

Momentum space properties from coordinate space electron density

Manoj K. Harbola¹, Rajendra R. Zope^{2,†}, Anjali Kshirsagar³, and Rajeev K. Pathak^{3,4}

¹ Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208 016, India

² Department of Chemistry, George Washington University, Washington DC 20052, USA *

³ Department of Physics, University of Pune, Pune 411007, India. and

⁴ The Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, 34014, Trieste, Italy.

(Dated: September 15, 2018)

Electron density and electron momentum density, while independently tractable experimentally, bear no direct connection without going through the many-electron wave function. However, invoking a variant of the constrained-search formulation of density functional theory, we develop a general scheme (valid for arbitrary external potentials) yielding decent momentum space properties, starting *exclusively* from the *coordinate-* space electron density. Numerical illustration of the scheme is provided for the closed-shell atomic systems He, Be and Ne and for $1s^1 2s^1$ singlet electronic excited state for Helium by calculating the Compton profiles and the $\langle p^n \rangle$ expectation values derived from given coordinate space electron densities.

PACS numbers: 31.15 Ew, 32.89 Cy

Keywords: Compton Profile, Electron Momentum Density, Electron Density

For a quantum mechanical N-electron system such as an atom, molecule or a solid, the coordinate space one-electron density $n(\vec{r})$ is derived from the pertinent configuration space many-electron (antisymmetric) wave function $\Psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_3, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$ through the marginal distribution $n(\vec{r}) = N \int |\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_3, \dots, \vec{r}_N)|^2 d^3r_2 d^3r_3 \dots d^3r_N$ (electron spins may also be included). Analogously, the one-electron momentum density $\gamma(\vec{p})$ is obtained from the N-electron momentum space wave function $\Phi(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3, \dots, \vec{p}_N)$ via the reduction $\gamma(\vec{p}) = N \int |\Phi(\vec{p}, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3, \dots, \vec{p}_N)|^2 d^3p_2 d^3p_3 \dots d^3p_N$. The wave functions Ψ and Φ in the complementary spaces are connected by a many-particle Fourier-Dirac transformation :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_N) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3N/2}} \int \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \\ &\times e^{i \sum_{j=1}^N \vec{p}_j \cdot \vec{r}_j} d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \dots d^3r_N. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(Hartree atomic units, *viz.* $|e| = 1, m_e = 1, \hbar = 1$ have been used throughout). Experimentally, the coordinate-space density is tractable through a coherent and elastic x-ray scattering process [1] where the scattered intensity is directly proportional to $|f(\vec{k})|^2$, $f(\vec{k})$ being the form factor which is the Fourier transform of $n(\vec{r})$. On the other hand, the electron momentum density $\gamma(\vec{p})$ manifests itself more directly in terms of the (directional) Compton profile $J(q)$ [2] obtained in an inelastic high energy (X-ray or γ -ray) Compton scattering process :

$$J(q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_y \gamma(p_x, p_y, q). \quad (2)$$

For atomic and molecular systems in gaseous state, a spherically symmetric Compton profile results from the corresponding spherically averaged electron momentum density $\gamma_{sph}(p) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \gamma(\vec{p}) d\Omega_{\vec{p}}$, whence

$$J_{sph}(q)_{q>0} = 2\pi \int_q^{\infty} \gamma_{sph}(p) p dp, \quad (3)$$

which is a monotonic decreasing function of q . Theoretically, the expressions in Eqs. (2) and (3) are essentially the impulse approximation (IA) profiles [3]. Equation (3) readily leads to an inverse relation $\gamma(p) = -\frac{1}{2\pi p} \frac{dJ(p)}{dp}$, where here and henceforth, the subscript ‘‘sph’’ will be understood.

It must be emphasized here that the mappings $n \rightarrow \Psi$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \Phi$ are both in general one-many and although for the ground state the former is unique [4], the explicit prescription for the map is unknown. Hence while there exists a Fourier connection between $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Phi$, no such direct relation is possible between the densities $n(\vec{r})$ and $\gamma(\vec{p})$ in the two complementary spaces. On the basis of quasi-classical phase-space considerations (akin to the Thomas-Fermi theory), there exists a procedure due to Burkhardt [5], Kònya [6], and Coulson and March [7], called the BKCM scheme, to estimate electron momentum density, given its position-space counterpart [8]. However, this method is marred by its artifacts of a divergent $\gamma(0)$ and a finite cut-off for $\gamma(p)$. Incidentally, the so termed ‘‘Wigner function’’ [9, 10, 11] cannot represent a joint probability in phase space for not being strictly positive semidefinite. In their phase-space approach to density functional theory (DFT) [4], Parr *et al.* [12] prescribed a phase-space entropy maximization, imposing a given coordinate density *and* a given kinetic energy density (at each point \vec{r}) as constraints. This enabled them to obtain a positive semidefinite phase-space distribution through which momentum density could be extracted.

Let us, however, pose a question : Given *exclusively* the electron density in coordinate space as a starting point (*and no other information*), could one estimate the quantum-mechanical electron momentum density (and

hence the Compton profile)?

It is the spirit underlying this letter to demonstrate an affirmative answer to the above question, within the density functional theory pertinent features of which have been as highlighted below.

In their exciting work, Zhao and Parr (ZP) [13] developed a novel method to obtain the Kohn-Sham orbitals for a given co-ordinate space density. Their method is based on Levy's constrained search approach [14] that generalizes the Hohenberg - Kohn formulation of DFT. Constrained search approach which obtains the Kohn-Sham "wave function", a single Slater determinant Ψ^D formed out of the lowest occupied orbitals of a local potential, by minimizing the non-interacting kinetic energy

$$T_s[n] = \min_{\Psi^D} \langle \Psi^D | \hat{T} | \Psi^D \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\Psi^D \implies n(\vec{r})$, the given density; \hat{T} is the N-electron kinetic energy operator and Ψ^D is an antisymmetric, normalized (hence L^2) N-electron wave function of independent electrons. ZP accomplished the search on the right side of Eq. (4) through variation of the orbitals $\{\psi_i(\vec{r})\}_{i=1}^N$ yielding a density $n(\vec{r})$. This density would equal the given density $n_0(\vec{r})$ at every point \vec{r} , via the minimization of the positive semidefinite functional

$$C \equiv \int \frac{[n(\vec{r}) - n_0(\vec{r})][n(\vec{r}') - n_0(\vec{r}')] }{2 |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d^3r d^3r', \quad (5)$$

whose minimum value zero would be reached *iff* $n(\vec{r}) = n_0(\vec{r}) \forall \vec{r}$. The minimization $T_s[n] + \lambda C$ with respect to the orbitals $\{\psi_i\}$ gives a set of Kohn-Sham like equations :

$$\left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + \lambda \tilde{v}(\vec{r}) - Z/r \right) \psi_i(\vec{r}) = \epsilon_i \psi_i(\vec{r}), (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (6)$$

where the sum $\lambda \tilde{v}(\vec{r}) - Z/r \equiv \lambda v(\vec{r}) = \lambda \int \frac{[n(\vec{r}') - n_0(\vec{r}')] }{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d^3r'$ in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty, C \rightarrow 0$, yet the product λC remaining finite, gives the effective Kohn-Sham potential [13].

Morrison and Zhao [15] applied this method to atoms while Ingamells and Handy [16] extended the work to molecular systems. Recently, Harbola [17] observed that the Zhao-Parr procedure could also be applied to obtain Kohn-Sham orbitals for an excited-state density, thereby demonstrating the general applicability of the method to ground- as well as excited-states.

Our scheme to effect $n(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \gamma(\vec{p})$ "transformation" is : Start from a given density $n_0(\vec{r}) \Rightarrow$ Obtain the Kohn - Sham orbitals $\psi_i(\vec{r})$ via the Zhao - Parr prescription $\sum_{i=1}^{occ} |\psi_i(\vec{r})|^2 = n(\vec{r}) \Rightarrow$ Fourier transform $\psi_i(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \phi_i(\vec{p}) \Rightarrow$ Obtain $\gamma(\vec{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{occ} |\phi_i(\vec{p})|^2$; hence the Compton profile $J(q)$ and other momentum expectation values. This procedure thus starts from only a given $n_0(\vec{r})$ and estimates $J(q)$ and $\langle p^n \rangle$ values. We illustrate

He	$1s^2$	1S	(ground state configuration)
He	$1s^1 2s^1$	1S	(singlet lowest excited state configuration)
Be	$1s^2 2s^2$	1S	(ground state configuration)
Ne	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$	1S	(ground state configuration)




FIG. 1: Electron Momentum Density obtained within the present scheme

this " \vec{r} -density to momentum-space properties" (RDMP) scheme for the following atomic systems :

Accurate coordinate space densities employed as starting points for ground state are due to Koga *et al.* [18] for He, Esquivel and Bunge [19] and Bunge and Esquivel [20] for Be and Ne respectively while the Coolidge and James [21] density was used for He excited state. The value of λ (cf. eq. 6) was set to ~ 5000 , leading to a sufficiently accurate self-consistent Kohn-Sham potential converged to five places.

A collage of the $\gamma(\vec{p})$ derived from $n(\vec{r})$ under the present scheme is depicted in Fig. 1. While all the γ -plots compare extremely well with their HF-counterparts (not shown), the features of nonmonotonicity of $\gamma(\vec{p})$ for Neon is also reproduced (cf. Ref. [22]; Fig. 1), in conformity with the fact that atoms with their ultimate p -shells doubly occupied or more evince such nonmonotonicity [22].

The Hartree-Fock data (zeroth-order correlated) for the wave-function are given for comparison and as a datum. Particularly striking is the fact that for excited He, the electron momentum density (EMD) is overwhelmingly preponderant around low momentum values along with a very sharp asymptotic fall-off.

Tables I through III give the CP's via the RDMP scheme with their other theoretical and experimental

TABLE I: Compton Profiles for helium

q	Ground State			First Excited State
	Present Work	HF ^a	Experiment ^b	Present Work
0.00	1.075	1.070	1.071 ± 1.5%	2.947
0.20	1.020	1.017	1.019	1.464
0.40	0.879	0.878	-	0.465
0.60	0.700	0.700	0.705	0.337
0.80	0.525	0.527	-	0.287
1.00	0.380	0.382	0.388	0.233
1.50	0.159	0.160	-	0.124
2.00	0.068	0.068	0.069	0.059
2.50	0.031	0.031	0.030 ± 15%	0.027
3.00	0.015	0.015	0.013	0.012
3.50	0.008	-	-	0.006
4.00	0.004	-	-	0.003
5.00	0.001	-	-	0.001

^aReference [23]; ^bReference [24]

comparators. Each CP is normalized as $\int_0^\infty J(q) dq = N/2$, as is customary. There is a remarkable agreement between the CP's derived from coordinate space atomic electron densities within the impulse approximation and the Hartree - Fock (HF) or experimental CP's. From Table I, the considerable redistribution of electron momentum density of He excited state in comparison with its ground state counterpart is evident. Excitation brings in a slower decay in the coordinate space which is, by Fourier reciprocity, mapped on to a peak Compton profile value. As the transition $1s^1 2s^1 \rightarrow 1s^2$ is dipole-forbidden, this singlet He-excited state is long-lived (life time $\sim \frac{1}{10} sec$); while there have been no Compton profiles (CP's) reported for this system, the present scheme accomplishes this.

For Be and Ne (Tables II and III) ground states, the CP's via the present schemes give better overall agreement with their accurate correlated counterparts than do the HF-CP's. This is indicative of the correlation piece picked up by the Zhao-Parr scheme.

To gauge the overall quality of the electron momentum density "derived" from the coordinate space density, we have computed the $\langle p^n \rangle$ values under the RDMP scheme and have compared with those derived from accurate, correlated atomic wave functions [28], as well as from the near Hartree-Fock wave functions [23]. Table IV illustrates that the present RDMP scheme successfully obtains the $\langle p^n \rangle$ values (for $n = -2, -1, 1, 2, 3$ and 4) in agreement with both their HF and correlated counterparts. For the case of He excited state, preponderance of electron momentum density around very small as well as large p values is conspicuous.

Since the Kohn - Sham theory, in its very spirit, provides an effective local one- body potential in which the mutually noninteracting electrons are immersed, the quantal exchange-correlation effects of the electron-

TABLE II: Compton Profiles for Ground State of Beryllium

q	Present Work	HF ^a	Correlated ^b
0.00	3.061	3.159	2.953
0.30	1.958	1.950	1.936
0.50	1.068	1.032	1.098
0.70	0.621	0.600	0.658
0.80	0.516	0.503	-
1.00	0.413	0.409	0.432
1.50	0.310	0.309	0.312
2.00	0.224	0.224	0.224
2.50	0.153	0.153	-
3.00	0.102	0.102	0.102
3.50	0.068	0.068	-
4.00	0.045	0.045	0.045
5.00	0.021	0.021	0.020
6.00	0.010	0.010	-
7.00	0.005	0.005	0.005
8.00	0.003	0.003	-

^aReference [23]; ^bReference [25]

TABLE III: Compton Profiles Ground State of Neon

q	Present Work	HF	Experiment ^a
0.00	2.748	2.727	2.762
0.20	2.716	2.696	2.738
0.40	2.610	2.593	2.630
0.60	2.423	2.413	2.427
0.80	2.170	2.168	2.162
1.00	1.883	1.889	1.859
1.50	1.216	1.228	-
2.00	0.764	0.771	0.765
2.50	0.499	0.501	0.501
3.00	0.346	0.346	0.359
3.50	0.254	0.253	0.277
4.00	0.195	0.194	0.210
5.00	0.125	0.125	0.126
6.00	0.085	-	-
7.00	0.060	-	-
8.00	0.044	-	-

^aReferences [26] - [27]

electron interactions (after filtering out the "classical" part $\int n(\vec{r}') d^3 r' / |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$ in $v_{eff}^{KS}(\vec{r})$) embody a kinetic energy like piece and a potential energy like piece. The kinetic piece arises out of the difference between the functionals $T[n]$ and $T_s[n]$, whose values albeit known, their forms remain unknown. Lam and Platzman [30] and Tong and Lam [26] imported the functional forms for a homogeneous electron gas and estimated the correction to $J(q)$ within the local density approximation. In the present case however, the difference as a functional cannot be isolated from the sum total Kohn-Sham exchange-correlation energy.

To conclude, it is gratifying that the present method offers a general prescription to estimate quantal momentum space properties starting from coordinate space $n(\vec{r})$ alone, with no reference to the many- electron wave

TABLE IV: Various expectation values $\langle p^n \rangle$ for $n = -2, -1, 1, 2, 3$ and 4

System Property	He(1s ²)			He(1s ¹ 2s ¹)			Be			Ne	
	PW	HF ^a	Corr ^b	PW	PW	HF ^a	Corr ^c	PW	HF ^a	Corr ^d	
$\langle p^{-2} \rangle$	4.132	4.089	4.101	43.047	23.449	25.291	21.939	5.583	5.480	5.553	
$\langle p^{-1} \rangle$	2.149	2.141	2.139	5.889	6.122	6.318	5.909	5.497	5.456	5.478	
$\langle p^1 \rangle$	2.797	2.799	2.814	2.036	7.468	7.434	7.533	35.156	35.196	35.241	
$\langle p^2 \rangle$	5.734	5.723	5.805	4.318	29.183	29.146	29.333	257.183	257.09	257.751	
$\langle p^3 \rangle$	18.11	17.99	18.40	63.86	185.55	185.59	186.35	3583.33	3584.3	3591.5	
$\langle p^4 \rangle$	106.6	105.7	-	271159.0	2147.2	2161.0	2165.0	96612.1	98510.0	98719.0	

PW : Present Work, Corr : Correlated values: ^aReference [23]; ^bReference [28]; ^cReference [25]; ^dReference [29]

function. This scheme could also be extended to solids wherein directional Compton profiles could be derived from an experimental [31] three-dimensional co-ordinate space density. Note that this procedure is not limited by the form of the external binding potential endowing the present scheme with generality for any bound state problem.

RKP is indebted to AS-ICTP, where a part of the work was carried out, for an associateship. AK wishes to acknowledge UGC (Govt. of India) for financial support.

* † Present mailing address : Theoretical Chemistry Section, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington DC 20375, USA.

- [1] See, for example, N. H. March, *Self-consistent Fields in Atoms*, (Pergamon, New York, 1975).
- [2] An excellent compendium on this is *Compton Scattering*, edited by B. G. Williams, (McGraw Hill, Great Britain, 1977).
- [3] P. M. Platzman and N. Tozar, in Ref. [2], p. 28; M.J. Cooper, *Radia. Phys. Chem.* **50**, 63 (1997).
- [4] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, B864 (1964); W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1133 (1965); *Density Functional Methods in Physics*, edited by R. M. Dreizler and J. da Providência, (Plenum Press, New York, 1985).
- [5] G. Burkhardt, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **26**, 567 (1936).
- [6] A. Könya, *Hung. Acta. Phys.* **1**, 12 (1949).
- [7] C. A. Coulson and N. H. March, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **63A**, 367 (1950).
- [8] R. K. Pathak and S.R. Gadre, *J. Chem. Phys.* **74**, 5925 (1981); S. R. Gadre and R. K. Pathak, *Phys. Rev. A* **24**, 2906 (1981); R. K. Pathak, P. V. Panat and S. R. Gadre, *Phys. Rev. A* **25**, 3073 (1982); R. K. Pathak, S. P. Gejji and S. R. Gadre, *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 3402 (1984).
- [9] E. P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.* **40**, 749 (1932).
- [10] M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully and E. P. Wigner, *Phys. Res. Reports* **106**, 123 (1984).
- [11] Y. S. Kim and M.E. Noz, *Phase Space Picture of Quantum Mechanics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991); W. P. Schleich, *Quantum optics in the Phase Space* (Wiley - VCH, Weinheim, 2001).
- [12] R.G. Parr, K. Rupnik and S.K. Ghosh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 1555 (1986).
- [13] Q. Zhao and R.G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 2337 (1992); *J.Chem. Phys.* **98**, 543 (1993).
- [14] M.Levy, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **76**, 6062 (1978).
- [15] R. Morrison and Q. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 1980 (1995).
- [16] V. E. Ingamells and N. C. Handy, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **248**, 373 (1996).
- [17] M. K. Harbola, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 042512 (2004).
- [18] T. Koga, Y. Kasai and A.J. Thakkar, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **46**, 689 (1993).
- [19] R.O. Esquivel and A.V. Bunge, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **32**, 295 (1987).
- [20] A.V. Bunge and R.O. Esquivel, *Phys. Rev. A* **34**, 853 (1986).
- [21] A.S. Coolidge and H.M. James, *Phys. Rev.* **49**, 676 (1936).
- [22] S. R. Gadre, S. Chakravorty and R. K. Pathak, *J. Chem. Phys.* **78**, 4581 (1983).
- [23] E. Clementi and C. Roetti, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **14**, 177 (1974); R. R. Zope, M. K. Harbola, and R. K. Pathak, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **7**, 151 (1999).
- [24] P. Eisenberger and W. A. Reed, *Phys. Rev. A* **5**, 2055 (1972).
- [25] A.N. Tripathi, R.P. Sagar, R.O. Esquivel and V.H. Smith Jr., *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 4385 (1992).
- [26] B. Y. Tong and L. Lam, *Phys. Rev. B* **18**, 552 (1978).
- [27] P. Eisenberger, *Phys. Rev. A* **5**, 628 (1972).
- [28] A. Sarsa, F.J. Gálvez and E. Buendia, *J. Phys. B : At. Mol. Op. Phys.* **32**, 2245 (1999).
- [29] A.N. Tripathi, V.H. Smith Jr., R.P. Sagar and R.O. Esquivel, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 1877 (1996).
- [30] L.Lam and P. M. Platzman, *Phys. Rev. B* **9**, 5122 (1974); *ibid B* **9**, 5128 (1974).
- [31] D. Jayatilaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **80**, 798 (1998).