

A Femtosecond Neutron Source

Andrea Macchi

polyLAB, CNR-INFN, Dipartimento di Fisica “E. Fermi”, Università di Pisa,
Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy. e-mail: macchi@df.unipi.it (Fax: +390502214333)

November 20, 2018

Abstract The possibility to use the ultrashort ion bunches produced by circularly polarized laser pulses to drive a source of fusion neutrons with sub-optical cycle duration is discussed. A two-side irradiation of a deuterated thin foil target produces two countermoving ion bunches, whose collision produces an ultrashort neutron burst. Using particle-in-cell simulations and analytical modeling, it is evaluated that, for intensities of a few 10^{19} W cm⁻², more than 10^3 neutrons per Joule may be produced within a time shorter than one femtosecond. Another scheme based on a layered deuterium-tritium target is outlined.

PACS: 24.90.+d, 29.25.Dz, 52.38.ph, 52.50.Jm

1 Introduction

With the advent of short-pulse laser systems yielding multi-terawatt power, laser-driven nuclear physics has emerged as a very active area of research [1] with applications such as radioactive isotope production and nuclear transmutation of elements. In particular, the emission of neutrons from fusion reactions has been observed in several experiments with different pulse parameters and target types, including solid targets [2], heavy water droplets [3], deuterium clusters [4, 5], and underdense plasmas or gas jets [6]. In these experiments, the number of neutrons produced per Joule of the laser pulse energy is usually in the $10^4 - 10^5 \text{ J}^{-1}$ range for terawatt, femtosecond lasers, and higher for large petawatt, picosecond lasers (see table I of Ref.[5] for a partial summary). While the large neutron fluxes produced by petawatt pulses are of importance for material damage studies relevant to thermonuclear fusion research [7], neutron bursts produced by “table-top terawatt” (T^3) lasers at high repetition rate may provide compact, pulsed neutron sources for radiography and other applications.

The interpretation of the above experiments suggests that, as a general issue, the route to neutron production starts from the heating of target electrons up to high energies; in turn, the electron currents produce space-charge fields driving ion acceleration up to MeV energies (via various mechanisms such as sheath acceleration in solid targets, or Coulomb explosion in clusters and underdense plasma channels); finally, collisions between ions lead to fusion reactions and neutron emission. The duration of the latter could

not be measured so far in experiments; however, based on the physical picture which is inferred from the experiments, we expect the neutron pulse duration not to be shorter than the laser pulse duration, i.e., to be typically in the $0.1 \div 1.0$ ps range.

In this paper, we study a new approach to neutron production by ultrashort laser pulses, which could provide a source of fusion neutrons with sub-optical cycle duration. This represents another possible route to the ultrafast control and imaging of nuclear reactions by superintense fields [8] and may be used to study phenomena such as nuclear spin-mixing oscillations whose period was estimated to be ~ 1 fs [9].

Our approach is based on the prediction that the irradiation of a solid targets with circularly polarized, intense short pulses leads to the prompt acceleration of high-density, short duration ion bunches [10]. Such bunches may be used efficiently as projectiles for beam fusion, since for deuterium the energy in the center-of-mass system may approach the Gamow value ($\mathcal{E}_G \approx 1 \text{ MeV} m_r/m_p$, where m_r is the reduced mass) for which the cross sections of deuterium-deuterium (D-D) or deuterium-tritium (D-T) reactions have a maximum. With an appropriate target scheme, fusion reactions and related neutron emission may last just for a time of the order of the ion bunch duration, which may be less than one optical cycle.

2 The colliding bunches scheme

To find a scheme based on the $D + D \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + n$ (2.45 MeV) reaction aiming at the shortest achievable duration, we consider a symmetrical, double-sided irradiation of a thin foil target. In this scheme, two colliding ion bunches are generated. Thus, if the two bunches are properly timed, for a given value of the laser intensity the energy in the center-of-mass is maximized while the duration of neutron emission is minimized. This experimental geometry is similar to the one of Ref.[11], where a “laser-confined” thermonuclear fusion approach was proposed. In that scheme, a thin deuterium-tritium foil is compressed, heated and confined by double-side irradiation using relatively long pulses. In our scheme, to produce two single bunches the laser pulse duration must be much shorter (a few cycles) than in Ref.[11]. Our approach is based on a non-thermal *beam fusion* concept with emphasis on the ultrashort duration of the neutron emission, and without concerns of target stability.

We now discuss the target and pulse requirements based on the features of ion bunch generation studied in Ref.[10]. The bunch ion velocity spectrum extends up to a maximum velocity v_m , given by

$$\frac{v_m}{c} = 2\sqrt{\frac{Z}{A} \frac{m_e}{m_p} \frac{n_c}{n_e}} a_L \simeq 0.047 \sqrt{\frac{Z}{A} \frac{n_c}{n_e}} a_L, \quad (1)$$

n_e is the initial electron density, $n_c = m_e \omega_L^2 / 4\pi e^2$ is the cut-off density for the laser frequency ω_L , and $a_L = 0.85 \sqrt{I \lambda^2 / 10^{18} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2}$ is the dimensionless laser amplitude, being I and $\lambda = 2\pi c / \omega_L$ the intensity and the wave-

length of the laser pulse, respectively. At a given value of a_L , the lower n_e the higher v_m . Collisions in the center-of-mass system at the Gamow energy for the D-D reaction will begin when $2v_m = v_G = \sqrt{2\mathcal{E}_G/m_p} \simeq 0.0458c$. The ion bunch acceleration occurs over a typical time $\tau_i \simeq 7T_L(A/Z)^{1/2}a_L^{-1}$ where T_L is the duration of a laser cycle. Thus, ultraintense few-cycle pulses, which are now at the frontier of current research [12], are best suited for ion bunch acceleration.

The number of accelerated ions per unit surface is $\simeq n_{i0}l_s$, where n_{i0} is the background ion density and l_s is the evanescence length of the ponderomotive force inside the plasma. At the end of the acceleration stage ($t = \tau_i$), a sharp peak of the ion density is formed at a distance $\simeq l_s$ from the original target surface; the peak density is $n_b = \kappa n_0$, where $\kappa \sim 10$ is found from simulations, and the bunch width is thus $l_b \simeq l_s/\kappa$. One expects $l_s \simeq c/\omega_p = (\lambda/2\pi)\sqrt{n_c/n_e}$, where $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi n_e e^2/m_e}$ is the plasma frequency. Thus, $l_b \ll \lambda$ holds when $n_e \gg n_c$, and the bunch duration $\tau_b \simeq l_b/v_m$ and can be less than $T_L = \lambda/c$.

In the colliding bunches scheme the duration of the neutron burst, will be of the order of $\tau_b/2$, i.e. in the sub-femtosecond regime. The optimal target thickness is close to the value $\ell \simeq 2l_s$, in order to let the two bunches collide at the end of the acceleration stage. Since a very thin foil target is needed, deuterated plastic, e.g. $(\text{CD}_2)_n$, looks more suitable than pure (cryogenic) deuterium as a target material; however, the much higher value of n_e requires higher pulse intensities. In the following, both pure solid D

($n_i = n_e \simeq n_0 = 6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ i.e. $n_e = 40n_c$ for $\lambda = 0.8 \mu\text{m}$) and “plastic” targets (with same $n_i = n_0$ but $n_e = 250n_c$) are discussed.

3 Simulation results

We now analyze the double-sided irradiation of a thin foil using a particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation. As shown in Ref.[10] a one-dimensional (1D) model is adequate to keep the essential features of ion bunch generation. This allows us to use a very fine spatial and temporal resolution, which is actually necessary to resolve the bunch formation properly, since the latter is characterized by sharp density gradients and very short formation times. In our simulations we use 2000 grid cells per wavelength and 2000 particles per cell at the initial time. In addition, to compute the neutron yield from the simulation data (as shown below) properly, the ion distribution function has to be reconstructed over a phase space grid once every a few timesteps.

Figure 1 shows results for a “D” foil simulation. The front and rear laser pulses both have peak amplitude $a_L = 2.5$ and duration $\tau_L = 5T_L$ (FWHM), corresponding to an intensity of $1.3 \times 10^{19} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, and a duration of 13 fs if $\lambda = 0.8 \mu\text{m}$. The foil target has initial density $n_e = n_{i0} = 40n_c$ and thickness $\ell = 0.05\lambda$. The ion density reaches a peak value close to $1390n_c \simeq 35n_{i0}$, i.e. $\kappa \simeq 17$, with rise and fall times of $\approx 0.1T_L$. The maximum ion velocity $v_m \simeq 10^{-2}c$. The results of a “CD₂” simulation ($n_e/n_c = 250$, $a_L = 8$, $\ell = 0.02\lambda$ and all the other parameters equal to the case of Fig.1) are qualitatively similar: $\kappa \simeq 10$ and $v_m \simeq 0.01c$ are found.

We now compute the neutron burst intensity and duration from the simulation data. The reactivity for a fusion reaction [13] is given by

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle = \int v\sigma(v)g(v)dv, \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma(v)$ and $g(v)$ are the cross section and the distribution function (normalized to unity), respectively, in terms of the relative velocity $v = |\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|$, being $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ the velocities of the two ion species. The number of fusion reactions per unit volume and time is given by $R = n_1 n_2 \langle\sigma v\rangle / (1 + \delta_{12})$ [13], being $n_{1,2}$ the number densities of the two ion species. For ions of the same species, as in the D–D reaction, $n_1 = n_2 = n$. A convenient parameterization of the cross section is given by [13]

$$\sigma = \frac{S(\mathcal{E})}{\mathcal{E}} e^{-\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_G/\mathcal{E}}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = m_r v^2/2$ is the center-of-mass energy, and S is the astrophysical factor that is a slowly varying function of \mathcal{E} and hence will be taken as a constant $S_0 = 5.4 \times 10^{-23}$ keV cm² for D–D.

From the PIC simulation data we obtain $g(v)$ at any grid point by computing the ion velocity distribution $f(v_i)$ on a phase space grid, from which $g(v)$ is obtained by convolution. We thus compute the reactivity and, from the knowledge of the ion density, the number of fusion reactions per unit density and time at each grid point. Finally, we obtain the total rate of fusion events and the overall number of neutrons produced by integrating R over space.

The results are shown in Fig.2 for both the “D” and “CD₂” target cases. The same number density of deuterium ions has been assumed. In both cases, a neutron burst is generated with a duration of about 0.7 fs (FWHM) and a yield of $\sim 10^9$ neutrons cm⁻². Since the pulse duration is $\simeq 15$ fs, the number of neutrons produced per Joule of the pulse energy is $\sim 10^3$ J⁻¹ for the D case with $a_L = 2.5$, $\sim 10^3$ J⁻¹ CD₂ case ($a_L = 8$); these numbers are roughly between one and two orders of magnitude lower than that inferred from experiments with T³ systems; however, for the present scheme the expected duration is likely to be much shorter, and the emission rate may be comparable or even higher.

The relatively long-lasting tail in the fusion rate is due to the fact that the two bunches, after crossing each other, propagate in a low-density shelf of ions which originate from the layer of charge depletion at the surface and have been accelerated to lower energies than the bunch ions (see Fig.1 and Ref.[10]). At higher intensities the ions in the shelf have enough energy to sustain a significant rate of fusion reactions during the propagation of the bunch, leading to a higher total yield but also to a longer duration (a few femtoseconds) of the neutron emission.

4 Analytical scalings

To check our numerical results, and to provide an approximate scaling of the neutron yield versus the laser intensity, we analytically evaluate the fusion rate in the colliding-bunches scheme. From Ref.[10] we infer that it is worth

to consider for the ion bunch velocity distribution $f(v_i)$ either a “flat-top” velocity distribution $f_F = 1/v_m$ for $0 < v_i < v_m$ and zero elsewhere, and a monochromatic distribution $f_D = \delta(v_i - v_m)$. In both cases the relative velocity distribution $g(v)$ is easily obtained analytically by convolution. The averaged reactivity may thus be written as

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \frac{4S_0}{m_p v_G} \int \frac{e^{-v_G/v}}{v/v_G} g(v) dv \equiv \frac{4S_0}{m_p v_G} \mathcal{M}(\zeta), \quad (4)$$

where $\zeta = v_G/v_m$,

$$\mathcal{M}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 2\zeta [\text{E}_1(\zeta/2) - \text{E}_1(\zeta) - \text{E}_2(\zeta/2) + \text{E}_2(\zeta)], & (f = f_F), \\ (\zeta/2)e^{-\zeta/2}, & (f = f_D), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and $\text{E}_n(x) = \int_1^\infty (e^{-xt}/t^n) dt$ is the exponential integral function of order n .

The rate of neutrons produced per unit volume is given by $R = (n_i^2/2)\langle \sigma v \rangle$, where $n_i = \kappa n_0$. To obtain the number N of neutrons produced per unit surface we multiply R by the spatial extension of the neutron burst, $l_b \simeq l_s/\kappa$, and by the burst duration $\simeq \tau_b/2 \simeq l_s/2\kappa v_m$. Hence, the total neutron yield does *not* depend on the compression ratio κ , which only affects the bunch width and the burst duration. We obtain

$$N \simeq \frac{n_0^2}{2} \frac{l_s^2}{2v_G} \frac{v_G}{v_m} \frac{4S_0}{m_p v_G} \mathcal{M}(\zeta) \equiv N_0 \zeta \mathcal{M}(\zeta). \quad (6)$$

The dependence of N on the laser intensity is shown in Fig.3 for both choices of $f(v)$. Posing $l_s \simeq c/\omega_p$, we obtain $N_0 \simeq 2 \times 10^8$ for “D”, $N_0 \simeq 3 \times 10^7$ for “CD₂” targets. These values underestimate the neutron yield observed in simulations; this is likely to be due to the fact that the effective screening length l_s is actually larger than c/ω_p and/or the active volume for fusion

reactions is wider than the bunch, since, as observed above, fusion reactions occur also in the low-density shelf. This explanation is also supported by noting that the burst duration is also underestimated when taking $l_s \simeq c/\omega_p$: for “D” targets, $c/(2\omega_p\kappa v_m) \simeq 0.2$ fs while a duration of 0.8 fs is observed in Fig.2.

5 Single bunch scheme

Using ultrashort ion bunches, it may be also possible to obtain a femtosecond source of neutrons or other fusion products from heteronuclear reactions using a single short pulse impinging on a layered target. As an example we consider neutron production in a target with a thin surface layer of deuterium for ion acceleration and an inner tritium layer as an immobile target, using the $D + T \rightarrow \alpha + n$ (14 MeV) reaction. In the relevant energy range¹ the astrophysical factor for the D-T reaction is $S_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-20}$ keV cm², almost 200 times larger than for D-D. This effect compensates the loss in the center-of-mass energy with respect to the colliding bunches scheme at the same laser intensity. If the deuterium layer has a thickness $\simeq l_s$, and the tritium layer is not thicker than the ion bunch, the neutron burst duration might be limited to $\sim l_b/v_m$. An analytical estimate, analogous to that

¹ The D-T cross section has a broad maximum around 64 keV; however, this low-energy range (where $S(\mathcal{E})$ varies strongly with energy) is not considered here, since the laser-plasma interaction regime becomes very collisional and must be further investigated.

leading to Eq.(6), leads to the following result

$$N \simeq n_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} \left(\frac{S_0}{m_p v_G} \right)_{DT} \frac{l_s^2}{\kappa v_m} \mathcal{A}(\zeta) \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \kappa^{-1} \zeta \mathcal{A}(\zeta), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\zeta) = a\zeta E_1(a\zeta)$ or $a\zeta e^{-a\zeta}$ for a flat-top or monochromatic ion distribution, respectively, $a = \sqrt{125/24}$, and $n_D \simeq n_T \simeq n_0$ has been assumed. If the tritium layer l_T is thicker than l_b , both the yield and the duration increase by a factor $\sim l_T/l_b$. The function $\zeta \mathcal{A}(\zeta)$ has a maximum in the range of intensities $10^{20} \div 10^{21} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$.

6 Discussion and conclusions

We briefly discuss the experimental feasibility of the proposed scheme. The required laser pulse duration and intensity are within present-day, or at least near-term capabilities of table-top systems. Quenching of prepulse, pulse synchronization and need of circular polarization as well as thin foil target manufacturing are likely to be demanding tasks but not out of reach. The measurement of the duration of a neutron burst with sub-femtosecond resolution is a challenging issue. We argue that it may be possible to perform an indirect measurement based on the interaction of the neutrons with a secondary target, where charged particles are produced; this process may be resolved in time by using attosecond spectroscopy techniques [14].

In conclusion, we investigated the production of fusion neutrons using ion bunches accelerated in solid deuterated targets by circularly polarized laser pulses. Our results, in connection with the continuous progress in producing ultrashort, superintense laser pulses at a high repetition rate, may

open a perspective for a neutron source with sub-optical cycle duration and sufficient brightness for applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The author is grateful to S. Atzeni, D. Bauer, F. Ceccherini, F. Cornolti, and F. Pegoraro for critical reading and enlightening discussions. Support from the INFN supercomputing initiative is also acknowledged.

References

1. K. W. Ledingham, P. McKenna, and R. P. Singhal, *Science* **300**, 1107 (2003).
2. P. A. Norreys *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Contr. Fus.* **40**, 175 (1998); L. Disdier *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1454 (1999); D. Hilscher *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 016414 (2001); N. Izumi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 036413 (2002); J. M. Yang *et al.*, *J. Appl. Phys.* **96**, 6912 (2004); V. S. Belyaev *et al.*, *JETP* **98**, 1133 (2004).
3. F. Ewald *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion* **45**, A83 (2003). S. Ter-Avetisyan *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 012702 (2005).
4. T. Ditmire *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **412**, 798 (2001). G. Grillon *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 065005 (2002).
5. K. W. Madison *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 053201 (2004).
6. G. Pretzler *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 1165 (1998). S. Fritzler *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 165004 (2002).
7. L. J. Perkins *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion* **40**, 1 (2000).
8. N. Milosevic, P. B. Corkum, T. Brabec, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 013002 (2004). S. Chelkowski, A. D. Bandrauk, P. B. Corkum, *ibid.* **93**, 083602 (2004).
9. K. Pachucki, S. Wycech, J. Żylicz, M. Pfützner, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 064301 (2001).

10. A. Macchi, F. Cattani, T. V. Liseykina, F. Cornolti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 165003 (2005).
11. B. Shen, X. Zhang, M. Y. Yu, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 015401(R) (2005).
12. F. Krausz, T. Brabec, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 545 (2000); G. Mourou *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **28**, 12 (2002); T. Tajima, G. Mourou, *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **5**, 031301 (2002).
13. S. Atzeni, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, *The Physics of Inertial Fusion* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004), pp. 3–7.
14. L. F. Di Mauro, *Nature (London)* **419**, 789 (2002); P. H. Bucksbaum, *ibid.* **421**, 593 (2003).




Fig. 1 (Color online.) The ion density n_i (top row, solid line) and the phase space distribution $f(x, v_x)$ (bottom row, arbitrary units) at different times (labels) from an 1D PIC simulation of two-side irradiation of a thin foil. The initial density profile is also shown (dashed line). Run parameters are $a_L = 2.5$, $n_0/n_c = 40$, and $\ell = 0.05\lambda$.




Fig. 2 Numerical evaluation of the rate (solid line) and the total number (dotted line) of neutrons produced per unit surface for the “D” simulation of Fig.1 (left panel) and for the “CD₂” simulation for which $n_e = 250n_c$, $a_L = 8$.




Fig. 3 Analytical scaling of the number of neutrons (per unit surface) produced during the ultrashort burst as a function of laser intensity for a $\lambda = 0.8 \mu\text{m}$ pulse, for a “D” target with $n_e = 40n_c$ and a “CD₂” target with $n_e = 250n_c$. Solid and dotted curves correspond to the choice of “flat-top” and monochromatic distribution functions, respectively.