

G. Van Hooydonk, Ghent University, Faculty of Sciences, Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Ghent (Belgium)

Abstract. The claim that molecule $H\bar{H}$ is unstable cannot be a proof as it is based on a wrong premise (assumption), which invalidates the proof. This is illustrated with 4 examples, including observed natural hydrogen-antihydrogen oscillations never detected previously.

The premise for claim [1] that with pure Coulomb forces no bound state of hydrogen-antihydrogen exists is not absolutely true [2-3]. Disregarding pure Coulomb forces for $H\bar{H}$ is dangerous since their main energy effects are on the field axis. Discussing $H\bar{H}$ exclusively with (Jacobi) masses [1] is also dangerous, as mass is connected with charge-separation. We discuss 4 pure Coulomb effects to invalidate [1].

(i) *Atom hydrogen-antihydrogen difference.* With relatively accurate Bohr theory, energies of left- and right-handed atoms are degenerate since pure Coulomb forces are identical for e_1e_2 (H) and e_2e_1 (\bar{H}). For Bohr, a distinction is purely conventional, meaning that Bohr theory is achiral. It would then have been normal to interpret the small errors of achiral Bohr theory as signatures for chiral behavior, a simple solution, always overlooked. Yet in sophisticated bound state QED, errors of achiral Bohr theory are explained with a quartic, which is very suspicious. A quartic for a neutral 2-fermion system points to its chiral behavior [4]. Or, the observed quartic proves that natural anti-hydrogen exists [4], contradicting [1].

(ii) *Hydrogen-antihydrogen interaction.* Pure Coulomb effects on 4-fermion system stability must be assessed unambiguously before validating [1]. The $H\bar{H}$ non-relativistic 10 term Hamiltonian $H_+ = H_0 + \Delta H$ has atomic threshold H_0 and perturbation $+\Delta H$, consisting of 4 pure Coulomb terms. $H\bar{H}$ charge-conjugated Hamiltonian $H_- = H_0 - \Delta H$ suggests –without proof– that anti-symmetrical $H\bar{H}$ states are repulsive, if only attractive symmetrical $H\bar{H}$ states give stable system H_2 . Mutually exclusive $H_{\pm} = H_0 \pm \Delta H$ contradict the convention that stable H_2 is charge-symmetrical $H\bar{H}$. It can be proved theoretically and experimentally [5] that stable H_2 is charge-anti-symmetrical $H\bar{H}$. Errors with symmetries for H and H_2 disprove claim [1], as both \bar{H} and $H\bar{H}$ exist in nature and both are stable [4-5]. These arguments suffice to flaw [1] but pure Coulomb effects for $H\bar{H}$ have more implications [5].

(iii) *Hydrogen-antihydrogen oscillations* [6]. The energy difference δ between states HH and $H\bar{H}$ in (ii) is $\delta = H_0 - \Delta H - (H_0 + \Delta H) = -2\Delta H$ a pure static Coulomb effect completely neglected in [1]. $H-\bar{H}$ oscillations $h\nu$ must obey pure Coulomb quantum gap δ if $h\nu = \delta$. Scaling gap δ gives

$$\delta' = \delta / (e^2/r_0) = -2r_0(-1/r_{bA} - 1/r_{aB} + 1/r_{aB} + 1/r_{aB})$$

With $r_{AB} = R$, $r_{aA} = r_{bB} = r_0 = 0,5291 \text{ \AA}$ and a 4-fermion model with leptons rotating in phase in a plane, perpendicular to R , this gives simply

$$\delta' = \delta / (e^2/r_0) = -4(0,5291/R)[1 - (1 + (0,5291/R)^2)^{-1/2}]$$

a genuine *ab initio* theoretical result for pure Coulomb long-range effects, with the prospect of detecting $H-\bar{H}$ -oscillations.




Fig. 1 δ' vs u' ($\delta' = 1,0667u' - 0,0103$; fit $R^2 = 0,9945$)

The H_2 potential energy curve [7] gives observed long-range behavior. We use energies $u' = (U_{\infty} - U_R) / (e^2/r_0)$ for 11 outer turning points below the threshold. The linear plot δ' vs u' in Fig. 1 immediately probes $H-\bar{H}$ oscillations obeying prediction $h\nu = \delta$. Pure Coulomb effects, completely neglected in [1], can even solve this difficult problem with $H-\bar{H}$ oscillations, if not B-L symmetry breaking [6]. With \bar{H} forbidden in nature, oscillation times are 10^{20} sec in the SM [6]. With natural \bar{H} , these are 10^{-15} sec, a common sense but extremely large discrepancy of 10^{35} !

(iv) *Matter-antimatter asymmetry* [9]. Pure Coulomb effects in (i-iii), unjustly disregarded [1], are also needed for this physical/cosmological problem [9]. From the quartic in (i) it is obvious that matter H is different from antimatter \bar{H} . But with (ii)-(iii) it is evident that amounts of matter H and antimatter \bar{H} in stable $H\bar{H}$ or H_2 must be equal for classical stoichiometric reasons. Hydrogen being the most abundant species in the Universe, this long-standing and difficult problem is removed [5]. Claim [1], inspired by \bar{H} -experiments [10-11], cannot be a proof as it is based on a wrong premise, which, by pure logic, contradicts [1].

- [1] DK Gridnev, C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** 223402 2005
- [2] EAG Armour, C Chamberlain, Few-Body Systems **31** 101 2002
- [3] JM Richard, Phys Rev A **49** 3573 1994; Few-Body Systems **31** 107 2002
- [4] G. Van Hooydonk, Acta Phys. Hung. NS **19** 385 2004; Phys. Rev. A **66** 044103 2002
- [5] G. Van Hooydonk, Eur Phys J D **32** 299 2005; Spectrochim Acta A **56** 2273 2000; submitted
- [6] RN Mohapatra, G. Senjanovic, Phys Rev D **27** 254 1983
- [7] S Weismann, JJ Vanderslice, RJ Battina, J Chem Phys **39** 226 1963
- [9] M Dine, A Kosenko, Rev Mod Phys **76** 1 2004
- [10] M Amoretti et al Nature **419** 456 2002
- [11] G Gabrielse et al Phys Rev Lett. **89** 213401 2002; **89** 233401 2002