

CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS FROM THE MOTION OF A RELATIVISTIC CONTINUUM

SABBIR A. RAHMAN

*Theoretical Physics, Blackett Laboratory
Imperial College of Science, Technology & Medicine
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BZ, U.K.*

E-mail: sarahman@alum.mit.edu

ABSTRACT

We show that there exists a choice of gauge in which the electromagnetic 4-potential may be written as the difference of two 4-velocity vector fields describing the motion of a two-component space-filling relativistic fluid. Maxwell's equations are satisfied immediately, while the Lorentz force equation follows from the interactions of sources and sinks. The usual electromagnetic quantities then admit new interpretations as functions of the local 4-velocities. Electromagnetic waves are found to be described by oscillations of the underlying medium which can therefore be identified with the 'luminiferous aether'. The formulation of electrodynamics in terms of 4-velocities is more general than that of the standard 4-potential in that it also allows for a classical description of a large class of vacuum energy configurations. Treated as a self-gravitating fluid, the model can be explicitly identified with Nelson's stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics, making it a promising candidate as the classical field theory unifying gravitation, electromagnetism and quantum theory which Einstein had sought.

1. Introduction

Motivated by the fact that macroscopic waves tend to propagate in a medium of some kind, many physicists have in the past attempted to find a description of electrodynamics in which electromagnetic waves may also be described by the motion of an underlying medium, commonly referred to as the 'aether'¹. Despite significant efforts, no such description was found, and the negative results of the Michelson-Morley experiment² put the final nail in the coffin of the aether concept as it was then understood. The failure of this program eventually led to the introduction of the concept of a 'field'³ requiring no underlying medium, and on quantisation of these fields, to 'quantum field theory'.

We will demonstrate here that Maxwell's equations can in fact be derived from the motion of an underlying medium if we assume that the underlying spacetime is Lorentzian rather than Galilean. While our formulation is not identical to, or even

necessarily as intuitive as the aether concept, it does show that an alternative description of electrodynamics did (and does) exist which did not require the introduction of the field concept. The medium can be interpreted as a relativistic fluid with two (basically identical) components wherein sources and sinks play the role of charges.

We will proceed as follows. In §2, we first establish a choice of reference frame and the coordinates that we will use to describe the motion of the continuum. We then define the ‘continuum gauge’, and show how the standard equations of electrodynamics appear if the 4-velocity of the continuum is associated with the specified components of the electromagnetic 4-potential in this gauge. The Lorentz force equation is found to translate into a third order partial differential equation which must be satisfied by the motion of the continuum.

In §3, we demonstrate the consistency of the continuum gauge and explicitly derive configurations associated with the infinitesimal point charge and the plane electromagnetic wave. We also show that our formalism has sufficient freedom to allow for the description of a large class of vacuum configurations which cannot be accounted for in the standard 4-potential description.

We are led to associate a mass density with the continuum and in §4 we identify its motion with that of a relativistic fluid of discrete particles. We show that the fluid dynamical interactions between sources and sinks give rise to Coulomb’s law, and thereby the Lorentz force equation, thus completing our description of classical electrodynamics in terms of the motion of a two-component relativistic fluid.

In §5 we take this fluid dynamical interpretation one step further and note that an explicit connection can be made between our picture of classical electrodynamics and Nelson’s stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics. This suggests that the foundations of quantum electrodynamics may lie purely in classical gravity as Einstein had long believed.

We end in §6 with a summary and discussion of our results. We assume a metric with signature $(+, -, -, -)$, and follow the conventions of Jackson⁴ throughout.

2. The Relativistic Continuum

In this section we establish the reference system and the coordinates that we will use to describe the motion of the continuum, and define the ‘continuum gauge’, showing how Maxwell’s equations appear. We also show that the Lorentz force equation translates into a third order partial differential equation constraining the continuum’s motion.

2.1. Coordinates and Reference Frames

Consider an arbitrary relativistic inertial frame with 4-coordinates $x^\mu = (ct, x, y, z)$, so that the spacetime partial derivatives are given by $\partial^\mu = (\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\nabla)$ and $\partial_\mu =$

$(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla)$ respectively.

Suppose there exists a continuum in relative motion to this frame which spans the entire spacetime. This continuum shall consist of two mutually independent components which respectively describe positive and negative charge configurations. We shall refer to these components as the ‘positive continuum’ and the ‘negative continuum’ respectively.

Let τ be the proper time in the inertial frame, and let $\mathbf{r}$ denote the 3-position (x, y, z) of a point in the continuum. Considering the instantaneous motion at proper time τ of the continuum at a point $\mathbf{r}$, the 3-velocity of either component of the continuum at that point as measured by the inertial frame is,

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

where t is the time as measured by a clock moving with the continuum. We can therefore define the interval,

$$ds^2 \equiv c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, we can define a 4-velocity vector field describing the motion of the continuum as,

$$u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \left(c \frac{dt}{d\tau}, \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\tau} \right) = (c\gamma, \gamma\mathbf{v}), \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ is the Lorentz factor at each point. This 4-velocity clearly satisfies,

$$u^\mu u_\mu = c^2, \quad u^{\mu,\nu} u_\mu = 0, \quad (4)$$

where partial derivatives $\partial^\nu u^\mu$ are written as $u^{\mu,\nu}$ for convenience.

The above definitions and identities hold for both the positive and negative continua independently, and henceforth we will distinguish the two sets of variables by a ‘+’ or ‘-’ subscript respectively as necessary.

2.2. The Continuum Gauge

The key step is to split the electromagnetic potential 4-vector A^μ into the sum,

$$A^\mu = A_+^\mu + A_-^\mu, \quad (5)$$

of two components A_+^μ and A_-^μ , which we identify respectively (up to a choice of gauge) with the two continuum 4-velocities u_+^μ and u_-^μ (in appropriately selected units) as follows,

$$A_+^\mu = u_+^\mu = (\phi_+, \mathbf{A}_+), \quad A_-^\mu = -u_-^\mu = (\phi_-, \mathbf{A}_-). \quad (6)$$

The condition (4) implies the following covariant constraint for both A_+^μ and A_-^μ ,

$$A_+^\mu A_{+\mu} = A_-^\mu A_{-\mu} = c^2. \quad (7)$$

We will refer to conditions (5), (6) and (7) as the ‘continuum gauge’. This is a non-standard choice of gauge, but we will demonstrate its consistency in §2 where we show that any electromagnetic field configuration can be described uniquely by a potential 4-vector field with the form of (5) satisfying the continuum gauge conditions.

The antisymmetric field-strength tensor can now be defined as,

$$F^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu,\nu} - A^{\nu,\mu} \sim (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}). \quad (8)$$

Other standard properties now follow in the usual way. From the definition (8), $F^{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity,

$$F^{\mu\nu,\lambda} + F^{\nu\lambda,\mu} + F^{\lambda\mu,\nu} = 0, \quad (9)$$

and this is just the covariant form of the homogeneous Maxwell’s equations. One can define the 4-current as the 4-divergence of the field-strength tensor,

$$J^\mu = \frac{c}{4\pi} F^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} = (c\rho, \mathbf{j}), \quad (10)$$

and this is the covariant form of the inhomogeneous Maxwell’s equations. Charge conservation is guaranteed by the antisymmetry of the field-strength tensor. The covariant Lorentz force equation should take the following form for a continuum,

$$\frac{dW^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{Q}{Mc} F^{\mu\nu} W_\nu, \quad (11)$$

where Q is a scalar field describing the charge density distribution, $W^\mu = (c\gamma_w, \gamma_w \mathbf{w})$ is a 4-velocity vector field describing the motion of the charge, and M is a scalar field which describes the mass density distribution for the charge. The Lorentz force equation cannot be derived directly from the definition of the 4-potential, and must be imposed as an auxiliary constraint.

The charge 4-velocity and scalar charge density are related to the 4-current density J^μ through the following equation,

$$J^\mu = QW^\mu, \quad (12)$$

where, being a 4-velocity, W^μ is constrained by,

$$W^\mu W_\mu = c^2. \quad (13)$$

This constraint allows us to separate the 4-current uniquely into the charge density and charge 4-velocity. Indeed it follows from (12) and (13) that,

$$J^\mu J_\mu = Q^2 c^2, \quad (14)$$

so that,

$$Q = \text{sgn}(J^0) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{c^2} J^\mu J_\mu \right)^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

where the sign of the 0-component of the 4-current appears to ensure that the 0-component W^0 of the charge 4-velocity is positive. Since the sign of J^0 cannot be flipped by a Lorentz transformation, each 4-velocity vector field can only account for either positive or negative charge configurations, and hence the need for two separate 4-velocity vector fields. Indeed the gauge based upon a single 4-vector field was precisely that introduced by Dirac in his classical model of the electron⁵, and it is noteworthy that he was also led to speculate that this 4-velocity field described the motion of a real, physical, ‘aether’⁶. The form of the charge 4-velocity in terms of the continuum 4-velocity now follows directly from (12).

Besides the mass M which is determined by initial conditions, each of the terms in (11) may be written in terms of the 4-velocities u_+^μ and u_-^μ . From the definitions of $F^{\mu\nu}$, J^μ , Q and W^μ , we find that the Lorentz force equation (11) translates into a complicated third order partial differential equation constraining the 4-velocities. The conservation of mass follows from the continuity equation for mass density,

$$(MW^\mu)_{,\mu} = 0, \quad (16)$$

which is ensured if the flow of mass density follows the flow of charge density. We will see in §4, after having derived the velocity distribution of the continuum outside of a point charge in §3, that the Lorentz force equation follows from the fluid dynamical interactions between sources and sinks, and this will complete our picture of classical electrodynamics in this gauge.

3. The Consistency of the Continuum Gauge

In §2.2 we identified the components A_+^μ and A_-^μ of the 4-potential with the 4-velocities u_+^μ and u_-^μ of the continuum, satisfying the conditions (6) and (7). We referred to this gauge choice as the ‘continuum gauge’. It is not obvious that this gauge choice can be applied consistently to all electromagnetic field configurations, so we demonstrate its consistency here, with sample explicit solutions given for the

infinitesimal point charge and the plane electromagnetic wave.

3.1. Proof of Consistency

In order to prove consistency, it is necessary to find a decomposition of the 4-potential as the difference of two 4-velocity fields satisfying equations (5), (6) and (7) simultaneously. Using the notation of (3), we therefore need to find, given any 4-potential $A^\mu = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$ defined up to a gauge transformation $A^\mu \rightarrow A^\mu + \partial^\mu \psi$, two 3-velocity fields $\mathbf{v}_+$ and $\mathbf{v}_-$ satisfying the following conditions,

$$\frac{\phi}{c} = \gamma_+ - \gamma_- , \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+ - \gamma_- \mathbf{v}_- , \quad (18)$$

The second of these equations is a simple geometrical vector identity, and from the rotational symmetry of both equations about the 3-potential $\mathbf{A}$, it is clear that any solution set for $(\gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+, \gamma_- \mathbf{v}_-)$ will form a surface of revolution about the axis defined by $\mathbf{A}$.

To find the solution surface explicitly for a given $(\phi, \mathbf{A})$, it is convenient to take the origin to lie at $\mathbf{A}/2$, and to use polar coordinates (r, θ) in any plane containing $\mathbf{A}$, where $r \in [0, \infty]$ is the radial distance from the origin and $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ is the angle made from the translated origin with respect to the vector $\mathbf{A}$. Note the following simple chain of identities,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \Rightarrow v = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}} \Rightarrow \gamma v = c \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1} \Rightarrow \gamma = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\gamma v}{c}\right)^2} , \quad (19)$$

so that from (17) we have,

$$\frac{\phi}{c} = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\gamma_+ v_+}{c}\right)^2} - \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\gamma_- v_-}{c}\right)^2} . \quad (20)$$

Applying standard trigonometric identities to our geometrical picture, we have,

$$(\gamma_+ v_+)^2 = r^2 + A^2/4 + Ar \cos \theta , \quad (21)$$

$$(\gamma_- v_-)^2 = r^2 + A^2/4 - Ar \cos \theta , \quad (22)$$

so that the set of solutions on the plane in question is determined by the condition,

$$\phi = \sqrt{r^2 + A^2/4 + Ar \cos \theta + c^2} - \sqrt{r^2 + A^2/4 - Ar \cos \theta + c^2} . \quad (23)$$

Note that given any solution for $(\phi, \mathbf{A})$, a solution for $(-\phi, \mathbf{A})$ is obtained by letting $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$. Note also (i) that $\phi = 0$ whenever $\theta = \pi/2$ including when $r = 0$, (ii) that

for a given value of r the magnitude of ϕ is maximum when $\theta = 0$, (iii) that for $\theta = 0$, ϕ is a monotonically increasing function of r , and (iv) that $\phi \rightarrow A \cos \theta$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

In conclusion, for a given value of $A = |\mathbf{A}|$, equations (17) and (18) will have solutions whenever $|\phi| \leq A$. In the special case $\phi = 0$ the solution surface for $\gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+$ is just the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{A}$ passing through the point $\mathbf{A}/2$, throughout which $|\mathbf{v}_+| = |\mathbf{v}_-|$, and $|\gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+| \geq A/2$. For other values of $|\phi| \leq A$ the solutions form a paraboloid-like surface of revolution about the $\mathbf{A}$ axis. The sign of ϕ determines which side of the $\theta = \pm\pi/2$ plane the solution surface lies.

Now, it is always possible to choose the function ψ defining the choice of gauge in such a way that $\phi = 0$ everywhere⁷. Since solutions to (17) and (18) always exists in this case, this proves that the continuum gauge is indeed a consistent one.

It is important to note that there is actually a significant additional degree of freedom inherent in the way the decomposition of A^μ is made into 4-velocity fields, which goes beyond the standard gauge freedom. First of all, for each electromagnetic configuration there will be a continuum of gauge choices for which a continuum gauge solution set exists. Secondly, for any particular choice of gauge for which a solution does exist, there will in general be an entire two-parameter surface of possible solutions for $\mathbf{v}_+$ and $\mathbf{v}_-$ at each point in space. We will show in §4 that these velocity vector fields correspond to the motion of discrete massive particles, so that this freedom may have a real physical significance as a possible classical source of dark matter.

3.2. The Infinitesimal Point Charge

The most basic configuration which we must be able to account for is that of a static infinitesimal point-like positive charge element, as at a fundamental level point charges act as the generators of all physically observed electromagnetic configurations.

So let us consider an infinitesimal positive charge element $q = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \rho \delta V$ (where $\delta V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$) placed at the origin. The corresponding electromagnetic fields are given by,

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{q\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2} = -\nabla \left(\frac{q}{r} \right), \quad \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (24)$$

It is most natural to seek a potential 4-vector which accounts for this field configuration of the form,

$$A_+^\mu = (\phi_+, \mathbf{A}_+) = (c\gamma, \gamma\mathbf{v}), \quad A_-^\mu = (\phi_-, \mathbf{A}_-) = (-c, \mathbf{0}), \quad (25)$$

where ϕ_+ is the scalar potential and $\mathbf{A}_+$ is the vector potential, $\mathbf{v}$ is a velocity vector field satisfying $v < c$ everywhere and $\gamma = \gamma(v)$ is the associated Lorentz factor. We can simply set $\mathbf{A}_- = (-c, \mathbf{0})$ corresponding to a fluid at rest, and look only for solutions which have no contribution from the negative continuum. The corresponding electromagnetic fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are given by,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi_+ - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_+}{\partial t} = -\nabla(c\gamma) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\gamma \mathbf{v}), \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_+ = \nabla \times (\gamma \mathbf{v}). \quad (27)$$

Since for any electrostatic configuration with stationary charges, $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\gamma \mathbf{v}) = 0$, there must exist a scalar field ψ such that,

$$\gamma \mathbf{v} = \nabla \psi. \quad (28)$$

After some algebraic manipulation this can be seen to imply that,

$$\frac{v}{c} = \frac{\nabla \psi}{\sqrt{c^2 + (\nabla \psi)^2}}. \quad (29)$$

The magnitude of this ratio is always less than one, as one would expect for a 4-velocity. Continuing the analysis we also find that,

$$\gamma(v) = \left(1 + \frac{(\nabla \psi)^2}{c^2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (30)$$

so that in terms of ψ , the $\mathbf{E}$ field is given by,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \left((c^2 + (\nabla \psi)^2)^{1/2} \right) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \psi). \quad (31)$$

Because of the rotational and time invariance of the problem, we need only look for solutions of the form $\psi = \psi(r)$, so that $\nabla \psi = \partial \psi / \partial r$ and the second term of (31) vanishes. Comparing with (24), it is clear that ψ must satisfy,

$$\left(c^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = \frac{q}{r} + \alpha, \quad (32)$$

where α is a constant of integration. Since the charge is positive and the velocity of the continuum should vanish at infinity, we require,

$$\alpha = c, \quad (33)$$

for a real solution to exist. From (32), the resulting differential equation for ψ is as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} = \pm \left(\left(\frac{q}{r} + c \right)^2 - c^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (34)$$

where either the positive or negative square root may be chosen, as the 4-potential depends only on the magnitude of the velocity. There is thus insufficient information to specify whether charges act as sources or sinks, though we will see in §4 that

Coulomb's law requires that if positive charges act as sources (respectively sinks), then negative charges must act as sinks (respectively sources). The solution for the velocity field and the corresponding Lorentz factor is therefore,

$$\frac{v}{c} = \pm \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{q}{rc} \right)^{-2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \gamma = 1 + \frac{q}{rc}. \quad (35)$$

It may appear at first sight that $\frac{q}{rc}$ becomes singular at the origin, implying that the continuum velocity in (35) becomes equal to c there. However, if we recall that in fact $q \sim r^3$ and that we should consider the limit as r tends to 0, then it is clear that the continuum velocity vector field $\mathbf{v}$, is in fact infinitesimal, as we should expect for an infinitesimal charge element.

The above confirms that the electromagnetic fields outside a positive point charge can indeed be described by the motion of the continuum, and that the corresponding potential 4-vector A_+^μ is expressible in the form of a 4-velocity u_+^μ . An identical calculation can be performed to show that the same is true for negative charges. Note that if a potential 4-vector field $A_+^\mu = (c\gamma, \gamma\mathbf{v})$ in the continuum gauge describes some initial field configuration, then in principle any other field configuration which can be obtained from the original by a relativistic transformation (such as Poincaré transformations or relativistic accelerations) is described by the relativistically-transformed 4-potential, which will of course remain in the continuum gauge.

3.3. The Plane Electromagnetic Wave

While in principle one can claim that all electromagnetic configurations ultimately originate from the presence of charges, there do exist nontrivial configurations in which no charges are present, the most obvious and important example being that of the electromagnetic wave. It is therefore important, both for this reason and from a historical perspective, to show explicitly how plane waves arise in the present context from the motion of the relativistic continuum. We turn to this problem now.

Let us consider a plane electromagnetic wave with wave-vector k travelling in the x -direction with the $\mathbf{E}$ -field plane-polarised in the y -direction. The 4-potential describing this plane wave is,

$$A^\mu = (0, \mathbf{A}) = (0, 0, A_y \cos(\omega t - kx), 0), \quad (36)$$

(where $\omega = ck$), with corresponding $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ fields,

$$\mathbf{E} = (0, E_y, 0) = (0, kA_y \sin(\omega t - kx), 0), \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B_z) = (0, 0, kA_y \sin(\omega t - kx)). \quad (38)$$

We therefore seek solutions of the form,

$$A_+^\mu = (c\gamma_+, \gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+), \quad A_-^\mu = (-c\gamma_-, -\gamma_- \mathbf{v}_-). \quad (39)$$

Applying (5) and equating with (36) we obtain the two conditions,

$$\gamma_+ = \gamma_- , \quad (40)$$

$$\gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+ - \gamma_- \mathbf{v}_- = (0, A_y \cos(\omega t - kx), 0) . \quad (41)$$

Ignoring for the time being equal velocity motions of both positive and negative continua (which give rise to the additional solutions discussed in §3.1 which do not affect the 4-potential and will be discussed again in the next subsection), these two conditions together imply that,

$$\mathbf{v}_+ = -\mathbf{v}_- = (0, v, 0) , \quad (42)$$

where,

$$\frac{v}{c} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + 4c^2}} , \quad (43)$$

and we have defined $A = A_y \cos(\omega t - kx)$ for convenience.

Equation (42) shows that the velocities of the positive continuum and the negative continuum are equal in magnitude and opposite in direction, so that there is no net charge, with the motion of both being parallel to the electric field but $\mp\pi/2$ radians out of phase respectively. It follows from (43) that the velocity of the continuum can never exceed the speed of light, irrespective of the intensity of the plane wave. Substituting (43) into (39) the motion of the continuum is given by,

$$u_+^\mu = (\sqrt{c^2 + A^2/4}, 0, A/2, 0) , \quad u_-^\mu = (\sqrt{c^2 + A^2/4}, 0, -A/2, 0) . \quad (44)$$

These equations clearly show that the propagation of a plane electromagnetic wave is described by the oscillation of the medium in the direction of the electric field - the positive continuum oscillates $\pi/2$ out of phase with $\mathbf{E}$ while the negative continuum oscillates with the same magnitude and precisely the opposite phase. Thus the propagation of electromagnetic waves is seen to be a direct manifestation of the oscillations of the underlying relativistic continuum.

3.4. Gauge Redundancies and the Principle of Superposition

While the usual principle of superposition obviously still holds for the 4-potential, we can now supplement this with the following continuum-gauge-inspired superposition principle.

Consider two 4-potential fields $A^\mu = (c\gamma_+ - c\gamma_-, \gamma_+ \mathbf{v}_+ - \gamma_- \mathbf{v}_-)$ and $A'^\mu = (c\gamma'_+ - c\gamma'_-, \gamma'_+ \mathbf{v}'_+ - \gamma'_- \mathbf{v}'_-)$ in the continuum gauge which describe two different 4-velocity field configurations. Then the superposition of the two field configurations is described by the 4-potential $A''^\mu = (c\gamma''_+ - c\gamma''_-, \gamma''_+ \mathbf{v}''_+ - \gamma''_- \mathbf{v}''_-)$ where the velocity vector field $\mathbf{v}''_+$ (respectively $\mathbf{v}''_-$) is given by the pointwise relativistic sum of $\mathbf{v}_+$ and $\mathbf{v}'_+$ (respectively $\mathbf{v}_-$ and $\mathbf{v}'_-$),

$$\mathbf{v}_{\pm}'' = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\pm} + \mathbf{v}_{\pm}'}{1 + \mathbf{v}_{\pm} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\pm}'/c^2}. \quad (45)$$

Now as mentioned in §3.1, the description of an electromagnetic configuration in terms of 4-velocities u_{+}^{μ} and u_{-}^{μ} is far from unique, as for each of the infinite number of 4-potentials $A^{\mu} = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$ with $|\phi| \leq |\mathbf{A}|$ describing that particular configuration, there exists an entire two-parameter set of solutions at each point.

Consider the particular gauge choice in which $\phi = 0$ everywhere. We saw that the simplest ‘lowest energy’ solution is given in this case by $\mathbf{v}_{+} = -\mathbf{v}_{-} = \mathbf{A}/2$. However, we also saw that it is possible to add, relativistically in the sense of (45), the same, arbitrary, possibly time-dependent, 3-velocity vector field to both $\mathbf{v}_{+}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{-}$ without changing the 4-potential. If these velocity fields have a real physical meaning then this additional freedom will correspond to a large class of vacuum configurations which can perhaps be interpreted in terms of the motion of an arbitrarily distributed ‘Dirac sea’ of particles and antiparticles. This provides a means of adding energy density to the vacuum without any observable electromagnetic effects.

If on the other hand, one would like to remove this freedom one can choose to constrain A_{+}^{μ} and A_{-}^{μ} in such a way that the sum of the magnitudes of the scalar potentials $\phi_{+} - \phi_{-}$ is minimised point by point leaving no redundant charges contributing to the Dirac sea. If this constraint is applied the decomposition of any electromagnetic field configuration into positive and negative contributions becomes unique so that there is no remaining redundancy to be accounted for in this gauge.

4. The Continuum as a Relativistic Fluid

In this section we show that the spacetime continuum must in fact be a relativistic fluid of massive discrete particles, and that interactions between sources and sinks give rise to the Lorentz force equation. The fact that both Maxwell’s equations and the Lorentz force law are both immediate consequences of the relativistic fluid model is a strongly indication that there is more to this description than mere formalism, and that classical electrodynamics may in reality have a fluid dynamical basis.

4.1. The Massive Continuum

We saw in (35) that the velocity of the continuum decreases with radius outside of the point charge acting as its source. Had the continuum been massless, its velocity would have been constant and equal to c everywhere. We therefore conclude that the continuum has mass and that there is an attractive central force acting on the continuum outside of the charge.

Ignoring effects due to gravitational curvature it is possible to derive an expression for this attractive central force. In particular, if we assume the charged particle is

centred at the origin, then the force $\mathbf{f}^i$ acting on an infinitesimal element of the continuum at radius r must satisfy⁷,

$$\mathbf{f}^i = \frac{d\mathbf{p}^i}{dt} = m\gamma^3 \frac{d\mathbf{v}^i}{dt}, \quad (46)$$

where $m = \rho_m \delta V$ is the mass of the test element assuming that it has mass density ρ_m and occupies volume δV . To find the value of dv/dt , let us first rewrite (35) as follows,

$$r = \frac{q/c}{\gamma - 1}. \quad (47)$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to t gives us an expression for dv/dt in terms of v . Rearranging terms and simplifying, we find that the field at radius r is attractive and is given by a simple inverse square law,

$$\gamma^3 \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{qc}{r^2}, \quad (48)$$

The appearance of this Coulomb-like interaction between the charged particle and the continuum it emits is encouraging in one sense given that it is a standard result that the Lorentz force equation follows from Coulomb's law if special relativity is assumed to hold⁸. But it is also quite surprising as in our picture charge is defined in terms of motion of the continuum, so that the continuum itself cannot be charged, and it is therefore not clear what the source of this interaction is. We will solve this mystery in the next subsection.

Assuming continuum conservation, the density distribution of the continuum will satisfy the following continuity equation,

$$\partial_\mu(\rho_n v^\mu) = \frac{\partial(\rho_n \gamma)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (\rho_n \gamma \mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (49)$$

We can ignore the time-derivative term as the system is assumed to be in a steady state condition, and can rewrite the divergence term in its spherical polar form since the velocity depends only on the radius. Substituting from (35) the continuity equation simplifies to,

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \rho_n \gamma v) = 0, \quad (50)$$

which has solution,

$$\rho_n = \frac{S}{4\pi r^2 \gamma v}, \quad (51)$$

where S is a radius-independent proportionality factor. Now, the flux of continuum entering passing through a spherical shell at radius r is given by,

$$\Phi = 4\pi r^2 \rho_n \gamma v = S, \quad (52)$$

where the factor of γ is required to take into account to the relativistic contraction in the radial direction. Thus the constant S is the strength of the charged particle source/sink and can be interpreted as the flux of continuum entering/leaving the charge per unit time.

4.2. The Relativistic Fluid

We discovered in the previous subsection that there was an inverse-square law attraction of elements of the continuum towards the point charge. Our aim throughout has been to describe electrodynamics solely in terms of the motion of the continuum itself. Given that the continuum density is greater closer to the charged particle, let us investigate the possibility that the continuum may be a continuous, compressible, medium whose attractive self-interactions result in the observed attraction.

Considering the non-relativistic case for simplicity and suppose that the attractive force between two volume elements of the continuum is given by,

$$dF(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \sim \rho_n(\mathbf{r}_1) \rho_n(\mathbf{r}_2) f(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|). \quad (53)$$

where $f(r)$ is some polynomial in r . After some lengthy calculations, it is found that an inverse square attraction is possible only if $f(r) \sim r^{-4}$. However, the magnitude of the resultant force on any element of the continuum turns out to be infinitely large.

There are three sources of these (logarithmic) divergences - (i) the contribution from the core of the point charge where the continuum density becomes infinite, (ii) the contribution from the continuum at infinity, and (iii) the contribution from continuum elements in the immediate neighbourhood of that element. The first of these can be avoided if the charges are not pointlike, the second can be avoided if the universe is bounded, and the third can be avoided by discarding the idea that the continuum is some kind of continuous elastic medium, but rather consists of a fluid of interacting discrete particles.

We are therefore led to conclude that our relativistic continuum is a space-filling relativistic fluid. Then the electromagnetic 4-potential must be defined in terms of the ensemble motion of the fluid as opposed to the motion of the individual discrete particles. Let us for convenience refer to the particles associated with the positive and negative continuum as particles and antiparticles respectively. If we let the i -th particle (antiparticle) have 4-velocity u_{+i}^μ (u_{-i}^μ), then the 4-potential will be defined by,

$$A^\mu(x) = \langle u_+^\mu \rangle - \langle u_-^\mu \rangle, \quad (54)$$

where $\langle u^\mu \rangle$ indicates the ensemble averaged motion in the neighbourhood of x^μ .

The same will be true for other electrodynamics quantities. In particular all velocities in our earlier analysis should be interpreted as ensemble-averages.

Although the configuration representing a charged particle is in steady state, the fluid itself remains in constant motion. Bearing this picture in mind, let us reconsider the microscopic motion of the fluid in the neighborhood a positive charge. By definition, the motion of an individual particle in the co-moving frame is given by⁹,

$$\frac{du_+^\mu}{d\tau} = (u^{+\nu}\partial_\nu)u_+^\mu = (u_{+\nu}\partial^\nu)u_+^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu(u_{+\nu}u_+^\nu) = -(\partial^\mu u_+^\nu - \partial^\nu u_+^\mu)u_{+\nu}, \quad (55)$$

where we have added a vanishing term using the fact that $u_{+\mu}u_+^\mu = c^2$. If we now consider the ensemble averaged motion, we find that,

$$\left\langle \frac{du_+^\mu}{d\tau} \right\rangle = -F_+^{\mu\nu} \langle u_{+\nu} \rangle, \quad (56)$$

which is in the form of the Lorentz force equation. In particular, we have found that, on average, each particle (which is of course uncharged) moves as if it were negatively charged with $q = -mc$. Moreover, this is precisely the charge-to-mass ratio observed in the Coulomb-like attraction of equation (48), and thus the earlier mystery has been resolved by simply identifying the continuum as a relativistic fluid. This is strongly suggestive that there is a physically real fluid dynamical basis for classical electrodynamics.

Further compelling evidence for this suggestion lies in the fact that the macroscopic Lorentz force equation between charges (which otherwise has to be postulated independently of Maxwell's equations) emerges automatically as the fluid dynamical interaction between sources and sinks when they are assumed *not* to be fixed in position.

Bearing in mind the integral momentum equation for a fluid, the force on a target charged particle with charge Q' due to a source particle of charge Q at distance r is given by the rate of change of momentum transfer to the target by the particles entering or leaving the source.

Let us suppose, in accordance with the requirement of finite size established in §4.2, that the target particle has an effective radius R . Then assuming spherical symmetry, it will have an effective volume of $\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3$. In accordance with (51), the density of fluid particles encountering the target at distance r from the source is $\rho_n(r)$. If we further assume that each fluid particle is identical with mass m , then the 3-momentum carried by each is given by $m\gamma v$. Finally, the collision rate will be determined by the strength S' of the target. Thus the force on the target will be the given by the product of these contributions,

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3 \rho_n m \gamma v S' = \frac{m S S' R^3}{3r^2}, \quad (57)$$

where he have used (52). This takes precisely the form of Coulomb's law if we make the following curious identification,

$$Q = S \sqrt{\frac{mR^3}{3}}, \quad (58)$$

where the charge Q is expressed in terms of the strength of the source S , the mass m of the fluid particles and the effective charge radius R . Clearly for (57) to hold, positive charges must act as sources, and negative charges as sinks, or vice versa. In this context, the validity of Coulomb's in turn implies the validity of the Lorentz force equation, thus resolving the loose end which had remained in §2.2.

If the above picture is correct, then *all* of the equations of classical electrodynamics follow directly from the motion of a two component relativistic fluid with charged particles indicated by the presence of sources and sinks. This is the main result of this paper. The fluid mechanical description has further important and far-reaching consequences at the microscopic level which we now mention briefly before concluding.

5. Stochastic Quantum Mechanics and the Path to Unification

We have shown above that classical electrodynamics can be explained at the macroscopic level in terms of the ensemble motion of a relativistic fluid of (arbitrarily, though presumably gravitationally) interacting discrete massive particles. In this section we will show that at a microscopic level, an explicit connection can be made with Nelson's stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics. We are led naturally to propose that classical general relativity may in its own right be sufficient to provide a complete, consistent, and unified description of gravitation, electromagnetism and quantum electrodynamics, and quite possibly more.

In this fluid dynamical picture of the spacetime continuum, the physical vacuum is constantly bustling with activity. Charged particles are macroscopic objects bursting with life as interacting fluid particles are sucked in chaotically yet inexorably towards their dense cores by strong gravitational forces, while all electrodynamic fields and variables are continuously changing in magnitude and direction from one instant to the next, with only their statistical averages being meaningfully defined.

All of this reminds us of the stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics, which is almost as old as quantum theory itself, and which, albeit having fallen into obscurity in recent times, has been set down for posterity by Nelson in his remarkable monograph¹⁰.

In that work, Nelson gives a reasonably complete and detailed description of quantum mechanics on the basis of the conservative diffusive Brownian motion of a classical fluid, wherein the Schrödinger wavefunction is identified with the density of the fluid thus,

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}, \quad (59)$$

where S is the stochastic analogue of Hamilton's principle function.

But the work remained incomplete as he was unable,

“..1) To find a classical Lagrangian, of system + background field oscillators + interaction, that with reasonable initial probability measures and in the limit as the cutoffs on the background field are removed, produces a conservative diffusion system.”

which is mentioned first on his list of open problems. In our model of a self-contained relativistic self-gravitating fluid, conservative diffusion takes place without the need of the auxiliary ‘background field’ for which he *“would gladly sacrifice an ox”*. Traditionally in the stochastic formulation this classical fluid has been considered to be coupled in some way to an electromagnetic background¹¹ - in this paper we have succeeded in showing that the classical fluid *is* the electromagnetic background (54), thus resolving simultaneously his second open problem.

Neither was Nelson able to come to any definite conclusion about the precise nature of the (conservative) inter-particle interactions responsible for the Brownian motion - except that it could *not* be gravitational on dimensional grounds:

“What kind of system could possibly produce such a diffusion process? An obvious necessary requirement is the dimensional consideration that it be possible to construct a constant with the dimensions of action from the constants of the theory. From this we see that quantum fluctuations are not of gravitational origin: one cannot construct a constant with the dimensions of action from the gravitational constant G and the speed of light c . As is very well known, this can be done from c and the fundamental charge e . I conclude that quantum fluctuations may be of electromagnetic origin...”

Clearly this issue has been resolved with the appearance of charge in our formulation, and the objection on purely dimensional grounds to the quantum fluctuations having a gravitational origin no longer holds. Moreover, in our picture gravitational interactions between particles are the *only* interactions present, unless we artificially introduce additional, exogenous, interactions in some *ad hoc* manner, which, as it happens, we have no good reason to do. The possibility of a gravitational explanation for the conservative diffusion, and consequently for the observed magnitude of Planck's constant, has also been proposed by Calogero¹².

Given that we appear to have a relativistic theory of both electrodynamics and quantum mechanics, it is natural to expect that the fundamental charges should be spinors. In this context we note that Hadley¹³ has pointed out that spinors naturally

appear in geon models of elementary particles in classical general relativity where the spacetime manifold is not time-orientable. Our description of charged particles seems to be consistent with this picture, with the geon ‘throat’ giving rise to a natural effective radius.

Hadley has further shown¹⁴ that the failure of time-orientability of a spacetime region would be indistinguishable from a particle-antiparticle annihilation event. This to some extent motivates our reference to the constituent elements of the positive and negative continua as particles and antiparticles, and has the potential to explain the oppositely signed strengths of oppositely signed charges. Also relevant is the explicit classification of metrics of arbitrary static spherically symmetric perfect fluid spacetimes by Rahman and Visser¹⁵ which we expect to be directly applicable to our model. Ideally one would hope that the lightest charged particles can be associated with electrons and positrons as the lowest energy stable charged excitations of the vacuum, with higher mass particles being associated with the spectrum of higher energy excitations. It is also tempting to associate the fluid (anti)particles with (anti)neutrinos. The answers may ultimately lie in a deeper understanding of the vacuum solutions of the gravitational Einstein equations.

6. Discussion and Summary

In this paper we have demonstrated the simple yet profound result that all of the equations of classical electrodynamics follow from the motion of a two-component relativistic continuum satisfying the standard equations of relativistic fluid dynamics.

Maxwell and others had struggled to find a mathematical description of the underlying medium, the ‘aether’, in which electromagnetic waves were presumed to propagate. Although the continuum we have described is not precisely equivalent to the notion which the earlier proponents had had in mind, our analysis does show that a description of electrodynamics in terms of an underlying continuum is possible. This is particularly important as the failure to find such a formulation historically contributed to the origin of the concept of ‘fields’ postulated not to require such a medium. The field concept may not have been necessary after all.

The main conclusion to be drawn is as follows: If the relativistic continuum described does in fact exist, then the only physically real objects are the continuum itself, its motion, and its density. In such a framework, all of the standard electrodynamic quantities have interpretations in terms of the continuum’s motion and each object can be written as an explicit function of the 4-velocity of the continuum.

In this picture, charged particles (whose charge has in the present context dimensions of length²/time) appear as sources of the continuum, while electromagnetic waves are associated with oscillations of the continuum, thus potentially solving this age-old mystery. Furthermore, there is a freedom inherent in the 4-velocity description of electrodynamics which means that it is possible to account classically for a large

class of additional vacuum configurations, which includes in particular the potential to describe any arbitrary distribution of vacuum energy density or ‘dark matter’.

In summary, we have put forward a promising and potentially far-reaching alternative to the established way of thinking about electrodynamics which sheds new light on our interpretation of the standard electrodynamic quantities. While the standard formulation in terms of the four-potential has an associated gauge invariance, which is the main unifying theme between electrodynamics and the other forces of the standard model, the new formulation promises to establish a strong link with cosmology and the large scale structure of spacetime. Perhaps both formulations should go hand in hand, and it will be interesting to see what new ideas spring forth from this alternative description.

The description of charged particles in terms of the ensemble motion of a relativistic fluid of self-gravitating discrete particles has made possible an explicit connection to Nelson’s stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics, raising the tantalising prospect of eventually obtaining a natural, unified, description of gravitation and quantum electrodynamics purely in terms of classical general relativity. It will also be interesting to see whether realistic cosmological models can eventually be developed in this framework.

While a number of fundamental questions regarding the origin and nature of electrodynamics appear to have been addressed here, several other issues remain open. We are still left to ponder the existence, interpretation and physical properties of the fluid particles and their sources and sinks, and to explain the origin of quantised mass and charge. We suspect that the fluid particles may be gravitational solitons which are created (and annihilated) in pairs given sufficient vacuum energy density. Given this unified picture of the fundamental forces, it should in principle be possible to relate the fundamental constants G , $\hbar$ and e to each other so that only one independent parameter remains.

We believe that those outstanding issues which remain will eventually be resolved by taking a general relativistic approach, bearing in mind that the equations of electrodynamics will be modified in the presence of a gravitational field. Indeed much of the groundwork has already been carried out by others, and we hope that a compilation of these distributed efforts will be sufficient to complete, without too much effort, our proposed model of a unified theory of gravity and quantum electrodynamics on the basis of classical general relativity alone. It would certainly be fitting if Einstein’s dream were finally to be realised on the 100th anniversary of the birth of his theory of relativity.

7. Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Steve Carlip for his helpful comments on §2, to Mark Drela for his help with source-sink interactions in §4.2, and to Mark Hadley for clarifying

an issue touched upon in §5. I have benefitted from discussions with Andre Gsponer, Abhas Mitra, Mahbub Majumdar and Asif Khalak at various stages during the preparation of this work. I would also like to acknowledge Eugen Negut, whose original ideas first aroused my interest in this line of research. I would like to express my gratitude to Chris Isham and Kellogg Stelle for graciously allowing me to make use of the excellent facilities at Imperial College where the first half of this work was completed, and to Transport for London for the Jubilee line underground service between Canons Park and Canary Wharf, with its many delays, where most of the second half was conceived. Finally, thanks are due to Roy Pike and to John David Jackson. None of these individuals are responsible in any way for any errors which may remain.

8. References

1. E. T. Whittaker, *A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity*, (2 volumes) revised and enlarged edn., (Nelson, London, 1953).
2. L. S. Swenson, *The Ethereal Aether: A History of the Michelson-Morley-Miller Aether-Drift Experiments, 1880-1930*, (University of Texas Press, Austin, 1972).
3. J. C. Maxwell, *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1873).
4. J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edn., (John Wiley & Sons, Singapore, 1998).
5. P. A. M. Dirac, *A new classical theory of electrons*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A **209** (1951) 291-296.
6. P. A. M. Dirac, *Is there an aether?*, Nature **168** (1951) 906-907, **169** (1952) 702.
7. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 4th revised English edn., *Course of Theoretical Physics*, Volume 2, p. 25 and p.50, (Pergamon Press, 1975).
8. A. Einstein, *Relativity: The Special and General Theory*, reprint of 1st (1920) edn., (Dover Publications, 2001).
9. R. L. Liboff, *Kinetic Theory: Classical, Quantum and Relativistic Descriptions*, 3rd edn., (Springer, 1998).
10. E. Nelson, *Quantum Fluctuations*, (Princeton University Press, 1985).
11. L. de la Pena and A. M. Cetto, *The Quantum Dice: An Introduction to Stochastic Electrodynamics*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995).
12. F. Calogero, *Cosmic origin of quantization*, Phys. Lett. A **228** (1997) 335-346.
13. M. J. Hadley, *Spin half in classical general relativity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 4187-4194.
14. M. J. Hadley, *The orientability of spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4565-4572.
15. S. Rahman and M. Visser, *Spacetime geometry of static fluid spheres*, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 935-952.