

A conclusive demonstration of vibrational pumping and determination of SERS cross sections

R. C. Maher^{1,*} P. G. Etchegoin^{2,†} E. C. Le Ru^{2,‡} and L. F. Cohen¹

¹*The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom and*

²*The MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology
School of Chemical and Physical Sciences
Victoria University of Wellington
PO Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand
(Dated: September 16, 2018)*

We provide conclusive demonstration of vibrational pumping under Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) conditions by performing anti-Stokes/Stokes ratio measurements down to 10 K using dried silver colloids, the dye rhodamine 6G and 676 nm laser excitation. The method we propose allows the measurement of the SERS cross sections for different modes and the determination of the asymmetry between the anti-Stokes and Stokes SERS cross sections.

PACS numbers: 78.67.-n, 78.20.Bh, 78.67.Bf, 73.20.Mf

After its discovery in the 1970's, Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) became a subject of intense research up to the mid-1980's with varied applications[1]. A resurgence in interest occurred after two independent reports [2, 3] in 1997 on the observation of single molecule SERS, with potential advantages over fluorescence-based techniques. In addition, the possibility of observing vibrational pumping via SERS was proposed in 1996[4]. This proposal has been much debated for almost a decade in the literature[5] but a clear-cut confirmation has remained elusive. Its importance lies not only in fundamental aspects of molecular spectroscopy but also in the potential of the technique for metrology applications and the determination of SERS cross sections[4].

In this letter we provide conclusive evidence for vibrational pumping in SERS. A brief historical account of the subject is beneficial at this stage for the forthcoming discussion.

Consider a single vibrational level in a molecule at temperature T . A laser with intensity I_L [W/cm²] creates occupation of the level through pumping with a rate proportional to both the Raman-Stokes cross section σ_S [cm²] and the intensity. The population of the level is in part also determined by the thermal equilibrium condition defined by the molecular temperature T . Vibrations remain in a specific level for a finite lifetime τ [s], which takes into account all the possible relaxation mechanisms starting from that level, either through intramolecular vibrational relaxation IVR (anharmonic processes) or external relaxation mechanisms. The rate equation for the

average phonon population n of the vibrational mode is:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{\sigma_S I_L}{\hbar\omega_L} + \frac{n_B(\hbar\omega_v/k_B T)}{\tau} - \frac{n}{\tau}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hbar\omega_L$ and $\hbar\omega_v$ [J] are the energies of the laser and vibration, respectively, and $n_B(\hbar\omega_v/k_B T)$ is the Boltzmann factor for that vibration. The second term corresponds to thermal excitation and has been adjusted so that in the steady state ($dn/dt = 0$) $n = n_B(\hbar\omega_v/k_B T)$ when $I_L = 0$. When $I_L \neq 0$:

$$n = \frac{\tau\sigma_S I_L}{\hbar\omega_L} + e^{-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T}, \quad (2)$$

where the first and second terms account for vibrational pumping and thermal effects, respectively. This expression can be justified more rigorously[5]. This is the weak pumping regime where vibrational pumping might compete with the thermal population of the level but n remains small. The Stokes Raman signal is independent of n and it is simply $I_S = N\sigma_S I_L$, where N is the number of molecules. In contrast, the anti-Stokes signal does depend on n and it is given by: $I_{aS} = nN\sigma_{aS} I_L$. The anti-Stokes/Stokes ratio (ρ) of a specific vibration is then:

$$\rho = I_{aS}/I_S = \frac{\sigma_{aS}}{\sigma_S} n = A \left[\frac{\tau\sigma_S I_L}{\hbar\omega_L} + e^{-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T} \right], \quad (3)$$

where $A \equiv \sigma_{aS}/\sigma_S$ is the *asymmetry parameter* taking into account that in SERS we have $\sigma_{aS} \neq \sigma_S$ in general, due to the presence of underlying frequency-dependent plasmon resonances[6]. If the first term (pumping) in Eq. (2) dominates over the second (Boltzmann factor), this scenario produces a Stokes signal $\propto I_L$, an anti-Stokes one $\propto I_L^2$, whilst ρ is linear in I_L . Moreover, the slope of ρ vs. I_L provides $A\tau\sigma_S/\hbar\omega_L$. If A is known or estimated, and if a judicious estimation of τ can be made, the SERS

*Electronic address: robert.maher@imperial.ac.uk

†Electronic address: Pablo.Etchegoin@vuw.ac.nz

‡Electronic address: Eric.LeRu@vuw.ac.nz

Stokes cross section can then be gained. This description led to a series of claims on the order of magnitude of the SERS cross sections[4]. The quadratic dependence of I_{aS} on I_L at room temperature was the origin of the original claim on SERS pumping[4].

The evidence for SERS pumping has been much resisted in the literature[7]. There have been entire publications dedicated to reproducing these experiments under similar conditions without successfully confirming the results[7, 8]. The picture has remained inconclusive, accordingly, and has been attributed to a mixture of causes from sample variability to underlying plasmon resonances and laser heating. For example the power dependence of ρ can be explained purely by heating effects[8]. If the first term is negligible compared to the second one in Eq. (3) (normal situation) then $\rho \propto e^{-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T}$. If the laser causes local heating, the molecule is at a higher temperature $T^* = T + \Delta T \equiv T + \beta I_L$. When $\Delta T \ll T$, expanding this expression, we obtain $\rho \propto \exp(\hbar\omega_v \beta I_L / k_B T^2)$; i.e. an exponential or linear dependence on I_L (depending on the value of $\hbar\omega_v \beta / k_B T^2$) can be expected. These dependencies have indeed been observed[9], and they can easily be confused with pumping.

The solution to this problem comes from SERS measurements at temperatures much lower than any reported previously[10, 11]. The quantity $\tau\sigma_S I_L / \hbar\omega_L$ is normally $\ll 1$ and it is completely negligible under normal Raman scattering conditions. Under SERS conditions, this quantity is still small but can become comparable to $\exp(-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T)$ if I_L is sufficiently high[4], in which case heating effects can complicate the interpretation, or if T is sufficiently small. There is then a crossover from a anti-Stokes/Stokes ratio dominated by the thermal population ($\rho \propto \exp(-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T)$) to one dominated by pumping ($\rho \propto \tau\sigma_S I_L / \hbar\omega_L$). This crossover is easily observed in the following experiments at temperatures in the range $\sim 10 - 150$ K depending on the analytes and the specific mode under consideration. The temperature at which the crossover happens depends on mode energy due to the rapid variation of the exponential term. This will occur at higher temperatures the larger the Raman shift of the mode, with small variations from one case to another depending on the magnitude of the first term in Eq. (3), which depends on the specific σ_S and τ .

The reason why vibrational pumping is very hard to identify at higher temperatures is because it involves the study of small departures in mode populations from an already existing thermal population in the levels. By going to very low temperatures, departures from zero population in the levels become more evident. Once the crossover for different modes has been reached, it is possible to observe anti-Stokes signals which are not related at all to a thermal population and only depend on the relative σ 's and τ 's. At sufficiently low temperatures, all main Raman peaks become independent of T on the anti-Stokes side and have relative intensities which are not related to a Boltzmann factor. For example, at 10 K, we can observe modes with $\hbar\omega_v \sim 1500 - 1600$ cm $^{-1}$

with a measurable intensity in a few seconds on the anti-Stokes side (the Boltzmann factor for these modes at 10 K is $\sim 10^{-100}$) while modes with smaller energies are much weaker in intensity or, in some cases, barely visible. The breakdown of relative intensities and the non-Boltzmann distribution of signals on the anti-Stokes side are both confirmation of SERS pumping. It can also be said that each mode has an effective temperature T_{eff} which is different for each mode, thus showing explicitly the breakdown of internal thermal equilibrium of the molecule.

We concentrate now on the experimental evidence for the much studied dye rhodamine 6G (RH6G). Silver colloids were produced as described in Ref.[12]. SERS samples were prepared to a final 1 μ M concentration of RH6G in a 50%-50% solution of colloids and 20 mM KCl. The sample was then dried onto a Si substrate and mounted on a closed-cycle He-cryostat (CTI-cryogenics) with temperature control in the range 10-300 K. Raman measurements have been performed for several laser lines of a Kr $^+$ and Ar $^+$ -ion lasers; we shall concentrate here only on a subset of these measurements to prove the point we raised. The laser was focused to a 20 μ m spot in diameter and the signal was collected by a high-numerical aperture photographic zoom lens (Canon, $\times 10$ magnification) through the quartz window of the cryostat and onto the entrance slit of a high-dispersion double-additive U1000 Jobin-Yvon spectrometer coupled to a N $_2$ -cooled CCD detector. The wider spot area compared to those used in Raman microscopy has the triple advantage of: (i) reducing photobleaching to a negligible level; (ii) reducing any indirect laser heating effect; and (iii) improving the averaging over clusters geometries.

Figure 1 shows anti-Stokes/Stokes spectra for two strong Raman modes widely separated in energy (610 and 1650 cm $^{-1}$, respectively) for 676 nm laser excitation (Kr $^+$ -ion laser, $I_L = 1.6 \cdot 10^8$ W/m 2) at 3 different temperatures. At 300 K the peaks show the normal anti-Stokes/Stokes ratio (except for the asymmetry factor A which is a small correction compared to the effect of the exponential in (3)). The Stokes side remains relatively constant throughout the measurement as can be seen in the figure. At $T = 150$ K an anomalous relative intensity between the two peaks on the anti-Stokes side can already be seen while the anti-Stokes signal of the 610 cm $^{-1}$ mode has decreased (as expected by the lower temperature) the one for the 1650 cm $^{-1}$ mode still remains visible. This is because, at this T , the pumping term already dominates (1 st term in Eq. (3)) while the former is still responding to temperature changes (2 nd term in Eq. (3)), as we shall show in the next figure. At $T = 10$ K, the anti-Stokes signals of both peaks are almost comparable in size. The Boltzmann factors for these two peaks at 10 K are $\sim 10^{-38}$ (610 cm $^{-1}$) and $\sim 10^{-100}$ (1650 cm $^{-1}$); i.e. the only reason why they are still seen is SERS pumping.

Figure 2 shows the experimental anti-Stokes/Stokes ratios ($\ln(\rho)$) for 3 different modes in RH6G as a function of temperature for 676 nm laser excitation. A clear

crossover between the two regimes dominated by the first or second term in Eq. (3) can be seen in the data. The crossover happens at higher temperatures for higher energy modes, as expected. It is convenient to fit the experimental data to:

$$\ln(\rho) = a + \ln \left[b + e^{-\hbar\omega_v/k_B T} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $a = \ln(A)$, and $b = \tau\sigma_S I_L / \hbar\omega_L$. This is then a fit with two parameters, from where $\tau\sigma_S$ can be gained for a known I_L . Fits to Eq. (4) are explicitly shown in Fig. 2. The agreement between theory and experiment is excellent. From here we can obtain $\tau\sigma_S$ and eventually σ_S if the lifetime is estimated.

The need to obtain the relaxation time τ for each level might be seen as a drawback at first, but it is not a fundamental one. For a start, relaxation times could be ultimately determined by invoking a different type of spectroscopy (time resolved) if needed, but even within the present framework they can also be estimated from the FWHM (Γ) of the peaks. The plateaus in Fig. 2 at low temperatures suggest that τ remains constant (within a few %) in this temperature range, in accordance with the almost constant Γ observed. If the broadening is homogeneous[13], τ follows directly from $\tau \sim \hbar/\Gamma$. Molecular vibrations have such strong couplings with other vibrations in the molecule that contributions from inhomogeneous broadenings are negligible. Even in SERS experiments where the single molecule limit is approached[14] (which would be more sensitive to inhomogeneous broadening) the FWHM does not change by more than $1 - 1.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in peaks with a typical Γ of $\sim 15 - 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. An estimation of τ from Γ is expected to be accurate within $\sim 10 - 15 \%$, accordingly. An almost constant τ at low temperatures is also expected from general considerations on anharmonic interactions where Γ is expected to follow $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(2n_{\text{Bose}}(\hbar\omega_{av}/k_B T) + 1)$, with $\hbar\omega_{av}$ being a characteristic energy in the density of states of the molecule. For typical molecular vibrations in organic dyes this can be neglected at low T 's. By taking these considerations into account, we produced the individual SERS cross sections of the 3 modes shown in Fig. 2 in Table I. We believe these to be the most accurate SERS cross sections ever reported in the literature to date.

One interesting consistency check is to reproduce the original method proposed for SERS pumping[4]; i.e. a power dependence of I_S and I_{aS} and compare the values with those obtained from a temperature scan fitted with Eq. (4). This is done in Fig. 3 for the same laser line (676 nm, Kr⁺-ion laser) and one mode (610 cm⁻¹ of RH6G). We can stop at a single temperature where the first term in Eq. (3) dominates (we chose $T = 20 \text{ K}$) and study the anti-Stokes and Stokes intensities as a function of incident power. This is shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b). The anti-Stokes signal is quadratic as a function of incident power and is fitted with $I_{aS} = \gamma I_L^2$. A plot of I_{aS} on a log-log graph (inset of Fig. 3(a)) shows

Rhodamine 6G					
Mode (cm ⁻¹)	σ_S (cm ²)	σ_{aS} (cm ²)	$\tau \sim \hbar/\Gamma$ (ps)	b	T_{eff} (K)
610	$6.0 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-14}$	0.8	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	102
1360	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-14}$	0.5	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	174
1650	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$9.5 \cdot 10^{-14}$	0.32	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	220

TABLE I: SERS cross sections for the modes in Fig. 2 (676 nm laser) with lifetimes estimated from the FWHM of the peaks. σ_{aS} is obtained from σ_S by means of the asymmetry factor A obtained from the fit. The effective mode temperature T_{eff} is obtained from data at 10 K and is mode dependent, thus showing explicitly the breakdown of thermal equilibrium.

indeed that it has a linear slope of 2.1 ± 0.07 thus, showing the quadratic dependence on I_L . The Stokes signal is linear in I_L and fitted to $I_S = \kappa I_L$. From the ratio $\gamma/\kappa = A\sigma_S\tau/(\hbar\omega_L)$ and a knowledge of the asymmetry factor A and the lifetime τ , the Stokes cross section σ_S can be obtained. The asymmetry factor A can be obtained from either a measurement at room temperature (where the second term in Eq. (3) dominates) or by using the A obtained from the temperature scan. From here we obtain $\sigma_S = (7.6 \pm 2.2) \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$, in excellent agreement with the temperature scan $\sigma_S = (6.0 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$. This shows an internal consistency of the method and its interpretation, with SERS cross section being consistent by different approaches. Values obtained at 647 nm (not shown here) are also slightly larger than those at 676 nm, consistent with the idea of an underlying resonance[6].

We have observed similar pumping effects in most of the important modes of other classical SERS probes like crystal violet (CV) and 3,3'-diethyloxadicyanin (DODC). Differences in SERS cross sections for a single analyte (the crossover temperature) can also be seen by changing the excitation to other lines of the Kr⁺-laser (647 nm), or lines of the Ar⁺-laser (514 nm); these results will be reported elsewhere in an extended paper.

We believe our results settle a longstanding debate in the field of SERS. They also establish a new methodology (different from the original proposal of power dependence of the anti-Stokes signals at room temperature) to accurately obtain the SERS cross sections of different modes. For dyes where the normal cross section (σ^n) can be measured for a given laser, i.e. in situations where the normal Raman signal of a concentrated solution can be obtained without interference from fluorescence, this method also provides an accurate determination of the SERS enhancement factor for a specific substrate: $G_{\text{SERS}} \equiv \sigma^{\text{SERS}}/\sigma^n$. We do not doubt that there will be many practical uses of this type of experiments for the determination of SERS cross sections of important analytes/substrates for applications.

PGE and LFC acknowledge support by EPSRC (UK) under grant GR/T06124. RCM acknowledges partial support from NPL (UK) and the hospitality of Victo-

ria University (NZ) where the measurements have been performed.




FIG. 1: Anti-Stokes (left) and Stokes (right) spectra for two widely separated modes of RH6G (610 cm^{-1} and 1650 cm^{-1}) under 676 nm laser excitation. At room T the normal ratio is observed (slightly modified by the asymmetry parameter A). At 150 K the anti-Stokes intensity of the 1650 cm^{-1} mode is already anomalous with respect to the 610 cm^{-1} . At 10 K the anti-Stokes signals of both peaks are comparable in size and only existent because of vibrational pumping. This is equivalent to having both modes with different effective temperatures (Table I).

-
- [1] M. Moskovits, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 783 (1985).
 [2] S. Nie and S. R. Emory, Science **275**, 1102 (1997).
 [3] K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, L. T. Perelman, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari, and M. S. Feld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1667 (1997).
 [4] K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari, and M. S. Feld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2444 (1996).
 [5] E. C. Le Ru and P. G. Etchegoin, Faraday Discussions **132** (in press).
 [6] R. C. Maher, J. Hou, L. F. Cohen, E. C. Le Ru, J. M. Hadfield, J. E. Harvey, P. G. Etchegoin, F. M. Liu, M. Green, R. J. C. Brown and M. J. T. Milton, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 084702 (2005).
 [7] T. L. Haslett, L. Tay, and M. Moskovits J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 1641 (2000).
 [8] A. G. Brolo, A. C. Sanderson, and A. P. Smith, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 045424 (2004).
 [9] R. C. Maher, L. F. Cohen, P. Etchegoin, H. J. N. Hartigan, R. J. C. Brown, and M. J. T. Milton, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 11746 (2004).
 [10] R. C. Maher, L. F. Cohen, E. C. Le Ru and P. G. Etchegoin, Faraday Discussions **132** (in press).
 [11] Y. Maruyama, M. Ishikawa, and M. Futamata, J. Phys. Chem. B **108**, 673 (2004).
 [12] P. C. Lee and D. Meisel, J. Phys. Chem. **86**, 3391 (1982).
 [13] W. Demtröder, *Laser Spectroscopy* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2003).
 [14] E. C. Le Ru, M. Meyer, and P. G. Etchegoin, J. Phys. Chem. B (submitted).




FIG. 2: Anti-Stokes/Stokes ratio ($\ln(\rho)$) as a function of T for three modes in RH6G (676 nm laser excitation). Note that the crossover happens at different temperatures for different modes (vertical arrows), being at higher T 's for larger vibrational energies. The crossover temperature is roughly equivalent to the effective mode temperature T_{eff} . The solid lines are fits using Eq. (4). From the two parameters of the fit, the FWHM of the peaks, and the laser intensity I_L , the SERS cross section can be readily estimated.




FIG. 3: (a) Anti-Stokes signal power dependence at $T=20$ K with the corresponding Stokes intensity in (b). From a quadratic fit of the data in (a) and a linear fit of the data in (b) the SERS cross section can be obtained. The inset in (a) shows the power dependence of I_{aS} on a log-log plot, from where a linear fit with a slope of $\sim 2.1 \pm 0.07$ is obtained, thus showing the expected quadratic behavior. The cross section determined by this method shows an excellent internal consistency with the temperature scan in Fig. 2.