

Circular Orbits under Central Forces in Special Relativity

J M Aguirregabiria, A Hernández and M Rivas

Dept. of Theoretical Physics and History of Science,

The University of the Basque Country,

P. O. Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

Abstract

We discuss the existence and stability of circular orbits of a relativistic point particle moving in a central force field. The stability condition is somewhat more restrictive in Special Relativity. In the particular case of attractive central force fields proportional to $1/r^n$, we find the values the angular momentum may have in circular orbits and show that, unlike in the non-relativistic limit, the latter are not always stable in Special Relativity for $2 < n < 3$.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently Torkelsson [1] and Boyer [2] have considered the orbits in a Newtonian force field $\mathbf{F} = -k\mathbf{r}/r^3$ in the framework of Special Relativity. One of their results states that circular orbits only exist for $L > k/c$, while in Galilean mechanics they exist for all non-zero angular momenta. At first sight one could think that this is a restriction on the possibility to have relativistic circular orbits. The first goal of this note is to stress that it is not so, for there is exactly one circular orbit for each radius r at which the force is attractive. In fact, the condition $L > k/c$ arises as a consequence of the interplay between the equation of motion and the definition of the angular momentum in Special Relativity. We will also show that similar restrictions on the values of L (but not of r) have to be satisfied by circular orbits in other central force fields. Finally we will discuss how the familiar result [3] on the stability of circular orbits in non-relativistic force fields is changed by Special Relativity. We check the stability condition with forces of the form $\mathbf{F} = -k\mathbf{r}/r^{n+1}$ for constant $k > 0$ and n , as well as with the Yukawa potential.

II. CIRCULAR ORBITS AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

Let us consider a central force field written as

$$\mathbf{F} = F(r) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}. \quad (1)$$

If a particle describes a circular orbit $r = r_0$ its equation of motion is

$$F(r_0) = -m\gamma \frac{v^2}{r_0}, \quad \gamma \equiv \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2}. \quad (2)$$

By defining the dimensionless quantity

$$\mu \equiv -\frac{r_0 F(r_0)}{2mc^2} > 0, \quad (3)$$

one can check that the particle velocity and angular momentum are, respectively,

$$v = c \sqrt{2\sqrt{\mu^4 + \mu^2} - 2\mu^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$L = mcr_0 \sqrt{2\sqrt{\mu^4 + \mu^2} + 2\mu^2}. \quad (5)$$

Since one can easily check from (4) that $0 < v < c$ (and that v increases monotonically with μ), we conclude that *there exists exactly one circular orbit for each radius r_0 at which the force*

is attractive, $F(r_0) < 0$: one simply has to select the corresponding velocity (4) in a direction perpendicular to the radius. But the fact that every radius is allowed does not necessarily mean that every angular momentum is allowed.

A. Forces proportional to $1/r^n$

In fact, if we choose, for constant $k > 0$ and real n a central force in the form

$$F(r) = -\frac{k}{r^n} \quad (6)$$

one has for circular orbits

$$L = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}c} \sqrt{r_0^{4-2n} + \sqrt{r_0^{8-4n} + \frac{4m^2c^4}{k^2} r_0^{6-2n}}} \quad (7)$$

and the following cases:

Case $-\infty < n < 2$. The angular momentum increases monotonically with the radius r_0 and all values $0 < L < \infty$ are allowed.

In the particular case $n = 1$, the velocity is independent of the radius, exactly as happens in the non-relativistic limit:

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{k^4 + 4k^2m^2c^4} - k^2}{2m^2c^2}}, \quad \forall r_0. \quad (8)$$

Case $n = 2$. For Newtonian forces one recovers the result of Torkelsson [1] and Boyer [2]. There is a lower limit for the angular momentum (but not for the radius or the velocity):

$$L = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}c} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^2c^4}{k^2} r_0^2}} > \frac{k}{c}. \quad (9)$$

In the non-relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ the lower bound vanishes.

Case $2 < n < 3$. Since the angular momentum goes to $+\infty$ both for $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ and for $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$, there must exist a minimum (positive) value. In fact, the minimum happens for

$$r_0 = \left[\frac{\sqrt{n-2}k}{(3-n)mc^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (10)$$

and has the value

$$L_{\min} = mc \sqrt{\frac{3-n}{n-2}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{n-2}k}{(3-n)mc^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (11)$$

In the non-relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ the lower bound vanishes and in the limits $n \rightarrow 2, 3$ we recover the neighboring cases.

Case $n = 3$. There is also a lower limit for the angular momentum:

$$L = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}c} \sqrt{\frac{1}{r_0^2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{r_0^4} + \frac{4m^2c^4}{k^2}}} > \sqrt{km}. \quad (12)$$

In the non-relativistic limit, the angular momentum of all circular orbits is the same: $L = \sqrt{km}$. In consequence, far more angular momentum values are allowed in the relativistic case.

Case $n > 3$. The angular momentum is monotonically decreasing with r_0 . In consequence all positive values of L are allowed.

III. STABILITY OF CIRCULAR ORBITS

If one uses the angular momentum conservation to eliminate from the equations of motion the angular part, one obtains the equivalent one-dimensional problem. Alternatively one can use the angular momentum

$$L = m\gamma r^2 \dot{\varphi}, \quad \gamma \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\varphi}^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2} \quad (13)$$

to eliminate $\dot{\varphi}$ from the total energy

$$E = m\gamma c^2 + V(r), \quad V(r) \equiv - \int F(r) dr \quad (14)$$

and take the time derivative of the latter. In any case, the equation of the radial motion is

$$\ddot{r} = f(r, \dot{r}) \equiv \frac{\alpha F(r)}{m\gamma_r^3} + c^2 \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\gamma_r^2 r}, \quad (15)$$

where we have defined

$$\gamma_r \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (16)$$

$$\alpha \equiv \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{m^2 c^2 r^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (0 < \alpha < 1). \quad (17)$$

Unlike in the Galilean case, the right hand side of (15) depends on the velocity \dot{r} , so that strictly speaking we do not have an effective potential (although a suitably generalization can be defined [1]). However, this will not be an obstacle in the following.

Circular orbits are given by the conditions $r = r_0$ and $\dot{r} = 0$ and satisfy

$$\gamma_r = 1, \quad (18)$$

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 = \sqrt{1 + \mu^2} - \mu, \quad (19)$$

$$F(r_0) = -mc^2 \frac{1 - \alpha_0^2}{\alpha_0 r_0} < 0. \quad (20)$$

To study the stability of the circular orbits, we will consider a very near orbit in the form $r = r_0 + \epsilon$, with $|\epsilon| \ll |r_0|$, so that in the linear approximation the equation of motion reads

$$\ddot{\epsilon} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r_0, 0) \epsilon + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{r}}(r_0, 0) \dot{\epsilon}. \quad (21)$$

Since \dot{r} only appears in γ_r through its square, the last derivative in (21), which is proportional to \dot{r} , vanishes and the equation of motion reduces to

$$\ddot{\epsilon} + \Lambda \epsilon = 0, \quad \Lambda \equiv -\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r_0, 0). \quad (22)$$

We are now in position to discuss the stability: if $\Lambda > 0$ the orbit will oscillate around the circular one, but if $\Lambda < 0$ it will escape from the circular orbit, which will be unstable. A straightforward calculation leads to

$$\Lambda = -\frac{\alpha_0}{mr_0} \left[r_0 F'(r_0) + (2 + \alpha_0^2) F(r_0) \right], \quad (23)$$

so that the stability condition reads

$$\frac{F'(r_0)}{F(r_0)} + \frac{2 + \alpha_0^2}{r_0} > 0. \quad (24)$$

In the non-relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ one has $\alpha_0 = 1$ and we recover the familiar condition [3]

$$\frac{F'(r_0)}{F(r_0)} + \frac{3}{r_0} > 0. \quad (25)$$

A. Forces proportional to $1/r^n$

In the family of force fields (6) we get

$$\Lambda = k\alpha_0 \frac{1 - \alpha_0^2}{mr_0^{n+1}} \left[(2 - n) + \frac{m^2 c^2 r_0^2}{L^2} (3 - n) \right], \quad (26)$$

so that we have the following cases:

Case $n \leq 2$. Circular orbits are stable: $\Lambda > 0$.

Case $2 < n < 3$. Circular orbits are stable for $r > \tilde{r}$ and unstable for $r < \tilde{r}$, with

$$\tilde{r} \equiv \frac{L}{mc} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3-n}}. \quad (27)$$

Case $n \geq 3$. Circular orbits are unstable: $\Lambda < 0$.

In the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ we recover the well known result [3]: circular orbits are stable for $n < 3$ and unstable for $n \geq 3$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND COMMENTS

We have shown that in a central force field there exists exactly one circular relativistic orbit for each radius at which the force is attractive; but for $F(r) = -k/r^n$ with $k > 0$ and $2 \leq n < 3$ the angular momentum has the lower bound given by (11). For $n = 3$ all $L > \sqrt{km}$ are allowed, while in the non-relativistic case we always have $L = \sqrt{km}$.

As for the stability of circular orbits, the results in the relativistic and non-relativistic cases are the same for $n \leq 2$ (stability) and for $n \geq 3$ (instability); but for $2 < n < 3$ relativity destroys stability for any radius smaller than (27). It is worth mentioning that the Newtonian potential has the greatest n for which all circular orbits are stable.

The last result suggests that relativistic corrections make more difficult the stability of circular orbits. In fact, if one considers the Yukawa (or Coulomb shielded) potential

$$V(r) = -\frac{k}{r} e^{-r/a}, \quad (28)$$

the stability condition (24) reduces to

$$a^2 + ar - r^2 > \frac{k}{mc^2 a^{1/2}} (a+r)^{3/2} e^{-r/a}, \quad (29)$$

which is more restrictive than the non-relativistic condition [3]:

$$a^2 + ar - r^2 > 0. \quad (30)$$

Something similar may happen in other cases, because if the relativistic condition (24) is satisfied the non-relativistic (25) is also fulfilled, but the converse is not necessarily true due to the fact that $\alpha_0 < 1$.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge useful correspondence with Prof. T. Boyer. This work was supported by The University of the Basque Country (Research Grant 9/UPV00172.310-14456/2002).

- [1] U. Torkelsson, “The special and general relativistic effects on orbits around point masses,” *Eur. J. Phys.* **19**, 459–464 (1998).
- [2] T. Boyer, “Circular Orbits under Central Forces in Special Relativity,” to appear in *Am. J. Phys.* (2004); preprint arXiv:physics/0405090.
- [3] J. B. Marion, *Classical dynamics of particles and systems*, 2nd. ed., Academic Press, New York (1970), Sect. 8.11.