Of Connections and Fields-I

Joseph Samuel

Harish Chandra Research Institute Jhusi, Allahabad, India 211 019

and

Raman Research Institute Bangalore, India 560 080 (Dated: May 15, 2018)

Abstract

We describe some instances of the appearance of Chern's mathematical ideas in physics. By means of simple examples, we bring out the geometric and topological ideas which have found application in describing the physical world. These applications range from magnetic monopoles in electrodynamics to instantons in quantum chromodynamics to the geometric phase of quantum mechanics. The first part of this article is elementary and addressed to a general reader. The second part is somewhat more demanding and is addressed to advanced students of mathematics and physics. Take a loop of string and lay it out flat on a table so it doesn't cross itself. Keeping your finger pointed along the string, move it all the way around the loop at unit speed. Note that the direction of your finger describes a full circle. Writing θ for the angle between your finger and the x axis, (x and y axes chosen conveniently along perpendicular edges of the table) we find that the "angular velocity" $\kappa = d\theta/dt$ of the tangent vector (the unit tangent vector to the string is $\hat{t} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$) integrates to 2π . We now have the remarkable relation:

$$1/2\pi \int_0^L \kappa dt = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 1,$$
(1)

where L is the length of the string. What is so remarkable about this formula? If one wiggles the string, the value of κ at points of the string will change. However, the integral (1) is unchanged. It is a topological invariant, unaffected by small deformations. The angular velocity $d\theta/dt$ of the tangent vector is just the curvature of the string. Remember, we traverse the string at unit speed so, if s is the arc length parameter, ds/dt = 1 and $\kappa = d\theta/ds = d\theta/dt$. Physically, κ is the transverse force necessary to keep on track a bug of unit mass traversing the loop at unit speed. From its definition $\kappa = d\theta/ds$, we see that κ is a geometrical quantity, described by a real number. However, its integral $1/2\pi \int \kappa ds$ is an integer and a topological quantity, the number of times the tangent vector \hat{t} winds around the circle of directions in the plane. The general flavour of the simple eq. (1) is that the integral of a certain geometric quantity over the loop is a topological quantity. This is a simple example of a class of mathematical results discovered by the mathematicians Chern, Weil and Pontryagin. These results are deep ones involving global differential geometry and topology. They have had applications in physics, in such diverse fields as elementary particle physics and the quantum hall effect. The purpose of this article (and the next) is to bring out the main impact of this body of mathematical work in the physical sciences. It is impractical within the space of these articles to precisely define the mathematical objects that we deal with (manifolds, connections, bundles). We are concerned less with completeness and rigour than with enticing the reader into a beautiful subject. A full appreciation of even this popular article would need some familiarity with modern differential geometry and the standard model of elementary particle physics. The hurried reader is advised to read this article impressionistically and not worry too much about the technical details. A less hurried reader may be motivated to try the suggested reading below to pick up the necessary background to appreciate the mathematical ideas described here and how they are used in physics. While reading this article, you may want to keep pencil and paper handy so you can check some of the formulae. Also required are string, a pair of scissors, glue (or some less messy substitute like cellotape or a stapler) and a ball. Almost any soft ball will do, like a tennis or basket ball. If you are not the sporting type, try and steal a ball from a child you know. Using your hands to play with models will make the subject come alive as no mathematical formulae can.

To continue with the elementary exposition, now consider a special case. Pull the loop of string taut in the shape of a triangle with vertices ABC. The tangent vector \hat{t} (and so θ) remains constant along the lines AB, BC and CA but swings abruptly through the exterior angles $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ at the vertices A, B and C respectively (Fig.1). Adding up the total rotation of the tangent vector we find that

$$2\pi = (\pi - A) + (\pi - B) + (\pi - C)$$
(2)

where we write A, B, C for the interior angles at the vertices A, B, C respectively. From (2) follows the equation $A + B + C = \pi$ that you learned at your mother's knee: the sum of the interior angles of a plane triangle is two right angles! Elementary, yes, but it has in it the germ of deeper ideas to come. The reader is invited to puzzle over the following questions. What happens if the string is laid on the table so that it crosses itself as for example, a figure of eight? What happens if the string is laid not on a flat table but on the curved surface of a globe? How does one define the total rotation of the tangent vector? Is it still a topological quantity? What happens if you suppose that the loop is infinitesimal so that the globe can be approximated by a plane? What happens if you expand the loop (say it is elastic) and shrink it back to a small loop on the other side of the globe? A little reflection will show that these simple questions can be pursued in very interesting directions. Let us now return to our main theme: the connection between local and global quantities in mathematics and physics.

Consider a sphere \mathcal{S} (you have one near you, the surface of the ball) of radius r embedded in three dimensional space. The curvature of \mathcal{S} (the Gaussian curvature which is also called the intrinsic curvature) is given by $1/r^2$ and we have the easily checked result

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \kappa dA = 1 \tag{3}$$

where $dA = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ in standard polar co-ordinates. Now deform the ball by squeezing it. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem states that (3) still holds true. One can understand this result as follows. At every point on the sphere S with local co-ordinates (x^1, x^2) , there is a unit normal $\hat{n}(x^1, x^2)$ which points out of the sphere. If (x^1, x^2) are varied over a small region of (signed) area dA, the unit normal varies over a signed area $dA_{\hat{n}}$ on the sphere of directions. The Gaussian curvature is in fact a measure of this variation and can be expressed as

$$\kappa = \frac{dA_{\hat{n}}}{dA} \tag{4}$$

(The reader who is not familiar with Gaussian curvature is invited to check for herself (using (4)) that the Gaussian curvature is zero for cylinders and planes[1], positive for spheres and eggshells, and negative for saddles and some parts of flower vases.) Thus, the Gaussian curvature is a real number and a geometric quantity describing the local curving of the sphere. However, its integral over the sphere is given by

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \kappa dA = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{dA_{\hat{n}}}{dA} dA = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} dA_{\hat{n}} = 1$$
(5)

since the unit normal "winds once around" the sphere of normals when the sphere is traversed once. Just as in the case of the loop of string, we find that although the integrand is local and geometrical, the integral is a topological quantity which being an integer, does not change under small variations. While deforming the ball one creates non- uniformities in the curvature. However, every decrease in the curvature is compensated by an increase somewhere else so that the integral remains unchanged.

The Chern Weil theorem is a general mathematical result of a similar nature dealing with connections on fibre bundles. A fibre bundle is a space which is locally a product of two spaces. A simple example of a fibre bundle is a Möbius strip. To make your very own bundles, you can use a xerox copy of Figure 2. Cut along the dark black lines to get four strips. Taking a strip at a time, hold the two ends of each strip against the light and align the paper so that the square, triangle and circle overlay the square, triangle and circle at the other end. Make sure that the triangle is properly oriented. For the second strip (B) you will have to turn one of the ends around once to do this. Glue the ends in place. You are now the proud owner of four fibre bundles, labelled A,B,C and D! Take good care of them; they may be worth a bundle someday. Let us compare the first two, A and B a cylinder and Möbius strip respectively. Locally, the Möbius strip B is indistinguishable from the cylindrical strip A (one without the half twist), but it is globally different. A bug walking along a small part of the dotted line cannot tell the difference, but we know that the two

are quite different spaces. For example, the Möbius strip has only one side (try colouring it) as opposed to two sides for a cylindrical strip. If you cut A and B all the way around along the dotted line, you will find that A falls into two components, but B does not! (What happens if you repeat this operation on B?) The Möbius strip is a twisted product of a circle S^1 and a line. The circle is called the base of the fibre bundle and the line the fibre. More generally, a fibre bundle consists of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}, \Pi)$, where \mathcal{E} is the total space and Π is a projection from \mathcal{E} to the base space \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{F} is the fibre. We require that any point $b \in \mathcal{B}$ has a neighbourhood \mathcal{U}_b so that $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_b)$ looks like $\mathcal{U}_b \times \mathcal{F}$. This is the requirement that the space is locally a product space. It may happen that globally $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{F}$, in which case, we say that the bundle is trivial. More generally, the bundle is only locally a product not globally. The mathematical language of fibre bundles turns out to be just right for describing a class of physical theories called gauge theories. Gauge theories have turned out to be extremely successful in describing the interactions between elementary particles. The most familiar example of such a theory is electromagnetic theory, that you may have studied. Mathematically, gauge theories (more precisely classical gauge theories) are connections on fibre bundles. A connection gives a rule for "parallel transport" or moving between fibres. See the accompanying article in this issue by Siddhartha Gadgil for more on this. You can visualise a connection by using the paper strip models C and D, which are fibre bundles with a connection on it. The fibres are shown as short grey lines across the strip. The rule for horizontal motion in each of these bundles is: move parallel to the dark thin lines. With the bundle C you find that going all the way around the strip brings you back to the same point on the fibre: the connection is integrable. With the bundle D you come back to a *different* point on the same fibre. This connection is not integrable. Integrable connections are flat and have vanishing curvature. Locally integrable connections have vanishing curvature, but there may be global obstructions to integrability as in the case of the Möbius strip. Can you put an integrable connection on a Möbius strip? Try it out and see.

We now turn to a simple example of Chern's ideas in electromagnetic theory: the quantisation condition for the charge of magnetic monopoles. A magnetic monopole is an object from which magnetic field lines emerge (just as electric field lines emerge from an electrically charged particle such as an electron). Magnetic monopoles have never been seen experimentally, but have fascinated physicists over many generations. J.J. Thomson, P.A.M. Dirac, M.N. Saha have studied monopoles in years past and more recently, G. 'tHooft and A.M. Polyakov have made seminal contributions to the subject. Dirac showed that if even a single monopole exists, the electric charges of all partices must be multiples of a certain basic unit of charge $q = n(\frac{\hbar}{(2g)})$ or

$$qg = n\hbar/(2) \tag{6}$$

where g is the magnetic charge of the monopole and \hbar is Planck's constant. Even though monopoles have not been seen, quantisation of electric charge is a fact of Nature. This lends support to the idea that magnetic monopoles may exist. In fact, the standard model of the weak nuclear interactions predicts monopoles of the kind studied by 'tHooft and Polyakov.

In the modern gauge theoretic approach towards electromagnetic theory, one views the magnetic fields (and electric fields) as analogous to curvature. The integral of the magnetic field over a closed surface S measures the total magnetic charge contained within that surface.

$$(2q/\hbar)\int_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{B}.d\vec{S} = n \tag{7}$$

Thus we see by analogy that the general flavour of Dirac's quantisation condition (6) is the same as the Chern-Weil result: the integral of the curvature over a closed surface is an integer!

The Geometric Phase: One physical context where connections appear naturally in physics is the geometric phase. This is a vast topic, interesting in its own right. Let us briefly recall the main ideas in the context of the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics. A quantum system in a slowly changing environment displays a curious history dependent geometric effect: when the environment returns to its original state, the system also does, but for an additional phase. The phase is a complex number of modulus one and experimentally observable by quantum interference. This phenomenon is of geometric origin and is fundamentally due to the curvature of the ray space of quantum mechanics. (Ray space is the Hilbert space modulo multiplication by non-zero complex numbers.) The geometric phase provides us with a wealth of examples of globally non-trivial bundles, monopoles, instantons and more.

A quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ is an element of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , (let's say finite dimensional $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^N$ to keep life simple) with the inner product $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\phi}_i \psi_i$. The time evolution of the system is determined by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|\psi\rangle = \widehat{H}|\psi\rangle \tag{8}$$

where \widehat{H} is an $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix. Let us suppose that the Hamiltonian \widehat{H} depends on a set of parameters $\{x^1, x^2...x^m\}$ (which we write collectively as x, not to be confused with the ordinary spatial co-ordinate) describing the influence of the environment on the system. For x fixed, the equation

$$\widehat{H}(x)|\psi(x)\rangle = E(x)|\psi(x)\rangle \tag{9}$$

gives us the eigen states of $\widehat{H}(x)$. From the Schrödinger equation, the eigenstates of \widehat{H} evolve by a pure phase $\exp -iE(x)t|\psi(x) >$. This is the dynamical phase, which is easily accounted for. Consider a region of parameter space where the eigenvalues of \widehat{H} are well separated from each other. Then $\tau = \hbar/(E - E')$ is small for any pair E, E' of eigenvalues. If the parameter x^i is slowly varied (over a time large compared to τ), a system which is initially in an eigenstate remains in an eigenstate of the instantaneous Hamiltonian. Further, since the energy levels do not cross, one can unambiguously keep track of the energy levels. For example, the eigenspace corresponding to the lowest energy level is a well defined notion. If the parameters x are varied in a cyclic fashion, one returns to the original ray. The eigenvalue equation(9) determines a ray in \mathcal{H} for every x. This is a fibre bundle over the parameter space. This fibre bundle has a natural connection which can be deduced from the Schrödinger equation: move orthogonal to the fibres in the Hilbert space inner product.

$$\langle \psi | \frac{d}{dx} | \psi \rangle = 0$$
 (10)

The curvature of this connection is Berry's phase. Berry's phase has been seen experimentally in numerous situations. The simplest example is a spin half system which is described in the Box. The connection occurring here is the same as that of the magnetic monopole.

We have come a long way from the loop of string we started with! The elementary results we used to motivate this exposition are only the tip of an iceberg. The basic idea is capable of considerable generalisation. Many of these ideas have application in modern physics. Such results find application in understanding gauge theories in many contexts, from Chern-Simons gauge theories of the quantum Hall effect to the non-Abelian gauge theories of the standard model of elementary particle physics.

While one may object that magnetic monopoles are "science fiction" from the experimental viewpoint, the theoretical idea is very powerful and useful. The monopole configurations appear naturally in the geometric phase of a two state quantum system. With the right mathematical mappings, magnetic monopoles can be usefully employed to understand the elastic properties of DNA! (see suggested reading below). Even if magnetic monopoles are never directly seen in the laboratory, the theoretical ideas they bring with them are very deep and capable of application. Physics is a deeply interconnected subject and we can transport theoretical ideas from one context to another.

It is curious that the deep mathematical ideas of Chern-Weil theory which were discovered with a purely mathematical motivation actually turn out to be useful in physics [11]. Nature makes liberal use of geometric and topological objects. All the known interactions of Nature use the idea of connections on fibre bundles (gauge theories to physicists). This influx of mathematical ideas into physics is a good example of the symbiotic relation between the two disciplines. Mathematical ideas often find applications in physics and these applications provide a further stimulus for development of the subject.

The reader may wonder why there is so much mathematics in physics. The physics that we were taught at high school was of a more descriptive variety, with boring definitions to remember and the shape of some round bottomed flask used in some long forgotten experiment! The present state of the subject is considerably different and theoretical physicists need to have a fairly good base in mathematics to even get started. This is due to the natural evolution of the subject and the fact that both theory and experiments are getting more and more sophisticated. Throughout history, each generation of physicists has complained that the next generation is far too mathematical. (In the 1930s, physicists used to complain of the 'gruppenpest", the invasion of physics by group theory.) Physicists freely borrow state of the art mathematical language to formulate their theories. However, it is important to remember that theoretical physics is not mathematics. It uses mathematics to describe, understand and predict the behaviour of the physical world. The use of mathematics is not an end in itself, but a means to an end: a better understanding of Nature.

Acknowledgements: It is a pleasure to thank Indranil Biswas, Rukmini Dey, Rajesh Gopakumar, H.S. Mani, Sinha, Supurna Sinha, B. Sury and K.P. Yogendra for reading through this article and helping me to improve it.

Box: Monopoles, Möbius strips and Berry's Phase

Let us consider a very simple example in which a globally non-trivial connection (gauge field) appears: a spin 1/2 system in an external magnetic field. We regard the three components of the magnetic field as parameters x^i , i = 1, 2, 3, which can be varied. Throughout this box, the parameters x^i represent co-ordinates on the base space \mathcal{B} which is the parameter space describing the influence of the environment, and not space-time as was the case in the previous examples. We write the Hamiltonian, a 2 × 2, Hermitian matrix as $H = x^i \sigma_i$, where σ_i are the three Pauli matrices. These matrices are traceless and Hermitian and satisfy $\sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i = 2\delta_{ij}$, the Clifford algebra. Since the eigenspaces of H are the same for x^i and λx^i (only the eigenvalue changes, not the eigenspace), it is enough to restrict attention to the unit sphere $S^2 = \{x^i \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \Sigma x^i x^i = 1\}$ in the parameter space. At each point of S^2 , there is a two-dimensional, complex vector space \mathbb{C}^2 of spin states on which the Hamiltonian acts. Since H squares to unity, its eigenvalues are ± 1 . The subspace of positive energy states $\{|v(x)\rangle \in \mathcal{C}^2 | H(x) | v(x) \ge |v(x) \ge \}$ defines a line bundle over S^2 (the Hopf bundle). To compute the Berry potential which arises when the parameters x^i are varied, note that $H(x) = h(x)\sigma_3h^{-1}(x)$, where h(x) is defined by

$$h(x) = \frac{1 + H\sigma_3}{\sqrt{2(1 + x^3)}},\tag{11}$$

at all points except the south pole, where $x^3 = -1$. If we pick a normalised positive energy state $|v^N\rangle$ at the north pole, which satisfies $\sigma_3|v^N\rangle = |v^N\rangle$, $|v(x)\rangle = h(x)|v^N\rangle$ is a normalised positive energy state all over the sphere (except the south pole). (Similar considerations also apply to the south polar patch, which excludes the north pole). The gauge potential describing the connection is $A = \langle v(x)d|v(x)\rangle = \langle v^N|h^{-1}dh|v^N\rangle$ and its field strength is F = dA. It is easily seen that this field strength describes a magnetic monopole situated at the origin of x^i , which has been excised from the parameter space. This magnetic monopole satisfies the Dirac quantisation condition with n = 1.

Consider the slice $x^2 = 0$. In this special case, we can write $H(\theta) = \cos \theta \sigma_3 + \sin \theta \sigma_1$. Or in the standard basis for Pauli matrices,

$$\widehat{H}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

As θ goes from 0 to 2π , the real symmetric matrix $H(\theta)$ traverses a loop and returns to

itself, but its eigenvector $(\cos \theta/2, \sin \theta/2)$ reverses sign! This is just the Möbius bundle you made with your hands.

- [1] This is why a flat paper label can be stuck on the cylindrical surface of a beer bottle without crumpling! In contrast, try tracing Australia from a globe to a flat piece of tracing paper.
- [2] M. A. Spivak, Differential Geometry, Berkeley CA, Publish or Perish inc. (1999).
- [3] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1974).
- [4] N. Steenrod, Topology of Fibre Bundles (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1951).
- [5] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry (Interscience, New York, 1963).
- [6] T.T. Wu and C.N. Yang, Physical Review **D12**, 3845 (1975).
- [7] L.D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, "The Classical Theory of Fields", Pergammon Press, NY, 1986.
- [8] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, Geometric Phases in Physics, World Scientific, Singapore (1989).
- [9] Supurna Sinha, 'Biopolymer Elasticity', Study Circle, November 2003. physics/0308003;
 Joseph Samuel and Supurna Sinha, Molecular Elasticity and the Geometric Phase, Physical Review Letters, 90 098305 (2003).
- [10] Jeeva Anandan, Joy Christian and Kazimir Wanelik, Resource letter: Geometric phases in physics Am.J.Phys.65, 180 (1997).
- [11] E.P. Wigner, "The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics in the natural sciences", Communications in pure and applied mathematics 13, No. 1, Feb 1960, N.Y. John Wiley and Sons.

Of Connections and Fields-II

Joseph Samuel

Harish Chandra Research Institute Jhusi, Allahabad, India 211 019

and

Raman Research Institute Bangalore, India 560 080 (Dated: May 15, 2018)

Abstract

We describe some instances of the appearance of Chern's mathematical ideas in physics. By means of simple examples, we bring out the geometric and topological ideas which have found application in describing the physical world. These applications range from magnetic monopoles in electrodynamics to instantons in quantum chromodynamics to the geometric phase of quantum mechanics. The first part of this article is elementary and addressed to a general reader. The second part is somewhat more demanding and is addressed to advanced students of mathematics and physics.

In the last article we described some elementary occurrences of Chern's ideas in physics. We now treat a few more advanced topics from elementary particle physics. The standard model of elementary particle physics relies heavily on "gauge theories". Classically, gauge theories are just connections on fibre bundles, a structure that mathematicians like Chern have studied. To see the relation between the mathematics and the physics, one needs to view gauge theories from a slightly advanced point of view. Let us do this starting with electrodynamics. Recall that electrodynamics is described by a vector potential $A_{\mu}(x)$, where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ labels the components of the 1 form $A = A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$ and x^{μ} represent the four co-ordinates of a space-time point. Let $\psi(x)$ be the wave function of a particle with charge q. The theory enjoys a symmetry called "gauge invariance". The Lagrangian of electrodynamics is invariant under the transformations: $A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + u^{-1}\partial_{\mu}u$ and $\psi \to u\psi$, where $u = \exp iq\chi(x)$ and $\chi(x)$ is an arbitrary real function of x. u(x) is a complex number of modulus 1. *i.e* an element of the group U(1). In modern language, electrodynamics is a U(1) gauge theory. Note that there is no gauge invariant meaning to comparing the wave function at different space-time points. The ordinary derivative $\partial_{\mu}\psi$ is not gauge invariant. However, the co-variant derivative $D_{\mu}\psi = \partial_{\mu}\psi - A_{\mu}\psi$ is a gauge invariant object. This requires the use of additional structure, the vector potential A_{μ} . Mathematically this additional structure is called a *connection*. This is one of the most important mathematical ideas to have entered physics and this is an important focus of this article.

Mathematically, we view the complex valued wave function of the charged particle as taking values in a fibre, a vector space of one complex dimension. A connection gives us a rule for comparing wavefunctions on fibres attached to different points. This rule is in general not integrable: given three points (a, b, c) in \mathcal{B} , with fibres $\mathcal{F}_a, \mathcal{F}_b, \mathcal{F}_c$, comparing \mathcal{F}_a with \mathcal{F}_b and \mathcal{F}_b with \mathcal{F}_c is not the same as directly comparing \mathcal{F}_a with \mathcal{F}_c . This lack of integrability is locally captured by the curvature F of the connection, which is in local co-ordinates, the commutator of the covariant derivative: $F_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu}D_{\nu} - D_{\nu}D_{\mu}$. F is an antisymmetric tensor and therefore a 2 form (see Box 1).

Gauge theories are generalisations of electrodynamics and play an important role in the standard model of elementary particle physics and also in gravity. Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) the gauge theory of the strong nuclear interactions is a non-Abelian gauge theory based on the non-Abelian group SU(3) and the Weinberg-Salam model of the electroweak interactions is based on the gauge group $SU(2) \times U(1)$. Gravity also can be fomulated as a gauge theory (based on a non-compact group) but applying quantum mechanics to it is notoriously difficult and presently an open problem. For a gauge theory based on the group G, the vector potential takes values in the adjoint representation of the group (or more simply, it is a matrix valued object). Gauge transformations are written as $A \to u^{-1}Au - u^{-1}du$, $\psi \to u\psi$, where the "wave function" ψ is now a vector in a representation of G. The "curvature" (or field strength to physicists) is given by

$$F = dA + A \wedge A \tag{1}$$

which also takes values in the adjoint representation of G. (Like A, F is also matrix valued.) In studying gauge theories, we often find that we have to deal with connections with globally nontrivial properties. In the first already seen one, the magnetic monopole, which is an example of the first Chern class c_1 . We now describe a few more globally nontrivial connections.

The instanton: The instanton is a topologically non-trivial gauge field configuration which represents tunnelling between states of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) the theory of the strong nuclear interactions based on the group SU(3). To simplify matters consider instead an SU(2) gauge theory, without Fermionic matter. An important state in the quantum theory is the vacuum state, defined as the state which minimises the Hamiltonian (or energy). It is plausible that one can learn about the quantum vacuum by considering small quantum fluctuations about classical static configurations (independent of the time co-ordinate x^0) which minimise energy. These are given by F = 0 or $A = -U^{-1}dU$ and thus define a map from \mathbb{R}^3 to G. $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to $U(\vec{x}) \in G$. Boundary conditions at infinity of \mathbb{R}^3 require that $U \to Id$ as $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$. So we really have a map from S^3 (the one point compactification of \mathbb{R}^3) to SU(2), which also has global topology S^3 . These maps fall into topological classes which cannot be continuously deformed into one another. The classes are characterised by an integer n, the number of times U "winds" around SU(2) as \vec{x} winds around S^3 . There appear to be multiple classical vacuua as would happen even in a simple quantum mechanical problem if the potential energy function is periodic like $\cos x$. Classically these vacuua are degenerate (they all have the same energy). But quantum mechanically, there is tunnelling between them. This tunnelling lifts the degeneracy and reveals the true vacuum state as a particular superposition (characterised by θ the vacuum angle) of the classical vacuua. This is entirely analogous to Bloch states in a periodic potential. The phenomenon of tunnelling is described in Euclidean \mathbb{R}^4 by analytically continuing to imaginary time. In the path integral formulation of quantum field theory, one regards physical amplitudes as an integral over all classical field configurations ("sum over histories"). The amplitude for tunnelling is dominated by the saddle points, the solutions to the classical equations of motion. These are called instantons. They describe tunnelling between topologically distinct vacuua. These gauge field configurations are non-trivial bundles on S^4 (the one point compactification of \mathbb{R}^4). The instanton solution connecting vacuua n and n + k is characterised by its "topological charge" or winding number

$$1/\mathcal{N}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \operatorname{tr} F \wedge F = k, \tag{2}$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalisation constant. Notice again that the left hand side is the integral of a geometrical quantity, the Chern density $\operatorname{tr} F \wedge F$, but the right hand side is an integer and topological! The instanton is an example of the second Chern class. The monopole and the instanton illustrate the first two Chern classes. There are higher order invariant polynomials in F describing the other Chern classes.

The chiral anomaly: Another context where the Chern density $\text{Tr}F \wedge F$ appears is the Chiral anomaly, which arises when one couples a gauge theory to massless fermions. At a classical level, massless fermions have two conserved currents $j^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$, the electric charge current and $j_5^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ the chiral current. Both currents are conserved: they satisfy the equation $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}j_5^{\mu} = 0$. The conservation of these currents follows from Nöther's theorem from symmetries of the classical theory. However, quantum field theories have an infinite number of degrees of freedom and need regularisation in order to produce finite answers. The regularisation procedure results in a loss of chiral symmetry. One finds that the chiral current is no longer conserved, but

$$\partial_{\mu}j_{5}^{\mu} = C\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta},\tag{3}$$

where C is a constant depending on the couplings and the number and chirality of the Fermions and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the completely antisymmetric tensor. The reader will recognise the right hand side of (3), the chiral anomaly, as the Chern density $\text{Tr}F \wedge F$. The chiral anomaly was first discovered in perturbation theory and only later was its global topological significance realised. There are clear physical consequences resulting from the chiral anomaly. The decay of the π meson into two photons ($\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) is forbidden by classical symmetry, but is in fact observed in nature and understood using the chiral anomaly. We just saw that an anomaly in the chiral current has physical consequences. However if an anomaly occurs in a current corresponding to a gauge symmetry, the theory becomes inconsistent. This can be used to impose constraints on the allowed matter fields. The matter fields must be so chosen that the anomaly cancels out (i.e. the analogue of the constant C in equation (3) is zero) and doesn't spoil the conservation of charge. Anomaly cancellation is an important principle in present day particle physics and used in string theory to restrict the allowed matter content.

Chern-Simons theory: The Chern density $\text{Tr}F \wedge F$ can locally be written as an exterior derivative of a 3 form. Let us restrict to Abelian U(1) gauge theory where F = dA. We have $\text{Tr}F \wedge F = \text{Tr}dA \wedge dA = d[\text{Tr}A \wedge dA]$, where we have used Poincare's lemma dd = 0. The three form $\text{Tr}A \wedge dA$ is called the Chern-Simons form. Its integral over a closed three manifold $\int \text{Tr}A \wedge dA$ is gauge invariant. The Chern-Simons invariant can be used as a Lagrangian to describe 3 dimensional field theories. Such field theories have found application in the Quantum Hall effect. The Chern-Simons action describes a gauge field theory with no local degrees of freedom, a "topological field theory". Such quantum field theories have proved useful, not only in physics, but also in mathematics as they lead to a better understanding of knots!

The Geometric Phase: In the first part of this article, we described the geometric phase and showed how it leads to a natural U(1) connection. Berry's phase also leads to non-Abelian connections. These occur if the eigenspace for each eigenvalue is of dimension more than one. Examples are systems with Kramers'degeneracy, which arises in Fermionic systems with time -reversal symmetry. In such systems, the time reversal operator \mathcal{T} squares to -1. We can think of $i, j = \mathcal{T}$ and k = ij as generators of Hamilton's quaternions and we now have quaternionic Hilbert spaces rather than complex ones. Since quaternions do not commute, we get a nonabelian connection. Box 3 brings out globally nontrivial connections within the context of the geometric phase. This part of the article is more technical and needs working through.

It often happens that mathematicians study structures, which turn out to be exactly right for describing the real world. One instance of this is Riemannian geometry, which was developed before Einstein used it in General Relativity. Another example is the idea of a connection. This idea too was developed by mathematicians quite independently of the real world. After many efforts to understand the world of atoms, nucleii and quarks, physicists have realised that connections provide the right description. Are there other such mathematical objects waiting in the wings to enter the stage of theoretical physics? Only time (whatever that is!) will tell.

Box 1: Differential Forms

Forms are generally found just under integral signs. In a multiple integral (say d dimensional), one slices up the region of integration into parallelopiped cells. The integral can be split up into contributions, one from each cell and this is proportional to the d volume of the cell. The d volume of a cell is naturally expressed as a determinant. For example, the volume of a three dimensional parallelopiped with sides $(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c})$ is $\vec{a}.(\vec{b} \times \vec{c})$ or

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Determinants are antisymmetric objects and switch sign when two rows (say, a and b above) are exchanged. p-forms are antisymmetric tensors of rank p. p-forms can be integrated over p dimensional manifolds. For example, 0 forms are just ordinary functions and "integrating" a 0 form over a 0 dimensional manifold (a point) just consists of evaluating the function at that point. 1-forms are like the vector potential in electrodynamics and the line integral $\int A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$ is a familiar object from electromagnetic theory. An example of a 2- form is a magnetic field and $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S}$ is the integral over a two dimensional manifold \mathcal{S} . More usually we convert the vector \vec{B} into a second rank antisymmetric tensor $F = B^i \epsilon_{ijk}$ and write $\int_{\mathcal{S}} F$. Forms provide us with a particularly powerful language for expressing physical ideas. Unlike other tensors, differential forms can be integrated (that's how we introduced them) and also differentiated. Simply differentiate the *p*-form in local co-ordinates and then antisymmetrise with respect to the p+1 indices. If α is a p form, then $d\alpha$ is a p+1 form. Antisymmetrisation results in the identity $dd\alpha = 0$, which is called Poincare's lemma. This identity includes familiar identities like curl.grad = 0 and div.curl = 0. Forms can be multiplied together: just multiply the p and q forms and then antisymmetrise in all p + q indices. They can be contracted with vectors to produce lower rank forms p-1 forms. These manipulations do not require any metric on the manifold. E. Cartan was a great advocate of the use of differential forms. S.S. Chern came into contact with Cartan early in his life and was very much influenced by him. The use of forms has been particulary fruitful in physics. For instance, electrodynamics lends itself easily to a formulation in terms of differntial forms. The formulation of supergravity takes the Einstein-Cartan theory (which is general relativity) souped up with forms) as a starting point.

Box 2: Local Versus Global Description

Although in the main article, we have used co-ordinates x^{μ} , these need not be globally defined all over space time. It is enough if the spacetime manifold (which is the same as the base space \mathcal{B}) can be covered by charts, each of which admits local co-ordinates. When charts overlap, we require that the co-ordinate transformation connecting different systems of co-ordinates be compatible. Similarly, the vector potential A may only be defined in local patches. These patches can be "sewn together" like a quilt to produce the global picture. In the overlap of patches, we require that there is a gauge transformation connecting different vector potentials. Mathematicians have a global way of describing this structure: one thinks of a fibre bundle with U(1) as fibre and \mathcal{B} as the base. (The fibre is U(1) because we are concerned here with U(1) connections as in electromagnetism. More generally, the fibre is some group manifold.) Replacing the fibre with some other group such as SU(2), SU(3) of SU(N) leads to non-Abelian gauge theories. In the mathematical description, a connection is a rule for horizontally lifting curves in \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{E} . In general the horizontal lift of closed curves in \mathcal{B} may be open in \mathcal{E} . One returns to the same fibre but to a different point on the fibre. This means that the connection is not integrable. A local measure of the non-integrability of the connection is the curvature, which physicists identify with generalised electric and magnetic fields.

Box 3: Instantons and Berry's Phase

The geometric phase provides us with examples of globally non-trivial bundles in physics. To see instantons, we need to consider a four state system with pair wise degenerate energy levels. The "phase" here is not an Abelian U(1) phase but a non-Abelian U(2) phase. Consider the five dimensional Clifford algebra generated by $\{\Gamma_i, i = 1...5\} \Gamma_i \Gamma_j + \Gamma_j \Gamma_i = 2\delta_{ij}$. These generators can be realised as 4×4 Dirac matrices (γ_{μ}, γ_5) . We consider the system described by the Hamiltonian $H = x^i \Gamma_i$, where the x^i now span a five-dimensional parameter space $\mathbb{R}^5 - \{0\}$. Such a system can be realised experimentally in Nuclear Quadrupole resonance (NQR). We need only restrict our attention to the unit sphere in parameter space. The positive energy subspace of H defines a \mathbb{C}^2 bundle over S^4 . Choosing an orthonormal frame in each eigenspace gives an U(2) bundle over S^4 . Just as before, we notice that $H(x) = h(x)\Gamma_5h^{-1}(x)$, where h(x) is now defined by

$$h(x) = \frac{1 + H\Gamma_5}{\sqrt{2(1 + x^5)}},\tag{4}$$

at all points of S^4 except the south pole, where $x^5 = -1$. If we pick an orthonormal pair of positive energy states $|v_{\alpha}^N \rangle$, $\alpha = 1, 2$ at the north pole, which satisfy $\Gamma_5 |v_{\alpha}^N \rangle = |v_{\alpha}^N \rangle$, the states $|v_{\alpha}(x)\rangle := h(x)|v_{\alpha}^N \rangle$ are orthonormal positive energy states all over the sphere, except for the south pole, where h(x) is ill-defined. The Berry potential is now a 2 × 2 Hermitian matrix

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \langle v_{\alpha}(x)|d|v_{\beta}(x)\rangle = \langle v_{\alpha}^{N}|h^{-1}dh|v_{\beta}^{N}\rangle$$
(5)

A is in fact, traceless and so is really an SU(2) connection. Its field strength is given by $F = dA + A \wedge A$ and represents an instanton of charge k = 1. Globally the Instanton bundle is S^7 and the fibres are S^3 and the base is S^4 .

Acknowledgements: It is a pleasure to thank Indranil Biswas, Rukmini Dey, Rajesh Gopakumar, H.S. Mani, Sukanya Sinha, Supurna Sinha, B. Sury and K.P. Yogendra for reading through this article and helping me to improve it.

[1] M. A. Spivak, Differential Geometry, Berkeley CA, Publish or Perish inc. (1999).

^[2] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1974).

- [3] N. Steenrod, Topology of Fibre Bundles (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1951).
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry (Interscience, New York, 1963).
- [5] T.T. Wu and C.N. Yang, Physical Review **D12**, 3845 (1975).
- [6] "Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory", by R.A. Bertlmann (Oxford, 2000).
- [7] L.D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, "The Classical Theory of Fields", Pergammon Press, NY, 1986.
- [8] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, Geometric Phases in Physics, World Scientific, Singapore (1989).
- [9] R. Rajaraman, Solitons and Instantons, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [10] Jeeva Anandan, Joy Christian and Kazimir Wanelik, Resource letter: Geometric phases in physics Am.J.Phys.65, 180 (1997).
- [11] E.P. Wigner, "The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics in the natural sciences", Communications in pure and applied mathematics 13, No. 1, Feb 1960, N.Y. John Wiley and Sons.



