

A complementary index to quantify an individual's scientific research output

Pablo Diniz Batista, Mônica Guimarães Campiteli, Osame Kinouchi, and Alexandre Souto Martinez*

Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto,

Universidade de São Paulo

Avenida Bandeirantes, 3900

14040-901, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil.

(Dated: December 2, 2024)

To evaluate individual's scientific research output, Hirsch proposed to take into account the number of papers h with at least h citations. This index is robust in several ways but yet strongly dependent on the research field. Here we call the attention that h is correlated to the number of authors in the h papers. Thus, we propose a new index $h' = h/\langle N_a \rangle = h^2/N_a^{(T)}$, where $\langle N_a \rangle = N_a^{(T)}/h$ with $N_a^{(T)}$ is the total number of authors in the considered h papers. The statistics of h (power laws) and h' (stretched exponentials) are shown the Brazilian scientific production in: Physics, Chemistry, Biology and Mathematics. The relative small size of this community enabled us to perform a comprehensive statistics. The complementary index h' is still robust but less sensitive to different research fields. The top ten h and h' of each considered research field are ranked and compared.

PACS numbers: 89.75.-k, 89.65.-s, 89.75.Da, 89.70.+c 89.20.-a

Keywords: Complex systems, Social systems, scaling law, information science, scientometrics

Scientific research output evaluation has attracted new proposals in the recently [1, 2]. In special, Hirsch [1, 3, 4] has proposed a scalar new index h to quantify individual's scientific research output. A researcher with index h has h papers with at least h citations. This index has several advantages, since: (i) it combines productivity constancy with impact, (ii) the necessary data is easy to access in Thompson ISI Web of Science database, (iii) it is robust to outliers, (iv) it is hard to inflate etc. In one hand, this index is related to extremal statistics, which is dominated by (Gumbel) exponential density distributions. On the other hand, this index is very sensitive to the research field, being difficult to compare scientific research output even inside a given discipline. For instance, in general, theoretical and experimental physicists have very different h . Further, since h is an integer number, many researchers have the same index h , so that discriminating or listing them turns out highly arbitrary.

Here we propose another index h' to include co-authorship. The statistics of h and h' are presented for the four major basic research fields in Brazil. We also present the Brazilian top ten h and h' researchers to evaluate if h' changes or not the h ranking.

From Thompson ISI Web of Science database, we have considered the Brazilian scientific research output in four different fields: Physics, Chemistry, Biology and Mathematics. Brazilian Science Indicators (BSI) database was compiled based on the database of the Institute for Scientific Information (ISI). The research was realized using the query "Brazil OR Brasil" in the address field. This means that research done Brazilians performed in other countries has not been accounted but abroad researchers

collaborating with someone located in Brazil have been accounted. We have considered all documents published from 1945 up to 2004. The BSI database contains information about 188,909 bibliographical references. This information includes type of publication, full reference; citations received yearly (up to June 2005), authors' name and address, including the name of the institution, city, state and country. Among all publications, we have considered only 150,323 articles, 24,164 meeting abstracts, 5,541 notes, 3,577 letters and 2,333 reviews. Documents have been classified into the following research fields: Physics, Chemistry, Biology and Mathematics using the tag subject. Then four lists were compiled containing author name, publication number, times cited and number of co-authors. Notice that authors can appear in more than one list.

We have been able to verify that citation distributions are described either by stretched exponentials [5] or q -exponentials [6] (See Fig. 1), as previously obtained [7, 8]. Also, we have empirically verified that $N_{c,tot} = ah^2$ (not shown), as stated by Hirsch in Ref. [1].

According to Fig. 2, the $P(h)$ distributions for different fields apparently tend to power laws, with exponential cutoffs due to finite size effects (not fitted). Notice however that in Physics there exist many more researchers with $h > 10$ than the other research areas, making it to tend to converge more rapidly to a power-law. The research fields Chemistry and Biology behave similarly. In Brazil, we have observed that biologists have smaller h than physicists, in contrast to Hirsch's observations about worldwide tendency [1].

The top 10 Brazilian h -researchers are displayed in Table I. From this Table, one sees that it is very difficult to compare researchers from different fields. However, we have noticed a strong correlation between h and the number of co-authors that sign the top h publications.

As noted by Nature Editor [3], it is interesting to take

*Electronic address: asmartinez@ffclrp.usp.br; URL: <http://paginas.terra.com.br/educacao/asmartinez/>




FIG. 3: Number of publications with k the number of co-authors per article in four different research fields in Brazil.




FIG. 4: The index h as a function of the ranking R in four different research fields in Brazil. The h' curves, in contrast to h curves, have the same functional shape.

is sensitive to papers with large number of co-authors.] A possible correction for this (a little bit harder to obtain) is to consider the median. We have observed a strong correlation ($r = 0.93$) between the rankings using the mean value and the median. Calling $h'' = h/m$, where m is the median of the number of co-authors in the h publications is another possible index.

The index h' is complementary to h . It lifts the h degenerescency and has the advantage of being less sensitive to different research fields. This allows a less biased comparison due to the consideration of co-authorship. It penalizes “big science” papers (with more than 20 co-authors) but perhaps it is justified if we intend to measure individual productivity. We stress that the Brazilian ranking presented takes into account publications that have at least one co-author with Brazilian address. It would be interesting to perform this study for other countries.




FIG. 5: The index h' as a function of the ranking R in four different research fields in Brazil. The h' curves, in contrast to h curves, have the same functional shape. Straight lines in this plot are stretched exponentials [5].

-
- [1] J. E. Hirsch, physics/0508025.
 - [2] S. B. Popov, physics/0508113.
 - [3] Nature (London) **436**, 889 (2005).
 - [4] P. Ball, Nature (London) **436**, 900 (2005).
 - [5] J. Laherrère and D. Sornette, Eur. Phys. J. B **2**, 525 (1998).

- [6] C. Tsallis, Quimica Nova **17**, 468 (1994).
- [7] S. Redner, Eur. Phys. J. B **4**, 131 (1998).
- [8] C. Tsallis and M. P. de Albuquerque, Eur. Phys. J. B **13**, 777 (2000).