

A Method Based on a Nonlinear Generalized Heisenberg Algebra to Study the Molecular Vibrational Spectrum

J. de Souza⁽¹⁾

N. M. Oliveira-Neto^(1,2)

C.I. Ribeiro-Silva⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,
22290-180, Rio de Janeiro - RJ

⁽²⁾Universidade Federal de Viçosa,
Departamento de Física, 36570-000, MG, Brazil

Abstract

We propose a method, based on a Generalized Heisenberg Algebra (GHA), to reproduce the anharmonic spectrum of diatomic molecules. The theoretical spectrum generated by GHA allows us to fit the experimental data and to obtain the dissociation energy for the carbon monoxide molecule. Our outcomes are more accurate than the standard models used to study molecular vibrations, namely the Morse and the q -oscillator models and comparable to the perturbed Morse model proposed by Huffaker [1], for the first experimental levels. The dissociation energy obtained here is more accurate than all previous models.

Keywords: molecular vibrational spectrum; Heisenberg algebra; quantum-group.

1 Introduction

Vibrational spectroscopy has a fundamental role in molecular physics and its applications extend to other fields like astronomy [2], biology [3] and earth and environmental sciences [4]. Vibrational molecular analysis provides important informations on the structure of the molecules. Recently, the development of the powerful experimental techniques which allow the study of highly excited vibrational states [5, 6]. In Born-Oppenheimer approximation, electronic, rotational and vibrational quantum states [3] can be considered separately and molecular vibrations can be approximately described by the harmonic oscillator [7]. However, this model fails to describe the highest molecular vibrational modes and consequently to provide the correct dissociation energies. One of the first attempts to improve these approximations is due to Morse [8] who introduced a simple potential which allows to solve exactly the Schrödinger Equation (SE) and provides a reasonable approximation to the spectrum of diatomic molecules, including an upper bound which lacks in the harmonic oscillator potential. Later on, many other approximations based on the use of Morse-like, Kratzer-like or modified versions of these or other potential functions have been introduced in order to obtain better fittings with experimental data [9, 10, 11, 12] and for evaluating dissociation energies [13, 14].

Although *ab initio* methods have shown to be able to provide good approximations for the spectra of many molecules, some authors have pointed out some of their limitations. Angelova *et al.* [15], noted that the Morse potential contains only quadratic corrections and one needs to use the empirical Dunham expansion to fit the highest vibrational energies. Iachello and Levine [16], remarked that the solution of the SE is very difficult in the case of two- and three- dimensions and, at this point, algebraic methods could bring some advantages. Problems related to the dissociation energy estimation and also a algebraic energy method to calculate it, were described by Sun *et al.* [17]. Nevertheless, besides the facts above mentioned, there are still some molecules whose potentials deviate strongly from Morse-like potentials [18].

The algebraic approach to the study of molecular vibrational and rotational spectra was pioneered by F. Iachello in [19] (for a recent review see [20]). The method has been proved to be useful for calculating accurately highly excited vibrational levels and for describing the whole spectrum of complex molecules, while maintaining its simplicity in cases where the use of

ab initio methods are not feasible in practice [5, 21, 22, 23].

Deformed Heisenberg Algebras (DHA or q -oscillators) have attracted considerable attention since they have been proposed [24, 25], due mainly to their potential physical applications [26] (see ref. [27] for a review). They are non-trivial generalizations of the Heisenberg Algebras characterized by the deformation parameter q . It is interesting to notice that for some values of the q -deformation parameter, namely for $0 < q < 1$, the energy spectrum associated with the Deformed Heisenberg Algebras presents an upper bound, as in fact occurs in systems like composite particles [28], as for instance, diatomic molecules [15, 29, 30, 31]. Within this formalism q -oscillators are used as a model of anharmonic oscillators, and the value of q is chosen to reproduce experimental molecular vibrational spectra. When compared with other methods, the DHA approach requires only a few parameters, allows analytical expressions and fits well the first experimental levels. However, as it will become clear later, it does not provide always the correct dissociation energy because it may fail to fit the highest vibrational levels. Recently, many authors have discussed the physical interpretation of this parameter [32, 33, 34, 35] but this analysis is out of the scope of this work.

Recently, a Generalized Heisenberg Algebra (GHA), where the commutation relations among the operators depend on a characteristic function of the generalized number operator has been proposed [36]. If the characteristic function is linear, with slope q^2 , the algebra corresponds to the q -oscillator algebra. In the present work we introduce and implement a nonlinear GHA which is able to describe typical features of the vibrational molecular spectrum of the carbon monoxide molecule (CO). The spectrum generated by this nonlinear GHA (nl-GHA) allows us to fit the 20 first vibrational transitions and to obtain the correct dissociation energy, providing better global results than the methods above mentioned.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we discuss the solution of the Schroedinger Equation (SE) with Morse potential. In section 3 we introduce the GHA method. In section 4 we apply our GHA model to the CO molecule. Finally, section 5 is devoted to discussions and conclusions.

2 Morse Potential for Diatomic Molecules: Vibrational Levels

Let us now turn our attention to one of the most familiar quantum descriptions of diatomic molecules. For low energy levels, the molecular potential can be approximated by the harmonic potential, whereas for high energy this approximation breaks down. In fact, the higher vibrational transitions exhibit a certain degree of anharmonicity. Thus, to take into account anharmonic terms and rotational effects, nonlinear terms must be introduced in the energy expression [3]:

$$E_{\nu,J} = \sum_{l,m} Y_{l,m} \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^l [J(J+1)]^m, \quad (1)$$

where ν and J are the vibrational and the rotational quantum numbers, respectively. The above expression was obtained by Dunham, using the WKB method [1].

An analytical solution for the SE, which corresponds to the second order truncation of the equation (1) with $J_0 = 0$, was obtained by Morse [8] using the so-called Morse potential (see Figure 1):

$$V(r) = De^{-2a(r-r_0)} - 2De^{-a(r-r_0)}, \quad (2)$$

where a is a characteristic constant of each molecule, r is the nuclear distance, r_0 is the equilibrium position and D is the dissociation energy. The solution of the SE for this potential gives the following expression for the vibrational energy

$$\epsilon_n = -D + h\omega \left[\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \chi_e \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right], \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}), \quad (3)$$

where χ_e is a positive constant called the anharmonicity coefficient, ω is the fundamental frequency, ν is the vibrational quantum number and $k = \frac{1}{\chi_e} > 1$. The anharmonicity coefficient is related to the dissociation energy by,

$$\chi_e = \frac{h\omega}{4D}. \quad (4)$$

The energy levels obtained agree reasonably well with experimental data for many molecules [3]. The maximum allowed value of n in equation (3) is

such that the SE solution remains finite. One can also show that this value is given by

$$\nu_{max} = \frac{1}{2\chi_e} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Hence, there is maximum energy value $\epsilon_{\nu_{max}}$ beyond which the system is not bounded.

In order to improve the Morse results, several perturbative methods, based on the Morse or other analytical or numerical potential, have been proposed. Huffaker [1], used a perturbed Morse model to calculate the first terms of the Dunham expansion (1) for the CO molecule. He used a potential given by following expression,

$$V(r) = V_e[(1 - e^{-aq})^2 + b_4(1 - e^{-aq})^4 + b_5(1 - e^{-aq})^5 + \dots]. \quad (6)$$

Using 28 spectral lines of the CO molecule [37], he calculated 8 parameters of the potential curve given by equation (7). This model is good when compared with the model obtained via RKR method by Mantz *et al.* [37]. If one ignores the rotational degree of freedom ($l = 0$), the model has 7 parameters.

3 The Generalized Heisenberg Algebra

In [36] Curado e Rego-Monteiro proposed an algebra called GHA which is generated by three operators J_0 , A and A^\dagger , satisfying the following relations:

$$J_0 A^\dagger = A^\dagger f(J_0), \quad (7)$$

$$A J_0 = f(J_0) A, \quad (8)$$

$$[A, A^\dagger] = f(J_0) - J_0, \quad (9)$$

where \dagger is the Hermitian conjugate, $(A^\dagger)^\dagger = A$, $J_0^\dagger = J_0$ and $f(J_0)$ is an analytical function of J_0 , called the characteristic function. Assuming the existence of a vacuum state represented by $|0\rangle$ ($A|0\rangle \equiv 0$), it can be shown that

$$J_0 |m-1\rangle = f^{m-1}(\epsilon_0) |m-1\rangle, m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

$$A^\dagger |m-1\rangle = M_{m-1} |m\rangle, \quad (11)$$

$$A |m\rangle = M_{m-1} |m-1\rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $M_{m-1}^2 = f^m(\epsilon_0) - \epsilon_0$, ϵ_0 is the lowest J_0 eigenvalue and $f^m(\epsilon_0)$ is the m -th iteration of the function f . This algebra describes a class of Heisenberg-like algebras of quantum systems, having energy eigenvalues given by

$$\epsilon_n = f(\epsilon_{n-1}), \quad (13)$$

where ϵ_n and ϵ_{n-1} are two successive eigenvalues and are related with the energy for the system [38]. Therefore, the J_0 eigenvalues can be obtained iteratively and they can be upper bounded or not, depending on the characteristic function, the values of the function parameters and the initial value ϵ_0 . For each kind of function, the values of the parameters and the initial values determine the existence or not of the fixed points, $\epsilon^* = f(\epsilon^*)$ [39], and their stability. As a consequence, different spectra are obtained for different functions and the eigenvalues behavior can be analyzed using dynamical systems techniques. For the linear case, $f(J_0) = qJ_0 + s$, the equations (7-9) become

$$[J_0, A^\dagger]_q = s A^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

$$[J_0, A]_{q^{-1}} = -\frac{s}{q} A, \quad (15)$$

$$[A, A^\dagger] = (q-1)J_0 + s, \quad (16)$$

where $[a, b]_q \equiv ab - qba$ is the q -commutation of the operators a and b . Equations (14-16) describe the one-parameter GHA studied in [36] and can be mapped into the q -oscillator algebra. If $q = 1$, the standard Heisenberg algebra is recovered.

A graphical analysis of the functions $f(\epsilon) = q\epsilon + s$ and $y = \epsilon$ is shown in Figure 2. The intersection between the two lines is identified as the fixed point of the recurrence equation $\epsilon_n = q\epsilon_{n-1} + s$. The most interesting cases are obtained for $0 < q < 1$ and $\epsilon_0 < \frac{s}{1-q}$, since under these conditions the energy spectrum has an upper bound $\epsilon^* = \frac{s}{1-q}$, as observed in the spectra of bounded systems, as for instance diatomic molecules [5, 15, 27].

4 GHA and Vibrational Molecular Spectrum

4.1 Linear case - (q -oscillator)

We will now study the spectrum generated by the linear GHA mentioned above, which correspond to the q -oscillator algebra. To study the vibra-

tional spectrum of diatomic molecules via GHA formalism, we use a result developed in [39, 40]. Let us start with the general Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega(c_1AA^\dagger + c_2A^\dagger A + c_3), \quad (17)$$

where A and A^\dagger obey the relations (7-9) and c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are real numbers. Choosing $c_1 = c_2 = 1$ and $c_3 = 0$, and using the equations (10-12) we get [39]

$$H = \hbar\omega(f(J_0) + J_0 - 2\epsilon_0). \quad (18)$$

Therefore, for the linear case $f(J_0) = qJ_0 + s$ ($q < 1$) we have:

$$H = \hbar\omega((q+1)J_0 + s - 2\epsilon_0). \quad (19)$$

Applying the Hamiltonian (19) on the eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$ of J_0 (with $\hbar = 1$) we obtain $H|\nu\rangle = E_\nu|\nu\rangle$, where the energy eigenvalues are given by

$$E_\nu = \omega[(q+1)f^\nu(\epsilon_0) + s - 2\epsilon_0], \quad (20)$$

and $f^\nu(\epsilon_0) = q^\nu\epsilon_0 + s\frac{q^\nu-1}{q-1}$. After some algebra we obtain

$$E_\nu = \omega(M_q - L_qq^{\nu+1/2}), \quad (21)$$

with $L_q = \frac{1+q}{q^{1/2}}\left(\epsilon_0 - \frac{s}{1-q}\right) = \frac{1+q}{q^{1/2}}(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon^*)$ and $M_q = 2\left(\frac{s}{1-q} - \epsilon_0\right) = 2(\epsilon^* - \epsilon_0)$.

The maximum energy ($\nu \rightarrow \infty$) for this system is given by $E_\infty = \omega M_q = 2\omega(\epsilon^* - \epsilon_0)$, and the dissociation energy is then

$$D_q \equiv E_\infty - E_0 = \omega(1+q)(\epsilon^* - \epsilon_0). \quad (22)$$

The q -parameter can be interpreted as being related to the anharmonicity constant, $\chi_e = -\frac{1}{2}\tau$, where $q = e^\tau$ [34].

We will now apply the previous results to the carbon monoxide molecule spectrum and compare it with both experimental data and the spectra obtained by using the Morse, the perturbed Morse and the q -oscillator models. We used the data provided by the HITRAN database [41] and chose the lines in which the molecules are in the electronic fundamental state. Also, we selected the lines whose rotational quantum numbers are zero. Thus, there remained the spectral lines which represent the first 21 pure vibrational transitions of the CO molecule.

In order to fit the parameters (q and ϵ_0) to the experimental data, note that the logarithm of the difference between two successive levels of the equation (21), as a function of ν , is a straight line with slope $\ln q$. Taking the logarithm of the difference between two successive data points, we obtain the value of q by evaluating the slope of the curve after a linear regression. The fitted parameters are shown in table (1). The linear GHA spectrum agrees well with the experimental data (see table (3) and figure (3)) mainly in the case of the 20 first vibrational transitions. However, the D value calculated by equation (22) deviate strongly from the experimental value as can be seen in table (2). This means that, although the q -oscillator relative errors ($\Delta E = \left| \frac{E_{theor.} - E_{exp.}}{E_{exp.}} \right|$) are smaller than the relatives error obtained by Morse model, for most of the 20 first vibrational transition (figure (3)), the approach based on the q -oscillator is not able to fit higher frequency vibrational levels.

4.2 Nonlinear case

As we have seen above, the linear GHA (*i.e.* the q -oscillator), is a good model only for the first levels. In order to fit the energy levels and to obtain the correct dissociation energy we were led to use a nonlinear functional $f(x)$ in the GHA approach (7-9). We found that, by using the functional

$$f(x) = p x^4 + q x + 1, \quad (23)$$

it is possible to obtain a good fit with the experimental data and the correct dissociation energy. Due its nonlinearity, the spectrum generated by this nonlinear GHA does not have an analytical closed expression like equation (21). In this case the spectrum can only be calculated numerically.

For the sake of simplicity, we start from the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar w (A^\dagger A + \epsilon_0) = \hbar w J_0, \quad (24)$$

which is derived from Hamiltonian (17) with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 1$ and $c_3 = \epsilon_0$. Replacing $f(J_0)$ given by equation (23) in relations (7-9) and applying H on the eigenstates of J_0 (with $\hbar = 1$) we obtain

$$E_\nu = w f^\nu(\epsilon_0), \quad (25)$$

where $f^\nu = \epsilon_\nu$ is given by:

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = p \epsilon_n^4 + q \epsilon_n + 1. \quad (26)$$

We use the values generated by equation (25) with $f^\nu(\epsilon_0)$ given by equation (26) in order to fit the q , p and ϵ_0 parameters with the experimental data. The dissociation energy is given by

$$D_{GHA} = E_\infty - E_0 = w(\epsilon^* - \epsilon_0), \quad (27)$$

where ϵ^* is the stable fixed point of the recurrence equation (26).

The fitted parameters are shown in table (1). The dissociation energy are shown in table (2). In table (3) we compare the energy levels obtained with the different models referred in this work with experimental data. The relative errors are shown in the figure (3). We can see that the nonlinear GHA with the functional (23) provides better fittings with experimental data than both q -oscillator and Morse models and it is comparable to the Huffaker model [1]. Furthermore, nonlinear GHA provides a more accurate dissociation energy when compared with that obtained in all other methods. This results show that our model is a good method of obtaining the higher anharmonic energy levels of the CO molecule.

As the p parameter is very small (table (1)), if ϵ_0 is also small, the first iterations of the equation (26) are dominated by the linear term. The nonlinear term becomes relevant as the number of iterations increase. The difference between two successive energy levels decreases up to zero as the function (26) is iterated. Because the p parameter is negative, the difference between two successive energy levels tends to zero. Thus, the nonlinear term flats the energy curve faster than in the pure linear and the perturbed Morse cases but slightly slower than the Morse Model, as we can see in the figure (4).

We would like to stress that besides these accurate fittings, the nonlinear GHA gives us an extremely simple way to estimate higher transitions for the CO molecule, just by iterating equation (26) up to the required level.

5 Conclusion and Perspectives

In this work we have proposed a method based on GHA to reproduce the vibrational molecular spectrum of diatomic molecules. For the CO molecule, we have shown that the previous method based on the q -oscillator algebra (linear GHA) reproduce the vibrational molecular spectrum for the 20 first vibrational transitions, but it does not provide the correct dissociation energy, *i.e.*, this model fails when describing higher vibrational levels. Using a nonlinear functional ($4 - th$ order) in the GHA we were able to fit the

experimental energy levels and also to calculate the dissociation energy for the CO molecule with good accuracy. For this molecule the nonlinear GHA has provided better global results than the usual Morse, perturbed Morse models and q -oscillator model. This work shows that GHA can be used as a phenomenological simple tool to study composite particles.

GHA produces different spectra for different characteristic functions. The GHA spectrum could be related to deformations in harmonic potential. Indeed, this algebra could reproduce a variety of spectra once we are able to find the appropriate characteristic function. Consequently, this algebra could be used to study other molecules, simply choosing the appropriate characteristic function. In an even more general fashion, de Souza *et al.* [42] have constructed a more general structure that depends on two functionals. This new algebraic structure can reproduce a even greater variety of spectra allowing us to work with two quantum numbers.

The results obtained in this work motivate us to further explore the relation between the GHA and molecular systems. This investigations may include: 1) the study of the relation between the functional parameters and the molecular parameters; 2) the investigation of the potential curve underlying the GHA and 3) the account of electronic and rotational states.

Acknowledgments

We thank E.M.F. Curado and M.A. Rego-Monteiro for fruitful discussions and remarks and Francisco Tamarit, S.M.D. Queirós, N. Lemke, E.R.P. Delfin, F.D. Nobre and F. Baldovin for manuscript revision. We would also like acknowledge the partial support of CNPq and PRONEX (Brazilian agencies).

References

- [1] J. N. Huffaker, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **64**: 8, 3175-3181 (1976).
- [2] A. Mishra *et al*, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy*, **232** 296-307 (2005).
- [3] Haken, H. and Wolf, H. C. *Molecular Physics And Elements Of Quantum Chemistry: Introduction To Experiments And Theory*, Springer International, Berlin, 1995.
- [4] C. Frankenberg, *et all Science*, **308**: 5724, 1010 - 1014, 2005.

- [5] Meishan Wang, Shiliang Ding, Dongtai Feng, and Haiying Liu, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 022506 (2002).
- [6] E. Kim and S. Yamamoto, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **219** 296-304 (2003).
- [7] Z. Chang and H. Yan, Phys.Rev. A , **44**:(11), 7405-7413, (1991).
- [8] P. M. Morse, Phys. Rev. **34**, (1929).
- [9] Partridge H., Langhoff S. R., J. Chem. Phys. **74** (4): 2361-2371, (1981).
- [10] Dulick M, Zhang KQ, Guo B, et al, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **188** (1): 14-26, (1998).
- [11] Selg M., Physica Scripta, **60** (6): 491-500 (1999).
- [12] Plíva J., Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **193**: 7-14, (1999).
- [13] Sun W. *et all*, Molecular Physics, **103**: 17, 2335-2345, 2005.
- [14] M. Dagher, M. Kobersi and H. Kobeissi, Journal of Computational Chemistry, **16**: 6, 723-728 (1995).
- [15] M.N. Angelova, V.K. Dobrev, 2 and A. Frank, Eur. Phys. J. **D 31**, 27-37 (2004).
- [16] F. Iachello, R. D. Levine J. Chem. Phys., **77**(6), 3046-3055, (1982).
- [17] Sun W.G., Ren W.Y., Hou S.L., Feng H., Molecualr Physics, **103** (17): 2335-2345 (2005).
- [18] Witek HA, Fedorov DG, Hirao K, et al., J. Chem. Phys. **116**(19) 8396-8406, (2002).
- [19] F. Iachello, Chem. Phys. Lett. **78**(3), 581-585,(1981).
- [20] F. Iachello and S. Oss, Eur. Phys. J. D , **19** 307-314 (2002).
- [21] Mi Xie, Xi-Wen Hou, and Zhong-Qi Mab, Chemical Physics Letters **262**:1-2, 1-7, (1996).
- [22] R. Lemus and A. Frank, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **201**: 2, 198-217, (2000).

- [23] Zheng Y., Ding S., Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **201**: 109-115, (2000).
- [24] A.J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, (1989) 4581.
- [25] L.C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, (1989) L873.
- [26] M. Rego-Monteiro, Itzhak Roditi and Ligia M.C.S. Rodrigues, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B 8**, 23,(1994), 3281.
- [27] D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, Prog.Part.Nuc.Phys **43**: 537-618 (1999).
- [28] N.M. Oliveira-Neto, E.M.F. Curado, F.D. Nobre and M.A. Rego-Monteiro, Physica A (**344**): 573-579 (2004).
- [29] D. Bonatsos ,P. P. Raychev, A. Faessler, Chem. Phys Lett. 178 (2-3): 221-226, (1991).
- [30] M. Angelova, Phys. Part. Nucl. **33**: S37-S42 Suppl. 1 (2002)
- [31] Bonatsos D and Daskaloyannis C., Phys. Rev. A 46, 75-80 (1992).
- [32] Spiridonov V., Phys. Rev. Lett.**69**(3): 398-401, (1992).
- [33] D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis and K.D. Kokkotas, Phys.Rev. A , **45**(9), R6153, (1992).
- [34] Bonatsos D and Daskaloyannis C., Chemical Physics Letters, **203**:2-3, 150-156, (1993).
- [35] K.D. Sviratcheva, C. Bahri, A.I. Georgieva, J.P. Draayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**(15): 152501, (2004).
- [36] E.M.F. Curado and M.A. Rego-Monteiro, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, (2001), 3253.
- [37] A. W. Mantz *et all*, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, **39** 180-184 (1971).
- [38] Curado EMF, Rego-Monteiro MA, Nazareno HN, Phys. Rev. A **64** (1): 012105 (2001).

model	parameters	values
Morse	χ_e	0.0062
q -oscillator (linear GHA)	q	0.98646
	ϵ_0	36.98
nonlinear GHA	ϵ_0	0.9235
	q	0.9872
	p	-1.43×10^{-7}
perturbed Morse (Huffaker)	σ	77.21317
	τ	83769.28cm^{-1}
	b_4	0.036067
	b_5	0.017505
	b_6	0.014945
	b_7	0.010770
	b_8	0.008142

Table 1: Values of the parameters used in each model.

- [39] V.B. Bezerra, E.M.F. Curado and M. A. Rego-Monteiro, Phys. Rev. **D 65**: 065020 (2002).
- [40] V.B. Bezerra, E.M.F. Curado and M. A. Rego-Monteiro, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 085013 (2002).
- [41] L.S. Rothman *et al*, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, **82** 5-44 (2003).
- [42] J. de Souza, E.M.F. Curado and M.A. Rego-Monteiro, To be submitted.

model	Dissociation Energy (cm^{-1})
Experimental	89591.35
Morse	86426.44
q -oscillator	158970.48
Perturbed Morse ¹	95394.23
Perturbed Morse ²	95394.23
nonlinear GHA	89987.76

Table 2: Values of the dissociation energy calculated for each model.

transition line	energy (cm^{-1})				
	exp.	Morse	nl-GHA	linear GHA	perturbed Morse ³
1 \rightarrow 0	2143.24	2143.30	2144.56	2152.46	2143.27
2 \rightarrow 1	2116.76	2116.39	2117.10	2123.32	2116.79
3 \rightarrow 2	2090.34	2089.48	2089.99	2094.57	2090.37
4 \rightarrow 3	2064.00	2062.57	2063.19	2066.21	2064.02
5 \rightarrow 4	2037.72	2035.66	2036.68	2038.23	2037.73
6 \rightarrow 5	2011.51	2008.75	2010.44	2010.63	2011.51
7 \rightarrow 6	1985.38	1981.84	1984.43	1983.41	1985.35
8 \rightarrow 7	1959.32	1954.93	1958.62	1956.55	1959.26
9 \rightarrow 8	1933.33	1928.01	1932.97	1930.06	1933.24
10 \rightarrow 9	1907.43	1901.10	1907.43	1903.93	1907.29
11 \rightarrow 10	1881.61	1874.19	1881.99	1878.15	1881.40
12 \rightarrow 11	1855.85	1847.28	1856.58	1852.72	1855.59
13 \rightarrow 12	1830.19	1820.37	1831.18	1827.63	1829.84
14 \rightarrow 13	1804.61	1793.46	1805.74	1802.89	1804.16
15 \rightarrow 14	1779.11	1766.55	1780.23	1778.48	1778.55
16 \rightarrow 15	1753.69	1739.64	1754.61	1754.39	1753.00
17 \rightarrow 16	1728.36	1712.73	1728.85	1730.64	1727.53
18 \rightarrow 17	1703.12	1685.82	1702.90	1707.21	1702.13
19 \rightarrow 18	1677.96	1658.91	1676.75	1684.09	1676.79
20 \rightarrow 19	1652.88	1632.00	1650.37	1661.29	1651.53

Table 3: Vibrational spectrum of the CO molecule. Comparison of the experimental data with the Morse, q -oscillator, perturbed Morse and GHA model. Experimental data from HITRAN database.




Figure 1: Morse (full lines) and harmonic potential (dashed lines) energy levels. The horizontal axis is the relative nuclear position r . Note that the two potential have a minimum value at $r = r_0$ and that the Morse Potential has a dissociation energy D .




Figure 2: Graphical analysis of a linear characteristic function, $f(\epsilon)$ for $0 < q < 1$ with $\epsilon_0 < \frac{s}{1-q}$. Note that, as the function is iterated, the energy value approaches the stable point $\epsilon^* = \frac{s}{1-q}$ (the upper bound). The dissociation energy of the system is proportional to $(\epsilon^* - \epsilon_0)$.




Figure 3: Comparison between the relative errors of the Morse (○), q -oscillator (◇), nonlinear GHA (□) models and perturbed Morse (☆). We can see that the nonlinear GHA errors are smaller (in almost all data points) than the Morse and q -oscillator models.




Figure 4: Normalized and shifted energy spectrum generated by the Morse (\star), q -oscillator (\square) and nonlinear GHA (\cdot) models, perturbed Morse (\diamond) and experimental data ($+$). The dashed line represents the experimental dissociation energy value. We can see that the dissociation energy of the nonlinear GHA lies on the experimental dissociation energy (dashed curve) while the q -oscillator and Morse spectrum are respectively above and below dissociation energy line. Inset figure shows in detail the Morse and the nonlinear GHA energy curves close to dissociation energy line. Note that the Morse curve flats before reaching the experimental dissociation energy while the