

Stark shift of the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state in ^{174}YbF

P. C. Condylis, J. J. Hudson, M. R. Tarbutt, B. E. Sauer, E. A. Hinds

Centre for Cold Matter, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom

(Dated: August 8, 2021)

We have measured the Stark shift of the $A^2\Pi_{1/2} - X^2\Sigma^+$ transition in YbF. We use a molecular beam triple resonance method, with two laser transitions acting as pump and probe, assisted by an rf transition that tags a single hyperfine transition of the X state. After subtracting the known ground state Stark shift, we obtain a value of $70.3(1.5) \text{ Hz}/(\text{V}/\text{cm})^2$ for the static electric polarizability of the state $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(J = \frac{1}{2}, f)$. From this we calculate a value $\mu_e = 2.46(3) \text{ D}$ for the electric dipole moment of the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v = 0)$ state.

PACS numbers: 33.15.Kr, 33.20.Kf

Although the Yb atom is a lanthanide, its closed shell $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^2$ structure resembles that of an alkaline earth. The gross features of YbF therefore bear a strong similarity to those of CaF, SrF and BaF, but with a large spin-orbit interaction and with some of its excited states perturbed by low-lying open f -shell configurations. Thus the YbF molecule occupies a special position, more complicated than simple alkaline earth fluorides, but simpler than the other lanthanide fluorides. YbF is also significant from a very different point of view. It is an extraordinarily sensitive system in which to detect a permanent electric dipole moment (EDM) of the electron and hence to search for new interactions beyond the standard model of elementary particle physics [1, 2].

Previous studies of the $X^2\Sigma^+$ ground state in YbF [3, 4] give precise values for the spin-rotation and hyperfine constants and for the electric dipole moment $\mu_e = 3.91(4) \text{ D}$. The first electronically excited state is $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ [5, 6]. In this state there is a strong perturbation of the $v = 1$ level by the nearby $[18.6]0.5(v = 0)$, but $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v = 0)$ is relatively unperturbed. This spectroscopy opened the way to a first measurement of the electron EDM using YbF [2]. As part of the improvement towards a second, much more sensitive EDM measurement, we have now determined the electric dipole moment μ_e of the unperturbed $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v = 0)$ state.

Our source of YbF molecules is a molybdenum crucible heated resistively by a tungsten ribbon to $\sim 1500 \text{ K}$ and loaded with four parts by mass of Yb metal pieces to one part aluminum fluoride powder. Reactions within the vapour form YbF molecules, which effuse through a slit in the oven and are collimated by two baffles. This beam propagates in a vacuum chamber, pumped to a base pressure of $\sim 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}$ by two turbo-molecular pumps. In YbF, the electronic ground state $X^2\Sigma^+$ and the first excited state $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ are connected by an optical transition at 553 nm . Two laser beams aligned perpendicular to the molecular beam, the pump and the probe, are used to excite and detect this transition. In the vibrational and rotational ground state $X^2\Sigma^+(v = 0, J = 1/2)$ of the ^{174}YbF isotopomer there are two hyperfine levels, $F = 0$ and $F = 1$, separated by $170.2540(4) \text{ MHz}$ [4]. This structure is due to the magnetic coupling of the spin-rotational angular momentum $J = 1/2$ with the fluorine

nuclear spin of $1/2$. When the pump laser (Coherent 699) is tuned into resonance with one of these hyperfine states, the population of that state is pumped out. The effect is monitored downstream through fluorescence induced by the probe laser (Spectra Physics 380D), which has a fixed frequency tuned to excite the $F = 1$ ground state. When we pump the $F = 1$ molecules the probe signal decreases, whereas $F = 0$ pumping increases the fluorescence because some of these molecules are pumped into the $F = 1$ ground state.

The Stark shift of the $A - X$ transition is observed by applying a uniform electric field in the pump region using rectangular gold-coated aluminium plates 13 mm thick, 70 mm wide and 60 mm long with a spacing of $11.85 \pm 0.02 \text{ mm}$. This capacitor is located $\sim 150 \text{ mm}$ from the source, where the shadow of the molecular beam baffles prevents direct deposition of material from the source onto the field plates. A potential difference of up to 6 kV is applied across the plates. The leakage current is less than 3 nA .

We focus our attention on the $Q(0)$ line of the ^{174}YbF isotopomer because this has a particularly simple Stark effect. However, the observed pump-probe spectra are complicated by nearby lines involving higher rotational states of other isotopomers, primarily $^{172}\text{P}(8)$ and $^{176}\text{P}(9)$ [4], which move through the $^{174}\text{Q}(0)$ spectrum of interest as the electric field is varied. In order to suppress these extraneous lines, we use a 170 MHz rf loop downstream from the capacitor to drive the $F = 0 \leftrightarrow 1$ magnetic hyperfine transition. When switched on and off, this field has no effect on the background lines, but it modulates the lines of interest. A $Q(0)$ spectrum free of backgrounds is therefore obtained by taking the difference ΔI between probe signals with the rf on and off. Figure 1 shows two such difference spectra, one with the static electric field off and the other with it turned on.

In fig. 1(a), the lower graph shows ΔI in thousands of photomultiplier counts per second as the pump laser scans through the $Q(0)$ line in zero electric field. This rf on-off difference rises to nearly $10,000$ counts per second when the pump laser excites the $F = 1$ ground state. This changes to -5000 when the $F = 0$ state is excited, the weaker intensity being due primarily to the smaller statistical weight of the $F = 0$ state. The




FIG. 1: $Q(0)$ and reference cavity spectra. The $Q(0)$ spectra (lower curves) are the difference ΔI between the probe signals in thousands of counts per second with rf on and off. The abscissa is the external control voltage for the pump laser frequency. (a) Zero electric field. (b) With an electric field of 4.1 kV/cm in the pump region.

width of the line is due mainly to power broadening. A small part of the pump beam is split off and transmitted through a confocal optical cavity of free spectral range 151.8 ± 0.2 MHz. The upper trace shows a photodiode signal recording the transmission fringes of this cavity. The line through the reference cavity data points is a fit to the standard Airy function spectrum of a Fabry-Perot resonator, which calibrates the laser scan with sufficient accuracy for our purposes. The drift of the reference cavity is negligible over the duration of the scans. Figure 1(b) shows the shifted molecular spectrum in a field of 4.1 kV/cm, together with the corresponding reference cavity spectrum. Each of the two $Q(0)$ spectra is fitted to the difference of two Lorentzians, whose widths, heights and central frequencies are the fitting parameters. The $F = 0$ and $F = 1$ centres are constrained to differ by the known ground-state hyperfine splitting [4], which does not shift appreciably. The 2.5(7) MHz hyperfine splitting of the upper state [6] is also small enough to neglect.

This experiment has been repeated for several electric field values, with results that are summarized in table I. The first and second columns give the applied electric field and the measured Stark shift of the $A-X$ transition, together with its standard deviation. The third column

TABLE I: Measured Stark shift $\delta f_{A-X} \pm \sigma$ of the $A-X$ transition at six values of the applied electric field. The known ground state shift δf_X and the derived A -state shift δf_A are also given.

Field (kV/cm)	δf_{A-X} (MHz)	δf_X (MHz)	δf_A (MHz)
819	35.4(2.6)	-59.9(0.6)	-24.5(2.6)
1646	142.4(2.9)	-239.5(2.4)	-97.1(3.7)
2473	319.1(3.6)	-532(5.3)	-214(6.4)
3300	543.9(4.0)	-931(9.3)	-387(10)
4127	826.3(5.4)	-1423(14)	-596(15)
4954	1151.5(6.8)	-1997(20)	-846(21)

is the Stark shift of the X state alone, which is known with 1% uncertainty from the spectroscopy of Sauer *et al.* [4]. This shift is almost entirely scalar since a pure $J = 1/2$ state cannot have any tensor polarizability. A hyperfine-induced admixture of higher rotational states does lead to a tensor splitting between the $m_F = 0$ and $m_F = \pm 1$ components of $F = 1$ [4], but this splitting is only 1.1 MHz at the highest field used in our experiment and is therefore too small to be important here. The shifts δf_X given in table I are for the $F = 0$ level, and are the same as those for the centre of gravity of the $F = 1$ multiplet. The fourth column gives the shifts δf_A of the upper state alone, derived from the measured spectral shifts by adding the ground-state shifts. The uncertainty is mainly dominated by uncertainty in the ground-state shifts. Being another $J = 1/2$ state, this upper level also has a simple scalar Stark shift.

Figure 2 shows δf_A versus the square of the electric field, which fits well to the simple quadratic form $\delta f_A = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha E^2$. The error bars show only the measurement error, and not the systematic error from the uncertainty in the ground-state shift. This systematic uncertainty is properly accounted for after the fit. The polarizability thus determined is $\alpha = 70.3(1.5)$ Hz/(V/cm)². From this we can derive the dipole moment of the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v = 0)$ state.

The $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v = 0)$ levels are Λ -doublets, normally designated e and f , of rotational states $J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \text{etc.}$ Their energies are given by the formula [7]

$$W_f^\epsilon = (B - \frac{1}{2}A_D)z - D(z^2 + z - 1) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{z}(p + 2q), \quad (1)$$

in which $z = (J + \frac{1}{2})^2$. For our case of ^{174}YbF the constants [5] are $B = 0.247758(22)$, $A_D = 1.1864(33)10^{-3}$, $D = 2.453(39)10^{-7}$, and $(p + 2q) = -0.39635(13)$, all in cm^{-1} . The odd parity state $|J = \frac{1}{2}, f\rangle$ excited by the $Q(0)$ transition of our experiment is the lowest-lying one in the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ manifold. This state is coupled by the electric dipole interaction $-\boldsymbol{\mu}_e \cdot \mathbf{E}$ to the even-parity states $|J = \frac{1}{2}, e\rangle$ and $|J = \frac{3}{2}, f\rangle$, which lie respectively 11.882 GHz and 16.288 GHz higher. There is no dipole coupling to states of higher J . The corresponding coupling matrix elements [8] are $\frac{1}{3}\mu_e E$ and $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_e E$, leading to a calculated static polarizability of




FIG. 2: Stark shift of the state $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v=0, J=\frac{1}{2}, f)$ versus the square of the electric field. Crosses: data given in table I. Line: fit to a quadratic Stark shift.

$4.5988 \times 10^{-11} \mu_e^2 \text{ Hz}/(\text{V}/\text{cm})^2$. The next nearest states contributing to this polarizability are the strongly mixed $A^2\Pi_{1/2}(v=1)$ and $[18.5]0.5(v=0)$ [6], which are 15 THz away. These make less than a 0.1% contribution and are therefore neglected. Similarly, we neglect contributions from the even more distant $A^2\Pi_{3/2}$ states and from other configurations. The dipole moment μ_e in units of $\text{Hz}/(\text{V}/\text{cm})$ is found by equating this calculated

polarizability with the measured value α . Converting to more conventional Debye units, we obtain the result $\mu_e = 2.46(3) \text{ D}$.

The ground-state electric dipole moments of group IIA monofluorides are reasonably well described by a very simple ionic bonding model [4, 9], in which $\mu_e = \mu_0 - (\mu_M + \mu_F)$. Here $\mu_0 = R_e e$ is due to the charges of the ions (R_e being the internuclear distance) and is directed towards the metal, while μ_M and μ_F in the opposite direction are dipole moments induced in each ion by the other. Using the same model now in the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state of YbF, where $\mu_0 = 9.6 \text{ D}$, our measurement gives $\mu_0 - \mu_e = 7.1 \text{ D}$, which is substantially larger than the X-state value of 5.8 D [4]. It is most natural to associate this with an increase in μ_M due to the larger size of the valence orbital in the A-state, since one would not expect the polarization of the F^- ion to be very different, nor should there be a large contribution from the tightly-bound 4f electrons. In order to check this intuitive picture, Titov and Mosyagin have embarked on an *ab-initio* calculation that has yielded preliminary values of 7.7(8) D and 5.9(6) D for $\mu_0 - \mu_e$ in the A-state and X-state respectively[10]. They can already confirm that the A-state and X-state dipole moments differ mainly in the polarization of the valence electron and by approximately the amount that we observe. A more accurate result is currently being calculated.

We acknowledge support from the UK research councils EPSRC and PPARC and from the Royal Society.

-
- [1] J. M. Pendlebury and E. A. Hinds, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A440**, 472 (2000).
- [2] J.J.Hudson, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt, and E.A. Hinds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 023003 (2002).
- [3] B.E. Sauer, Jun Wang and E.A. Hinds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1554 (1995).
- [4] B. E. Sauer, Jun Wang, and E. A. Hinds, J. Chem. Phys. **105**,7412 (1996).
- [5] K. L. Dunfield, C. Linton, T. E. Carke, J. McBride, A. G. Adam, and J. R. D. Peers, J. Mol. Spectr. **174**, 433 (1995).
- [6] B. E. Sauer, S. B. Cahn, M. G. Kozlov, G. D. Redgrave and E. A. Hinds, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 8424 (1999).
- [7] Eq. (1) of A. G. Adam, A. J. Merer, D. M. Steunenberg, J. Chem. Phys. **92**, 2848 (1990). We have inserted a missing factor of 1/2 in the last term.
- [8] John Brown and Alan Carrington, “Rotational Spectroscopy of Diatomic Molecules” (Cambridge University Press 2003). See esp. eq. (6.320) p.265.
- [9] T. Törring, W. E. Ernst, and S. Kindt, J. Chem. Phys. **81**, 4614 (1984).
- [10] A. Titov and N. Mosyagin, Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Leningrad district 188300, Russia. Private communication.