

One-dimensional Bose chemistry: effects of non-integrability

V. A. Yurovsky and A. Ben-Reuven

School of Chemistry, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

M. Olshanii

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484, USA

(Dated: February 9, 2020)

Three-body collisions of ultracold identical Bose atoms under tight cylindrical confinement are analyzed. A Feshbach resonance in two-body collisions is described by a two-channel zero-range interaction. Elimination of the closed channel in the three-body problem reduces the interaction to a one-channel zero-range one with an energy dependent strength. The related problem with an energy independent strength (the Lieb-Liniger-McGuire model) has an exact solution and forbids all chemical processes, such as three-atom association and diatom dissociation, as well as reflection in atom-diatom collisions. The resonant case is analyzed by a numerical solution of the Faddeev-Lovelace equations. The results demonstrate that as the internal symmetry of the Lieb-Liniger-McGuire model is lifted, the reflection and chemical reactions become allowed and may be observed in experiments.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Nk, 32.80.Pj, 03.75.Nt, 34.50.-s

Ultracold atoms under tight cylindrical confinement can reach the “single-mode”, or quasi-one-dimensional (1D) regime, where only the ground state of transverse motion is significantly populated. Quasi-1D atomic quantum gases have been realized recently in elongated atomic traps (see [1, 2, 3, 4]), two-dimensional optical lattices (see [5, 6, 7]), atomic waveguides (see [8] and references therein), and atomic integrated optics devices (see [9, 10] and references therein). These systems attract increasing attention due to their possible applications to atomic interferometry, quantum measurement, and quantum computation.

Properties of quasi-1D systems are frequently analyzed using the Lieb-Liniger-McGuire (LLMG) model [16, 17] with δ function atom-atom interaction $U_a\delta(z)$, where z is the interatomic distance. The interaction strength U_a has been related in Ref. [11] (being denoted as g_{1D}) to the three-dimensional (3D) elastic scattering length a_{3D} and the waveguide transverse frequency ω_\perp . The LLMG model has an exact Bethe-ansatz solution [16, 17] expressed as the superposition of plane waves with all possible permutations of the asymptotic momenta p_j , one per each atom. Therefore, the atoms can exchange their momenta, but the asymptotic momentum set $\{p_j\}$ remains unchanged.

If the interatomic interaction is attractive ($U_a < 0$), the atoms can form a two-body (2B) bound state (diatom), described by complex asymptotic momenta $p \pm i\kappa$, where $\kappa = -\frac{m}{2}U_a$ serves as a reciprocal diatom size and m is the atomic mass. However, a three-body (3B) association is forbidden in the LLMG model, as it corresponds to a change of two real momenta in the set $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ for a three-atom system by complex ones in the set $\{-p, p/2 + i\kappa, p/2 - i\kappa\}$. The dissociation and reflection in atom-diatom collisions are forbidden by the

same reason (the last process corresponds to a transition $\{-p, p/2 + i\kappa, p/2 - i\kappa\} \rightarrow \{p, -p/2 + i\kappa, -p/2 - i\kappa\}$). Therefore, all chemical processes, i. e., atom-diatom transitions are forbidden in the LLMG model.

The integrability of the LLMG model results from its high internal symmetry. This symmetry can be violated in real physical systems, e. g., when Feshbach resonance is used to tune the elastic scattering length. The effect of Feshbach resonance appears in 2B scattering when the collision energy of a pair of atoms in an open channel is close to the energy of a bound state (resonant molecule) in a closed channel (see Ref. [14]). Two-body Feshbach resonance collisions in atomic waveguides have been analyzed in Ref. [15]. The results demonstrate that when the collision energy is low enough compared to the trap frequency, the problem can be treated as essentially 1D, but with an energy-dependent zero-range interaction. The present work demonstrates that a Feshbach resonance leads to non-integrability of few-body problems, allowing for chemical processes (association and dissociation), as well as atom-diatom reflection.

The approach used in Ref. [15] for two-atom scattering leads in a 3B problem to a system of two coupled equations for the wavefunctions in the momentum representation, $\varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ and $\varphi_1(q_1, q_m)$, associated with the three-atom and atom-molecule channels, respectively. Using a system of units in which $\hbar = 1$, the equations are

$$E\varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^3 q_j^2 \varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3) + \frac{1}{2\pi} U_a \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3 q' \delta(q'_j - q_j) \delta(Q - Q') \varphi_0(q'_1, q'_2, q'_3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (3\pi)^{-1/2} g^* \sum_{j=1}^3 \varphi_1(q_j, Q - q_j) \quad (1) \\
E\varphi_1(q_1, q_m) & = \left(\frac{q_1^2}{2m} + \frac{q_m^2}{4m} + D_{1D} \right) \varphi_1(q_1, q_m) \\
& + \left(\frac{3}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} g \int dq_3 \varphi_0(q_1, q_m - q_3, q_3). \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Here q_j are the momenta of the atoms, q_m is the momentum of the resonant molecule, and $Q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ is the center-of-mass momentum. The parameters of the 1D problem — the atom-atom interaction strength U_a , the atom-molecule coupling strength g , and the detuning between the atomic and molecular states D_{1D} — can be expressed in terms of 3D resonance scattering parameters (see Ref. [15]). The indistinguishability of the bosonic atoms leads to the symmetry of the wavefunction $\varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ over permutation of the atomic momenta. The energy E is counted from the threshold of the three-atom channel.

Equation (2) neglects the interaction associated with collisions between the resonant molecule and the third atom. It allows a simple elimination of the atom-molecule channel function φ_1 . As a result, the three-atom channel wavefunction obeys the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
E\varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3) & = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^3 q_j^2 \varphi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3) \\
& + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{\text{eff}}(q_j) \int d^3q' \delta(q'_j - q_j) \delta(Q - Q') \varphi_0(q'_1, q'_2, q'_3), \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

involving an effective atom-atom interaction strength

$$U_{\text{eff}}(q) = U_a + \frac{2|g|^2}{E + i0 - D_{1D} - 3q^2/(4m)}. \quad (4)$$

This strength appears to be the same function of the collision momentum as in the 2B problem (see Ref. [15]), but here the collision energy of two atoms is expressed in terms of the total energy E and the third atom momentum q due to momentum and energy conservation (letting $Q = 0$ in the center-of-mass system used here and below).

For a momentum dependent U_{eff} the internal symmetry of the LLMG model is broken, and a resonant case does not allow a Bethe-ansatz solution. This case is analyzed here by a numerical solution of the Faddeev-Lovelace equations. Using the conventional Faddeev reduction method (see Ref. [18]), one obtains a 1D integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}
X(p, p_0) & = 2Z(p, p_0) + \frac{m^2}{2\kappa^3} \int dq Z(p, q) T_{1D}(q) X(q, p_0) \\
Z(p, q) & = \frac{2\kappa^3}{\pi m} \frac{1}{mE + i0 - p^2 - pq - q^2} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

for the symmetric transition amplitude $X(p, p_0)$. Here the 2B scattering amplitude $T_{1D}(q)$, corresponding to the momentum-dependent $U_{\text{eff}}(q)$, has the form (see Ref. [15])

$$T_{1D}(q) = U_{\text{eff}}(q) \left[1 + \frac{i}{2} m U_{\text{eff}}(q) \left(mE - \frac{3}{4} q^2 + i0 \right)^{-1/2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Equations similar to Eq. (5) have been used in Refs. [19, 20] for non-resonant 1D problems.

The poles of $T_{1D}(q)$ at $q = \pm 2\sqrt{m(E - E_b)}/3$ correspond to 2B bound states (diatoms) with the binding energy $E_b = \kappa^2/m$. The reciprocal diatom size κ here and in Eq. (5) is a solution of the cubic equation (see Refs. [15, 21])

$$\kappa^3 + \frac{m}{2} U_a \kappa^2 + m D_{1D} \kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 D_{1D} U_a - m^2 |g|^2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

These states are superpositions of the closed and open channels. The probability to find the diatom in the open channel is $W_0 = (\kappa^2 + m D_{1D}) / (3\kappa^2 + m U_a \kappa + m D_{1D})$. This probability varies from 1 far off resonance to $\frac{1}{3}$ in resonance for $U_a = 0$.

In the numerical solution of Eq. (5) the transition amplitude is expressed in terms of odd and even amplitudes $X_{\pm}(p, p_0) = X(p, p_0) \pm X(-p, p_0)$, $p > 0$, that satisfy uncoupled equations. The contributions of the poles of $T_{1D}(q)$ are separated from the Cauchy principal-value integrals as in Ref. [20]. At $E > 0$, when chemical processes are allowed, the function $Z(q, p)$ has singularities on the real axis too. Unlike the 3D case with logarithmic singularities, in the 1D case the singularities are simple poles and are treated in a similar way as the poles of $T_{1D}(q)$.

The reflection, transmission, and dissociation probabilities in the collision of an atom with momentum p_0 and a diatom with momentum $-p_0$ can be expressed in terms of the amplitude $X(p, p_0)$ as, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\text{ref}}(p_0) & = \left| \frac{4\pi m}{3p_0} W_0 X(-p_0, p_0) \right|^2 \\
P_{\text{tran}}(p_0) & = \left| 1 + i \frac{4\pi m}{3p_0} W_0 X(p_0, p_0) \right|^2 \quad (8) \\
P_{\text{diss}} & = 1 - P_{\text{ref}} - P_{\text{tran}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the factor W_0 describes the open-channel fraction in the incoming and outgoing waves. The results can be conventionally expressed in terms of dimensionless parameters: the non-resonant interaction strength $u = m^{1/3}|g|^{-2/3}U_a$, the collision energy $\epsilon_2 = 3p_0^2/(4mD_0)$, and the detuning $b = D_{1D}/D_0$, where an energy scale $D_0 = m^{1/3}|g|^{4/3}$ is used.

Consider an association of three atoms with momenta p_1, p_2 , and p_3 ($p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 0$ in the center-of-mass system). The momenta of the resulting atom and diatom




FIG. 1: Probabilities of reflection (solid line) and dissociation (dashed line) in atom-diatom collisions calculated for $b = 0$ and $u = 0$ as functions of the dimensionless collision energy ϵ_2 . The scaled rate coefficient of 3B association $K_3 m/\hbar$, calculated as a function of the three-atom energy ϵ_3 , is presented by the dot-dashed ($u = 0, b = 0, \theta = \pi/6$) and dot-dot-dot-dashed ($u = 1.6, b = 1, \theta = \pi/10$) lines.

$\pm p_*$ are determined by energy conservation, as

$$E = \frac{1}{2m} (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) = \frac{3}{4m} p_*^2 - E_b. \quad (9)$$

The association rate coefficient is given by

$$K_3(p_1, p_2, p_2) = \frac{2\pi^2 m^3}{27\kappa^3 p_*} W_0 \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^3 T_{1D}(p_j) X(p_j, p_*) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 T_{1D}(p_j) X(p_j, -p_*) \right|^2 \right] \quad (10)$$

Except for the dimensionless three-atom collision energy $\epsilon_3 = E/D_0$, the rate coefficient depends on the energy distribution between the three atoms. This distribution can be parameterized by an angle $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/6$, such that $p_1 = \sqrt{3mE/4} \cos \theta$, $p_{2,3} = \sqrt{3mE/4} \cos(\theta \pm 2\pi/3)$. At $\theta = 0$ one of the momenta attains the maximal possible value $p_1 = \sqrt{3mE/4}$, while $p_{2,3} = -p_1/2$. A symmetric configuration ($p_1 = -p_2 = \sqrt{mE}$, $p_3 = 0$) corresponds to $\theta = \pi/6$. The association rate coefficient is plotted below in units of \hbar/m .

The calculated probabilities and rate coefficient are presented in Fig. 1 as functions of the corresponding dimensionless collision energies. The results demonstrate total reflection for slow collisions. Similar reflection behavior has been obtained in Ref. [20] for a case of non-identical atoms with energy-independent interaction strengths. The dissociation appears above the threshold, where $3p_0^2/(4m) > E_b$ (or $\epsilon_2 > 1$ for the conditions in Fig. 1). The 3B association rate attains its maximum at a collision energy ϵ_3 ranging between 2 and 4 (depending on u, b , and θ), and decreases to zero for slow collisions. These processes vanish in fast collisions, when the interactions become small perturbations. The dependence of




FIG. 2: The scaled rate coefficient of 3B association $K_3 m/\hbar$ calculated as a function of θ for $\epsilon_3 = 2.4, u = 0, b = 0$ (solid line), $\epsilon_3 = 4.5, b = 1, u = 1.6$ (dashed line), and $\epsilon_3 = 4.5, b = 1, u = -2$ (dot-dashed line).




FIG. 3: Probabilities of reflection (solid line) and dissociation (dashed line) in atom-diatom collisions calculated for the fixed dimensionless collision energy $\epsilon_2 = 3.6$ and the detuning $b = 0$ as functions of the dimensionless detuning b . The scaled rate coefficient of 3B association $K_3 m/\hbar$ is presented by the dot-dashed ($u = 0, \epsilon_3 = 2.4, \theta = \pi/6$) and dot-dot-dot-dashed ($u = 1.6, \epsilon_3 = 4.5, \theta = \pi/10$) lines.

the association rate on the energy distribution between the atoms behaves differently for various u and b values (see Fig. 2). No association occurs at $\theta = 0$ when two atoms have equal momenta.

Given a fixed collision energy, the reflection, dissociation, and association persist over a detuning interval (see Fig. 3). The oscillating behavior of the probabilities results from interference of the odd and even amplitudes $X_{\pm}(p, p_0)$, which are analogs of partial waves in 3D scattering. However, unlike the 3D case, where only the s -wave contributes to low-energy scattering due to a centrifugal barrier, in the 1D case both odd and even amplitudes have to be taken into account at all energies. All chemical processes, as well as reflection, vanish at large detunings, when the energy dependence of the interaction strength U_{eff} becomes negligible [see Eq. (4)],




FIG. 4: Probabilities of reflection (solid line) and dissociation (dashed line) in atom-diatom collisions calculated for fixed dimensionless collision energy $\epsilon_2 = 3.6$ and detuning $b = 0$ as functions of the dimensionless non-resonant interaction strength u . The scaled rate coefficient of 3B association $K_3 m/\hbar$ is presented by the dot-dashed ($b = 0$, $\epsilon_3 = 2.4$, $\theta = \pi/6$) and dot-dot-dot-dashed ($b = 1$, $\epsilon_3 = 4.5$, $\theta = \pi/10$) lines.

restoring integrability of LLMG model. For a repulsive non-resonant interaction ($U_a > 0$) the bound state exists while $D_{1D} < 2|g|^2/U_a$ [see Eq. (7)], or while $b < 2/u$. As $b \rightarrow 2/u$ the bound state becomes more shallow, leading to a drastic increase of the association rate. The dependence on the non-resonant interaction strength (see Fig. 3) demonstrates a similar behavior: a drastic increase of the association rate near the threshold at $u = 2/b$, and a decrease of all the rates at large absolute values of u due to the restoration of integrability.

Thus, a substantial association rate can be observed for collision energies ranging over several units D_0 , which must lie below the transverse frequency ω_\perp , in order to conserve the quasi-1D behavior of the system. This condition is satisfied for relatively weak resonances $\mu\Delta < \omega_\perp a_\perp/a_{3D}$ and small detunings $\mu(B - B_0 - \Delta) - \omega_\perp < \sqrt{\omega_\perp \mu\Delta a_\perp/a_{3D}}$, when the relations between the 1D and 3D scattering parameters of Ref. [15] are applicable. These relations lead to expressions for the energy unit,

$$D_0 = m^{1/3} (\omega_\perp a_{3D} \mu \Delta)^{2/3} \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_\perp}\right)^{-4/3},$$

and the dimensionless parameters

$$u = \left(\frac{8m\omega_\perp^2 a_{3D}^2}{\mu\Delta}\right)^{1/3} \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_\perp}\right)^{-1/3}$$

$$b = \frac{1}{D_0} \left[\mu(B - B_0) - \omega_\perp + C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_\perp} \mu\Delta \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_\perp}\right)^{-1} \right].$$

Here Δ is the phenomenological resonance strength, μ is the difference between the magnetic momenta of an atomic pair in the open and closed channels, $B - B_0$ is the detuning of the external magnetic field B from

its resonant value B_0 , $a_\perp = [2/(m\omega_\perp)]^{1/2}$ is the transverse harmonic oscillator length, and $C \approx 1.4603$ (see Ref. [11]). For example, in a waveguide with the transverse frequency $\omega_\perp = 50 \times 2\pi$ KHz, collisions remain quasi-1D for the collision energy less than $2.4\mu\text{K}$. In this case $D_0 = 0.27\mu\text{K}$, $u = 0.22$, $dB/db = 1.1$ mG, and $\hbar/m = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm²/s for the Na resonance at 853 G; $D_0 = 0.48\mu\text{K}$, $u = 0.53$, $dB/db = 0.52$ mG, and $\hbar/m = 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ cm²/s for the ⁸⁷Rb resonance at 685 G; and $D_0 = 0.35\mu\text{K}$, $u = 1.7$, $dB/db = 9.3$ mG, and $\hbar/m = 4.5 \times 10^{-6}$ cm²/s for the Cs resonance at 20 G.

The high internal symmetry of the LLMG model can be also violated due to virtual transverse mode excitation even if the interaction of free atoms is non-resonant. This effect has been considered in Ref. [22] for 3B collisions in atomic waveguides and in Ref. [23] for atom-soliton collisions. It can be also analyzed using the present approach, since a confinement-induced resonance can be represented as a kind of Feshbach resonance (see Ref. [12]). The scattering amplitude in an atomic waveguide (see Eq. (6.9) in Ref. [13]) can be approximated by Eq. (6) with the proper choice of the parameters g , D_{1D} , and U_a . However, now the problem contains the single energy scale, ω_\perp , and the energy unit D_0 will have the same order. As a result, the association and dissociation rates are negligibly small in the quasi-1D regime and the only evidence of nonintegrability remains the atom-diatom reflection, in agreement with Refs. [22, 23]. A narrow Feshbach resonance can provide richer physical phenomena. In addition to association, dissociation, and reflection the nonintegrability can lead to a change of the asymptotic momentum set in three-atom elastic collisions.

In conclusion, the 3B problem with two-channel 2B interactions can be reduced to a single-channel case with an energy-dependent interaction strength. The energy dependence violates the high internal symmetry of the LLMG model, allowing chemical reactions. Reflection becomes the dominant output channel of atom-diatom low-energy collisions, while dissociation appears above a threshold. The 3B association should be observable in experiments.

-
- [1] A. Görlitz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** 130402 (2001).
 - [2] K. Strecker *et al.*, Nature **417**, 150 (2002).
 - [3] L. Khaykovich *et al.*, Science **296**, 1290 (2002).
 - [4] S. Richard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** 010405 (2003); M. Hugbart *et al.*, physics/0501456.
 - [5] M. Greiner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 160405 (2001); H. Moritz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 210401 (2005).
 - [6] T. Kinoshita *et al.*, Science **305**, 1125 (2004).
 - [7] C. D. Fertig *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 120403 (2005).
 - [8] A. E. Leanhardt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 040401 (2002).
 - [9] R. Folman *et al.*, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **8**, 263 (2002).
 - [10] Y. Shin *et al.*, cond-mat/0506464.

- [11] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998).
- [12] T. Bergeman, M. Moore, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 163201 (2003).
- [13] M. Moore, T. Bergeman, and M. Olshanii, J. Phys. (Paris) IV **116**, 69 (2004).
- [14] E. Timmermans, P. Tommasini, M. Hussein, and A. Kerman, Phys. Rep. **315**, 199 (1999).
- [15] V. A. Yurovsky, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 012709 (2005).
- [16] E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605 (1963).
- [17] J. B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 622 (1964).
- [18] W. Glockle, *The Quantum Mechanical Few-Body Problem* (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [19] L. R. Dodd, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 207 (1970); C. K. Majumdar, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 705 (1972).
- [20] L. R. Dodd, Aust. J. Phys. **25**, 507 (1972).
- [21] K. V. Kheruntsyan and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2488 (1998).
- [22] C. Mora, R. Egger, and A. O. Gogolin, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 052705 (2005).
- [23] S. Sinha, A. Yu. Cherny, D. Kovrizhin, and J. Brand, cond-mat/0506060.