

Expressions for the Exchange Correlation Potential and Exchange–Correlation Functional of Kohn–Sham Density Functional Theory

James P. Finley*

*Department of Physical Sciences, Eastern New Mexico University,
Station #33, Portales, NM 88130 and*

*Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering,
The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8656 Japan*

(Dated: November 14, 2018)

Abstract

The State–Specific Kohn–Sham Density Functional Theory [arXiv:physics/0506037] is used to derive the Kohn–Sham exchange–correlation potential v_{xc} and exchange–correlation functional E_{xc} as explicit functionals of v_s and φ_1 , where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn–Sham equations, and φ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$. In other words, $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the ground state eigenfunction of the noninteracting Schrödinger equation with the one-body potential v_s . For simplicity, we only consider noninteracting states that are closed-shell states and interacting states that are nondegenerate, singlet ground-states.

*Electronic address: james.finley@enmu.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The Kohn-Sham version of density functional theory plays a major role in both quantum chemistry and condensed matter physics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Unfortunately, the exchange-correlation functional E_{xc} is an unknown, implicit functional, and there is no systematic method to improve approximations. Recently we have derived a generalization of the Kohn-Sham approach in which the correlation energy E_{co} is assumed to be an explicit functional of v and ρ_1 , where v is the external potential from the interacting target-state, and ρ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the noninteracting states [8, 9]. In this approach, errors from Coulomb self-interactions do not occur, nor the need to introduce functionals defined by a constraint search. Furthermore, the exchange energy E_x is treated as in Hartree-Fock theory, as an explicit functional of ρ_1 . Below, we use this approach to derive the Kohn-Sham exchange-correlation potential v_{xc} and exchange-correlation functional E_{xc} as explicit functionals of v_s and φ_1 , where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn-Sham equations, and φ_1 is the one-particle density matrix from the Kohn-Sham noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$. In other words, $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the ground state eigenfunction of the noninteracting Schrödinger equation with the one-body potential v_s .

II. STATE-SPECIFIC KOHN-SHAM DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY

In state-specific Kohn-Sham density functional theory [8, 9], we use an energy functional $E_v[\rho_1]$ that is assumed to be an explicit functional of the external potential v and the spinless one-particle density matrix ρ_1 , where ρ_1 comes from a closed-shell determinantal state, say $|\rho_1\rangle$; this energy functional is given by

$$E_v[\rho_1] = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \hat{H}_v | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle}, \quad (1)$$

where the trial wave function $\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}$ generates the exact, or target, wave function, say Ψ_n , under the following conditions:

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle = |\Psi_n\rangle; \quad \rho_1 \longrightarrow n, \quad n \longrightarrow N, v, \quad (2)$$

where n is the electron density of Ψ_n , and Ψ_n is the ground state singlet eigenfunction of \hat{H}_v . The right side notation of Eq. (2) indicates that ρ_1 is a spin-less one particle density

matrix that delivers the density n ; according to the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], n also determines the external potential v , and n determines the number of electrons N . Using Eqs. (1) and (2), we have

$$E_v[\varrho_1] = \mathcal{E}_n, \quad \varrho_1 \longrightarrow n, \quad n \longrightarrow N, v. \quad (3)$$

Here, \mathcal{E}_n is the exact electronic energy of the target state:

$$\hat{H}_v|\Psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{E}_n|\Psi_n\rangle; \quad n \longrightarrow N, v. \quad (4)$$

where the Hamiltonian operator is given by

$$\hat{H}_v = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} + \hat{V}_v, \quad (5)$$

and we have

$$\hat{T} = \sum_i^N (-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2), \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{V}_{\text{ee}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N r_{ij}^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{V}_v = \sum_i^N v(i). \quad (8)$$

The electronic energy functional can also be written as

$$E_v[\rho_1] = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \right]_{\mathbf{r}_2=\mathbf{r}_1} + \int d\mathbf{r} v(\mathbf{r})\rho_s(\mathbf{r}) \\ + E_J[\rho_s] + E_x[\rho_1] + E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v] + \int d\mathbf{r} v(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}), \quad (9)$$

where the Coulomb and exchange energies are given by the following:

$$E_J[\rho_s] = \frac{1}{2} \int \int r_{12}^{-1} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \rho(\mathbf{r}_2), \quad (10)$$

$$-E_x[\rho_1] = \frac{1}{4} \int \int r_{12}^{-1} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1), \quad (11)$$

and the correlation-energy functional is

$$E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v] = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \hat{T} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle} - \langle \rho_1 | \hat{T} | \rho_1 \rangle + \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle} - \langle \rho_1 | \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} | \rho_1 \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{\rho}_c$ is the correlation density of the trial wave function, i.e, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \hat{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}) | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \rangle} - \rho_s(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{n} - \rho_s(\mathbf{r}), \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \longrightarrow \tilde{n}, \quad \rho_1 \longrightarrow \rho_s, \quad (13)$$

and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the density operator; \tilde{n} is the density of $\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}$; ρ_s is the density of ρ_1 . Since $\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r})$ is a functional of v and ρ_1 , we can also write $\tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v](\mathbf{r})$.

A determinantal state with the density matrix ϱ_1 satisfies the following noninteracting Schrödinger equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_i) |\varrho_1\rangle = 2 \left(\sum_w \varepsilon_w \right) |\varrho_1\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where the generalized, or exact, Fock operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1}$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v + v_J^n + \hat{v}_x^{\varrho_1} + \hat{v}_{\text{co}}^{\varrho_1} + \hat{v}_{\text{ec}}^{\varrho_1}. \quad (15)$$

Here, the Coulomb operator is defined by

$$v_J^{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1)\chi(\mathbf{r}_1) = \int d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{r}_2)\chi(\mathbf{r}_1), \quad (16)$$

and the exchange operator $\hat{v}_x^{\varrho_1}$, correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\text{co}}^{\varrho_1}$ and external-correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\text{ec}}^{\varrho_1}$ are defined by their kernels:

$$v_x^{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta E_x[\rho_1, v]}{\delta \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)} = -\frac{1}{2} r_{12}^{-1} \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2), \quad (17)$$

$$v_{\text{co}}^{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v]}{\delta \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)}, \quad (18)$$

$$v_{\text{ec}}^{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta \left(\int d\mathbf{r}_3 v(\mathbf{r}_3) \tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}_3) \right)}{\delta \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)}. \quad (19)$$

Our energy functionals E_v are implicit functionals of the noninteracting density ρ_s . Hence, any one-particle density-matrix that yields the interacting density minimizes our energy functional, i.e., we have

$$\mathcal{E}_n = E_v[\varrho_1] = E_v[\varrho'_1] = E_v[\varrho''_1] \cdots, \quad (20)$$

where

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \varrho_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = \varrho'_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = \varrho''_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) \cdots. \quad (21)$$

Assuming n is a noninteracting v -representable density, there exist a noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$, that has n as its density:

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}), \quad (22)$$

and this determinant—assuming it is a closed-shell determinant—is the ground-state solution of the following noninteracting Schrödinger equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{f}(\mathbf{r}_i) |\varphi_1\rangle = 2 \left(\sum_w \epsilon_w \right) |\varphi_1\rangle, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\hat{f} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_s, \quad (24)$$

and v_s is a local potential. Therefore, the canonical occupied orbitals from $|\varphi_1\rangle$ satisfy the following one-particle Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{f}\phi_w = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v + v_J^n + v_{xc}\right)\phi_w = \epsilon_w\phi_w, \quad \phi_w \in \varphi_1, \quad (25)$$

where, with no loss of generality, we have required v_s to be defined by

$$v_s = v + v_J^n + v_{xc}. \quad (26)$$

Using the approach by Sala and Görling [11], but permitting the orbitals to be complex, it is readily demonstrated that v_{xc} is given by

$$v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r})} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 [2w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) \int d\mathbf{r}_2 \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r})w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) + \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1)v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}_1)], \quad (27)$$

where w is the kernel of the nonlocal potential \hat{w}_{ρ_1} , given by

$$\hat{w}_{\rho_1} = \hat{v}_x^{\rho_1} + \hat{v}_{co}^{\rho_1} + \hat{v}_{ec}^{\rho_1}, \quad (28)$$

and these operators appear in the exact Fock operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\rho_1}$, given by Eq. (15). By substituting v_{xc} repeatedly on the right side, we can obtain an expansion for v_{xc} :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = & \frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r})} [2w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r})w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \\ & + \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) \frac{1}{n(\mathbf{r}_1)} \{w(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_1)w(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)\} \\ & + \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) \frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r}_1)} \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \frac{1}{n(\mathbf{r}_2)} w(\mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_2)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) + \dots], \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

where in this relation it is understood that there are integrations over the dummy variables \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 and \mathbf{r}_3 . The leading term of Eq. (29) is the Slater potential [12, 13, 14]; this term

also appears within the Krieger–Li–Iafrate (KLI) approximation of the optimized potential method [14, 15, 16, 17].

The orbitals ϕ_w satisfying Eq. (25) are the Kohn–Sham orbitals [18]; $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state. However, \hat{f} differs from the Kohn–Sham operator, since, in addition to depending explicitly on φ_1 , instead of n , \hat{f} depends explicitly on the external potential v from the interacting Hamiltonian \hat{H}_v . Furthermore, the external-correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\text{ec}}^{\rho_1}$ does not appear in Kohn–Sham formalism. And, unlike the original Kohn–Sham approach [18], the N -representability problem does not arise, nor the need to introduce a constraint-search definition [19, 20, 21, 22] to avoid this problem.

III. THE KOHN–SHAM EXCHANGE–CORRELATION POTENTIAL

According to Eqs. (28), (18), and (19), \hat{w}_{ρ_1} is a functional of ρ_1 and v , indicating that we can, symbolically speaking, represent Eq. (29) by

$$v_{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{\text{xc}}[\varphi_1, v](\mathbf{r}), \quad \varphi_1 \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow v. \quad (30)$$

In other words, v_{xc} is also a functional of v and φ_1 , where φ_1 determines n , and n determines v .

Note that φ_1 and v from Eq. (30) are not independent. Since, for a given v , the one-particle density matrix φ_1 which determines v_{xc} from Eq. (30), and then gives v_s from (26), must also be the one-particle density matrix φ_1 from the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$ that satisfies Eq. (23). However, for a given φ_1 or v_s , we can also think of v as a dependent variable to be determined. In that case, we choose v_s , construct \hat{f} using Eq. (24), and obtain the one-particle density matrix, say φ_1 , that satisfies Eq. (23). The external potential v is then a simultaneous solution of Eqs. (26) and (30).

Substituting Eq. (30) into Eq. (26), gives

$$v = v_s - v_j^n - v_{\text{xc}}[\varphi_1, v], \quad N, v_s \longrightarrow \varphi_1 \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow N, v, v_s, \quad (31)$$

where the notation on the right side indicates that N and v_s determine φ_1 , as indicated by Eqs. (23) and (24); also, φ_1 determines n ; n determines N , v , and v_s .

By substituting v on the right side of Eq. (31) repeatedly, as in Eq. (29), we can remove it, giving, symbolically speaking,

$$v = v[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad (32)$$

Hence, this relation gives the external potential v from the interacting system Ψ_n , for $n \rightarrow v$, as a functional of the local potential v_s and the one-particle density matrix φ_1 from the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$, where $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of the noninteracting Hamiltonian given by Eq. (23), and this noninteracting Hamiltonian is defined by the local potential v_s , as indicated by Eq. (24). Furthermore, the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$ shares the same density with Ψ_n ; $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the Kohn-Sham determinantal state when n is noninteracting v -representable.

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between local potentials v_s and noninteracting ground states $|\varphi_1\rangle$ [1], Eq. (32) can be written as

$$v = v[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1, \quad (33)$$

where the right side indicates the one-to-one correspondence.

Substituting Eq. (33) into Eq. (30) gives

$$v_{xc} = v_{xc}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \quad (34)$$

Denoting v_{xc}^{KS} the Kohn–Sham exchange–correlation potential [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 18], at least for non-interacting v -representable densities, we have

$$v_{xc}^{\text{KS}}[n] = v_{xc}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad n \rightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \quad (35)$$

In order to obtain the density functional on the left side of Eq. (35), we need the functionals $\varphi_1[n]$ and $v_s[n]$. According to the Hohenberg–Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], for noninteracting v -representable densities, these functionals exist. For other densities, however, these expressions must be generalized by, for example, using some modified constraint search definition, or, perhaps, by an approach that permits v_s to be nonlocal.

IV. THE KOHN–SHAM CORRELATION–ENERGY FUNCTIONAL AND EXCHANGE CORRELATION FUNCTIONAL

Using the notation from Eq. (4), the universal functional from the Hohenberg–Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], can be written as

$$F[n] = \langle \Psi_n | \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee} \right) | \Psi_n \rangle; \quad n \rightarrow N, v. \quad (36)$$

where Ψ_n is a singlet, ground state wave function. Previously we have shown that the correlation energy from many body perturbation theory [23, 24, 25] can be written as an explicit functional of v and ρ_1 [26]. In a similar manner, but using less restrictive energy denominators, the universal functionals F can be shown to be an explicit functional of v and ρ_1 [27], where this functional does not implicitly depend on ρ_1 , i.e., any $|\rho_1\rangle$ that has considerable overlap with $|\Psi_n\rangle$ can be used as a reference state. So, we can write

$$F[n] = F[v, \rho_1], \quad n \longrightarrow v, \quad n, \rho_1 \longrightarrow N. \quad (37)$$

For noninteracting v -representable densities n , we can use Eq. (32), giving

$$F[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s, \rho_1], \quad n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1, \quad \rho_1 \longrightarrow N. \quad (38)$$

Setting $\rho_1 = \varphi_1$, we get

$$F[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \quad (39)$$

Hence, assuming the existence of the explicit functional, given by Eq. (37), Eq. (39) gives this functional as an explicit functional of v_s and φ_1 , where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn–Sham equations, and φ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$.

Using Eq. (39), the exchange-correlation functional from the Kohn-Sham formalism [18] is

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{KS}}[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s] - E_J[n] - \langle \varphi_1 | \hat{T} | \varphi_1 \rangle, \quad n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s. \quad (40)$$

It is well known that the Kohn–Sham exchange functional is given by [2, 22]

$$E_{\text{x}}^{\text{KS}}[n] = E_{\text{x}}[\varphi_1], \quad n \longrightarrow \varphi_1. \quad (41)$$

Hence, the Kohn–Sham correlation-energy functional is

$$E_{\text{co}}[n]^{\text{KS}} = F[\varphi_1, v_s] - \langle \varphi_1 | \hat{T} | \varphi_1 \rangle - \langle \varphi_1 | \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} | \varphi_1 \rangle, \quad (42)$$

where

$$\langle \varphi_1 | \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} | \varphi_1 \rangle = E_J[n] + E_{\text{x}}[\varphi_1]. \quad (43)$$

**APPENDIX A: THE KOHN–SHAM CORRELATION-ENERGY FUNCTIONAL
FROM STATE–SPECIFIC KOHN–SHAM DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY**

Consider the energy functional $E_v[\rho_1, v']$ that is obtained by permitting the external potential from the trial wave function, say v' , to differ from the one from the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_v ; generalizing Eq. (1) in this way, we have

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'] = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} | \hat{H}_v | \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} \rangle}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

and Eqs. (2), (3), and (9) become

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1}\rangle = |\Psi_n\rangle; \quad v' = v, \quad \rho_1 \longrightarrow n, \quad n \longrightarrow N, v, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$E_v[\rho_1, v] = \mathcal{E}_n. \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'] = \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] + E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v'] + \int d\mathbf{r} v(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v'](\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{A4})$$

where we have explicitly mentioned the dependence of $\tilde{\rho}_c$ on ρ_1 and v' , and the energy through the first order, \mathcal{E}_1 , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] &= \langle \rho_1 | H_v | \rho_1 \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_1^2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \right]_{\mathbf{r}_2=\mathbf{r}_1} \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{r}_1 v(\mathbf{r}_1) \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \rho(\mathbf{r}_2) - \frac{1}{4} \int \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1} \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Using Eq. (32), we have

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'[\varphi'_1, v'_s]] = \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] + E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v'[\varphi'_1, v'_s]] + \int d\mathbf{r} v(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v'[\varphi'_1, v'_s]](\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{A6})$$

Setting $\rho_1 = \varphi'_1$

$$E_v[\varphi'_1, v'_s] = \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi'_1, v] + E_{\text{co}}[\varphi'_1, v'_s], \quad (\text{A7})$$

and suppressing the primes, we have

$$E_v[\varphi_1, v_s] = \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi_1, v] + E_{\text{co}}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad (\text{A8})$$

where we used

$$\tilde{\rho}_c[\varphi'_1, v'[\varphi'_1, v'_s]] = 0. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Comparing Eq. (A8) with the energy functionals from the Kohn-Sham formalism, for non-interacting v -representable densities, we have

$$E_v^{\text{KS}}[n] = E_v[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$E_{\text{co}}^{\text{KS}}[n] = E_{\text{co}}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad (\text{A11})$$

where $n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s$, $v_s \longleftarrow \varphi_1$. As in $v_{\text{xc}}^{\text{KS}}$, in order to obtain the above density functionals, we need $\varphi_1[n]$ and $v_s[n]$. Recall that it is well known that the Kohn–Sham exchange functional satisfies Eq. (41) [2, 22].

-
- [1] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, 1990).
 - [2] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).
 - [3] M. Springborg, ed., *Density Functional Methods in Chemistry and Material Science* (Wiley, Chichester, 1997).
 - [4] D. E. Ellis, ed., *Density Functional Methods of Molecules, Clusters, and Solids* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995).
 - [5] E. K. U. Gross and R. M. Dreizler, eds., *Density Functional Theory* (Plenum, New York, 1994).
 - [6] J. Seminario and P. Politzer, eds., *Modern Density Functional Theory. A Tool for Chemistry* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995).
 - [7] N. C. Handy, in *Europe Summerschool in Quantum Chemistry*, B. O. Roos and P. -O. Widmark eds. (1997), 2nd ed., (see internet address www.teokem.lu.se/esqc).
 - [8] J. P. Finley, arXiv:physics/0506037 (2005).
 - [9] J. P. Finley, Phys. Rev. A (2005), (submitted).
 - [10] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136B**, 864 (1964).
 - [11] F. D. Sala and A. Görling, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 5718 (2001).
 - [12] J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. **81**, 385 (1951).
 - [13] M. K. Harbola and V. Sahni, J. Chem. Ed. **70**, 920 (1993).

- [14] S. Hirata, S. Ivanov, I. Grabowski, R. Bartlett, K. Burke, and T. J. J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 1635 (2001).
- [15] C. Fiolhais, F. Nogueira, and M. Marques, eds., *Lecture Notes in Physics: A primer on Density Functional Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, NewYork, Barcelona, Hong Kong, London, Milan, Paris, Tokyo, 2003), (and references therein).
- [16] J. B. Krieger, Y. Li, and G. J. Iafrate, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 101 (1992).
- [17] Y. Li, J. B. Krieger, and G. J. Iafrate, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 165 (1993).
- [18] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- [19] M. Levy, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **13**, 89 (1978).
- [20] M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **76**, 6062 (1979).
- [21] M. Levy, Phys A **26**, 1200 (1982).
- [22] M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, in *Density Functional Methods Physics*, Dreizler, R. M. and Providencia, J. da, eds., (Plenum, New York, 1985), pp. 11–30.
- [23] I. Lindgren and J. Morrison, *Atomic Many-Body Theory*, Springer Series on Atoms and Plasmas (Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986), 2nd ed.
- [24] F. E. Harris, H. J. Monkhorst, and D. L. Freeman, *Algebraic and Diagrammatic Methods in Many-Fermion Theory* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1992).
- [25] S. Raimès, *Many-Electron Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972).
- [26] J. P. Finley, arXiv:physics/0308056 (2003).
- [27] J. P. Finley (2005), (to be published).