

Various Newtonian stresses from macroscopic fluid to microscopic celled fluid

Gang Liu

High Performance Computing Virtual Laboratory
Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada
(November 25, 2004)

arXiv:physics/0409135v2 [physics.flu-dyn] 25 Nov 2004

We showed that various expressions of stress in different models of fluids and in different forms of applying Newton's Second Law can be chosen, however there is always one Newton's Second Law which restricts and provides flexibility in these expressions. When fluids are regarded as being made of cells from a microscopic point of view, temperature force (gradient of internal kinetic energy) can also drive them flow.

While the pressure is the normal force acting on a surface per unit area, the stress means the total force per unit area essentially. However, for a given system of particle i from 1 to n with volume Ω , the stress has been proven or widely used as

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi} = \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_k + \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f, \quad (1)$$

where the kinetic energy term is

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_k = -\frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i, \quad (2)$$

and the regular force term is

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{i>j} (\mathbf{f}_{j \rightarrow i}) (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i), \quad (3)$$

with mass m_i and position vector \mathbf{r}_i for particle i , and force $\mathbf{f}_{j \rightarrow i}$ acting on particle i by particle j . As the kinetic energy term seems not representing any forces directly, Zhou recently studied previous derivations for it and concluded that the kinetic energy term was not physically grounded and should be deleted from the stress expression [1]. In our recent work [2], we found that the kinetic energy term can be explained by collision forces between particles and walls surrounding the system in a statistical way. As there has been no doubt about the regular force term in principle and we have discussed it in detail in our previous work for systems with periodic boundary conditions [2], we will mainly discuss the kinetic energy term in views of macroscopic and microscopic motions based on Newton's Second Law below.

Let us repeat Newton's Second Law first,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i) = \mathbf{F}_i, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{F}_i is the net force acting on particle i . Even for this extremely well known law, we still want to

emphasize that it means the rate of the "regular-force-induced" change of the momentum is equal to the regular force essentially. For one particle, this is never a problem. For many particles, if we simply add Eq. (4) for all particles and get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{dt} (m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}_i, \quad (5)$$

no problem either. Now let us consider a very short period of time $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1 > 0$ (from t_1 to t_2) for a system containing the above n particles but defined with a fixed space boundary. Suppose an extra particle, particle $i + 1$, enters the system during this period even with an unchanged velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{n+1}$, and no particles move out of the system. If we calculate the momentum of the system at t_1 and t_2 as $\mathbf{P}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}_2 = m_{n+1} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t_2)$ respectively, and get the average rate of the momentum increase of the system for this period as

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_1}{\Delta t} = m_{n+1} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{n+1}}{\Delta t} + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t_2) - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t_1)}{\Delta t}, \quad (6)$$

we need an additional imagined force $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} = m_{n+1} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{n+1} / \Delta t$ acting on the system in order to have Newton's Second Law satisfied as

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_1}{\Delta t} = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}_i, \quad (7)$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (5). The force $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}}$ can be imagined as the interaction between the spaces separated by the boundary area penetrated by particle $n + 1$, as discussed in the TRANSPORT OF MOMENTUM section in our previous work [2]. So we have two choices. One is to keep that the rate of moment change in Newton's Second Law is the rate of the "regular-force-induced" change of the momentum. Then we have Eq. (5) as Newton's Second Law with the imagined force $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}}$ never needed. The other is that the momentum change due to any reasons, including particles' passing system boundary, is accumulated, then we have Eq. (7) as Newton's Second Law, and the imagined forces $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}}$ must be considered. These physical views for particle systems are also applicable in complicated cases of continuous medium/fluid, for which forms of stress are usually derived and extended to more general cases.

In 1950, Irving and Kirkwood derived their formula for stress similar to the internal stress below by applying statistics onto hydrodynamics [3]. We will apply Newton's Second Law in hydrodynamics and limit statistics to necessary terms only, and will deal with various stress definitions. It will be convenient for us to start our derivation by repeating some of their work. Let us consider a continuous fluid with mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}; t)$ and "local velocity" $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t)$ at the point \mathbf{r} and time t . We now imagine ω to be a space region somewhere in the interior of the fluid with fixed boundary S . Since ω is fixed, the rate of change of momentum in it is

$$\mathbf{R}_c = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\omega} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega = \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \mathbf{u}] d\omega. \quad (8)$$

The rate of flow of momentum out through the surface of ω is

$$\mathbf{R}_f = \oint_S \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot [\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}] d\omega, \quad (9)$$

where the direction of the surface $d\mathbf{S}$ is from inside to outside, and the surface integral has been converted to a volume integral by Gauss' theorem. The body force acting on the fluid within ω due to external source is

$$\mathbf{F}_e = \int_{\omega} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega, \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{X} is the force per unit volume. The surface force acting on the fluid within ω due to neighbor fluid is

$$\mathbf{F}_s = \oint_S \overleftrightarrow{\pi}(\mathbf{r}; t) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\pi} d\omega. \quad (11)$$

Then as in all hydrodynamical literatures, we have

$$\mathbf{R}_c + \mathbf{R}_f = \mathbf{F}_e + \mathbf{F}_s, \quad (12)$$

which further results in its differential equivalence of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \mathbf{u}] + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot [\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}; t) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\pi}, \quad (13)$$

since ω is arbitrary. The last two equivalent equations are usually called hydrodynamical equation of motion. Actually they are Newton's Second Law for fluids. Later we will read them in some views and thus get various definitions of the stress accordingly. But before this, we have to separate macroscopic motion from microscopic motion.

It is known that each "smallest" element of any fluid or material is a particle and the true motion of it is microscopic motion. Let us use $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}; t)$ to denote the microscopic velocity of the element. However we can see their macroscopic motion only. One example is a flowing river, in which we can see the water is transporting, and the transporting velocity at each macroscopic point can

be measured easily, while the motion of each individual water molecule is not visible and its microscopic velocity can not be easily measured. In most cases, the macroscopic and microscopic velocities are not the same. We can also say the macroscopic motion is mass transport, and the macroscopic velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}; t)$ at a macroscopic point \mathbf{p} is the velocity of mass of centre of the portion of the fluid/material in a local small space region $\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}$ around the point. Specifically we have

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}; t) \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega = \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega, \quad (14)$$

where we use the integration representing the corresponding summation over particles. In this sense, a group of microscopic particles can still be regarded as a continuous medium in a small region. Let us define internal velocity as

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}; t) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}; t). \quad (15)$$

Then Eq. (14) leads to

$$\int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega = 0. \quad (16)$$

Let us call the region $\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}$ as cell \mathbf{p} and assume that the whole fluid is made of these full cells without overlapping. With Eq. (16), the total kinetic energy of the cell \mathbf{p} , a microscopic kinetic energy, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega \\ &= K_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}, mac} + K_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}, int}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the corresponding macroscopic kinetic energy

$$K_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}, mac} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2(\mathbf{p}; t) \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega, \quad (18)$$

and the corresponding internal kinetic energy

$$K_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}, int} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}^2(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega. \quad (19)$$

It is well known that temperature represents internal kinetic energy. As a matter of fact, both macroscopic and microscopic velocities and kinetic energies are dependent on the velocity of the reference coordinate system. If temperature represented microscopic kinetic energy, it would also be dependent on the reference coordinate system. On the contrary, the internal velocity and internal kinetic energy defined above are independent on the reference coordinate system. So is the temperature representing the internal kinetic energy. We can not accept a temperature dependent on the reference coordinate system. Internal stress should also be independent on the reference coordinate system.

Now let us read every term of Eq. (12), but, for simplicity, considering the macroscopic motion only. The

first left term \mathbf{R}_c is the total rate of change of momentum of the system, including the part due to fluid moving across the boundary, which is not regular-force-induced. Then the second left term \mathbf{R}_f is used to cancel this part. So the total left side is the total rate of change of regular-force-induced momentum. Then the right side must be pure regular forces, no imagined force. The external force \mathbf{F}_e is clear and free from problems. The surface force \mathbf{F}_s must be regular forces, so the stress $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}$ should not have the kinetic energy part $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_k$, and should have the pure regular force part $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f$ only.

If the term \mathbf{R}_f is moved from the left side to the right and put into the term \mathbf{F}_s , the stress becomes

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}' = -\rho\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u} + \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f, \quad (20)$$

and Eq. (12) changes into

$$\mathbf{R}_c = \mathbf{F}_e + \int_{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\pi}' d\omega. \quad (21)$$

For stress definition of Eq. (20), the term $-\rho\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}$ represents the imagined forces between space and space corresponding to fluid's moving across the boundary, Newton's Second Law must be used in the form of Eq. (21), and the term \mathbf{R}_c must include the part due to fluid moving across the boundary. So the definition of stress depends on how Newton's Second Law being used.

Next let us read Eq. (12) in view of microscopic motion, the complete motion. Then, Eq. (12) becomes

$$\mathbf{R}'_c + \mathbf{R}'_f = \mathbf{F}_e + \mathbf{F}_s, \quad (22)$$

with $\mathbf{R}'_c = \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho\mathbf{v}] d\omega$ and $\mathbf{R}'_f = \int_{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot [\rho\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}] d\omega$. The first left term \mathbf{R}'_c is the total rate of change of momentum including the part due to fluid's microscopic moving across the boundary, which is not regular-force-induced and cancelled by the second left term \mathbf{R}'_f . Then the right side must be pure regular forces according to Newton's Second Law, and the stress $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}$ should not have the kinetic energy part. If we move \mathbf{R}'_f from the left side to the right and put it into the stress, then the stress can be defined as

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}'' = -\rho\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} + \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f, \quad (23)$$

with the term $-\rho\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$ representing the imagined forces between space and space corresponding to fluid's micro-moving across the boundary, and Eq. (22) changes into

$$\mathbf{R}'_c = \mathbf{F}_e + \int_{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\pi}'' d\omega. \quad (24)$$

Let us now separate the microscopic motion into macroscopic and internal motions, supposing ω containing complete cells only. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}'_c &= \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho\mathbf{v}] d\omega \\ &= \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho\mathbf{u}] d\omega + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}} \in \omega} \int_{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho\mathbf{u}] d\omega = \mathbf{R}_c. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Actually the rate of flow of momentum is a statistical idea as early as in Eq. (9). The first right statistical term $-\rho\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$ of Eq. (23) for a microscopic particle implies that the particle has the same probability appearing at any point of a certain space region. Let us assume the region is the cell in which it resides, then Eq. (16) results in the averaged internal momentum at each point

$$\overline{\rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t)} = 0, \quad (26)$$

which does not mean no internal motion. Recognizing the average nature in the rate of microscopic flow of momentum \mathbf{R}'_f and bringing Eq. (26) into it, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}'_f &= \oint_S \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}; t) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \oint_S \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t) + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t)] [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}; t) + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t)] \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_f + \mathbf{R}_w, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_w = \oint_S \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t) \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}; t) \cdot d\mathbf{S}. \quad (28)$$

Then Newton's Second Law of Eq. (22) becomes

$$\mathbf{R}_c + \mathbf{R}_f + \mathbf{R}_w = \mathbf{F}_e + \mathbf{F}_s. \quad (29)$$

As in the above, one has the choice to move \mathbf{R}_f from left to right and define the stress as $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}'$ of Eq. (20) or to keep \mathbf{R}_f in the left. For either case, we still have a further choice whether to put \mathbf{R}_w into \mathbf{F}_s . If we do so but keep \mathbf{R}_f in the left, the stress becomes Irving and Kirkwood's stress [3]

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_{ik} = -\rho\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w} + \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f. \quad (30)$$

This stress will be zero in the example of figure 4 in Zhou's paper [1], as there is no internal motion in the material. More interesting is that \mathbf{R}_w is completely new, when Eq. (29) is compared with Eq. (12). The right sides of the two equations may be different when microscopic motion status is considered in Eq. (29). But this difference is only an accuracy problem if it exists. The term \mathbf{R}_w arises from different models of the same fluid/material.

Suppose we study macroscopic properties of a fluid or material. As the same macroscopic motion may come with different internal motions, the macroscopic properties should be averaged ones over internal motions. For a

given cell with a fixed macroscopic velocity \mathbf{u} , if the direction of the internal velocity of every microscopic particle is rotated with a fixed angle, the macroscopic motion is not changed. So let us further assume that the internal velocity \mathbf{w} for any given microscopic particle has equal probability in all directions with the same absolute value. Then for any given surface \mathbf{S} , we have averaged tensor acting on it

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3} |\mathbf{w}|^2 \mathbf{S}, \quad (31)$$

the averaged internal kinetic energy density

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{w}|^2 = \frac{3}{2} \rho \overline{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}}, \quad (32)$$

and finally

$$\rho \overline{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}} = \eta k T, \quad (33)$$

where the relation $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{2} \eta k T$ is used with temperature T , Boltzmann constant k , and particle number density η . Please note that $\rho \overline{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \eta k T \mathbf{S}$, the total rate of momentum transferred across the surface \mathbf{S} , is the net rate of that from inside to outside $\frac{1}{2} \eta k T_1 \mathbf{S}$ and that from outside to inside $\frac{1}{2} \eta k T_2 \mathbf{S}$, so $T = \frac{1}{2} (T_1 + T_2)$ even if inside temperature T_1 and outside temperature T_2 are in different cells and not equal.

Considering this statistical nature, Eq. (28) can be simplified as

$$\mathbf{R}_w = \oint_S \eta k T(\mathbf{r}; t) d\mathbf{S} = \int_\omega k \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}(\eta T) d\omega, \quad (34)$$

which means the net rate of momentum transferred into ω due to microscopic particles' internal motion penetrating the boundary. At this moment it is an imagined force, which can be put inside or outside of stress definition. Considering the internal motion condition of Eq. (16), we can easily recognize that the increased momentum due to microscopic particles' internal moving across the boundary should contribute to the macroscopic motion in ω , described with $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}; t)$.

Now let us consider measurements of the fluid. When some detector is placed into the fluid to detect/measure some property, the microscopic particles will inevitably collide with it and the collision must be detected/measured. If the detector is not moving with the same velocity of the fluid's macroscopic motion, the collision will be complicated and depend on many factors. So a point detector moving with the same macroscopic velocity of the fluid at the point can measure some intrinsic-like properties. In this case, the collisions between the fluid particles and the detector is due to particle's internal motion only, and the averaged force in the collisions can be easily proven as $\rho \overline{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{S} = \eta k T \Delta \mathbf{S}$ for the detector's small surface area $\Delta \mathbf{S}$ exposed to the particles, supposing the collisions are complete elastic. So we found some physical force background for \mathbf{R}_w or

$k \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}(\eta T)$. If we regard it as an additional regular force, we can define the internal stress as

$$\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_{int} = -k \eta T \overleftrightarrow{I} + \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_f, \quad (35)$$

with unit tensor \overleftrightarrow{I} , while Newton's Second Law becomes

$$\mathbf{R}_c + \mathbf{R}_f = \mathbf{F}_e + \mathbf{F}_{int}, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_{int} = \oint_S \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_{int}(\mathbf{r}; t) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_\omega \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\pi}_{int} d\omega. \quad (37)$$

Essentially $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}_{int}$ is the same as the stress $\overleftrightarrow{\pi}$ in our previous work [2], where the lattice translation motion is macroscopic and the motion of particle in the MD cell is internal for every cell.

As temperature is a fundamental physics quantity, we may also write Newton's Second Law as

$$\mathbf{R}_c + \mathbf{R}_f = \mathbf{F}_e + \mathbf{F}_s + \mathbf{F}_t, \quad (38)$$

where the temperature force $\mathbf{F}_t = -\mathbf{R}_w$. A simple experiment can be done as follows to see the action of this force. Just have a kettle with half-filled water, boil it a few times to get rid of bubbles, cool it and let it calm down to no visible motion for a while, and heat it again. Then the water flow is visible even without bubble and before boiling. This flow is due to the temperature force, as the temperature is not even.

In summary, we have discussed many possibilities of stress definitions for different models of the fluid/material and for different forms of using Newton's Second Law. However any stress definition will be meaningful only when it is used in Newton's Second Law and Newton's Second Law is used correctly. By separating macroscopic motion and internal motion from microscopic motion, we found that the temperature force can also drive a fluid flow.

The author wishes to thank Prof. S. S. Wu, Jilin University, Prof. Ding-Sheng Wang, Institute of Physics, Prof. Si-Yuan Zhang, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, P.R. China, Dr. Kenneth Edgecombe, Dr. Hartmut Schmider, Dr. Malcolm J. Stott, and Dr. Kevin Robbie, Queen's University, Canada, for their helpful discussions and earnest encouragements.

[1] M. Zhou, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **459**, 2347 (2003).

[2] E-print, arXiv:cond-mat/0209372.

[3] J.H. Irving and John G. Kirkwood, J. Chem. Phys. **18**, 817 (1950).