

On linear momentum in quasistatic electromagnetic systems*

V Hnizdo

*National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health,
1095 Willowdale Road, Morgantown, WV 26505, USA*

E-mail: vbh5@cdc.gov

Abstract. The analysis of Aguirregabiria, Hernández, and Rivas [2004 *Eur. J. Phys.* **25** 555–567] of the electromagnetic linear momentum in quasistatic systems omits the presence of an equal and opposite hidden mechanical momentum in such systems. The existence of hidden mechanical momentum ensures that the action-reaction law holds for the forces between the charge- and current-carrying bodies of a quasistatic system, and thus the total mechanical momentum associated with the motion of such bodies in a closed quasistatic system is conserved.

In a recent article [1], Aguirregabiria, Hernández and Rivas (AHR) consider examples of quasistatic systems consisting of current-carrying bodies and moving charges, and assert: ‘In all of them the internal electromagnetic forces will not satisfy the action–reaction principle, so that to maintain constant the total mechanical momentum one has to apply a non-null external force on the system. Then, the only way to keep the balance equation (the total external force equals the derivative of the total linear momentum) is to attribute a linear momentum to the [quasistatic] electromagnetic field.’ AHR then calculate the electromagnetic linear momentum in their examples, and show that its time derivative indeed equals the external force that they believe is required in order to keep the mechanical momentum associated with the motion of the bodies in the system constant.

We would like to point out that such an explanation of the necessity for a non-zero momentum of a quasistatic electromagnetic field is misleading because it ignores the existence of an equal and opposite mechanical momentum that is not associated with any ‘overt’ motion of the bodies in a quasistatic system. This quantity, called hidden momentum, was ‘discovered’ some 35 years ago in investigations of the forces that an electrically neutral current loop may experience in an electric field [2,3]. The existence and importance of hidden momentum in quasistatic electromagnetic systems are now universally accepted, and this topic is included in the last editions of authoritative textbooks [4,5]. The surprising fact that a current-carrying body the centre of mass of which is at rest may possess a nonzero mechanical momentum is demanded by a general theorem that requires that the total (i.e., ‘electromagnetic’ plus ‘mechanical’) momentum of any finite stationary distribution of charge, current and matter must vanish [6,7]; the hidden mechanical momentum

*This comment is written by V Hnizdo in his private capacity. No support or endorsement by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is intended or should be inferred.

required to match a nonzero momentum of a quasistatic electromagnetic field can be shown to arise as a subtle relativistic effect from the electric-current-constituting motion of charged elements of the current-carrying body [7,8]. (Some quantities, like for example the stress in a charged fluid medium, that may play an important role in the emergence of hidden momentum in a given specific mechanism of the current transport are microscopically of an electromagnetic origin, and so the division of the physical quantities of any macroscopic system into ‘electromagnetic’ and ‘mechanical’ is only conventional.)

A quasistationary system with a current density \mathbf{J} and electrostatic potential Φ contains a hidden momentum [7]

$$\mathbf{P}_h = -\frac{1}{c^2} \int dV \Phi \mathbf{J} \quad (1)$$

which is equal and opposite to the momentum \mathbf{P}_f of the quasistatic fields $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi$ and \mathbf{B} , $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$ in the system:

$$\mathbf{P}_f = \epsilon_0 \int dV \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c^2} \int dV \Phi \mathbf{J}. \quad (2)$$

Here, the second equality can be derived using integration by parts (see, e.g., [7]). When the electric field varies only very little over the distribution of the current density \mathbf{J} , the hidden momentum (1), and thus also the negative of electromagnetic momentum (2), can be approximated by [7–9]

$$\mathbf{P}_h = \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{E} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{J}$ is the magnetic dipole moment of the electric currents and \mathbf{E} is the value of the electric field at the currents. The presence of hidden momentum in a current-carrying body modifies the force that the body experiences in external electric and magnetic fields. This is seen most easily by considering the force on a magnetic dipole moment \mathbf{m} that is located in external quasistatic magnetic (\mathbf{B}) and electric (\mathbf{E}) fields, and whose velocity vanishes at the given instant of time. The rate of change of the total (i.e., overt plus hidden) mechanical momentum of the dipole is given by the standard expression $\nabla(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B})$, but that means that the force \mathbf{F} , understood as the rate of change of the dipole’s overt mechanical momentum, i.e., that arising from its translational motion, is diminished by the rate of change of the hidden momentum (3) of the dipole [3,8,9]:

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{E}). \quad (4)$$

Using this expression for the force on a magnetic dipole, it is not difficult to show [10] that the action–reaction principle holds true for the forces acting between the body that carries the currents characterized by the magnetic moment \mathbf{m} and a moving charge creating the electric field \mathbf{E} .

The validity of the action–reaction principle for the interactions between current-carrying bodies and charged bodies in a quasistationary system is a general result [11], independent of the magnetic dipole approximation used in (4). The total momentum associated with the motion of such bodies in a closed quasistationary system is thus conserved itself, and

therefore the existence of a linear momentum of a quasistatic electromagnetic field cannot be detected through an overt-mechanical-momentum non-conservation [10] (angular momentum of a quasistatic electromagnetic field is different in this respect, as it can be detected through a non-conservation of mechanical angular momentum—this is the famous Feynman’s disk ‘paradox’ [12]—because there is no equal and opposite hidden angular momentum to match the electromagnetic angular momentum [10]).

Taking the AHR’s example of a thin toroidal solenoid and a point charge q moving along the toroid’s axial symmetry axis, we can show easily that in fact the net force on the solenoid vanishes, as required by the action–reaction principle and the fact that there is no force on the charge since the toroidal solenoid does not produce any magnetic field in its exterior. The hidden momentum \mathbf{P}_h of the solenoid is evaluated most easily using expression (3) in a line integral along the torus main circuit C :

$$\mathbf{P}_h = \frac{1}{c^2} \oint_C d\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{E} \quad (5)$$

where $d\mathbf{m} = (NIS/2\pi)d\phi\hat{\phi}$ is the magnetic dipole moment of an element of the solenoid subtended by an azimuth angle $d\phi$ (cf. equation (14) of AHR), and $\mathbf{E} = (1/4\pi\epsilon_0)[q/(R^2+a^2)]\hat{\zeta}$ is the charge’s electric field at $d\mathbf{m}$ [N , I and S are the solenoid’s number of turns, current and cross-sectional area, respectively, and R , a and $\hat{\zeta}$ are the toroid’s main radius, distance from its centre to the charge and a unit vector along the straight line passing through the charge and the element of dipole moment $d\mathbf{m}$, respectively (see figure 1 of AHR)]. With the z -axis along the straight line passing through the toroidal solenoid’s centre and the charge, only the z -component of (5) is non-zero, giving

$$\mathbf{P}_h = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} qNIS \frac{R}{(R^2+a^2)^{3/2}} \hat{z} \quad (6)$$

which is equal and opposite to the electromagnetic field momentum \mathbf{P}_f of the system (see equation (21) of AHR). Taking into account the hidden momentum (6), the net force \mathbf{F} on the solenoid is then

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_B - \frac{d\mathbf{P}_h}{dt} = \mathbf{F}_B - \frac{3\mu_0}{4\pi} qNIS \frac{Ra\dot{a}}{(R^2+a^2)^{5/2}} \hat{z} \quad (7)$$

where \mathbf{F}_B is the direct force on the solenoid due to the magnetic field of the moving charge. But since the force \mathbf{F}_B equals the second term on the right-hand side of (7) (see equation (18) of AHR), the net force on the solenoid indeed vanishes,

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_B - \frac{d\mathbf{P}_h}{dt} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Contrary to an assertion of AHR, no external force is therefore necessary to be applied on the toroidal solenoid in order to keep it at rest and thus to maintain the total overt mechanical momentum of the system constant. Hidden-momentum analysis of the other example of AHR will yield a similar conclusion that the effective force on the circuit is equal and opposite to the magnetic force on the charge, and thus no external force is needed to be applied to any part of the system in order to maintain its total overt mechanical momentum constant.

Before concluding, it should be mentioned that a quasistationary system's hidden momentum \mathbf{P}_h and electromagnetic momentum \mathbf{P}_f vanish when the body that supports the current density \mathbf{J} is a good electric conductor. Indeed, the electrostatic potential Φ is constant in such a body and such a system, and thus the integrals (1) for \mathbf{P}_h and (2) for \mathbf{P}_f are proportional to $\int dV \mathbf{J}$, which vanishes as an integral over a divergenceless localized vector quantity. However, one can show that even then the force on such a body is still given in the dipole approximation by equation (4), where the second term on the right-hand side now arises from the force on the charge and/or current induced in the conductor by the external electric field in order to keep the potential Φ throughout the body of the conductor constant [9].

The momentum of the electromagnetic field of a quasistationary system does not manifest itself through a violation of the conservation of overt mechanical momentum—its physical significance is rather more subtle as that of a quantity that is equal and opposite to the hidden mechanical momentum of the system. Hidden momentum is an essential element in the momentum balance of a quasistationary electromagnetic system, and therefore its existence cannot be ignored in a correct momentum analysis of any such physical system, no matter how idealized or schematic it is for simplicity assumed to be. The existence of hidden momentum is necessary for maintaining the correct relativistic-transformation properties of the observed total energy, momentum and rest mass of a charge- and current-carrying body [13,14], and, in fact, is not completely ‘hidden’ since it manifests itself in the force that a current-carrying body experiences in an electromagnetic field.

-
- [1] Aguirregabiria J M, Hernández A and Rivas M 2004 Linear momentum density in quasistatic electromagnetic systems *Eur. J. Phys.* **25** 555–567 (*Preprint physics/0404139*)
 - [2] Shockley W and James R P 1967 ‘Try simplest cases’ discovery of ‘hidden momentum’ forces on ‘magnetic currents’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18** 876–79
 - [3] Haus H A and Penfield P 1968 Force on a current loop *Phys. Lett. A* **26** 412–13
 - [4] Jackson J D 1999 *Classical Electrodynamics* 3rd edn (New York: Wiley) pp 618–19
 - [5] Griffiths D J 1999 *Introduction to Electrodynamics* 3rd edn (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall) pp 520–21
 - [6] Coleman S and Van Vleck J H 1968 Origin of ‘hidden momentum forces’ in magnets *Phys. Rev.* **171** 1370–75
 - [7] Hnizdo V 1997 Hidden mechanical momentum and the field momentum in stationary electromagnetic and gravitational systems *Am. J. Phys.* **65** 516–18
 - [8] Vaidman L 1990 Torque and force on a magnetic dipole *Am. J. Phys.* **58** 978–83
 - [9] Hnizdo V 1992 Comment on Torque and force on a magnetic dipole *Am. J. Phys.* **60** 279–80
 - [10] Hnizdo V 1992 Conservation of linear and angular momentum and the interaction of a moving charge with a magnetic dipole *Am. J. Phys.* **60** 242–246
 - [11] Furry W H 1969 Examples of momentum distributions in the electromagnetic field and in matter *Am. J. Phys.* **37** 621–36
 - [12] Feynman R P, Leighton R B and Sands M 1964 *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* vol II (Reading, MA: Addison–Wesley) pp 17-5–17-6

- [13] Hnizdo V 1997 Hidden momentum and the electromagnetic mass of a charge and current carrying body *Am. J. Phys.* **65** 55–65
- [14] Hnizdo V 1998 Covariance of the total energy–momentum four-vector of a charge and current carrying body *Am. J. Phys.* **66** 414–18