# Steady f-plane circulation in basins with saddle-point bathymetry

Submitted to Journal of Physical Oceanography, 2005-01-12.

Corrected 2005-04-15.

Alastair D. Jenkins<sup>\*</sup>

#### Abstract

Nilsson *et al.* have recently shown how a velocity field in geostrophic and hydrostatic balance in an f-plane may be diagnosed from prescribed distributions of buoyancy and wind stress, in a basin with closed isobaths. I extend their analysis to cover basins with more complex depth contours, treating in particular the behavior of the flow in the presence of a saddle point.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, Nilsson *et al.* [2] showed that the steady circulation in a basin with closed depth contours, under conditions of geostrophic and hydrostatic balance, with arbitrarily prescribed distributions of buoyancy and wind stress, may be determined by integral constraints, obtained by integrating around the contours. Taking account of bottom friction, they found that the net Ekman transport across the closed contours must vanish, and that this constraint determines the free barotropic motion and the entire velocity field. If, however, the depth contours are not all simple closed curves, but include one or more saddle points, the integral constraints may require the fluid velocity to be discontinuous on contours which include saddle

<sup>\*</sup>Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Geophysical Institute, Allégaten 70, 5007 Bergen, Norway. E-mail: alastair.jenkins@bjerknes.uib.no

points. This discontinuity they showed to be inconsistent with their scaling assumption that the flow and the bathymetry vary over comparable length scales.

In this paper we consider the steady flow in a basin which contains a saddle point. In the presence of linear bottom friction, the governing partial differential equation for the barotropic motion is shown to be elliptic and non-singular if the depth topography is smooth and has a bounded gradient. If we investigate the behavior of the solutions to the equation in the vicinity of a saddle point

$$H(x,y) = H_0 + Axy,$$

we find that the equation is separable, and the solution may be expressed as a sum or integral of solutions to Hermite's equation.

## 2 Mathematical development

For a basin with variable depth H and with surface and bottom Ekman layers, containing water of variable density  $\rho_r(1-q)$ , Nilsson *et al.* [2] derived the following equation for the steady, geostrophic current **u** in the interior:

$$\mathbf{u}(x,y,z) = \frac{g}{f} \mathbf{R} \int_{-H}^{z} \nabla_{h} q \, dz + \frac{g}{f} q_{b} \mathbf{R} \nabla H + \frac{1}{f \rho_{r}} \mathbf{R} \nabla p_{0}, \tag{1}$$

where g is the acceleration due to gravity, f is the Coriolis parameter,  $q_b = q(x, y, -H)$ ,  $p_0$  is a barotropic pressure anomaly, and the linear operator **R** rotates vectors 90 degrees to the left in the xy-plane. Nondimensionalizing so that  $\rho_r = g = f = 1$ , we obtain, for the bottom velocity  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{b}}$  (just outside the Ekman layer):

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{b}} = q_b \mathbf{R} \nabla H + \mathbf{R} \nabla p_0. \tag{2}$$

Nilsson et al. [2] also show (using our non-dimensional notation) that

$$\mathbf{u}_{0} \cdot \nabla H = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{0} H) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u_0} = \mathbf{R} \nabla p_0, \tag{4}$$

and the Ekman boundary-layer transport  $\mathbf{m}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{R}(\tau_w - \tau_b),\tag{5}$$

where  $\tau_w$  is the wind stress and  $\tau_b$  is the bottom stress, given, in a linear representation, by

$$\tau_b = h_e \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{b}},\tag{6}$$

where  $h_e$  is the Ekman depth.

From (1-6), we obtain

$$\nabla \cdot (H\mathbf{R}\nabla p_0) = \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{R}\tau_w - h_e\mathbf{R}\left(q_b\mathbf{R}\nabla H + \mathbf{R}\nabla p_0\right)\right],$$

which we may write as

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ (H\mathbf{R} - h_e \mathbf{I}) \nabla p_0 \right] = \nabla \cdot (h_e q_b \nabla H) - \nabla \times \tau_w, \tag{7}$$

I being the identity operator, or

$$h_e \nabla^2 p_0 + \nabla H \times \nabla p_0 = \nabla \times \tau_w - h_e \left( q_b \nabla^2 H + \nabla q_b \cdot \nabla H \right).$$
(8)

For non-zero  $h_e$ , (8) is elliptic.

In the absence of friction  $(h_e = 0)$ ,  $\nabla H \times \nabla p_0 = 0$ , and  $p_0$  may be an arbitrary, not necessarily continuous, function of H if the forcing terms on the right-hand side of (8) are zero. The flow velocity is directed along the depth contours.

For  $0 < h_e \ll H$ , if the depth contours are closed, Nilsson *et al.* [2] showed that  $\mathbf{u_0}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{u_0} = -\left[\hat{q}(H) + \hat{T}(H)\right] \mathbf{R} \nabla H,\tag{9}$$

where

$$\hat{q} = \oint_{C(H)} q_b \left| \nabla H \right| ds \left( \oint_{C(H)} \left| \nabla H \right| ds \right)^{-1}$$
(10)

and

$$\hat{T}(H) = h_e^{-1} \oint_{C(H)} \tau_w \cdot d\mathbf{s} \left( \oint_{C(H)} |\nabla H| \, ds \right)^{-1}, \tag{11}$$

where integration is around the depth contour C(H). If the bottom contours are all smooth closed curves, with no saddle points, and  $\tau_w$  is smooth, then  $p_0$ and the flow velocity will be smooth and continuous. If, however, the bottom profile has one or more saddle point, it is possible for (9–11) to give flow velocities which are discontinuous across a separatrix (the isobaths which touch at a saddle point). This violates the scaling assumption of Nilsson *et al.* [2] that the flow and bathymetry vary over comparable length scales, and it is likely that the solution to (8) will have a boundary-layer structure in the vicinity of the separatrix. Note that the zero bottom slope at a saddle point should not lead directly to any singularities in the solution, since if  $\nabla H = 0$ , (8) reduces to the Poisson equation

$$h_e \nabla^2 p_0 = \nabla \times \tau_w. \tag{12}$$

## 3 Solution in the vicinity of a saddle point

To determine the behavior of solutions to (8) in the presence of a saddle point, we consider a special case of the homogeneous version of (8), with  $H = H_0 + Axy$ , the saddle point being at the origin. We drop the zero suffix from  $p_0$  for convenience. We have

$$h_e \nabla^2 p - Ax \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + Ay \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0.$$
(13)

This linear partial differential equation is separable, with solutions of the form X(x)Y(y), satisfying versions of the Hermite equation

$$\frac{h_e}{A}X'' - xX' + kX = 0; \qquad \frac{h_e}{A}Y'' + yY' - kY = 0.$$
(14)

There is thus the possibility of constructing boundary layer solutions by employing linear combinations of solutions to (14) for suitable combinations of values of k.

## 4 Boundary-layer structure

#### a. inner solution

If we let  $x^* = x/L$  and  $y^* = y/L$  in (13–14), where  $L = (2h_e/A)^{1/2}$ , we obtain, removing the asterisks for convenience:

$$\nabla^2 p - 2x \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0, \qquad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2X}{dx^2} - 2x\frac{dX}{dx} + 2kX = 0; (16)$$

$$\frac{d^2Y}{dy^2} + 2y\frac{dY}{dy} - 2kY = 0.$$
 (17)

Equations 16–17 are variants of Hermite's equation, whose solutions for integer values of k include Hermite polynomials and repeated integrals of the complementary error function [1].

For  $k \ge 0$ , Eq. 17 has solutions of the form

$$X_k = A_1 H_k(x) + B_1 \mathcal{I}^{k+1} e^{x^2},$$
  

$$Y_k = A_2 \mathcal{I}^k \operatorname{erfc}(y) + B_2 \mathcal{I}^k \operatorname{erfc}(-y),$$
(18)

where  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $B_2$  are constants,  $H_k$  is the Hermite function of order k (reducing to the Hermite polynomial of degree k if k is an integer),

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-z^{2}}$$
(19)

is the complementary error function, and  $\mathcal{I}^k$  denotes the following integral operator applied k times:

$$\mathcal{I}f(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} f(t) \, dt, \qquad (20)$$

suitably generalized for non-integer k. For k < 0, the solutions are

$$X_{k} = C_{1}\mathcal{I}^{-k}\operatorname{erfc}(-x) + D_{1}\mathcal{I}^{-k}\operatorname{erfc}(x),$$
  

$$Y_{k} = C_{2}H_{-k}(-y) + D_{2}\mathcal{I}^{-k+1}e^{y^{2}},$$
(21)

where  $C_1$ ,  $D_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $D_2$  are constants.

For the particular cases  $k = \pm 1$  with  $B_1 = D_2 = 0$ , and  $A_1 = C_2 = 1$ , we obtain

$$X_{1} = x,$$
  

$$Y_{1} = A_{2} \left( -y \operatorname{erfc}(y) + (\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-y^{2}} \right) + B_{2} \left( y \operatorname{erfc}(-y) - (\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-y^{2}} \right);$$
  

$$X_{-1} = C_{1} \left( x \operatorname{erfc}(-x) - (\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^{2}} \right) + D_{1} \left( -x \operatorname{erfc}(x) + (\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^{2}} \right),$$
  

$$Y_{-1} = y;$$
(22)

where we have employed the identities  $H_1(z) = z$  and  $\mathcal{I}\operatorname{erfc}(z) = -z\operatorname{erfc}(z) + (\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-z^2}$ .

### b. matching inner and outer solutions

The inner solution

$$p^{i}(x,y) = X_{1}(x,y)Y_{1}(x,y) + X_{-1}(x,y)Y_{-1}(x,y), \qquad (23)$$

in the limit of large |x| and |y|, tends to the following functional form:

For 
$$y > 0$$
:  $2(B_2 - D_1)xy$  if  $x < 0$ ,  $2(B_2 + C_1)xy$  if  $x > 0$ ;  
For  $y < 0$ :  $2(-A_2 - D_1)xy$  if  $x < 0$ ,  $2(-A_2 + C_1)xy$  if  $x > 0$ . (24)

We see that this outer limit of  $p^i$  is proportional to xy in each of the four quadrants, The coefficient of proportionality may be specified independently in three of the four quadrants by choosing a suitable combination of  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $C_1$ , and  $D_1$ . The fluid velocity at the outer scale may have two independently-specified discontinuities along the positive and negative x and y axes. The third independent discontinuity depends on the other two (the sum of all the discontinuities must of course equal zero). Since the integral condition (9-11) may specify four independent proportionality conditions, and thus three independent velocity discontinuities, we do not yet have a complete agreement between the inner and outer solutions.

## 5 Conclusion

The fact that one may have, in the outer limit, three independently-specified discontinuities along the positive and negative x and y axes in the vicinity of the bathymetric saddle point, means that it is possible to specify different integral constraints for the circulation in three of the four quadrants. Extending this result to the larger-scale flow pattern in a basin, this means that flow velocity discontinuities predicted from (9–11) across separatrices in the isobaths are not quite resolvable at an inner scale by solutions of the form given in (22–23) in terms of the current analysis. Further investigation is required in order to obtain complete agreement between the solutions at the inner and outer scales.

The solutions calculated in this paper are valid in the vicinity of saddle points which have separatrices crossing at right angles. For more general types of saddle point, the homogeneous version of (8) is no longer separable: however, I anticipate that the matching of inner and outer solutions will eventually allow for the appropriate specification of outer-scale flow discontinuities across separatrices. Hence the integral-constraint specification of the steady circulation proposed by Nilsson *et al.* [2] should be valid even for bathymetries containing saddle points.

## Acknowledgments

I thank Johan Nilsson for valuable discussions and for providing me with an unpublished version of the Nilsson *et al.* [2] manuscript. The work was supported by the Research Council of Norway under project no. 155923/700. This is publication no. 0000 of the Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research.

## References

- Abramowitz, M. and I. A. Stegun. 1965. Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover, 1046 pp.
- [2] Nilsson, J., G. Walin, and G. Broström. 2005. Steady *f*-plane circulation arising from a prescribed buoyancy distribution in basins with sloping boundaries: or the rôle of bottom friction for creating a thermohaline circulation. Accepted for publication in *Journal of Marine Research*. Pre-print version available from URL http://www.misu.su.se/~nilsson/.