

Stiff dynamics of electromagnetic two-body motion

Jayme De Luca*

Universidade Federal de São Carlos,

Departamento de Física

Rodovia Washington Luis, km 235

Caixa Postal 676, São Carlos,

São Paulo 13565-905

(Dated: November 13, 2018)

Abstract

We study the stability of circular orbits of the electromagnetic two-body problem in an electromagnetic setting that includes retarded and advanced interactions. We give a method to derive the equations of tangent dynamics about circular orbits up to nonlinear terms and we derive the linearized equations explicitly. In particular we study the normal modes of the linearized dynamics that have an arbitrarily large imaginary eigenvalue. These large imaginary eigenvalues define fast frequencies that introduce a fast (*stiff*) timescale into the dynamics. As an application of Dirac's electrodynamics of *point* charges with retarded-only interactions, we study the conditions for the two charges to perform a fast gyrating motion of small radius about a circular orbit. The fast gyration defines an angular momentum of the order of the orbital angular momentum, a vector that rotates in the orbital plane at a frequency of the order of the orbital frequency and causes a gyroscopic torque. We explore a consequence of this multiscale solution, i.e; the resonance condition that the angular momentum of the stiff spinning should rotate exactly at the orbital frequency. The resonant orbits turn out to have angular momenta that are integer multiples of Planck's constant to a good approximation. Among the many qualitative agreements with quantum electrodynamics (QED), the orbital frequency of the resonant orbits are given by a difference of two eigenvalues of a linear operator and the emission lines of QED agree with our predictions within a few percent.

*author's email address:deluca@df.ufscar.br

I. INTRODUCTION

We study the Lyapunov stability of quasi-circular orbits of the electromagnetic two-body problem, a dynamical system with implicitly-defined delay. The motivation is to understand the balancing of the fast dynamics described by the delay equations for particle separations in the atomic magnitude. We give an economical method to derive the linearized equations of motion about circular orbits. We work in a generalized electromagnetic setting where the field of the *point* charge is a linear combination of the advanced and the retarded Liénard-Wiechert fields with an arbitrary coefficient [1], henceforth called the Eliezer setting (ES) (see Appendix A). We study in detail a specific feature of the tangent dynamics; The stiff normal modes of the linearized dynamics, that have an arbitrarily large imaginary eigenvalue and introduce a fast timescale in the dynamics. The derivation of the fast normal modes of tangent dynamics is our main technical contribution to the understanding of this dynamical system. For some special cases of the ES we find a remarkable quasi-degeneracy of the tangent dynamics; these cases are Dirac's theory with retarded-only fields, the action-at-a-distance electrodynamics and the dissipative Fokker theory of Ref. [2] (see Appendix B). Last, we discuss the dynamics of the hydrogen atom in Dirac's electrodynamics with retarded-only fields, the special case of the ES of greatest relevance to physics. Having recognized the existence of the fast dynamics near circular orbits, our method to find the trajectory starts by balancing the fast dynamics near a tentative circular orbit. We investigate the conditions for the dynamics to execute a fast gyrating motion about a circular orbit, henceforth called *spinning* and illustrated in Fig. 1. This fast gyration defines an angular momentum vector of the order of the orbital angular momentum of the unperturbed circular orbit. This angular momentum of spinning is a vector that rotates in space with a frequency of the order of the orbital frequency. We experiment with a typical necessary condition for such multiscale solution; the resonance condition that the angular momentum of spinning rotates exactly at the orbital frequency. This resonance condition turns out to be satisfied precisely in the atomic magnitude. We predict several features of the hydrogen atom of quantum electrodynamics (QED) [3] with good precision and qualitative detail. The frequencies of the resonant orbits agree with the lines of QED within a few percent average deviation. There is also a large body of qualitative agreement with QED; (i) the emitted frequency is given by a difference of two linear eigenvalues (the Rydberg-Ritz principle) (ii)

the resonant orbits have angular momenta that are approximate multiples of a basic angular momentum. This basic angular momentum agrees well with Planck's constant and (iii) the angular momentum of the fast spinning dynamics is of the order of the orbital angular momentum.

Dirac's 1938 work [1] on the electrodynamics of *point* charges gave complex delay equations that were seldom studied. Eliezer generalized Dirac's method of covariant subtraction of infinities in 1947[4] to include advanced interactions naturally in the electrodynamics of point charges (the ES) [4]. Among the few dynamics of point charges investigated, another early result of Eliezer [5–8] revealed a surprising result (henceforth called Eliezer's theorem); An electron moving in the Coulomb field of an infinitely massive proton can never fall into the proton by radiating energy. The result was generalized to motions in arbitrary attractive potentials [6], as well as to tridimensional motions with self-interaction in a Coulomb field [7, 8], finding that only scattering states are possible. Since our model has the dynamics of Eliezer's theorem as the infinite-mass limit, a finite mass for the proton is essential for a physically meaningful dynamics; If the proton has a finite mass, there is no inertial frame where it rests at all times, and this in turn causes delay because of the finite speed of light. A finite mass for the proton is what brings delay into the electromagnetic two-body dynamics, with its associated fast dynamics. This infinite-mass limit is a singular limit, because the equations of motion pass from delay equations to ordinary differential equations! Our understanding of this two-body dynamics might prove useful for atomic physics and perhaps we can understand QED as the effective theory of this complex stiff dynamics with delay. We shall describe the two-body motion in terms of the familiar *center-of-mass coordinates* and *coordinates of relative separation*, defined as the familiar coordinate-transformation that maps the two-body Kepler problem onto the one-body problem with a reduced mass plus a free-moving center of mass. We stress that in the present relativistic motion the Cartesian center-of-mass vector is not ignorable, and it represents three extra coupled degrees-of-freedom. We introduce the concept of resonant dissipation to exploit this coupling and the many solutions that a delay equation can have. Resonant dissipation is the condition that both particles decelerate together, i.e., the center-of-mass vector decelerates, while the coordinates of relative separation perform an almost-circular orbit, despite of the energy losses of the metastable center-of-mass dynamics. Last, we stress that our point charges are not spinning about themselves, but rather gyrating about a center that is moving with

the circular orbit, as illustrated in Fig. 1, even though we refer to this gyrating motion as *spinning*.

The road map for this paper is as follows; In Section IV we give the main technical part of the paper; We outline our economical method to derive the tangent dynamics of the circular orbit by expanding the implicit light-cone condition and the action to quadratic order. This economical method shall be useful in the further research needed to derive the higher orders and the complete unfolding of this dynamics. In this Section we also take the stiff limit of the linear modes of tangent dynamics and introduce the fast timescale. In Section V we give an application to atomic physics, by discussing a consequence of balancing the fast dynamics first; This balancing defines an angular momentum of fast gyration comparable to the orbital angular momentum, a vector that rotates in space with a slow frequency of the order of the orbital frequency. In this section we discuss the heuristic condition that the angular momentum of the fast spinning motion should rotate at the orbital frequency, a resonance condition that predicts the correct atomic scales. The earlier sections are a prelude to Section IV. In Appendix A we give the equations of motion of the electrodynamics of point charges in the ES. Section II is a review of the circular orbit solution, to be used in Sections IV and V. In Section III we give an action formalism for the Liénard-Wiechert sector of the ES as a prelude to the quadratic expansions needed for the linear stability analysis of Section IV. In Appendix B we derive the general tangent dynamics for oscillations perpendicular to the orbital plane. The Lemma of resonant dissipation of Appendix C proves that for the linearized equations of motion the state of resonant dissipation is impossible. In Appendix D we discuss how the nonlinear stiff terms balance the leading dissipative term of the self-interaction force and we estimate the radius of the stiff torus. Last, in Section VI we put the conclusions and discussion.

II. THE CIRCULAR ORBIT SOLUTION

The circular-orbit solution of the isolated electromagnetic two-body problem of the action-at-a-distance electrodynamics (the ES with $k = -1/2$, see Appendix A) is used here as the unperturbed orbit[9, 10]. For the ES with $k = -1/2$, the tangent dynamics of the next section is straightforward Lyapunov stability analysis. In the general case, ($k \neq -1/2$), the

ES also prescribes a small force opposite to the velocity of each particle along the circular orbit, such that the circular orbit is not an exact solution of the equations of motion. The tangent dynamics is then the starting point of a perturbation scheme to obtain a stiff torus near a circular orbit (the state of resonant dissipation discussed in Appendix C).

We shall use the index $i = 1$ for the electron and $i = 2$ for the proton, with masses m_1 and m_2 respectively. We henceforth use units where the speed of light is $c = 1$ and $e_1 = -e_2 \equiv -1$ (the electronic charge). The circular orbit is illustrated in Fig. 2; the two particles move in concentric circles with the same constant angular speed and along a diameter. The details of this relativistic orbit will be given now; The constant angular velocity is indicated by Ω , the distance between the particles in light-cone is r_b and $\theta \equiv \Omega r_b$ is the angle that one particle turns while the light emanating from the other particle reaches it (the light-cone time lag). The angle θ is the natural independent parameter of this relativistic problem. For orbits of the atomic magnitude the orbital frequency is given to leading order by Kepler's law

$$\Omega = \mu\theta^3 + \dots \quad (1)$$

while the light-cone distance r_b is given by

$$r_b = \frac{1}{\mu\theta^2} + \dots \quad (2)$$

Each particle travels a circular orbit with radius and scalar velocity defined by

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &\equiv b_1 r_b, \\ r_2 &\equiv b_2 r_b, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \Omega r_1 = \theta b_1, \\ v_2 &= \Omega r_2 = \theta b_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for the electron and for the proton, respectively. The condition that the other particle turns an angle θ during the light-cone time lag [9] is

$$b_1^2 + b_2^2 + 2b_1 b_2 \cos(\theta) = 1, \quad (5)$$

and is henceforth called the unperturbed light-cone condition. As shown in Appendix B of Ref. [2], b_1 and b_2 are approximated by the Keplerian values $b_1 = m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$ and

$b_2 = m_1/(m_1 + m_2)$ plus a correction of order θ^2 . As discussed in Ref. [9], there is a conserved angular momentum perpendicular to the orbital plane of the circular orbit given by

$$l_z = \frac{1 + v_1 v_2 \cos(\theta)}{\theta + v_1 v_2 \sin(\theta)}, \quad (6)$$

where the units of l_z are e^2/c , just that we are using a unit system where $e^2 = c = 1$. For small values of θ the angular momentum of Eq. (6) is of the order of $l_z \sim \theta^{-1}$. For orbits of the atomic magnitude, $l_z \simeq \theta^{-1}$ is about one over the fine-structure constant, $\alpha^{-1} = 137.036$.

III. AN ACTION FOR THE LORENTZ FORCE

We introduce an action to be used as an economical means to derive the Lorentz-force sector of the ES equations of motion with the linear combination of retarded and advanced Liénard-Wiechert potentials. In the following we derive the tangent dynamics by expanding this action to quadratic order. We henceforth use the dot to indicate the scalar product of two Cartesian vectors. The Liénard-Wiechert action for the Lorentz-force sector of the ES is

$$\Theta = -k \int \frac{(1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2a})}{r_{12a}(1 + \mathbf{n}_{12a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2a})} dt_1 + (1 + k) \int \frac{(1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2b})}{r_{12b}(1 - \mathbf{n}_{12b} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2b})} dt_1, \quad (7)$$

In Eq. (7) \mathbf{v}_1 stands for the Cartesian velocity of particle 1 at time t_1 and \mathbf{v}_{2a} and \mathbf{v}_{2b} stand for the Cartesian velocities of particle 2 at the advanced and at the retarded time t_2 respectively. The vector \mathbf{n}_{12a} is a unit vector connecting the advanced position of particle 2 at time t_2 to the position of particle 1 at time t_1 , vector \mathbf{n}_{12b} is a unit vector connecting the retarded position of particle 2 at time t_2 to the position of particle 1 at time t_1 and (Below Eq. (8) of Ref. [2] this normal is defined to the opposite direction, but it was used correctly in Eq. (19) of Ref. [2]). Notice that each integral on the right-hand-side of Eq. (7) can be cast in the familiar form

$$\int \frac{(1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2c})}{r_{12}(1 + \frac{\mathbf{n}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2c}}{c})} dt_1 \equiv - \int (V - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}) dt, \quad (8)$$

where V and \mathbf{A} are the Liénard-Wiechert scalar potential and the Liénard-Wiechert vector potential respectively. We have introduced the quantity $c = \pm 1$ in the denominator of Eq. (8) such that $c = 1$ represents the advanced interaction while $c = -1$ represents the retarded

interaction. The quantities of particle 2 in Eq. (8) are to be evaluated at the time t_2 defined implicitly by

$$t_2 = t_1 + \frac{r_{12}}{c}, \quad (9)$$

where $c = \pm 1$ describes the advanced and the retarded light cones, respectively. Because of this decomposition into V and \mathbf{A} parts, we henceforth call Eq. (8) the VA interaction. The minimization of action (7) plus the kinetic energy of particle 1 yields the equations of motion for particle 1 suffering the Lorentz-force of the Liénard-Wiechert potentials produced by the other particle (see Eq. (58)), as shown for example in Ref.[11].

Besides the Lorentz-force, which is the Lagrangian part of the ES equations of motion, there is also the dissipative self-interaction force in Eq. (58). The shortest way to write the ES equations of tangent dynamics is to add this term to the Lagrangian equations of motion, watching carefully for the correct multiplicative factor. The stiff limit is determined by the largest-order derivative appearing in the linearized equations of motion of Appendix A. In this approximation, the contribution of the self-interaction force of the ES to the linearized dynamics about a circular orbit is simply given by the Abraham-Lorentz -Dirac force

$$\mathbf{F}_{rad} = \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)\dot{\mathbf{a}}, \quad (10)$$

with a renormalized charge. The contribution of the other smaller nonlinear terms will be given elsewhere (one such nonlinear term is used in the estimate of Appendix D).

IV. EXPANDING THE ACTION TO FIRST ORDER: MINIMIZATION

In the following sections we substitute the circular orbit of Eqs. (3) and (4) plus a planar perturbation into Eliezer's action (7). We then expand the action up to the quadratic order to yield the linearized equations of motion. Our economical derivation of the stability equations anticipates the need to study the stability of the stiff torus near the circular orbit, a novel solution of the two-body problem as illustrated in Fig 2. In this work we keep to the linear stability of circular orbits, which is done with the quadratic expansion of the action. The variational equations for planar perturbations are decoupled from the equation for transverse perturbations. We perform the planar stability analysis using complex gyroscopic coordinates rotating at the frequency Ω of the unperturbed circular orbit; The coordinates

(x_j, y_j) of each particle are defined by two complex numbers η_j and ξ_j ($j = 1$ for electron and $j = 2$ for proton) according to

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\equiv x_j + iy_j \equiv r_b \exp(i\Omega t)[d_j + 2\eta_j], \\ u_j^* &\equiv x_j - iy_j \equiv r_b \exp(-i\Omega t)[d_j^* + 2\xi_j], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $d_1 \equiv b_1$ and $d_2 \equiv -b_2$ are defined in Eqs. (3). Because x_j and y_j are real, we must have $\eta_j \equiv \xi_j^*$ but to obtain the variational equations it suffices to treat η_j and ξ_j as two independent variables in the Lagrangian. We henceforth call the coordinates of Eq. (11) the gyroscopic η and ξ coordinates. The d 's are real numbers if we choose the origin of times at $t = 0$, but we have kept the harmless star above them to indicate complex conjugation in anticipation to what follows. Two quantities appear so often in the calculations that we have named them; (i) The numerator of the action (8) along circular orbits, henceforth called C , evaluates to

$$C \equiv 1 + b_1 b_2 \theta^2 \cos(\theta), \quad (12)$$

for either retarded ($c = -1$) or advanced interactions ($c = 1$), and (ii) The denominator of action (8) along circular orbits, divided by r_b , henceforth called S and defined by

$$S \equiv 1 + b_1 b_2 \theta \sin(\theta), \quad (13)$$

again for either retarded or advanced interactions ($c = \pm 1$). For the stiff limit of Sections V and VI we shall ignore the $O(\theta^2)$ corrections and set $C = S = 1$. Here we shall derive the electron's equation of motion only ($j = 1$ in Eq. (11)). The equation for the proton is completely symmetric and can be obtained by interchanging the indices. One can derive from Eq. (11) that the electron's velocity at time t_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_1 &\equiv v_{1x} + iv_{1y} \equiv \theta \exp(i\Omega t_1)[id_1 + 2i(\eta_1 - i\dot{\eta}_1)], \\ \dot{u}_1^* &\equiv v_{1x} - iv_{1y} \equiv \theta \exp(-i\Omega t_1)[-id_1^* - 2i(\xi_1 + i\dot{\xi}_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The velocity of the proton at its time t_2 can be obtained by simple interchange of the indices 1 and 2, remembering that $d_1 = b_1$ is defined positive while $d_2 = -b_2$ is defined negative, because at the same time the particles are in diametrically opposed positions on the exact circular orbit, such that the exchange operation on the d 's is $d_1 \iff -d_2$ (see Fig. 2).

The coordinates of particle 2 entering in the VA interaction of Eq. (8) are evaluated at a time t_2 in light-cone with the the present of particle 1. Because the implicit light-cone condition has to be expanded and solved by iteration, it is convenient to define a function φ_c as

$$t_2 \equiv t_1 + \frac{r_b}{c} + \frac{\varphi_c}{\Omega}. \quad (15)$$

The above definition is good for both the advanced and the retarded cases, $c = 1$ defining the advanced light-cone and $c = -1$ defining the retarded light-cone and the underscore c is to indicate that φ_c is a function of c . If the perturbation is zero then $\varphi_c = 0$ and we are along the original circular orbit, where the light-cone lag is the constant r_b . We henceforth call t the present time of particle 1 (the electron) and we measure the evolution in terms of the scaled-time parameter $\tau \equiv \Omega t$. The implicit definition of φ_c by the light-cone condition involves the position of particle 2 at the advanced and the retarded time t_2 as defined by Eq. (11),

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\equiv r_b \exp(i\Omega t_2)[d_2 + 2\eta_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c)], \\ u_2^*(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\equiv r_b \exp(-i\Omega t_2)[d_2^* + 2\xi_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c)]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

as well as the velocity of particle 2 at the advanced/retarded position

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\equiv i\theta \exp(i\Omega t_2)[d_2 + (\eta_2 - i\dot{\eta}_2) + 2\varphi_c(\dot{\eta}_2 - \ddot{\eta}_2)], \\ \dot{u}_2^*(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\equiv -i\theta \exp(-i\Omega t_2)[d_2^* + (\xi_2 + i\dot{\xi}_2) + 2\varphi_c(\dot{\xi}_2 + \ddot{\xi}_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The linear stability analysis involves expanding the equation of motion to first order in η_k and ξ_k , which in turn is determined by the quadratic expansion of the action (7) in η_k and ξ_k . We must therefore carry all expansions up to the second order in the $\eta\xi$ coordinates. For example the position vector of particle 2 can be determined to second order by expanding the arguments of η and ξ in a Taylor series about the value on the unperturbed circular light-cone for one order only as

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\simeq r_b \exp(i\Omega t_2)\{d_2 + 2[\eta_2(\tau + c\theta) + \varphi_c \dot{\eta}_2(\tau + c\theta)]\}, \\ u_2^*(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\simeq r_b \exp(-i\Omega t_2)\{d_2^* + 2[\xi_2(\tau + c\theta) + \varphi_c \dot{\xi}_2(\tau + c\theta)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We shall henceforth indicate the quantities of particle 2 evaluated exactly on the light-cone by writing that quantity with a subindex c , as for example $\eta_{2c} \equiv \eta_2(\tau + c\theta)$ and $\xi_{2c} \equiv \xi_2(\tau + c\theta)$. In the following we find that φ_c is linear in η and ξ to leading order, such that the next term in the above expansion involves third order terms, which are not needed for the linear stability analysis of the circular orbit. We shall always expand quantities evaluated at the perturbed light-cone in a Taylor series about the *unperturbed* light-cone up to the order needed, such that our method yields equations with a fixed delay. The perturbed light-cone is expressed implicitly by the distance from the advanced/retarded position of particle 2 to the present position of particle 1, described in gyroscopic coordinates by the modulus of the complex number

$$u_c \equiv u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c). \quad (19)$$

where $c = 1$ describes the advanced position and $c = -1$ describes the retarded position. Using Eq. (18) this complex number evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} u_c &\equiv r_b \exp(i\Omega t_2) \{D^* + 2[\exp(-ic\theta - i\varphi_c)\eta_1(\tau) - \eta_{2c} - \varphi_c \dot{\eta}_{2c}]\}, \\ u_c^* &\equiv r_b \exp(-i\Omega t_2) \{D + 2[\exp(ic\theta + i\varphi_c)\xi_1(\tau) - \xi_{2c} - \varphi_c \dot{\xi}_{2c}]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we have factored the Ωt_2 out and defined the complex number

$$D \equiv b_2 + b_1 \exp(ic\theta + i\varphi_c), \quad (21)$$

that carries an implicit dependence on φ_c and will later be expanded to second order as well. Notice that the star appears in the unusual first line because we are factoring Ωt_2 out in Eq. (20). At $\varphi_c = 0$ (the unperturbed circular orbit), the complex number D defined by Eq. (21) has a unitary modulus. The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (20) is a quadratic form times $\exp(i\Omega t_2)$ and in the action it only appears multiplied by a counter-rotating constant term, i.e., a quadratic form times $\exp(-i\Omega t_2)$, such that the product is independent of t_2 . Therefore this quadratic term can be integrated by parts and the Gauge term can be disregarded, as in any Lagrangian. We henceforth call this a quadratic Gauge simplification and we shall use it in several expressions. This simplification applied to integrate the terms in $\dot{\eta}_{2c}$ and $\dot{\xi}_{2c}$ of Eq. (20) by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv r_b \exp(i\Omega t_2) \{D^* + 2[(1 - i\varphi_c) \exp(-ic\theta)\eta_1(\tau) - (1 - \dot{\varphi}_c)\eta_{2c}]\}, \\ u^* &\equiv r_b \exp(-i\Omega t_2) \{D + 2[(1 + i\varphi_c) \exp(ic\theta)\xi_1(\tau) - (1 - \dot{\varphi}_c)\xi_{2c}]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have also expanded the exponential of φ in the coefficient of η_1 and ξ_1 up to the linear order in φ_c , enough to give the correct quadratic action. Last, the velocity of particle 2 has the following expansion up to a quadratic Gauge

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_2(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\simeq i\theta \exp(i\Omega t_2)[d_2 + 2(\eta_{2c} - i\dot{\eta}_{2c})(1 - \dot{\varphi}_c)], \\ \dot{u}_2^*(\tau + c\theta + \varphi_c) &\simeq -i\theta \exp(-i\Omega t_2)[d_2^* + 2(\xi_{2c} + i\dot{\xi}_{2c})(1 - \dot{\varphi}_c)]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using the above quantities, the numerator of the Liénard-Wiechert action (8) can be calculated as

$$h_2 = (1 - v_1 \cdot v_{2c}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{u}_1 \dot{u}_{2c}^* - \frac{1}{2}\dot{u}_1^* \dot{u}_{2c}\right). \quad (24)$$

Last, the denominator of the Liénard-Wiechert action (8) can be calculated as

$$h_4 = r + u \cdot \frac{v_{2a}}{c} = r_b(1 + \phi) + \frac{z\dot{u}_{2c}^*}{2c} + \frac{z^*\dot{u}_{2c}}{2c}. \quad (25)$$

In Eq. (25) we have introduced the scaled delay function ϕ by

$$\varphi_c \equiv c\theta\phi. \quad (26)$$

To relate ϕ to the $\xi\eta$ perturbations we expand the implicit light-cone condition of the perturbed orbit up to the quadratic order

$$uu^* = \left|x_2\left(t + \frac{r_b}{c} + \varphi_c\right) - x_1(t)\right|^2 = c^2(t_2 - t)^2 = \left(r_b + \frac{\varphi}{\Omega c}\right)^2. \quad (27)$$

The light-cone condition (27) is simpler when expressed in terms of the scaled ϕ defined in Eq. (26). The expansion of Eq. (27) up to the second order is the following quadratic form in ϕ

$$C\phi^2 + 2S\phi = 2[(b_1\xi_1 - b_2\xi_2) + (b_1\eta_1 - b_2\eta_2)] \quad (28)$$

$$+ 2[(b_2\xi_1 - b_1\eta_2) \exp(ic\theta) + (b_2\eta_1 - b_1\xi_2) \exp(-ic\theta)] \quad (29)$$

$$+ 4[\xi_1\eta_1 - \xi_1\eta_2 \exp(ic\theta) - \xi_2\eta_1 \exp(-ic\theta)]$$

$$- 2\varphi[b_2(\dot{\xi}_2 + \dot{\eta}_2) + b_1\dot{\xi}_2 \exp(-ic\theta) + b_1\dot{\eta}_2 \exp(ic\theta)].$$

The solution to Eq. (28), to first order in the $\xi\eta$ coordinates is given by

$$S\phi_{(1)} \equiv [(b_1\xi_1 - b_2\xi_2) + (b_1\eta_1 - b_2\eta_2) + (b_2\xi_1 - b_1\xi_2) \exp(ic\theta) + (b_2\eta_1 - b_1\eta_2) \exp(-ic\theta)] \quad (30)$$

As an application of the above, we derive the equations of motion for the circular orbits of the action-at-a-distance electrodynamics [9] (the ES with $k = -1/2$). The equation of motion for ξ_1 can be calculated by expanding the VA interaction of Eq. (7) to linear order

$$\tilde{\Theta} \equiv 1 - \{[\theta^2(S-1)S + C^2](b_1 + b_2 \cos(\theta)) + S(\theta \sin(\theta) - \theta^2 \cos(\theta))b_2\} \frac{(\eta_1 + \xi_1)}{CS^2}, \quad (31)$$

where the tilde indicates that we scaled Θ by the factor $\Theta_o = C/(r_b S)$ (the value of Θ along the unperturbed circular orbit). Scaling the kinetic energy with the same factor and expanding to first order yields

$$\tilde{T}_1 = \frac{-r_b m_1 S}{C \gamma_1} + \frac{r_b \theta^2 m_1 \gamma_1 S b_1}{C} (\eta_1 + \xi_1), \quad (32)$$

Such that the effective Lagrangian for particle 1 is

$$\tilde{L}_1 \equiv \tilde{T}_1 + \tilde{\Theta} \quad (33)$$

Since those are linear functions of ξ_1 , the Euler-Lagrange equation for ξ_1 is simply $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \xi_1} = 0$ or

$$m_1 b_1 r_b \gamma_1 \theta^2 S^3 = [C^2 + \theta^2 S(S-1)](b_1 + b_2 \cos(\theta)) + S(\theta \sin(\theta) - \theta^2 \cos(\theta))b_2. \quad (34)$$

This is Eq. 3.2 of Ref. [9], and the equation for η_1 is the same condition by symmetry (the reason why the circular orbit is a solution). The equation of motion for particle 2 can be obtained by interchanging the indices 1 and 2 in Eq. (34), representing Eq. 3.3 of Ref. [9]. In the next section we carry the expansion of the action to second order, to determine the equations of tangent dynamics.

V. EXPANDING THE ACTION TO SECOND ORDER

The next term of expansion (32) of the local kinetic energy of particle 1 can be calculated with Eq. (14) to be

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_1 = T_o - \frac{r_b S m_1}{C} \sqrt{1 - |v_1|^2} &= \frac{r_b \theta^2 m_1 \gamma_1 S b_1}{C} (\eta_1 + \xi_1) \\ &+ \frac{S r_b \theta^2 m_1 \gamma_1}{2C} [\gamma_1^2 (\xi_1 + i \dot{\xi}_1 + \eta_1 - i \dot{\eta}_1)^2 - (\xi_1 + i \dot{\xi}_1 - \eta_1 + i \dot{\eta}_1)^2] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

This kinetic energy of particle 1 has the simple quadratic form defined by two coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_1 = & \frac{r_b \theta^2 m_1 \gamma_1 S b_1}{C} (\eta_1 + \xi_1) \\ & + M_1 [\dot{\eta}_1 \dot{\xi}_1 + i(\eta_1 \dot{\xi}_1 - \xi_1 \dot{\eta}_1) + \eta_1 \xi_1] + \frac{\theta^2 G_1}{2} [\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 - \dot{\xi}_1^2 - \dot{\eta}_1^2] \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $M_1 \equiv (1 + \gamma_1^2) m_1 \gamma_1 r_b \theta^2 S / C$ and $G_1 \equiv (\gamma_1^2 - 1) m_1 \gamma_1 \theta^2 S / C$. We also need the solution of Eq. (28) to second order, $\phi = \phi_{(1)} + \phi_{(2)}$, where $\phi_{(1)}$ is given by Eq. (30) and $\phi_{(2)}$ is calculated by iteration to be

$$\begin{aligned} S\phi_{(2)} = & \varphi(ib_2 \xi_1 - ib_1 \eta_2 - b_1 \dot{\eta}_2) \exp(ic\theta) + \varphi(ib_1 \xi_2 - ib_2 \eta_1 - b_1 \dot{\xi}_2) \exp(-ic\theta) \\ & - \varphi b_2 (\dot{\xi}_2 + \dot{\eta}_2) - \frac{1}{2} C [\phi_{(1)}]^2 \\ & + 2[\xi_1 \eta_1 - \xi_1 \eta_2 \exp(ic\theta) - \xi_2 \eta_1 \exp(-ic\theta)] \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Next we expand the numerator and the denominator of action (8), Eqs. (24) and (25), up to the quadratic order. We use the expansion of the particle separation, Eq. (20), the velocity of particle 1 (Eq. (14)), and the expansion of the velocity of particle 2, Eq. (23). The quadratic expansion of the action is cumbersome and must be evaluated with a symbolic manipulation software (we have used Maple 9). Integrating by parts and disregarding Gauge terms, we can bring this quadratic expansion to the following " normal form for particle 1 "

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_c = & -\frac{i}{2} B (\eta_1 \dot{\xi}_1 - \xi_1 \dot{\eta}_1) + U_{11} \xi_1 \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} N_{11} \xi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} N_{11}^* \eta_1^2 \\ & + R_c \xi_1 \xi_{2c} + R_c^* \eta_1 \eta_{2c} + P_c \xi_1 \eta_{2c} + P_c^* \eta_1 \xi_{2c} + \\ & + \frac{Y_c}{2} (\xi_1 \dot{\xi}_{2c} - \xi_{2c} \dot{\xi}_1) + \frac{Y_c^*}{2} (\eta_1 \dot{\eta}_{2c} - \eta_{2c} \dot{\eta}_1) + \\ & + \frac{\Lambda_c}{2} (\xi_1 \dot{\eta}_{2c} - \eta_{2c} \dot{\xi}_1) + \frac{\Lambda_c^*}{2} (\eta_1 \dot{\xi}_1 - \xi_1 \dot{\eta}_1) + \\ & + \frac{T_c}{2} \dot{\xi}_1 \dot{\xi}_{2c} + \frac{T_c^*}{2} \dot{\eta}_1 \dot{\eta}_{2c} + \frac{E_c}{2} \dot{\xi}_1 \dot{\eta}_{2c} + \frac{E_c^*}{2} \dot{\eta}_1 \dot{\xi}_{2c} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Notice that in Eq. (38) the coordinates of particle 2 appear evaluated in either the retarded or the advanced unperturbed light-cone, which is indicated by the subindex c . The full quadratic expansion of the action is composed of the kinetic energy plus the partial actions of Eq. (38) evaluated at the retarded and the advanced light-cones and multiplied by the

respective Eliezer's coefficient, as in Eq. (7)

$$L_1 = T_1 - k\Theta_+ + (1+k)\Theta_- \quad (39)$$

Notice in Eq. (38) the appearance of the angular-momentum-like binaries inside parenthesis, a normalization achieved by adding a quadratic Gauge term to the Taylor series. The coefficient of each normal-form binary is obtained by a simple Gauge-invariant combination of derivatives, for example the magnetic field coefficient B is given by

$$B = i\left[\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\eta_1\partial\dot{\xi}_1} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\xi_1\partial\dot{\eta}_1}\right]. \quad (40)$$

In what follows we evaluate the expansion of the action using a symbolic software (Maple 9). The linearized Euler-Lagrange equation obtained by minimizing action (39) respect to the function ξ_1 is a linear functional involving the coordinates ξ_1 , η_1 , ξ_{2+} , η_{2+} , ξ_{2-} and η_{2-} as well as their first and second derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} l_{1\xi}(\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_{2+}, \eta_{2+}, \xi_{2-}, \eta_{2-}) = & \quad (41) \\ & -[(N_{11} + \theta^2 G)\xi_1 + \theta^2 G\ddot{\xi}_1] + [M_1(\ddot{\eta}_1 + 2i\dot{\eta}_1 - \eta_1) - U_{11}\eta_1 - iB\dot{\eta}_1] \\ & - (R_+\dot{\xi}_{2+} + R_-\dot{\xi}_{2-}) - (Y_+\dot{\xi}_{2+} + Y_-\dot{\xi}_{2-}) + (T_+\ddot{\xi}_{2+} + T_-\ddot{\xi}_{2-}) \\ & - (P_+\eta_{2+} + P_-\eta_{2-}) - (\Lambda_+\dot{\eta}_{2+} + \Lambda_-\dot{\eta}_{2-}) + (E_+\ddot{\eta}_{2+} + E_-\ddot{\eta}_{2-}) \end{aligned}$$

Minimization of action (39) respect to η_1 , ξ_2 and η_2 yields three more linear equations, which together with Eq. (41) compose a system of four linear delay equations. To include the dissipative self-interaction force into Eq. (41) we substitute $\theta^2 = r_b^2\Omega^2$ into the definition of M_1 above Eq. (37), such that the Euler-Lagrange equation of the kinetic energy (36) respect to ξ_1 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \gamma_1^2)m_1\gamma_1(S/C)r_b^3\Omega^2(\ddot{\eta}_1 + 2i\dot{\eta}_1 - \eta_1) \quad (42) \\ & = r_b^2(S/C)\frac{d}{dt}(m_1\gamma_1r_b\dot{u}_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the second line of Eq. (42) we recognize the variation of the complex momentum $m_1\gamma_1r_b\dot{u}_1$ multiplied by the factor $r_b^2(S/C)$, a complexified version of the planar force. To include the self-interaction into the linearized Euler-Lagrange equations, we simply add the complexified planar force of Eq. (10) multiplied by the same factor $r_b^2(S/C)$ into Eq.(41)

$$r_b^2(S/C)\frac{2}{3}(1+2k)r_b\frac{d^3u_1}{dt^3} \simeq \frac{2\theta^3}{3}(1+2k)\ddot{u}_1, \quad (43)$$

where the dots represent derivative respect to the scaled time $\tau \equiv \Omega t$. Using definition (11) for the gyroscopic coordinate u_1 into Eq. (43) yields the offensive force of Eq. (79) of Appendix C plus the required linearized gyroscopic version of the triple dots term. The offensive force is a nonhomogeneous term that will be dealt with in Appendix C. In this section we discard it and keep only the linear correction to the self force.

Adding the linear term of the self-force to the η_1 , ξ_2 and η_2 Euler-Lagrange equations yields a system of four linear delay equations, which can be solved in general by Laplace transform [15]. Here we shall concentrate on the separable normal mode solutions of this linear system. For that we substitute $\xi_1 = A \exp(\lambda\tau/\theta)$, $\eta_1 = B \exp(\lambda\tau/\theta)$, $\xi_2 = C \exp(\lambda\tau/\theta)$ and $\eta_2 = D \exp(\lambda\tau/\theta)$ into the linearized equations and we assume that $|\lambda|$ is an order-one or larger quantity, which is henceforth called the stiff limit (we shall see that $|\lambda|$ can take values of about π or larger while θ is about 10^{-2} in the atomic magnitude). The four linear equations in A , B , C and D have a nontrivial solution only if the determinant vanishes, a condition that we evaluate with a symbolic manipulations software in the large- λ limit (stiff-limit)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 - \frac{2(1+2k)\theta^2\lambda}{3} + \frac{(1+2k)\theta^4\lambda^2}{9} - \frac{2}{27} \frac{\mu}{M} (1+2k)^3 \theta^6 \lambda^3 + \dots \\
& + \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} \left(1 + \frac{7}{\lambda^2} + \frac{5}{\lambda^4}\right) [(1+2k) \sinh(2\lambda) - 2(1+2k+2k^2) \cosh^2(\lambda)] \\
& - 2 \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{5}{\lambda^3}\right) [2(1+2k) \cosh^2(\lambda) - (1+2k+2k^2) \sinh(2\lambda)] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

In the special case of $k = -1/2$ Eq. (44) reduces to Eq. 33 of reference [2] without the radiative terms, that are ad-hoc in the dissipative Fokker setting of Ref.[2] (this amounts to setting $k = 0$ in the first line of Eq. (44) and $k = -1/2$ in the other two lines). In this work we shall focus on Dirac's retarded-only electrodynamics of point charges (Eq. (44) with $k = 0$), with the following planar-normal-mode condition in the stiff-limit

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 + \frac{2}{\lambda_{xy}} + \frac{7}{\lambda_{xy}^2} + \frac{10}{\lambda_{xy}^3} - \frac{5}{\lambda_{xy}^4} + \dots\right) \left(\frac{\mu\theta^4}{M}\right) \exp(-2\lambda_{xy}) \\
& = 1 - \frac{2}{3}\theta^2\lambda_{xy} + \frac{1}{9}\theta^4\lambda_{xy}^2 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Comparing Eq. (45) to Eq. (78) of Appendix B we find that the quasi-degeneracy of the stiff dynamics exists only for $k = 0$, (retarded-only interactions, Dirac's theory), $k = -1$ (advanced-only interactions), and $k = -1/2$ (either the dissipative Fokker theory of Ref.[2] or the action-at-a-distance electrodynamics).

VI. STIFF TORUS AS A DEFORMED CIRCULAR ORBIT

As discussed in the previous Section, in Appendix B and already explored in Ref.[2], there is a remarkable quasi-degeneracy of the perpendicular and the planar tangent dynamics for some special settings. Here we shall focus on Dirac's electrodynamics with retarded-only interactions. Both Eq. (78) and Eq. (45) with $k = -1/2$ in the large- λ limit reduce to

$$\left(\frac{\mu\theta^4}{M}\right)\exp(-2\lambda) = 1, \quad (46)$$

For hydrogen (μ/M) is a small factor of about $(1/1824)$. For θ of the order of the fine structure constant the small parameter $\frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} \sim 10^{-13}$ multiplying the exponential function on the left-hand side of Eq. (46) determines that $\text{Re}(\lambda) \equiv -\sigma \simeq -\ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{\mu\theta^4}}\right)$. For the first 13 excited states of hydrogen this σ is in the interval $14.0 < |\sigma| < 18.0$. The imaginary part of λ can be an arbitrarily large multiple of π , such that the general solution to Eq. (46) is

$$\lambda = -\sigma + \pi qi \quad (47)$$

where $i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$ and q is an arbitrary integer. Notice that the real part of λ is always negative, such that the tangent dynamics about the circular orbit is stable in the stiff-limit. The coefficient of the term of order $1/\lambda^2$ is the main difference between Eqs. (78) and (45). The terms of order $\theta^4\lambda^2$ also differ but they are much less important for θ in the atomic range. The exact roots of Eqs. (45) and (78) near the limiting root (47) are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{xy}(\theta) &\equiv -\sigma_{xy} + \pi qi + i\epsilon_1, \\ \lambda_z(\theta) &\equiv -\sigma_z + \pi qi + i\epsilon_2, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $\epsilon_1(\theta)$ and $\epsilon_2(\theta)$ are real numbers of the order of θ and depending on the orbit through θ . The stiff torus is formed from an initial circular orbit as follows; (i) The offending force against the velocity dissipates energy and makes the electron loose radius on a slow timescale (the radiative instability discussed in Appendix C). (ii) When the electron deviates enough from the circular orbit, the stiff nonlinear terms compensate the offending terms (iii) Because of this same deviation from circularity, the stiff terms also balance the real parts of Eq. (48), the $\sigma's$. A purely harmonic solution to the nonlinear equations of tangent dynamics appears

because the nonlinear stiff terms introduce a correction into Eqs. (45) and (78), as discussed in Appendix D. The complete description of this new solution involves expanding the action about the circular orbit to the fourth order and the coupling to the center-of-mass recoil and shall be given elsewhere. Because of the large frequency of the stiff motion, this balancing is established at a very small radius, as estimated by Eq. (89) of Appendix D. The motion continues to be stiff because of the imaginary part of the λ 's of Eq. (48). We henceforth assume that this balance is achieved near the original circular motion. As discussed in Appendix C, the circular orbit recoils in a slow timescale to compensate the momentum loss to the radiated energy. During the recoil the particle coordinates are described by a composition of a translation mode and a stiff mode, both with slowly varying amplitudes, such that the dynamics of the balanced stiff torus is described by

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_k &= A_k(T) + u_k(T) \exp[(\pi qi + i\epsilon_1^\rho)\Omega t/\theta], \\ Z_k &= \text{Re}\{B_k(T) + R_k(T) \exp[(\pi qi + i\epsilon_2^\rho)\Omega t/\theta]\},\end{aligned}\tag{49}$$

where the amplitudes u_k and R_k must be near the size where the nonlinearity balances the negative real part of the linear modes. Assuming $|u_1| \simeq |R_1| \simeq \rho$, with ρ given by Eq. (89), the angular momentum of the stiff torus along the orbital plane calculated using (49) and disregarding fast oscillating small terms is

$$\begin{aligned}l_x + il_y &= \mu r_b^2 \rho^2 \frac{\pi q \Omega}{\theta} b_1 \exp[i(\epsilon_2^\rho - \epsilon_1^\rho + \theta)\Omega t/\theta] \\ &= \frac{\pi q}{\theta^2} \rho^2 \exp[i(\epsilon_2^\rho - \epsilon_1^\rho + \theta)\Omega t/\theta],\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

where on the second line of Eq. (50) we have used formula (1) for Ω and formula (2) for r_b . Notice that we chose the same q on the two perpendicular oscillations in Eq. (49), such that the fast oscillations beat in the slow timescale. A typical nonlinear term of this dynamics is the angular momentum of spin defined by Eq. (49). As illustrated in Fig. 1, the balancing of the fast dynamics, Eq. (49), defines an angular-momentum vector (50) associated with the fast spinning. This angular momentum vector rotates at a (*slow!*) frequency that is generically of the order of the orbital frequency and is determined solely by the balancing of the fast dynamics. This slowly rotating gyroscope attached to the electron is the main qualitative feature left after we balance the stiff delay dynamics. According to Eq. (50) this slow frequency is

$$\varpi \equiv \Omega \left[1 + \frac{(\epsilon_2^\rho - \epsilon_1^\rho)}{\theta} \right],\tag{51}$$

Eq. (51) defines a frequency of the order of Ω because ϵ_2^ρ and ϵ_1^ρ are of order θ by the definition of expansion (48). The spin angular momentum vector carries inertia, and its rotation frequency must influence the orbit, such that the slow trajectory should display some oscillation at the frequency ϖ of Eq. (51). We have assumed that the slow orbit is a circular orbit of frequency Ω , therefore we must have that $\Omega = \varpi$! (this is the simple physical consequence of solving for the fast dynamics first!). This physical resonance condition ($\Omega = \varpi$), by use of Eq. (51), yields

$$\epsilon_1^\rho - \epsilon_2^\rho = 0 \tag{52}$$

The calculation of ϵ_1^ρ and ϵ_2^ρ necessitates an expansion of the action to fourth order and shall be given elsewhere. We expect nevertheless that the quasi-degeneracy is preserved, because the stiff limit depends basically on the time light takes to travel between the particles. Once the stiff torus is near the circular orbit, ϵ_1^ρ and ϵ_2^ρ should differ from ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 by a correction which is essentially the same because of the quasi-degeneracy plus a correction of order θ

$$\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \simeq \epsilon_1^\rho - \epsilon_2^\rho + b\theta \tag{53}$$

Condition (52) determines an orbital frequency proportional to a difference of two eigenvalues, a Rydberg-Ritz-like formula

$$\Omega = \Omega(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)/b\theta = \mu \frac{\theta^2}{b}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2). \tag{54}$$

where we have used Kepler's law of Eq. (1). The exact calculation of b demands expanding the action to fourth order and shall be given elsewhere. Since b must be of order one, we shall henceforth set $b = -1$ into Eq. (53) as a qualitative approximation, yielding

$$\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \theta = 0, \tag{55}$$

which has the solutions listed in Table 1. In Ref. [2] we had postulated heuristically a similar type of resonance for an electromagnetic-like problem; one involving the real parts of the λ 's. The resonant orbits found in Ref. [2] were also in the atomic scale and had the same qualitative behavior found here, which seems to be a generic feature of these stiff electromagnetic resonances of the two-body problem. In the last paragraph of Appendix C we derive this same resonance condition (52) from the solvability of a multiscale asymptotic solution. The above-defined root-searching problem of Eq. (55) is well posed and for each

integer q it turns out that one can find a pair of the form (48) if one sacrifices θ in Eqs. (78) and (45), i.e., θ must be quantized! According to QED, circular Bohr orbits have maximal angular momenta and a radiative selection rule ($\Delta l = \pm 1$) restricts the decay from level $k + 1$ to level k only, i.e., circular orbits emit the first line of each spectroscopic series (Lyman, Balmer, Ritz-Paschen, Brackett, etc...), the third column of Table 1. We have solved Eqs. (55) and Eqs. (78) and (45) with a Newton method in the complex λ -plane. Every angular momentum $1/\theta$ determined by Eq. (55) has the correct atomic magnitude. These numerically calculated angular momenta θ^{-1} are given in Table 1, along with the orbital frequency in atomic units $(137^3\Omega)/\mu = 137^3\theta^2(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)$, and the QED first frequency of each spectroscopic series.

$l_z = \theta^{-1}$	$137^3\theta^2(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)$	w_{QED}	q
185.99	3.996×10^{-1}	3.750×10^{-1}	7
307.63	8.831×10^{-1}	6.944×10^{-2}	9
475.08	2.398×10^{-2}	2.430×10^{-2}	11
577.99	1.331×10^{-2}	1.125×10^{-2}	12
694.77	7.667×10^{-3}	6.111×10^{-3}	13
826.22	4.558×10^{-3}	3.685×10^{-3}	14
973.12	2.790×10^{-3}	2.406×10^{-3}	15
1136.27	1.752×10^{-3}	1.640×10^{-3}	16
1316.44	1.127×10^{-3}	1.173×10^{-3}	17
1514.40	7.403×10^{-3}	8.678×10^{-4}	18
1730.93	4.958×10^{-3}	6.600×10^{-4}	19
1966.77	3.379×10^{-4}	5.136×10^{-4}	20
2222.70	2.341×10^{-4}	4.076×10^{-4}	21

Caption to Table 1: Numerically calculated angular momenta $l_z = \theta^{-1}$ in units of e^2/c , orbital frequencies in atomic units $(137\theta)^3 = 137^3\theta^2(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)$, circular lines of QED in atomic units $w_{QED} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2})$, and the values of the integer q of Eq. (48) and Eq. (49).

Table 1 illustrates the fact that a resonance involving the tangent dynamics of a circular orbit predicts magnitudes in the atomic scale, as first discovered in Ref. [2]. In Ref. [2] we had to jump the integer q by twenty units for a complete quantitative and qualitative agreement with the Bohr atom. The qualitative agreement achieved by Table 1 is superior

in this way; only for the first two values of q things are not completely natural, and we had to jump some q 's, after that q increases one by one in qualitative agreement with QED. It remains to be seen if using the correct b given by the expansion about the stiff torus will change things quantitatively. The calculation of b necessitates expanding the action to fourth order and shall be done elsewhere.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In the limit where the proton has an infinite mass, the concept of resonant dissipation loses meaning because the center-of-mass coordinate no longer plays a dynamical role. In this singular limit, there is a Lorentz frame where the proton rests at the origin at all times, and the field at the electron reduces to a simple Coulomb field in the ES. The two-body dynamics in the ES reduces then to the dynamical system of Eliezer's theorem; self-interaction plus a Coulomb field acting on the electron [5, 6]. We repeat this correct dynamics because it is very unpopular [5–8]; With inclusion of self-interaction, it is impossible for the electron to "spiral into the proton". Neither bound states nor dives are possible, only scattering states exist. One accomplishment of the present work is to recognize that only the two-body problem can produce a physically sensible electromagnetic-like model. By expanding the dynamics about a quasi-planetary orbit (the circular orbit), we saw the need for a novel ingredient of the electromagnetic two-body dynamics; the stiff spinning motions!

Another qualitative dynamical picture is suggested by Eliezer's result [5, 6]; The dynamical phenomenon that the electron always turns away from the proton along unidimensional orbits suggests that colinear orbits are the natural attractors of the dissipative dynamics (the ground state of the hydrogen atom, with zero angular momentum!). Along such orbits, the heavy particle (the proton) moves in a non-Coulombian way and the self-interaction provides the repulsive mechanism that avoids the collision at the origin. This is again in agreement with the Schroedinger theory, where the ground state has a zero angular momentum. Again, the infinite-mass case produces unphysical dynamics; the electron turns away from the proton but then it runs away [6]. It remains to be researched if the two-body version of Eliezer's problem has a physical orbit among its zero-angular momentum orbits, by taking proper care of the delay and its associated fast dynamics.

The angular momentum vector of fast spinning is rotating at the orbital frequency, such that the total angular momentum executes precession about an axis that is determined by the initial condition. Because the angular momentum of fast spinning is of the order of the orbital angular momentum, the orbital plane may also oscillate and nutate about an axis that is determined by the initial condition. The electromagnetic fields generated by such motion have this axis as a symmetry axis, and therefore this must be the axis of recoil, since the net radiated momentum calculated with the Poynting vector must be along the symmetry axis. The unbalanced momentum along the symmetry axis is the precise cause of the recoil. We expect that our planar circular orbit is a qualitative approximation to this complex slow motion. The correct multiscale expansion should not start from a circular orbit, but rather from a general slow motion of both particles plus a fast perturbation, and then balance the fast dynamics first. The linearized dynamics about these slowly moving positions is controlled by a 6×6 linear matrix containing the stiff delay modes, as the nonlinear terms couple the z and xy oscillations. This multiscale description should yield differential equations for the slow guiding orbit by a Fredholm alternative[25], and our preliminary findings indicate that these complex guiding orbits shall be found in the atomic region. This detailed research is yet to be done.

In the dynamical process of resonant dissipation, the sharp line is emitted while the dynamics is locked to the neighborhood of the resonant orbit for a long timescale. This long timescale is to be compared to the time of spontaneous decay prescribed by QED for the circular hydrogen lines; about 10^6 orbital turns or 10^{-10} seconds. This is indeed a very long timescale compared to the orbital period and should not be confused with the time for a stiff jump. The stiff modes of Eq. (48) have a frequency of about $\pi q/\theta \simeq 1000$ times the orbital frequency. This fast frequency is about 10^{20} Hertz and resonates with the X-ray frequencies used in the Compton effect [18]. This also sets the timescale for a stiff jump of the dynamics; 10^{-19} seconds (10 attoseconds). It is interesting to notice that this stiff frequency is exactly of the same magnitude of the zitterbewegung of Dirac's relativistic version of Schroedinger's equation[19]. In reference [19] it is also proposed that the spin should be associated with a dynamical motion.

The dynamics starting from an asymptotic resonant orbit to another of a neighboring q should be described by a stiff jump, as expected generically from any stiff equation. In Ref [20], the much simpler Van der Pol oscillator is worked out in detail as an example of

an equation of Lienard type that exhibits stiff jumps. It is popular to use the concept of a quantum jump to describe the stiff passage from one quantum state to another, but the fact that classical electrodynamics prescribes exactly this qualitative phenomenon is news. This quasi-instantaneous fast dynamics could be calculated theoretically and compared to experiment.

The two-body dynamics in the ES solves several conundrums of the hydrogen atom. Most of those conundrums were created by imagining that the equations of electrodynamics would accept nonstiff planetary-like orbits. We have seen that the stiff spinning is necessary if a balance of the fast dynamics is to be achieved in the neighborhood of quasi-planetary orbits, as proved by the Lemma of resonant dissipation of Appendix C. The balanced stiff spinning is a novel and non-planetary feature that introduces a gyroscopic torque in the dynamics. The qualitative agreements with QED are listed in the following; (i) the resonant orbits are naturally quantized by integers and the radiated frequencies agree with the Bohr circular lines within a few percent average deviation. (ii) the angular momenta of the resonant orbits are naturally quantized with the correct Planck's constant. (iii) the stability analysis near the balanced stiff torus defines a linear dynamical system with delay, a dynamical system that needs an initial function as the initial condition, just like Schroedinger's equation. It remains to be seen if this linear operator produces a self-adjoint Freedholm alternative[25], like in Schroedinger's equation. (iv) The emitted frequencies are given by a difference of two eigenvalues of this linear operator, like the Rydberg-Ritz combinatorial principle of quantum physics. (v) the spin angular momentum of the fast toroidal motion is of the order of the lowest orbital angular momentum. The spin that we estimate is still a bit too high for QED, where the electron has a spin angular momentum of $\sqrt{3}\hbar/2$, and perhaps the full equations can cure this[26, 27]. The calculation of the spin angular momentum for the balanced stiff dynamics involves a detailed consideration of all stiff terms and is beyond the estimates of the present work.

We exhibited a new solution of two-body motion in Dirac's electrodynamics of *point* charges. The stiff dynamics appears naturally in the two-body dynamics because of the delay, and it has been so far overlooked. The balancing of the fast dynamics leads naturally to fast spinning motions; the multiscale analysis imposes resonances, and these turn out to be satisfied precisely in the atomic magnitude! The large body of qualitative and quantitative agreement with QED suggests that further extensive studies of this two-body dynamics [4]

could offer an explanation of QED in terms of a stiff dynamical system with third derivatives and delay.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

I thank Reginaldo Napolitano and Savio B. Rodrigues for discussions.

IX. APPENDIX A: ELIEZER'S ELECTRODYNAMICS OF POINT CHARGES

In Eliezer's generalized electrodynamics[4], the field produced by the point charge is supposed to be the retarded field plus a free field G

$$F_{\mu}^{\nu} = F_{\mu,ret}^{\nu} + G_{\mu}^{\nu}. \quad (56)$$

The free field G should satisfy Maxwell's equations, be finite along the particle's worldline and vanish if the particle is at rest. The choice of Eliezer in [4] is

$$G_{\mu}^{\nu} = k(F_{\mu,ret}^{\nu} - F_{\mu,adv}^{\nu}), \quad (57)$$

where k is a fundamental parameter of nature related to the covariant limit producing the point charge [4]. This generalized electromagnetic setting is henceforth called the Eliezer setting (ES). Analogously to Dirac's theory [1], Eliezer's self-interaction is given by the sourceless combination of half of the retarded Liénard-Wiechert self-potential minus half of the advanced Liénard-Wiechert self-potential, but multiplied by a renormalizing factor of $(1 + 2k)$. This gives the following concise description of the ES; Charges interact with themselves via the semi-difference of Liénard-Wiechert self-potentials and with other charges via a linear combination of Liénard-Wiechert potentials. In Eliezer's theory the electron and the proton of a hydrogen atom have the following equations of motion [4]

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \dot{v}_{1\mu} - \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)[\ddot{v}_{1\mu} - ||v_1||^2 v_{1\mu}] &= -[F_{\mu,in}^{\nu} + (1 + k)F_{\mu2,ret}^{\nu} - kF_{\mu2,adv}^{\nu}]v_{1\nu}, \\ m_2 \dot{v}_{2\mu} - \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)[\ddot{v}_{2\mu} - ||v_2||^2 v_{2\mu}] &= [F_{\mu,in}^{\nu} + (1 + k)F_{\mu1,ret}^{\nu} - kF_{\mu1,adv}^{\nu}]v_{2\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where $v_{i\mu}$ stands for the quadrivelocity of particle i , double bars stand for the Minkowski scalar product and the dot represents derivative respect to the proper time of each particle.

We are using units where the electron and the proton have charges -1 and 1 respectively and the speed of light is $c = 1$. The ES has three important limits; (i) For $k = 0$ the ES reduces to Dirac's electrodynamics with retarded-only fields [1]. (ii) For $k = -1/2$ the ES reduces to the action-at-a-distance equations of motion derived from Fokker's Lagrangian [17] (notice that the self-interaction terms disappear). (iii) For $k \simeq -1/2$ the ES approximates the dissipative Fokker electromagnetic setting of Ref. [2] with a charge renormalization controlled by $(1 + 2k)$. The ES is discussed in the excellent review of Ref. [4].

X. APPENDIX B: LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS ALONG THE \hat{z} DIRECTION

In this appendix we perform the linear stability analysis of the circular orbits for displacements perpendicular to the orbital plane, henceforth called the \hat{z} -direction. We expand to second order the implicit light-cone condition and the action (7), in the same way of Section V. The linearized- z dynamics is uncoupled from the planar dynamics. The Cartesian coordinates of a transversely perturbed circular orbit are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} x_k + iy_k &\equiv r_b d_k \exp(i\Omega t), \\ x_k - iy_k &\equiv r_b d_k^* \exp(-i\Omega t), \\ z_k &\equiv r_b Z_k, \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where $k = 1$ for the electron and $k = 2$ for the proton, Z_k is the small transverse perturbation, $d_1 \equiv b_1$ and $d_2 \equiv -b_2$ are defined in Eq. (3) and Ω is the orbital frequency defined above Eq. (3). We introduce again the delay function φ of the Z_1 and Z_2 perturbations by expanding the light-cone time t_2 about the constant lag r_b precisely by Eq. (15). In the following we calculate this homogeneous function φ of Z_1 and Z_2 up to the quadratic order. The distance r_{12} entering Eq. (9) is to be evaluated from the position of particle 1 at time t_1 , to the position of particle 2 at time t_2 defined by Eq.(59). Using t_2 defined by Eq. (9) and the orbit of particle 2 of Eq. (59), the implicit distance $r_{12} = |t_2 - t_1|$ from particle 1 at time t_1 to particle 2 at time t_2 is

$$r_{12}^2 \equiv r_b^2(1 + \phi)^2 = r_b^2[b_1^2 + b_2^2 + 2b_1b_2 \cos(\varphi + \theta c)] + r_b^2(Z_1 - Z_{2c})^2. \tag{60}$$

Where we again expressed the φ of Eq. (15) in terms of the scaled function ϕ defined in Eq. (26). Notice that the Z variations decouple from the planar variations because there

is no mixed linear term of Z times a linear perturbation of the planar coordinate in Eq. (60); terms in Z only appear squared. Expanding Eq. (60) up to the second order and rearranging yields

$$\phi^2 + 2S\phi = (Z_1 - Z_{2c})^2 \quad (61)$$

a quadratic equation for ϕ with the regular solution correct to second order given by

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2S}(Z_1 - Z_{2c})^2. \quad (62)$$

The coordinate Z_2 appears evaluated at the advanced/retarded time in Eq. (62), and to obtain the action up to quadratic terms it is sufficient to keep the first term $Z_2(\tau_1 + c\theta + \varphi) \simeq Z_2(\tau_1 + c\theta) \equiv Z_{2c}$. Using the z -perturbed orbit defined by Eq. (59) to calculate the numerator of the VA interaction of Eq. (8) yields

$$(1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2c}) = 1 + \theta^2 \cos(\theta + c\varphi)b_1b_2 - \theta^2 \dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_{2c} \approx \quad (63)$$

$$C - \theta^2(S - 1)\phi - \theta^2 \dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_{2c},$$

and the denominator of the VA interaction of Eq. (8) is

$$r_{12}(1 + \mathbf{n}_{12c} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2c}/c) = r_b[1 + \phi + \theta cb_1b_2 \sin(\theta c + \varphi_c) + \theta c(Z_1 - Z_{2c})\dot{Z}_{2c}]. \quad (64)$$

Notice that the quadratic term $Z_{2c}\dot{Z}_{2c}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (64) can be dropped because it represents an exact Gauge that does not affect the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion. We also expand the argument of the sign function of the right-hand side of Eq. (64) until the linear term in φ_c , such that the quadratic approximation to Eq. (64) is

$$r_{12}(1 + \mathbf{n}_{12c} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2c}/c) \approx r_b[S + C\phi + \theta cZ_1\dot{Z}_{2c}], \quad (65)$$

where the equivalence sign \approx henceforth means equivalent up to a Gauge term of second order. Even if a quadratic Gauge term appears in the denominator, in an expansion up to quadratic order it would still produce a Gauge and therefore it can be dropped directly from the denominator. In this way, the expansion up to second order of the VA interaction of Eq. (7) is simply

$$\tilde{\Theta} \approx \left(\frac{C}{r_b S}\right) \left\{ (1+k) \left[1 - \theta^2 C S^2 \dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_{2-} - \frac{C^2 S}{2} (Z_1 - Z_{2-})^2 + \theta C^2 S Z_1 \dot{Z}_{2-} \right] \right. \quad (66)$$

$$\left. - k \left[1 - \theta^2 C S^2 \dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_{2+} - \frac{C^2 S}{2} (Z_1 - Z_{2+})^2 - \theta C^2 S Z_1 \dot{Z}_{2+} \right] \right\}.$$

Last, we need the kinetic energy along the z -perturbed circular orbit, which we express in terms of Z_1 of definition (59) as

$$T_1 = -m_1 \sqrt{1 - v_1^2} = -\frac{m_1}{\gamma_1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma_1^2 \theta^2 \dot{Z}_1^2}, \quad (67)$$

where the dot means derivative with respect to the scaled time τ , $\gamma_1^{-1} \equiv \sqrt{1 - v_1^2}$, and we have used $\Omega r_b = \theta$. The expansion of Eq. (67) up to second order is

$$T_1 = \left(\frac{1}{r_b}\right) \left\{ \frac{-r_b m_1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \dot{Z}_1^2 + \dots \right\}, \quad (68)$$

where $\epsilon_1 \equiv m_1 r_b \gamma_1 \theta^2 = r_b^3 m_1 \gamma_1 \Omega^2$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for particle 1 of the isolated two-body problem is determined from the quadratic Lagrangian

$$L_1 = T_1 + \tilde{\Theta}. \quad (69)$$

We shall henceforth disregard the small corrections to the quadratic coefficients and use $C = 1$, $S = 1$, which is the stiff-limit. The equation of motion for particle 1 is

$$\epsilon_1 \ddot{Z}_1 = -[Z_1 - (1+k)Z_{2-} + kZ_{2+}] + \theta[k\dot{Z}_{2+} + (1+k)\dot{Z}_{2-}] + \theta^2[k\ddot{Z}_{2+} - (1+k)\ddot{Z}_{2-}]. \quad (70)$$

Notice that the term on the left-hand side of Eq. (70) can be written as

$$\epsilon_1 \ddot{Z}_1 = r_b^3 m_1 \gamma_1 \Omega^2 \ddot{Z}_1 = r_b^2 \frac{dp_z}{dt}, \quad (71)$$

which is proportional to the force along the z -direction multiplied by the factor r_b^2 . According to the equation of motion of the ES (see appendix A), we must add the following self-interaction term to the right-hand side of Eq. (70)

$$r_b^2 \mathbf{F}_{rad} = \frac{2}{3} (1+2k) \theta^3 \dddot{Z}_1, \quad (72)$$

where the triple dot means three derivatives with respect to the scaled time and we have used Eq. (10). The full linearized equation of motion for Z_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 \ddot{Z}_1 = & \frac{2}{3} (1+2k) \theta^3 \dddot{Z}_1 - [Z_1 + kZ_{2+} - (1+k)Z_{2-}] \\ & + \theta[k\dot{Z}_{2+} + (1+k)\dot{Z}_{2-}] + \theta^2[k\ddot{Z}_{2+} - (1+k)\ddot{Z}_{2-}]. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

The linearized equation for Z_2 is completely analogous and is obtained by interchanging Z_1 by Z_2 and ϵ_1 by ϵ_2 in Eq. (73). (Comparing Eq. (73) to Eq. (30) of Ref. [2] we find that

Eqs. (29) and (30) of Ref.[2] are both missing a θ^3 factor in front of the \ddot{Z}_1 term, which is just a typo in Ref. [2] because from Eq. (31) on in Ref [2] the self-interaction force was included correctly). The general solution of a linear delay equation can be obtained by Laplace transform [15] and is a linear combination of the following normal mode solutions. A normal mode solution is obtained by substituting $Z_1 = A \exp(p\tau)$ and $Z_2 = B \exp(p\tau)$ into the two linearized equations, and requires the vanishing of the following 2×2 determinant

$$\det Z \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_1 p^2 - \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)\theta^3 p^3 & G(\theta, p) \\ G(\theta, p) & 1 + \epsilon_2 p^2 - \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)\theta^3 p^3 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (74)$$

where $G(\theta, p) \equiv [1 - (1 + 2k)p\theta - \theta^2 p^2] \cosh(p\theta) - [(1 + 2k) - \theta p - (1 + 2k)\theta^2 p^2] \sinh(p\theta)$. The stiff limit obtained when $p\theta$ is large, such that the hyperbolic functions of the $G(\theta, p)$ acquire a large magnitude [16]. In the following we use the Coulombian limit values, $b_1 = m_2/M$ and $b_2 = m_1/M$, (see Appendix B of Ref. [2]) to evaluate determinant (74), such that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= \frac{M}{m_2}, \\ \epsilon_2 &= \frac{M}{m_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

For small θ , the second-order and higher even-order corrections to Eq. (75) are very small. Defining $p \equiv \lambda/\theta$ and using Eq. (75) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M\lambda^4}(\det Z) &= 1 - \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2k)\theta^2\lambda + \frac{4}{9}\frac{\mu}{M}\theta^4\lambda^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{(1 + 2k)}{\lambda} \right] \cosh(\lambda) - \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} + (1 + 2k)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \right] \sinh(\lambda) \right\}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where we have dropped small $O(\theta^2)$ terms. Equation (76) exhibits the generic feature of the stiff-limit; that the hyperbolic functions always appear multiplied by the very small coefficient $\mu\theta^4/M$. The stiff-mode condition defined by Eq. (76) ($\det Z = 0$) with $k = -1/2$ is equation (33) of Ref.[2], i.e.,

$$1 - \frac{2}{3}\theta^2\lambda + \frac{4\mu}{9M}\theta^4\lambda^2 - \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) [\cosh^2(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sinh(2\lambda)] \right]^2 = 0. \quad (77)$$

Notice that in Ref [2] there is a typo in passing from Eq. (33) to Eq. (34); Eq. (34) is missing a bracket that should start after the $\frac{\mu\theta^4}{M}$ factor and close at the end of Eq. (34).

The special case of $k = 0$ is Dirac's theory with retarded-only fields

$$1 - \frac{2}{3}\theta^2\lambda + \frac{4\mu}{9M}\theta^4\lambda^2 - \frac{\mu\theta^4}{M} [1 + \exp(-2\lambda)] \left(1 + \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^3} + \frac{1}{\lambda^4} \right) = 0, \quad (78)$$

where the appearance of the negative exponential only is related to the retardation-only, instead of the hyperbolic functions related to advanced and retarded interactions. Comparing Eq. (76) to Eq. (44) we can see that the phenomenon of quasi-degeneracy exists only for $k = 0$ (Dirac's theory) and for $k = -1/2$ (the action-at-a-distance electrodynamics and the dissipative Fokker theory of Ref. [2]).

XI. APPENDIX C: LEMMA OF RESONANT DISSIPATION

Substituting the circular orbit into the equations of motion, Eqs. (58), one finds at leading order for the electronic motion an offending term described by a force opposite to the electronic velocity

$$r_b^2 F_1 = r_b^2 \frac{2}{3} \ddot{x}_1 = -\frac{2e^2}{3c^3} \Omega^2 r_b^2 \dot{x}_1 = -\frac{2}{3} \theta^3. \quad (79)$$

where we have included the scaling factor r_b^2 as of Eq. (72). Along the trajectory of the proton, the delayed Liénard-Wiechert interaction with the electron is the main offending force against the velocity, instead of the much smaller protonic self-interaction. Using the Page series in the same way of Ref. [13], we find a force against the protonic velocity of the same magnitude of Eq.(79), as illustrated in Fig. 2. These offending forces destabilize the unperturbed circular motion with a small torque and a slow dissipation. In quantum electrodynamics (QED) the circular Bohr orbits[3] of hydrogen correspond to excited states that decay to the ground state in a life-time of about 10^6 turns. Using Eq. (79) to estimate the energy dissipation along circular orbits of the atomic magnitude, one finds a net dissipation of about 4 electron-volts after the life-time of 10^6 turns, an order-one fraction of the binding energy (13.6 electron-volts). This radiative instability in the slow timescale is an incomplete picture of the dynamics because it disregards the fast stiff motion that is present because of the delay. Let us postulate heuristically that along some special circular orbits the fast dynamics encircles the circular orbit as illustrated in Fig. 1. If the linearization about the circular orbit, Eq. (49), is averaged over a timescale of some turns, the stiff dynamics goes away and the resulting variable is a slow moving drift (representing the recoil of the state

of resonant dissipation). For that slow motion we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\xi}_1(\tau) &= \bar{\xi}_{1+}(\tau) = \bar{\xi}_{1-}(\tau), \\ \bar{\xi}_2(\tau) &= \bar{\xi}_{2+}(\tau) = \bar{\xi}_{2-}(\tau),\end{aligned}\tag{80}$$

since we are averaging the argument over a time of many turns, a time much longer than the delay θ . We are ready to prove that the dynamics of the state of resonant dissipation must necessarily involve a nonlinear term of the expansion about a circular orbit.

Lemma: If the averaged dynamics is determined only by the linear terms plus the offending forcing, the state of resonant dissipation is impossible. To show this we average the full equation of motion of the ξ_1 variable, which is

$$\left\langle \frac{2\theta^3}{3}(\ddot{u}_1 + ib_1) \right\rangle + \bar{l}_{1\xi} - ib_1\theta^3 + NL = 0,\tag{81}$$

where the term inside brackets is the average of the linearized correction to the self-interaction force, $\bar{l}_{1\xi}$ stands for the average of Eq. (41) and NL stands for the average of the nonlinear terms. The time averages of the term inside brackets and of the first and second derivatives in Eq. (41) are zero, such that discarding the nonlinear term of Eq. (81) yields the *non-homogeneous* linear equation

$$\begin{aligned}& -(N_{11} + \theta^2 G)\bar{\xi}_1 - (M_1 + U_{11})\bar{\eta}_1 \\ & -(R_+^* + R_-^*)\bar{\xi}_2 - (P_+ + P_-)\bar{\eta}_2 \\ & = ib_1\theta^3.\end{aligned}\tag{82}$$

Performing the same average for the other three coordinates, we obtain a system of four non-homogeneous linear equations. The matrix of this linear system has a zero determinant that is directly related to the Galilean translation mode of the circular orbit, such that it defines a singular linear operator. Moreover, the forcing term turns out to be out of the image of the singular linear operator, such that the linear system has no solution at all! This completes the proof of our lemma.

Last, we mention a derivation of Eq. (52) from the equations of motion in the form (81); This can be accomplished by placing the linearization about the stiff torus on the left-hand side (henceforth called the Kernel) and the forcing and nonlinear terms on the right-hand side. In this way the right-hand side has to be orthogonal to the left-eigenvectors of the

Kernel, which is the Fredholm alternative theorem discussed for example in Refs. [24, 25]. Multiplying the Kernel by a left-eigenvector and integrating on the fast timescale has exactly the form of the conservation law for angular momentum on the slow time scale. This shall be studied elsewhere.

XII. APPENDIX D: HARMONIC SOLUTION AND SPINORIAL UNFOLDING

The lemma of resonant dissipation of Appendix C suggests the participation of the nonlinear terms to achieve the state of resonant dissipation of Fig. 1. We shall see in the following that because of the fast frequency in the stiff terms, even at small amplitudes the nonlinear stiff terms give a large contribution to the self-force. Our perturbation scheme started from a guiding circular orbit that is not a solution to the equations of motion, because of the offending force of Eq. (79). In the following we show how to balance this offending force at a small distance away from the circular orbit. The full Lorentz-Dirac self-force is given in page 116 of Ref [22], expressed in terms of the Cartesian velocity of the particle, \mathbf{v}_1 , its acceleration \mathbf{a}_1 and its second acceleration $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_1$ respectively. This full self-force multiplied by the convenient scaling factor of Eq. (72) is

$$r_b^2 \mathbf{F}_1 = \frac{2}{3} \gamma_1^3 r_b^2 \{ \dot{\mathbf{a}}_1 + 3\gamma^2 (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1) \mathbf{a}_1 + \gamma_1^2 [(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \dot{\mathbf{a}}_1) + 3\gamma_1^2 (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1)^2] \mathbf{v}_1 \}. \quad (83)$$

Assuming that the particle coordinates are described by a slow term plus a fast term, Eq. (49), we use Eq. (83) as follows; We estimate each coefficient of the \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{a}_1 and $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_1$ terms by substituting the fast component of the trajectory, Eq. (49), and taking the time average. For the estimate below, the vectors \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{a}_1 and $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_1$ are replaced by the slow quantities evaluated along the unperturbed orbit. For example the coefficient of the velocity term in Eq. (83) (r_b^2 times the term inside the square brackets on the right-hand side of Eq. (83)) is

$$r_b^2 \gamma_1^2 [(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \dot{\mathbf{a}}_1) + 3\gamma_1^2 (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1)^2] = \gamma_1^2 |\lambda|^4 \rho^2 (3\gamma_1^2 |\lambda|^2 \rho^2 - 1) \quad (84)$$

Notice that for a large enough ρ there is a critical value where this coefficient changes sign, or

$$3\gamma_1^2 |\lambda|^2 \rho^2 > 1, \quad (85)$$

We show in the following that the value of ρ_1 must be very near this critical value. The self-forces for the electron and proton, both measured along the direction of the electronic

velocity, are

$$r_b^2 F_1 = \frac{2}{3}[-\theta^3 b_1 + \gamma_1^2 |\lambda|^4 \rho_1^2 (3\gamma_1^2 |\lambda|^2 \rho_1^2 - 1)\theta b_1], \quad (86)$$

$$r_b^2 F_2 = \frac{2}{3}[\theta^3 b_2 - \gamma_2^2 |\lambda|^4 \rho_2^2 (3\gamma_2^2 |\lambda|^2 \rho_2^2 - 1)\theta b_2], \quad (87)$$

where b_1 and b_2 are given by Eq. (3). In the state of resonant dissipation, the relative separation of the particles executes a circular motion, such that the tangential force must vanish

$$b_1 F_1 - b_2 F_2 = 0, \quad (88)$$

with $b_1 = m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$ and $b_2 = m_1/(m_1 + m_2)$. Because $b_2 \ll 1$ we can disregard the protonic contribution such that Eq. (88) yields

$$\rho_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3|\lambda|^2} \left(1 + \frac{\theta^2}{|\lambda|^4 \rho_1^2}\right)} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3|\lambda|^2}}. \quad (89)$$

This distance is a few percent of the orbital radius, and it is some 500 classical electronic radii for θ in the atomic scale. The spin angular momentum of the electron calculated by Eq. (50) is $|l| \sim 1/(6\pi q\theta^2)$, which is of the order of the orbital angular momentum.

The nonlinear correction to Eq. (78) can be estimated by taking into account the nonlinear terms of the Lorentz-Dirac self-force. This correction to Eq. (78), estimated along a *harmonic* solution for the averaged equations of tangent dynamics, in a way explained above, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{2}{\lambda_z} - \frac{1}{\lambda_z^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_z^3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_z^4} + \dots\right) \left(\frac{\mu\theta^4}{M}\right) \exp(-2\lambda_z) \\ &= 1 - 2\rho^2 |\lambda|^3 - \frac{2}{3}\theta^2 \lambda_z + \frac{4\mu}{M}\theta^4 \lambda_z^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Because of the extra term on the right-hand side of Eq. (90), a harmonic solution to the *nonlinear* equations of tangent dynamics exists if

$$\rho \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|\lambda|^{3/2}}. \quad (91)$$

The fact that the two *necessary* and *independent* estimates (89) and (91) agree suggests that the stiff torus of Fig. 1 is a solution of Dirac's equations of motion[1] for the two-body problem! In summary, our perturbative scheme shows that the stiff torus of Fig. 2

is a solution to the equations of motion as follows; (i) We expand about a circular orbit and calculate the radius of the stiff torus by the condition that a *harmonic* solution to the nonlinear equations of tangent dynamics exists (Eq. 91). (ii) This balanced stiff spinning about the circular orbit cancels the offending force of Eq. (79), predicting a radius by (89) that is in agreement with the radius of (91). (iii) Resonance condition (52) is a necessary condition for the existence of an asymptotic expansion of the dynamics about the stiff torus, i.e., the condition for a smooth recoil of the atom as a *bound state*. The detailed unfolding of the equations of tangent dynamics is beyond the estimates of this Appendix and necessitates the full nonlinear equations.

XIII. CAPTIONS

Fig. 1: The guiding-center circular orbit of each particle is illustrated by dashed lines. Particle trajectories are the stiff tori gyrating about the guiding-center circular orbit of each particle (dark solid lines). Illustrative purposes only, the radii are not on scale. Arbitrary units.

Fig. 2: The unperturbed circular orbit with the particles in diametral opposition at the same time in the inertial frame. Indicated is also the advanced position of particle 1 and the angle travelled during the light-cone time. The drawing is not on scale; The circular orbit of the proton has an exaggerated radius for illustrative purposes. Arbitrary units.

-
- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, ser. A* **167**,148 (1938).
 - [2] J. De Luca, *Physical Review E* , **71** 056210 (2005).
 - [3] N. Bohr, *Philos. Mag.*, **26**, 1 (1913); **26**, 476 (1913).
 - [4] C. Jayaratnam Eliezer, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **19** (1947).
 - [5] C.J. Eliezer, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **39**, 173 (1943).
 - [6] S. Parrott, *Foundations of Physics* **23**, 1093 (1993).
 - [7] A. Carati, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34**, 5937 (2001).
 - [8] M. Marino, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* **36**, 11247 (2003).

- [9] A. Schild, *Phys. Rev.* **131** 2762 (1963); A. Schild, *Science* **138** 994 (1962).
- [10] M. Schonberg, *Phys. Rev.* **69**, 211 (1946).
- [11] J. L. Anderson, *Principles of Relativity Physics* , Academic press, New York (1967), page 225.
- [12] L. Page, *Physical Review* **24**, 296 (1924).
- [13] J. De Luca, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 680 (1998) .
- [14] C. M. Andersen and H. C. von Baeyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 802 (1972).
- [15] R. E. Bellman and K.L.Cooke, *Differential-Difference Equations*, Academic Press, New York (1963), page 393.
- [16] A. Staruszkiewicz, *Acta Physica Polonica*, **XXXIII**, 1007 (1968).
- [17] J. A. Wheeler and R. P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **17**, 157 (1945); J. A. Wheeler and R. P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 425 (1949).
- [18] J. N. Dodd, *Eur. J. Phys.* **4**, 205 (1983).
- [19] D. Hestenes, *Am. J. Phys.* **47**, 399 (1979), D. Hestenes, *Foundations of Physics* **15**, 63 (1983).
- [20] J. Grasman, *Asymptotic Methods for Relaxation Oscillations and Applications, Applied Mathematical Sciences* , **63**, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1987).
- [21] J. De Luca, to be published.
- [22] F. Rohrlich, *Classical Charged Particles* Addison-Wesley Publishing, NY (1965).
- [23] J. De Luca, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 2060 (2000).
- [24] J. De Luca , R. Napolitano and V. Bagnato, *Physical Review A*, **55** R1597 (1997), J. De Luca, R. Napolitano and V. Bagnato, *Physics Letters A* **233** 79 (1997).
- [25] J. Mallet-Paret, *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations* **11**, 1 (1999).
- [26] W. Appel and M. K.-H.Kiessling, *Annals of Physics (NY)* **289**, 24 (2001)
- [27] Sin-itiro Tomonaga, Translated by Takeshi Oka, *The story of spin* The University of Chicago Press, Ltd., London (1997).

