

# A Novel General Theoretical Derivation of Newton's Experimental Formula for Collision of Particles

R.C.Gupta\*, Ruchi Gupta\*\* and Sanjay Gupta\*\*\*

An experimental formula, sometimes named as Newton's collision-formula,  $(v_1-v_2) = -e.(u_1-u_2)$  relating relative-velocities before & after impact of two bodies under linear-collision, is commonly used successfully for study of collision-dynamics. Although it seems possible as shown in text-books [1,2] to derive this relation, assuming (defining) 'e' as ratio of impulses during restitution & deformation; but as yet, neither a good theoretical-basis nor a general-derivation of this formula based on obvious choice of energy-consideration, has been reported. In this brief-paper a relativistic-theoretical-basis is adopted and a general formula-derivation is given. It is shown, interestingly, that proof of the Newton's collision-formula is hidden in Einstein's-special-relativity.

Consider two particles 1 & 2 moving in the same (+ive x) direction with initial velocities  $u_1$  &  $u_2$  before impact and that its velocities after the impact are  $v_1$  &  $v_2$  in the same directions; such that relative-velocity before-impact is  $u_r$  and that after-impact it is  $v_r$ . Newton's famous experimental collision-formula given in text-books [1,2] relates these with the equation  $v_r/u_r = -e$  or  $(v_1-v_2)/(u_1-u_2) = -e$ , where e is said to be coefficient of restitution (normally,  $0 < e < 1$ ; but for perfect-elastic-collision  $e = 1$  and for perfect-plastic-collision  $e = 0$ ). The relativistic basis and a novel general theoretical derivation of this formula based on energy-consideration are described as follows.

In an effort to derive this formula a relativistic approach / basis is chosen. It may be noted that according to Einstein's 'special theory of relativity' [3] conclusion made by a moving-observer in his-frame of reference is same as the conclusion made by a laboratory-observer in the laboratory-frame. Now, imagine a mass-less observer sitting on particle-2 (or the particle-2 itself as an observer) so that to him - particle-2 is at rest and particle-1 moves with a relative-velocity  $u_r$  before-impact and with relative-velocity  $v_r$  after-impact. Mass-energy ( $E = mc^2$ ) conservation before & after impact yields the following equation, using the relativistic mass  $m = m_0/(1 - v^2/c^2)^{1/2}$ ; where  $m_{10}$  &  $m_{20}$  are the rest-masses of the two particles with-respect-to the moving observer,  $c$  is velocity of light.

$$m_{10}/(1 - u_r^2/c^2)^{1/2} .c^2 + m_{20}.c^2 = m_{10}/(1 - v_r^2/c^2)^{1/2} .c^2 + m_{20}.c^2 + \text{losses} \quad (1)$$

This equation reduces to the following equation, neglecting higher-order-terms (in binomial-expansion) since collision-velocities are much smaller than the velocity of light.

$$\frac{1}{2} m_{10}.u_r^2 = \frac{1}{2} m_{10}.v_r^2 + \text{losses} \quad (2)$$

Considering that energy-loss in collision is a fraction ( $\delta$ ) of the energy  $\frac{1}{2} m_{10}.u_r^2$ , this equation further reduces to,

$$v_r / u_r = \pm (1-\delta)^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

---

\* Dr. R.C.Gupta, Professor & Head, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Engineering & Technology (IET), Lucknow, India. (E-mail: rcg\_iet(at)hotmail.com).

\*\* Ruchi Gupta, Software Engineer, Cisco Systems, CA, USA. (ruchig(at)stanfordalumni.org).

\*\*\* Sanjay Gupta, Staff Engineer, Vitria Technologies, CA, USA. (sanjaygu(at)gmail.com).

Since  $u_r$  is the relative approaching velocity before-impact and that for-collision the two particles approach to the closest, and since after-collision these have to separate out; +ive sign on RHS of the equation-3 is omitted and -ive sign is retained. Taking (defining)  $e = (1-\delta)^{1/2}$ , the Newton's experimental collision-formula is arrived at as following (Eqs. 4 & 5). It may be noted (from  $e = (1-\delta)^{1/2}$ ) that for perfect-elastic-collision with no energy loss  $\delta = 0$  hence  $e = 1$ , and that for perfect-plastic-collision with full energy loss  $\delta = 1$  hence  $e = 0$ .

$$v_r / u_r = - e = (1-\delta)^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

Further, from Einstein's relativistic velocity addition [3] it is known that  $u_r = (u_1-u_2)/(1+u_1.u_2/c^2)$  and  $v_r = (v_1-v_2)/(1+v_1.v_2/c^2)$  which are approximately simplified (as per Galilean relativity) as  $u_r = (u_1-u_2)$  and  $v_r = (v_1-v_2)$ ; hence the derived relation (Eq.4)  $v_r / u_r = - e$  gives the following commonly known/used Newton's experimental collision- formula (Eq.5).

$$(v_1 - v_2) / (u_1 - u_2) = - e \quad (5)$$

Thus the novel theoretical relativistic derivation of Newton's experimental formula for collision of two particles has been made, although using some approximations (between Eq.1 & Eq.2 and between Eq.4 & 5) ; which means that Newton's formula is not exact and can not be used for very high-velocity-collisions (of elementary particles). In that situation, the following relativistic-velocity-added modified formula (Eq.6) could possibly be used which too, however, is approximate but more accurate than the Newton's formula (Eq.5).

$$[ (v_1-v_2) / (u_1-u_2) ] \cdot [ (1 + u_1.u_2/c^2) / (1 + v_1.v_2/c^2) ] = - e \quad (6)$$

It is interesting to note that the theoretical-basis of the *Newton's* collision-formula lies in the *Einstein's* special-relativity.

In an interesting paper [4] it is shown that the second-law-of-thermodynamics and the special-relativity are linked together. Thus it seems that differently-looking entities such as the second-law-of-thermodynamics (engine-efficiency  $\eta$ ,  $0 < \eta < 1$ ), the special-relativity (velocity-ratio  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ), and the collision-dynamics (restitution-coefficient  $e$ ,  $0 < e < 1$ ) are in fact not-so-different but in a way the different facets of the same coin. Also, it is well known [3] that special-relativity is the 'bridge' between electricity and magnetism. In a recent fundamental paper [5] it is shown that once-again it is the special-relativity which is the 'key' for much-sought *unification* of gravity to electromagnetic-force.

## References:

1. Ferdinand P.Beer and E.Russel Johnston, 'Vector Mechanics for Engineers: Dynamics', 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Tata Mc Graw Hill, New Delhi, 2002, pp.796 - 799.
2. J.L.Meriam and L.G.Kraige, 'Engineering Mechanics: Dynamics', 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., John Wiley & Sons, Singapore, 2002, pp.211-216.
3. Arthur Beiser, 'Concept of Modern Physics, 6<sup>th</sup> Ed., Mc Graw Hill, New York, 2003, pp.5 - 49.
4. R.C.Gupta, Ruchi Gupta and Sanjay Gupta, 'Is Second Law of Thermodynamics Violated for Electron Transition from Lower-Energy Level to Higher-Energy Level', [www.arxiv.org/physics/0310025](http://www.arxiv.org/physics/0310025).
5. R.C.Gupta, 'Gravity as Second-Order Relativistic-Manifestation of Electrostatic-Force', [www.arxiv.org/physics/0505194](http://www.arxiv.org/physics/0505194).