times \Re\mathbf{E}_K \neq 0 \quad (11)$$

for monochromatic waves. A similar expression follows for the magnetic field. Eq. (11) can be satisfied only if there is no scalar field $g(\mathbf{r})$ such that $\nabla \times (g\Re\mathbf{E}_K) = 0$. Thus the rotational behavior of the electric and magnetic field cannot be removed continuously: this is a manifestation of the fact that Bessel beams have optical vortices.

There are two particularly important superpositions of Bessel modes: the so-called right and left polarized states, given by the conditions

$$\omega\mathcal{E}_K \pm ik_z\mathcal{B}_K = 0, \quad (12)$$

and the states for which

$$\mathcal{E}_K \pm i\mathcal{B}_K = 0. \quad (13)$$

The first condition corresponds to a wave whose electric field has components along either $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$ or $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$, and its z component is negligible in the paraxial approximation; however, the corresponding magnetic field in this case has a rather complicated structure. The second definition appears more naturally within a quantum optical framework: it corresponds to a basis of orthonormal modes in which the operators for the energy, the helicity, and the z -components of linear and orbital angular momentum are all simultaneously diagonal [5]. Eqs. (12) or Eqs. (13) are equivalent in the paraxial approximation.

For circularly polarized beams in the sense of Eq. (13), the complex Lorentz invariant vanishes, in close analogy with a standard plane wave of arbitrary polarization, while the Lorentz invariant given by Eq. (10) takes a simple form but it is not strictly zero. This means that the *temporal averages* of $|\Re\mathbf{E}_K|^2 - |\Re\mathbf{B}_K|^2$ and $\Re\mathbf{E}_K \cdot \Re\mathbf{B}_K$ are zero, although the corresponding values at a given time do not vanish.

At this point, we notice that there is a particular reference frame in which TE and TM Bessel modes take a simpler form. This is the frame moving

along the z axis with velocity $v = k_z/\omega$ and Lorentz factor $\gamma = \omega/k_\perp$. The standard Lorentz transformation to this new frame is

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= \frac{1}{k_\perp}(\omega t - k_z z) \\ z' &= \frac{1}{k_\perp}(\omega z - k_z t), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and the same transformation holds for the t and z components of the potential A^μ . Thus the transformed electromagnetic field does not depend on the coordinate z' , since $k'_z = 0$. Changing to this frame is equivalent to taking an *antiparaxial* limit. If the mode satisfies the paraxial approximation in the laboratory frame, the above Lorentz transformation involves an ultra relativistic velocity, $v \sim 1$.

A direct calculation shows that the scalar potential $\Phi = 0$ in the new frame, and therefore the Coulomb gauge is satisfied directly. As for the vector potential, it takes the form:

$$\mathbf{A}' = -\frac{i}{k_\perp}(\mathcal{E}_K \mathbf{u}_K + \mathcal{B}_K \mathbf{v}_K), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_K &= \left[\frac{m}{k_\perp \rho} J_m \mathbf{e}_\rho + i J'_m \mathbf{e}_\phi \right] e^{-ik_\perp t' + im\phi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[J_{m-1} e^{i(m-1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) + J_{m+1} e^{i(m+1)\phi} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \right] e^{-ik_\perp t'} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_K = J_m e^{-ik_\perp t' + im\phi} \mathbf{e}_z. \quad (17)$$

The electric and magnetic fields are

$$\mathbf{E}' = \mathcal{E}_K \mathbf{u}_K + \mathcal{B}_K \mathbf{v}_K \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}' = -\mathcal{B}_K \mathbf{u}_K + \mathcal{E}_K \mathbf{v}_K. \quad (19)$$

In the antiparaxial reference frame, the magnetic (electric) field of a transverse electric (magnetic) mode is parallel to the z axis.

The standard definition, Eq. (12), of right and left polarization implemented in this frame leads to $\mathcal{E}_K = 0$ because $k'_z = 0$. That is, the ‘‘circularly

polarized beam” becomes a TM mode whose electric field points in the z direction. On the other hand the definition Eq. (13) leads to an electric field with a projection in the XY plane that is a superposition of two opposite circular vectors with amplitudes proportional to $J_{m\mp 1}$, while the z component of the field is proportional to J_m and has a relative phase $\pm\pi/2$.

In Fig. (1), we illustrate the electric and magnetic fields in the plane perpendicular to the z axis, as seen in the antiparaxial frame, for a circularly polarized mode in the sense of Eq. (13). Notice that, as previously mentioned, $\Re\mathbf{E}$ and $\Re\mathbf{B}$ are not perpendicular in general. Edge phase dislocations, saddle points and vortices [7] are present. Some of these structures are formed around the zeros of J_m and J'_m . In Fig. (2), the intensity patterns $|\Re\mathbf{E}|^2$, $|\Re\mathbf{B}|^2$ and their sum are illustrated. Notice the complementary space distribution of the electric and magnetic fields.

As for the dynamical properties of the field, a noteworthy feature in the new frame is that the z component of the Poynting vector is

$$\left(\Re\mathbf{E}'_K \times \Re\mathbf{B}'_K\right)_z = \frac{i}{8}(\mathcal{E}_K\mathcal{B}_K^* - \mathcal{B}_K\mathcal{E}_K^*)(J_{m-1}^2 - J_{m+1}^2), \quad (20)$$

so that it vanishes for pure TE or TM modes, as expected from the fact that $k'_z = 0$. On the other hand, the local flux of energy for circularly polarized modes in the sense of Eq. (13) is different from zero even in this frame. Nevertheless, the integral over whole space of $(\Re\mathbf{E}'_K \times \Re\mathbf{B}'_K)_z$ is zero since

$$\int_0^\infty [J_{m+1}^2(k_\perp\rho) - J_{m-1}^2(k_\perp\rho)]\rho d\rho = \frac{4m}{k_\perp} \int_0^\infty J'_m(k_\perp\rho)J_m(k_\perp\rho)d\rho = 0. \quad (21)$$

Let us now turn our attention to the angular momentum. Its total density is defined as

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (22)$$

and it is known that in the Coulomb gauge, up to a surface term, it can be decomposed into the sum of the so-called *orbital* angular momentum density [5, 8]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{r} \times \nabla)\mathbf{A}_i \quad (23)$$

and the *spin* angular momentum density

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (24)$$

The above equations can be obtained in a relativistically covariant form. Since the conservation of angular momentum is related to a rotational symmetry, it is natural to use the Killing vector k^α associated to rotations around the z axis. This vector is defined as $k^\alpha \partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial\phi$, and has the standard property $\nabla_\alpha k_\beta = -\nabla_\beta k_\alpha$, where ∇_α is the covariant derivative (see, e. g., Weinberg [9]). In cartesian coordinates ($x^\mu, \mu = 0$ to 3):

$$k^\alpha = (0, -y, x, 0) \quad , \quad \nabla_\alpha k_\beta = \epsilon_{0\alpha\beta 3} \quad . \quad (25)$$

Using the energy-momentum tensor for the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}$:

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[F^{\alpha\mu} F^\beta{}_\mu - \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} (F^{\lambda\mu} F_{\lambda\mu}) \right], \quad (26)$$

it follows that the condition $\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ implies that the four-vector $J^\alpha \equiv k_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}$ is conserved, that is: $\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = 0$. Thus, using the fact that $F_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha A_\beta - \nabla_\beta A_\alpha$ and $\nabla_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, it turns out that J^α can be written as:

$$J^\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[F^{\alpha\mu} k^\nu \nabla_\nu A_\mu + F^{\alpha\mu} A^\nu \nabla_\mu k_\nu - \nabla_\mu (F^{\alpha\mu} k^\nu A_\nu) - \frac{1}{4} k^\alpha (F^{\lambda\mu} F_{\lambda\mu}) \right]. \quad (27)$$

Since J^α has zero divergence, the integral over a three-dimensional hypersurface with normal unit four-vector n_α and volume element dV ,

$$J \equiv \int dV n_\alpha J^\alpha, \quad (28)$$

is independent of the particular choice of the hypersurface and thus is a conserved quantity. In particular, choosing such hypersurface as $t = \text{constant}$, which implies that $n_\alpha = (1, \mathbf{0})$, it follows that

$$n_\alpha J^\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[F^{0m} k^\nu \nabla_\nu A_m + F^{0\mu} A^\nu \nabla_\mu k_\nu - \nabla_m (F^{0m} k^\nu A_\nu) \right]. \quad (29)$$

We readily identify the first term in this equation as the orbital angular momentum density, given by Eq. (23) and the second term as the spin density, Eq. (24). As for the third term, its volume integral involves a three-dimensional divergence and can be taken as zero if the field vanishes at infinity. Thus, we have recovered the standard formulas (23) and (24) in a covariant form. The dependence of the reference frame appears through the choice of time-like unit vector n_α .

Applying Eq. (23) and taking only the real parts of the complex fields, it turns out that in the laboratory frame

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{L}}_z^K &= \frac{m}{16\pi\omega k_\perp^2} \left\{ (\omega^2 |\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + k_z^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) (J_{m-1}^2 + J_{m+1}^2) \right. \\
&+ 2 \left[\omega^2 |\mathcal{E}_K|^2 \cos 2(\varphi + \varphi_E) + k_z^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2 \cos 2(\varphi + \varphi_B) \right] J_{m-1} J_{m+1} \\
&+ 2k_z \omega |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \sin(\varphi_B - \varphi_E) (J_{m-1}^2 - J_{m+1}^2) \\
&\left. + 2k_\perp^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2 [1 + \cos 2(\varphi + \varphi_B)] J_m^2 \right\}, \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi = m\phi + k_z z - \omega t$, and φ_E and φ_B are the phases of the complex amplitudes \mathcal{E}_K and \mathcal{B}_K . The density $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_z^K$ averaged over a cycle is accordingly

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{L}}_z^K &= \frac{m}{16\pi\omega k_\perp^2} \left[(\omega^2 |\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + k_z^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) (J_{m-1}^2 + J_{m+1}^2) \right. \\
&+ 2k_z \omega |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \sin(\varphi_B - \varphi_E) (J_{m-1}^2 - J_{m+1}^2) \\
&\left. + 2k_\perp^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2 J_m^2 \right], \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

which is non null for all kinds of polarization and is proportional to the azimuthal number m as expected. As for the spin density of the electromagnetic field, it follows from the previous equations that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_z^K &= \frac{1}{16\pi\omega k_\perp^2} \left[(\omega^2 |\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + k_z^2 |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) (J_{m+1}^2 - J_{m-1}^2) \right. \\
&\left. + 2k_z \omega |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \sin(\varphi_B - \varphi_E) (J_{m+1}^2 + J_{m-1}^2) \right]. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, *in the antiparaxial frame* a purely transverse magnetic mode has null \mathcal{S}_z^K , while any other cylindrical mode, such as a circularly polarized wave, has a “spin” density in the z -direction. Notice, however, that the integral of the z component of the Poynting vector, Eq. (20), and the integral of \mathcal{S}_z^K over the whole space are zero in this frame. This result is consistent with the interpretation of the integral of \mathcal{S}_z^K as a helicity operator. As for the sum of the orbital and spin angular momentum densities averaged over a cycle, it turns out to be

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}}_z^K = \frac{1}{16\pi k_\perp} \left\{ |\mathcal{E}_K|^2 \left[(m-1) J_{m-1}^2 + (m+1) J_{m+1}^2 \right] + |\mathcal{B}_K|^2 m J_m^2 \right\} \tag{33}$$

in the antiparaxial frame.

An alternative definition of the orbital and spin angular momentum densities that has the advantage of being gauge independent has been proposed

by Barnett[10], who defined the time-averaged z-component of the orbital angular momentum flux (for complex electric \mathbf{E} and magnetic \mathbf{B}) as

$$M_{zz}^{ORB} = \frac{1}{16\pi\omega} \Re \left[-i \left(-B_x^* \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial \phi} + E_y \frac{\partial B_x^*}{\partial \phi} - E_x \frac{\partial B_y^*}{\partial \phi} + B_y^* \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial \phi} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

and the spin flux as

$$M_{zz}^{SPIN} = \frac{1}{8\pi\omega} \Re \left[-i (E_x B_x^* + E_y B_y^*) \right]. \quad (35)$$

Applying these formulas to a Bessel mode we find that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{zz}^{ORB} = & - \frac{k_z}{16\pi k_\perp^2} (|\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) [(m-1)J_{m-1}^2 + (m+1)J_{m+1}^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{16\pi\omega} [2(k_z/k_\perp)^2 + 1] |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \cdot \\ & \cdot \sin(\varphi_E - \varphi_B) [(m-1)J_{m-1}^2 - (m+1)J_{m+1}^2], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{zz}^{SPIN} = & \frac{k_z}{16\pi k_\perp^2} (|\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) (J_{m-1}^2 - J_{m+1}^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{16\pi\omega} [2(k_z/k_\perp)^2 + 1] |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \\ & \cdot \sin(\varphi_E - \varphi_B) (J_{m-1}^2 + J_{m+1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Thus, according to this definition, the total density of angular momentum averaged over a cycle is

$$\begin{aligned} M_{zz} & = M_{zz}^{ORB} + M_{zz}^{SPIN} \\ & = -\frac{mk_z}{16\pi k_\perp^2} (|\mathcal{E}_K|^2 + |\mathcal{B}_K|^2) (J_{m-1}^2 + J_{m+1}^2) \\ & \quad + \frac{m}{16\pi\omega} [2(k_z/k_\perp)^2 + 1] |\mathcal{E}_K| |\mathcal{B}_K| \sin(\varphi_E - \varphi_B) (J_{m-1}^2 - J_{m+1}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

As a consequence, *in the antiparaxial frame*, both M_{zz}^{ORB} and M_{zz}^{SPIN} vanish for pure TE or TM modes, but not for circular modes. This apparent inconsistency may be due to the fact that the total M_{zz} transforms as $M_{zz} \rightarrow M_{zz} + \gamma v J_z$ under a Lorentz boost along the z axis, unlike J_z which is

invariant, so that its value depends on a given reference frame moving along the beam.

In conclusion, a relativistic analysis reveals the existence of a particular moving frame in which Bessel beams have a simpler form. In practice, this may be a frame moving at relativistic speed. However, since we are dealing with exact solutions of Maxwell's equations, some invariants can be easily calculated and the covariance of variables such as the angular momentum densities can be studied. Although the definitions of the so called orbital and angular momentum densities have some ambiguities, it must be expected that these quantities do not change qualitatively, or even vanish, by a Lorentz transformation. Actually, we have shown that there are important cancellations in the antiparaxial limit. Thus it seems more appropriate to interpret the standard angular momentum density \mathcal{S}_z^K as the helicity density, in accordance with our discussions in a previous paper[5]. Moreover, the orbital angular momentum is expected to be finite in the antiparaxial frame if it is different to zero in any other frame, but that is not the case when the expression of M_{zz}^{ORB} is applied to TE or TM modes. It is also clear from our analysis that the definition of polarization which is used for plane waves cannot be applied unambiguously to a Bessel beam. One must be careful in defining what is meant by polarized states: in fact, we have shown two different definitions leading to different properties. As a further application of the present relativistic analysis, we will study the motion of charged particles in the field of Bessel beams in a forthcoming paper.

References



- [1] Durnin J 1987 *J. Opt. Soc Am. A* **4** 651.
- [2] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992); S. J. van Enk and G. Nienhuis, *Opt. Comm.* **94**, 147 (1992); Z. Bouchal and M. Olivik, *J. Mod. Opt.* **42**, 1555 (1995); R. Horák, Z. Bouchal, and J. Bajer, *Opt. Comm.* **133**, 315 (1997); L. Allen, M. J. Padgett, and M. Babiker, *Prog. Opt.* **39**, 291 (1999).
- [3] J. Durnin, J. J. Miceli, and J. H. Eberly *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1499 (1987); J. Turunen, A. Vasara and A. T. Friberg, *Appl. Opt.* **27**, 3959 (1988); R. M. Herman and T. A. Wiggins, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **8**, 932

- (1991); K. Thewes, M. A. Karim, and A. A. Awwal, *Opt. Laser Technol.* **23**, 105 (1991); N. Davidson, A. A. Friesen, and E. Hasman, *Opt. Commun.* **88**, 326 (1992); G. Scott and M. McArdle, *Opt. Eng.* **31**, 2640 (1992); J. A. Davis, J. Guertin, and D. M. Cottrell, *Appl. Opt.* **32**, 6368 (1993); J. Arlt and K. Dholakia, *Opt. Commun.* **177**, 297 (2000).
- [4] A. Nisbet, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **231**, 250 (1955); **240**, 375 (1957).
- [5] R. Jáuregui and S. Hacyan, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 033411 (2005).
- [6] In the notation of Ref. [5], $\mathcal{B}_K = (k_\perp/k_z)E_K^{TM}$ and $\mathcal{E}_K = (k_\perp/k_z)E_K^{TE}$.
- [7] J. F. Nye and M. V. Berry, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 336 (1974).
- [8] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, U.S.A., 1995).
- [9] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and cosmology: Principles and applications of the general theory of relativity* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972); chapter 13.
- [10] S. M. Barnett, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4**, S7 (2002).

1 Figures captions

Figure 1. Magnetic and electric fields in the plane perpendicular to the z axis, as seen in the antiparaxial frame, for a circularly polarized mode [defined by Eq. (13)] with $m = 2$.

Figure 2. Intensity patterns $|\Re\mathbf{E}|^2$, $|\Re\mathbf{B}|^2$ and their sum, as seen in the antiparaxial frame, for a circularly polarized mode [defined by Eq. (13)] with $m = 2$.



This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/0601048v1>