Excitation energies, oscillator strengths, and lifetimes of levels along the gold isoelectronic sequence

U.I. Safronova^{*} and W. R. Johnson[†]

Department of Physics, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46566

(Dated: November 12, 2018)

Energies of $ns_{1/2}$ (n=6-9), np_j (n=6-8), nd_j (n=6-7), and $5f_j$ states in neutral Au and Au-like ions with nuclear charges Z = 80 - 83 are calculated using relativistic many-body perturbation theory. Reduced matrix elements, oscillator strengths, transition rates and lifetimes are determined for the 30 possible $nl_j - n'l'_{j'}$ electric-dipole transitions. Results for a limited number states $ns_{1/2}$, np_j (n=6-7) and $6d_j$ are obtained in the relativistic single-double (SD) approximation, where single and double excitations of Dirac-Fock wave functions are included to all orders in perturbation theory. Using SD wave functions, accurate values are obtained for energies of the eight lowest states and for the fourteen possible electric-dipole matrix elements between these states. With the aid of the SD wave functions, we also determine transition rates and oscillator strengths for the fourteen transitions together with lifetimes of $6p_j$, $7p_j$, and $6d_j$ levels. We investigate the hyperfine structure in Hg II and Tl III. The hyperfine A-values are determined for $6s_{1/2}$ and $6p_j$ states in 199 Hg⁺, 201 Hg⁺, and 205 Tl²⁺ isotopes. These calculations provide a theoretical benchmark for comparison with experiment and theory.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Ar, 31.15.Md, 32.10.Fn, 32.70.Cs

I. INTRODUCTION

This work continues earlier relativistic many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) studies of energy levels of ions with one valence electron outside a closed core. In Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4] third-order MBPT was used to calculate energies of the three lowest states $(ns_{1/2}, np_{1/2}, and np_{3/2})$ in Li-, Na-, Cu-, and Ag-like ions along the respective isoelectronic sequences. Third-order MBPT calculations of $6s_{1/2} - 6p_j$ transition amplitudes in Au-like ions up to Z=85 were performed in [5]. In the present paper, we extend the previous calculations to obtain energies of the sixteen lowest levels, $ns_{1/2}$ (n=6-9), np_j (n=6-8), nd_j (n=6-7), and $5f_j$ states, reduced matrix elements, oscillator strengths, and transition rates for the 30 possible $nl_j - n'l'_{j'}$ electric-dipole transitions. Additionally, we evaluate lifetimes of excited states for $6p_j$, $7p_j$, and $6d_j$ levels in neutral Au and Au-like ions with nuclear charges Z = 80 - 83.

For the eight lowest states, $6s_{1/2}$, $6p_j$, $7p_j$, and $6d_j$, we go beyond third-order MBPT and use the SD method, in which single and double excitations of the Dirac-Fock (DF) wave functions are summed to all orders, to evaluate energies, transition rates, and lifetimes. The SD method was applied previously to study properties of Li and Be⁺ in [6], Na and Cs in [7], Cs in [8], Na-like ions with Z ranging from 11 to 16 in [9], and alkali-metal atoms Na, K, Rb, and Cs in [10]. It should be noted that the n = 1, 2, and 3 cores of Li-, Na-, and Cu-like ions are completely filled, by contrast with Ag- and Au-like ions, where the n = 4 and n = 5 cores $[Cu^+]4s^24p^64d^{10}$ and $[Nd]5s^25p^65d^{10}$, respectively, are incomplete.

Our results are compared with the theoretical results found in Refs. [5, 11, 12, 13, 14] and with experimental measurements from Refs. [15, 16, 17]. Large-scale *ab initio* multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock (MCDF) calculations were used in [11] to obtain oscillator strengths and hyperfine-structure parameters for the lowest few levels in Tl III. Theoretical oscillator strengths and hyperfine-structure parameters in Hg II were determined in [12] using the MCDF method for resonance transitions. A large number of transitions were also treated in [12] using a more flexible, but less accurate, version of the MCDF method. These results were used in stellar atmosphere models, assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) where a line-by-line investigation was important. This was part of a program for studying chemically peculiar stars using the Goddard High-Resolution Spectrograph (GHRS) on board the Hubble Space Telescope. The ability of an *ab initio* relativistic model potential approach with explicit local exchange to produce oscillator strengths in agreement with MCDF data was tested for seven transitions in Au-like ions (Au I, Hg II, Tl III, and Pb IV) in [13]. In [14], a "weakest bound electron potential" model was employed to study transition

^{*}Electronic address: usafrono@nd.edu

[†]Electronic address: johnson@nd.edu; URL: www.nd.edu/~johnson

probabilities in Au I. In this model, coupled equations were used to obtain parameters Z^* , n^* , and l^* used in the calculation of transition probabilities.

Determinations of radiative lifetimes of excited states in neutral gold using time-resolved vacuum-ultraviolet laser spectroscopy were reported in Ref. [15]. Recently, in Ref. [16], measurements were reported for lifetimes of the $6p_j$, $6pd_j$, $7s_{1/2}$, $7p_j$, $7d_j$, and $5f_j$ levels in Tl III using beam-foil excitation. As noted in [16], the Tl spectra in the Hubble Space Telescope observations of peculiar stars required oscillator-strength data for their interpretation. The spectrum of Hg II was observed from 500 Å to 9880 Å with sliding sparks and pulsed radio-frequency discharges in [17], where about 500 lines were classified as transition between 114 energy levels. The observed configurations ($5d^{10}nl$, $5d^96s5f$, $5d^9616l'$, $5d^9617l'$, and $5d^86s^26p$) were theoretically interpreted by means of HF calculations and least-squares fits of energy parameters. The fitted parameters were then used to calculate oscillator strengths for most of the classified lines [17].

In present paper, energies of $ns_{1/2}$ (n=6-9), np_j (n=6-8), nd_j (n=6-7), and $5f_j$ states in neutral Au and Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V are obtained using relativistic MBPT. Reduced matrix elements, oscillator strengths, transition rates, and lifetimes are calculated for the 30 possible $nl_j - n'l'_{j'}$ electric-dipole transitions. The eight lowest states $ns_{1/2}$ (n=6-7), np_j (n=6-7) and $6d_j$ are treated more accurately using the all-order SD method. We also use the SD method to obtain transition rates, oscillator strengths for electric dipole transitions between these states, and lifetimes of the $6p_j$, $7p_j$, and $6d_j$ levels. Additionally, we evaluate hyperfine A-values for $6s_{1/2}$ and $6p_j$ states in $^{199}\text{Hg}^+$, $^{201}\text{Hg}^+$, and $^{205}\text{Tl}^{2+}$ isotopes.

II. THIRD-ORDER MBPT CALCULATIONS OF ENERGIES OF AU-LIKE IONS

Results of our third-order calculations of energies, which are carried out following the pattern described in [4], are summarized in Table I, where we list lowest-order, Dirac-Fock energies $E^{(0)}$, first-order Breit energies $B^{(1)}$, secondorder Coulomb $E^{(2)}$ and Breit $B^{(2)}$ energies, third-order Coulomb energies $E^{(3)}$, single-particle Lamb shift corrections $E_{\rm LS}$, and the sum of the above $E_{\rm tot}$. The first-order Breit energies include retardation, whereas the second-order Breit energies are evaluated using the unretarded Breit operator. The Lamb shift is approximated as the sum of the one-electron self energy and the first-order vacuum-polarization energy. The vacuum-polarization contribution is calculated from the Uehling potential using the results of Fullerton and Rinker [18]. The self-energy contribution is estimated for s, $p_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ orbitals by interpolating among the values obtained by Mohr [19, 20, 21] using Coulomb wave functions. For this purpose, an effective nuclear charge $Z_{\rm eff}$ is obtained by finding the value of $Z_{\rm eff}$ required to give a Coulomb orbital with the same average $\langle r \rangle$ as the DHF orbital.

We find that correlation corrections to energies in neutral Au and Au-like ions are large, especially for 6s states. For example, $E^{(2)}$ is 28% of $E^{(0)}$ and $E^{(3)}$ is 27% of $E^{(2)}$ for the 6s state of neutral Au. The ratio $E^{(2)}/E^{(0)}$ decreases for the other (less penetrating) states and for more highly charged ions but the second ratio $E^{(3)}/E^{(2)}$ decreases only slowly for more excited states and even increases with Z for the 6s state of Bi V. Despite the slow convergence of the perturbation expansion, the 6s energy from the present third-order MBPT calculation is within 2.3% of the measured ionization energy for the 6s state of neutral Au and improves for higher valence states and for more highly charged ions. The order of levels with respect to energy in Table I changes from ion to ion; thus, for example, the $5f_{5/2}$ and $5f_{7/2}$ states are in the twelfth and thirteenth places for neutral Au, in the tenth and eleventh places for Hg II, and in the seventh and eighth places for Bi V. It should be mentioned that the difference in energies of $5f_j$ and $7d_j$ states is less than 60 cm^{-1} for Au I, which may exceed the accuracy of the present calculations.

Below, we describe a few numerical details of the calculation. We use B-spline methods [22] to generate a complete set of basis DHF wave functions for use in the evaluation of MBPT expressions. For Au I and Bi V, we use 40 splines of order k = 7 for each angular momentum. The basis orbitals are constrained to cavities of radii 85 a.u. and 55 a.u. for Au I and Bi V, respectively. The cavity radius is scaled for different ions; it is chosen large enough to accommodate all $6l_j$ and $5f_j$ orbitals considered here and small enough that 40 splines can approximate inner-shell DHF wave functions with good precision. We use 35 out 40 basis orbitals for each partial wave in our third-order energy calculations, since contributions from the five highest-energy orbitals are negligible. The second-order calculation includes partial waves up to $l_{\max} = 8$ and is extrapolated to account for contributions from higher partial waves. A lower number of partial waves, $l_{\max} = 6$, is used in the third-order calculation. Since the asymptotic *l*-dependence of the second- and thirdorder energies are similar (both fall off as l^{-4}), we may use the second-order remainder as a guide to extrapolating the third-order energy.

In Table II, we compare our results for energy levels of the sixteen single-particle states of interest in Au I, Hg II, Tl III, and Pb IV with recommended data from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database [23]. Although our results are generally in good agreement with the NIST data, discrepancies were found. One cause for these discrepancies is that fourth- and higher-order correlation corrections are omitted in the theory. A second possible cause is the omission of hole-particle-particle states in our single-particle model space. The importance of $5d^{10}np + 5d^96s6p$ and $5d^{10}nf + 5d^96s6p$ mixing for Au I was underlined by Henderson et al. [16]. Moreover, Sansonetti and Reader [17] included hole-particle-particle states, $5d^96s5f$, $5d^96l6l'$, $5d^96l7l'$ in calculations used to classify the observed spectrum of Hg II.

III. ALL-ORDER SD CALCULATIONS OF ENERGIES OF AU-LIKE IONS

Results of our all-order SD calculations for the seven lowest states of Au-like ions are presented in Table III, where we list first-order (DHF) energies $E^{(1)}$, SD correlation energies E^{SD} , omitted third-order terms $E^{(3)}_{extra}$, first- and second-order Breit energies $B^{(n)}$, n = 1, 2, single-particle Lamb shift corrections E_{LS} , totals E_{tot} , and values from NIST, E_{NIST} [23] for Au I, Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V. The values E^{SD} are evaluated using the SD method. The SD equations are set up in a finite basis and solved iteratively to give the single- and double-excitation coefficients and the correlation energy E^{SD} . The contributions $E^{(3)}_{extra}$ in Table III accounts for that part of the third-order MBPT correction not included in the SD energy (see Eq. (2.17) in Ref. [24]). The basis orbitals used to define the single-particle SD states are linear combinations of B-splines.

As can be seen by comparing Tables I and III, the dominant contribution to E^{SD} is the second-order term $E^{(2)}$. We have already mentioned the importance of higher partial wave contributions to $E^{(2)}$ and $E^{(3)}$. In the SD calculation, we include partial waves through l=6 in E^{SD} and use the difference $E_{l\leq8}^{(2)} - E_{l\leq6}^{(2)}$ to estimate the accuracy of our result. The columns with headings δE in Tables II and III show the differences between our *ab initio* results and the

The columns with headings δE in Tables II and III show the differences between our *ab initio* results and the recommended NIST data [23]. As can be seen, the SD results agree better with the recommended NIST data [23] than do the third-order MBPT results, especially for ionization potential. This confirms our previous comments concerning the slow convergence of perturbation theory and illustrates the importance of fourth- and higher-order correlation corrections. Those differences between the present theoretical results and the recommended NIST data [23] that were not improved by the SD method are most probably due to the omission of hole-particle-particle states mentioned previously.

IV. DIPOLE MATRIX ELEMENTS, OSCILLATOR STRENGTHS, AND LIFETIMES IN AU-LIKE IONS

Transition matrix elements provide another test of the quality of atomic-structure calculations and another measure of the size of correlation corrections. Reduced matrix elements of the dipole operator in first-, second-, third-, and all-order perturbation theory between low-lying states of Au I and Bi V are presented in Table IV. The first-order reduced matrix elements $Z^{(1)}$ are obtained from length-form DHF calculations. Length-form and velocity-form matrix elements differ typically by 1 - 3%. Second-order matrix element in the table, $Z^{(2)}$, which include $Z^{(1)}$, are extended to include the second-order correction associated with the random-phase approximation (RPA).

to include the second-order correction associated with the random-phase approximation (RPA). The third-order matrix elements $Z^{(3)}$ include $Z^{(\text{RPA})}$ (all higher-order RPA corrections), Bruekner-orbital $Z^{(BO)}$, structural radiation $Z^{(\text{SR})}$, and normalization $Z^{(\text{NORM})}$ corrections, described, for example in Refs. [24, 25]. As can be seen in Table IV, RPA corrections are very large, 10-40%, being smallest for the $6p_j$ - $7s_{1/2}$ transitions.

Electric-dipole matrix elements evaluated in the SD approximation are given in columns headed $Z^{(SD)}$ in Table IV. A detailed discussion of calculations of matrix elements in the SD approximation is found in Ref. [6]. It should be noted that SD matrix elements Z^{SD} include $Z^{(3)}$ completely, along with important fourth- and higher-order corrections. Those fourth-order corrections omitted from SD matrix elements were discussed recently by Derevianko and Emmons [26].

We carried out third-order calculations for a much longer list of transitions than presented in Table IV. The results of our third-order calculations are summarized in Table V, where we list oscillator strengths for thirty 6s - 6p, 6s - 7p, 6s - 8p, 6p - 6d, 6p - 7s, 6p - 8s, 6d - 7p, 6d - 5f, and 5f - 7d transitions in Au I, Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V. For each ion the oscillator strengths are calculated in first- and third-order MBPT; $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(3)}$. It should be noted, that we use theoretical energies in the same order of approximation (columns $E^{(0)}$ and E_{tot} from Table I) to calculate $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(3)}$, respectively. In Table V, we have marked 6d - 7p transition for Au I with an asterisk, since the order of initial and final states is reversed for these transitions. As can be seen from Table V, the difference between $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(3)}$ ranges from 10% to 40% for cases with large values of oscillator strengths (6s - 6p, 7p - 8s, 6p - 6d, and 6d - 5ftransitions). For cases with small oscillator strengths (6s - 7p and 5f - 7d transitions), differences between $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(3)}$ can be a factor of 10. In the case of 6s - 7p transitions, large differences between dipole matrix element $Z^{(3)}$ and $Z^{(1)}$ are responsible for the large differences between $f^{(3)}$ and $f^{(1)}$ (see Table IV), whereas, in the case of 5f - 7dtransitions, large differences in transition energies (see Table I) are responsible for the large $f^{(3)} - f^{(1)}$ differences.

In Table VI, we compare our oscillator strength data with theoretical results from Ref. [13] and with available experimental measurements. The oscillator strengths f^{SD} in the table are calculated in the SD approximation. It

should be noted, that theoretical SD energies E_{tot} from Table III are used to calculate f^{SD} . Theoretical oscillator strengths f^{theor} from [13] were calculated using polarizable frozen ion-like Dirac-Fock method (DF+CP). The experimental data f^{expt} listed in Table VI are from Ref. [13] and references therein. The accuracy of the experimental f-values is not very high and in some cases the difference between results from different measurements is larger than the difference between experimental and theoretical results.

We calculate lifetimes of $6p_j$, $6d_j$, $7s_{1/2}$, and $7p_j$ levels in neutral Au and in Au-like ions with Z = 80-83 using both third-order MBPT and SD results for dipole matrix elements and energies. We list lifetimes, $\tau^{(SD)}$ and wavelengths, $\lambda^{(SD)}$, obtained by SD method in Table VII. In this table, we compare our lifetime data with available experimental measurements that are primarily obtained for $6p_j$ levels. The experimental data are from Ref. [16] and references therein. Even for these lowest-lying states we have 5 - 10% disagreement between our $\tau^{(SD)}$ and τ^{expt} for both, $6p_{1/2}$ and $6p_{3/2}$ states in Au I, Hg II, and Tl III, and for the $6p_{3/2}$ state in Bi V. This is somewhat strange since we have perfect agreement between $\lambda^{(SD)}$ and λ^{expt} for all of these levels, as can be seen in Table VII.

V. HYPERFINE CONSTANTS FOR AU-LIKE IONS

Calculations of hyperfine constants follow the same pattern as the calculations of reduced dipole matrix elements described in the previous section. The magnetic moments and nuclear spins used in present calculations are taken from [27]. In Table VIII, we give the magnetic-dipole hyperfine constant A for ²⁰⁵Tl III, ¹⁹⁹Hg II, and ²⁰¹Hg II and compare with available theoretical and experimental data from Refs. [11, 12], and references therein. In this table, we present the first-order $A^{(DF)}$, third-order $A^{(3)}$, and all-order $A^{(SD)}$ values for $6s_{1/2}$, $6p_{1/2}$, and $6p_{3/2}$ levels. As discussed before for dipole matrix elements, third-order hyperfine constants $A^{(3)}$ include RPA $A^{(RPA)}$, Bruekner-orbital $A^{(BO)}$, structural radiation $A^{(SR)}$, and normalization $A^{(NORM)}$ corrections. The difference between third-and first-order contributions as can be seen from Table VIII, is 20 - 30 %. The Bruekner-orbital term $A^{(BO)}$ gives the largest correction in all cases. As can be seen from Table VIII, the all-order SD $A^{(SD)}$ results are in better agreement with the theoretical results $A^{(theor)}$ from Refs. [11, 12], than are the third-order values $A^{(3)}$. Moreover, $A^{(SD)}$ and $A^{(theor)}$ agree better with each other than with experimental data $A^{(expt)}$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, a systematic MBPT study of the energies of $ns_{1/2}$ (n=6-9), np_j (n=6-8), nd_j (n=6-7), and $5f_j$ states in neutral Au and Au-like ions with nuclear charges Z = 80 - 83 is presented. The energy calculations are in good agreement with existing experimental energy data and provide a theoretical reference database for the line identification. A systematic relativistic MBPT study of reduced matrix elements and oscillator strengths for the 30 possible 6s - 6p, 6s - 7p, 6s - 8p, 6p - 6d, 6p - 7s, 6p - 8s, 6d - 7p, 6d - 5f, and 5f - 7d transitions in Au I, Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V is conducted. Both length and velocity forms of matrix elements are evaluated. Small differences between length and velocity-form calculations, caused by the nonlocality of the DF potential, are found in second order. However, including third-order corrections with full RPA leads to complete agreement between the length- and velocity-form results. Hyperfine A-values are presented for $6s_{1/2}$ and $6p_j$ states in ¹⁹⁹Hg⁺, ²⁰¹Hg⁺, and ²⁰⁵Tl²⁺ ions.

We believe that our energies and transition rates will be useful in analyzing existing experimental data and in planning new experiments. There remains a paucity of experimental data for many of the higher ionized members of this sequence, both for term energies and for lifetimes.

Acknowledgments

The work of W.R.J. was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-01-39928.

[1] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 37, 2794 (1988).

- [3] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 42, 1087 (1990).
- [4] U. I. Safronova, I. M. Savukov, M. S. Safronova, and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A 68, 062505 (2003).
- [5] H. S. Chou and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A 56, 2424 (1997).

^[2] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 38, 2699 (1988).

TABLE I: Contributions to energy levels of Au-like ions in cm^{-1} .

nlj	$E^{(0)}$	$E^{(2)}$	$E^{(3)}$	$B^{(1)}$	$B^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm LS}$	$E_{\rm tot}$		$E^{(0)}$	$E^{(2)}$	$E^{(3)}$	$B^{(1)}$	$B^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm LS}$	$E_{\rm tot}$
			Au	Ι								Hg II			
$6s_{1/2}$	-60270	-16611	4493	253	-595	42	-72686		-136471	-18393	5382	389	-756	79	-149770
$6p_{1/2}$	-29362	-6889	1431	105	-187	-1	-34903		-89694	-11013	2670	269	-375	-2	-98144
$6p_{3/2}$	-26691	-5187	1029	54	-123	0	-30919		-82029	-8885	2096	153	-272	1	-88937
$7s_{1/2}$	-18265	-1918	525	31	-66	2	-19691		-52828	-3314	974	74	-129	7	-55216
$7p_{1/2}$	-12388	-1353	279	24	-41	0	-13479		-40892	-2478	594	70	-90	0	-42796
$7p_{3/2}$	-11708	-1153	223	14	-31	0	-12654		-38732	-2207	486	44	-74	0	-40483
$5f_{5/2}$	-6861	-55	11	0	0	0	-6905		-27610	-571	108	0	-3	0	-28076
$5f_{7/2}$	-6862	-55	11	0	0	0	-6906		-27625	-571	105	0	-3	0	-28094
$6d_{3/2}$	-11930	-452	75	3	-8	0	-12311		-44308	-1960	362	28	-58	0	-45935
$6d_{5/2}$	-11875	-429	68	3	-9	0	-12243		-43866	-1845	322	23	-60	0	-45426
$7d_{3/2}$	-6714	-190	30	2	-4	0	-6877		-25173	-770	128	13	-27	0	-25829
$7d_{5/2}$	-6689	-180	26	1	-4	0	-6845		-24970	-733	96	11	-28	0	-25624
$8s_{1/2}$	-9126	-626	162	11	-22	0	-9602		-28839	-1226	345	29	-50	2	-29740
$8p_{1/2}$	-6899	-513	93	10	-15	0	-7324		-23794	-1009	219	30	-35	0	-24589
$8p_{3/2}$	-6618	-452	76	6	-12	0	-7000		-22844	-923	184	20	-29	0	-23591
$9s_{1/2}$	-5483	-286	74	5	-11	0	-5700		-18214	-601	169	14	-24	1	-18655
			Tl I	III								Pb VI			
$6s_{1/2}$	-225476	-19585	6142	529	-899	120	-239170		-325644	-20578	6288	678	-1036	167	-340126
$6p_{1/2}$	-165181	-13419	3656	443	-529	-3	-175033		-252787	-15218	4132	631	-671	-4	-263918
$6p_{3/2}$	-151926	-11117	2622	261	-399	1	-160558		-233342	-12781	3184	379	-518	2	-243077
$7s_{1/2}$	-98041	-4408	1373	125	-191	16	-101127		-152137	-5336	1627	183	-253	27	-155888
$7p_{1/2}$	-80050	-3484	833	130	-143	-1	-82715		-128093	-4406	1191	201	-198	-1	-131306
$7p_{3/2}$	-75917	-3135	711	84	-121	0	-78378		-121553	-3988	960	131	-170	0	-124619
$5f_{5/2}$	-63404	-2143	350	5	-31	0	-65223		-116996	-5061	734	31	-152	0	-121445
$5f_{7/2}$	-63501	-2130	334	4	-31	0	-65325		-117248	-4952	686	24	-151	0	-121642
$6d_{3/2}$	-92016	-3631	725	74	-135	0	-94982		-152198	-5207	1103	135	-224	0	-156390
$6d_{5/2}$	-90884	-3438	637	59	-136	0	-93762		-150159	-4960	964	107	-223	0	-154271
$7d_{3/2}$	-53047	-1451	190	34	-61	0	-54335		-89044	-2221	300	62	-101	0	-91004
$7d_{5/2}$	-52529	-1454	120	27	-62	0	-53898		-88113	-1837	220	49	-102	0	-89783
$8s_{1/2}$	-56158	-1763	511	53	-79	4	-57431		-89982	-2261	663	81	-111	8	-91600
$8p_{1/2}$	-48053	-1481	349	59	-59	0	-49186		-78669	-1982	487	95	-88	0	-80157
$8p_{3/2}$	-46146	-1380	292	39	-50	0	-47245		-75543	-1836	386	63	-75	0	-77004
$9s_{1/2}$	-36493	-891	258	27	-40	2	-37137		-59627	-1241	361	43	-59	4	-60520
0	10.00.0	01.450	Bi	V		222		<u>.</u>	2222=1	0.00 F	1 400	Bi V		0	2225
$6s_{1/2}$	-436067	-21459	6581	836	-1171	222	-451059	$6d_{3/2}$	-223271	-6665	1483	209	-318	0	-228562
$6p_{1/2}$	-351174	-16712	4641	832	-808	-5	-363227	$6d_{5/2}$	-220145	-6375	1304	164	-317	0	-225368
$6p_{3/2}$	-324912	-14154	3907	506	-633	3	-335283	$7d_{3/2}$	-132398	-2003	350	96	-146	0	-134098
$s_{1/2}$	-214192	-6158	1882	248	-315	43	-218492	$7d_{5/2}$	-130963	-2590	250	76	-145	0	-133371
$p_{1/2}$	-184093	-5267	1464	283	-255	-1	-187869	$8s_{1/2}$	-129701	-2715	798	114	-143	14	-131633
$7p_{3/2}$	-174729	-4790	1203	186	-220	0	-178351	$8p_{1/2}$	-115073	-2481	620	137	-116	-1	-116913
$5f_{5/2}$	-190874	-8480	1202	101	-416	0	-198466	$8p_{3/2}$	-110475	-2322	420	92	-97	0	-112382
$5f_{7/2}$	-191165	-8165	1109	77	-407	0	-198552	$9s_{1/2}$	-87216	-1528	441	63	-79	6	-88313

TABLE II: Comparison of the energies of the nl_j states in Au-like ions with experimental data [23]. Units: cm⁻¹.

nlj	$E_{\rm tot}$	$E_{\rm expt}$	δE	$E_{\rm tot}$	$E_{\rm expt}$	δE	$E_{\rm tot}$	$E_{\rm expt}$	δE	$E_{\rm tot}$	$E_{\rm expt}$	δE
	Au	ı I		Hg II			Tl III			Pb IV		
$6s_{1/2}$	-72686	-74410	1724	-149770	-151280	1510	-239170	-240600	1430	-340126	-341350	1224
$6p_{1/2}$	-34903	-37056	2153	-98144	-99795	1651	-175033	-176443	1410	-263918	-265192	1274
$6p_{3/2}$	-30919	-33236	2317	-88937	-90672	1735	-160558	-161630	1072	-243077	-244131	1054
$7s_{1/2}$	-19691	-19925	234	-55216	-55566	350	-101127	-101391	264	-155888	-156247	359
$7p_{1/2}$	-13479	-14377	898	-42796	-42982	186	-82715	-82748	33	-131306		
$7p_{3/2}$	-12654	-13681	1027	-40483	-39310	-1173	-78378	-77066	-1312	-124619		
$5f_{5/2}$	-6905	-6925	20	-28076	-27871	-205	-65223	-63645	-1578	-121445		
$5f_{7/2}$	-6906	-6920	14	-28094	-28128	34	-65325	-65007	-318	-121642		
$6d_{3/2}$	-12311	-12458	147	-45935	-46297	362	-94982	-95245	263	-156390	-156791	401
$6d_{5/2}$	-12243	-12376	133	-45426	-45737	311	-93762	-93931	169	-154271	-154533	262
$7d_{3/2}$	-6877	-6941	64	-25829	-25956	127	-54335	-54244	-91	-91004	-90948	-56
$7d_{5/2}$	-6845	-6899	54	-25624	-25702	78	-53898	-53652	-246	-89783	-89931	148
$8s_{1/2}$	-9602	-9668	66	-29740	-29864	124	-57431	-57413	-18	-91600	-91716	116
$8p_{1/2}$	-7324	-7805	481	-24589	-24338	-251	-49186			-80157		
$8p_{3/2}$	-7000	-7500	500	-23591	-23482	-109	-47245			-77004		
$9s_{1/2}$	-5700	-5729	29	-18655	-18721	66	-37137			-60520		

- [6] S. A. Blundell, W. R. Johnson, Z. W. Liu, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 40, 2233 (1989).
- [7] Z. W. Liu, Ph.D. thesis, Notre Dame University, 1989.
- [8] S. A. Blundell, W. R. Johnson, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 43, 3407 (1991).
- [9] M. S. Safronova, A. Derevianko, and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A 58, 1016 (1998).
- [10] M. S. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A 60, 4476 (1999).
- [11] T. Brage, D. S. Leckrone, and C. F. Fischer, Phys. Rev. A 53, 192 (1996).
- [12] T. Brage, C. Proffitt, and D. S. Leckrone, Astr. J. 513, 524 (1999).
- [13] J. Migdalek and M. Garmulewicz, J. Phys. B 33, 1735 (2000).
- [14] N. Zheng, T. Wang, and R. Yang, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 6169 (2000).
- [15] M. B. Gaarde, R. Zerne, L. Caiyan, J. Zhankui, J. Larsson, and S. Svanberg, Phys. Rev. A 50, 309 (1994).
- [16] M. Henderson, L. J. Curtis, R. Matulioniene, D. G. Ellis, and C. E. Theodosiou, Phys. Rev. A 56, 1872 (1997).
- [17] G. J. Sansonetti and J. Reader, Phys. Scr. 63, 219 (2001).
- [18] L. W. Fullerton and G. A. Rinker, Jr., Phys. Rev. A 13, 1283 (1976).
- [19] P. J. Mohr, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 88, 26 (1974).
- [20] P. J. Mohr, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 88, 52 (1974).
- [21] P. J. Mohr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1050 (1975).
- [22] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 37, 307 (1988).
- [23] C. E. Moore, Atomic Energy Levels v. III, NSRDS-NBS 35 (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington DC, 1971).
- [24] M. S. Safronova, Ph.D. thesis, Notre Dame University, 2000.
- [25] W. R. Johnson, Z. W. Liu, and J. Sapirstein, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 64, 279 (1996).
- [26] A. Derevianko and E. D. Emmons, Phys. Rev. A 66, 012503 (2002).
- [27] URL = http://www.webelements.com.

TABLE III: First-order (DHF) energies $E^{(1)}$, single-double Coulomb energies E^{SD} , $E^{(3)}_{extra}$, first- and second-order Breit, Lamb correction E_{LS} , and totals E_{tot} for Au I, Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V are compared with energies E_{NIST} [23], ($E_{tot} - E_{NIST} = \delta E$). Units, cm⁻¹.

nlj	$E^{(1)}$	$E^{\rm SD}$	$E_{\rm extra}^{(3)}$	$B^{(1)}$	$B^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm LS}$	$E_{\rm tot}$	$E_{\rm NIST}$	δE
				Au I					
$6s_{1/2}$	-60270	-14885	1243	253	-595	42	-74210	-74410	200
$6p_{1/2}$	-29362	-7891	453	105	-187	-1	-36883	-37056	173
$6p_{3/2}$	-26691	-6393	367	54	-123	0	-32786	-33236	450
$7s_{1/2}$	-18265	-1705	173	31	-66	2	-19831	-19925	94
$7p_{1/2}$	-12388	-1294	88	24	-41	0	-13611	-14377	766
$7p_{3/2}$	-11708	-1202	78	14	-31	0	-12848	-13681	833
$6d_{3/2}$	-11930	-541	45	3	-8	0	-12431	-12458	27
$6d_{5/2}$	-11875	-535	41	3	-9	0	-12376	-12376	0
				$_{\rm Hg~II}$					
$6s_{1/2}$	-136471	-15631	1594	389	-756	79	-150796	-151280	484
$6p_{1/2}$	-89694	-10441	840	269	-375	-2	-99402	-99795	393
$6p_{3/2}$	-82029	-8780	712	153	-272	1	-90216	-90672	456
$7s_{1/2}$	-52828	-2870	333	74	-129	7	-55414	-55566	152
$7p_{1/2}$	-40892	-2301	203	70	-90	0	-43011	-42982	-29
$7p_{3/2}$	-38732	-2201	182	44	-74	0	-40781	-39310	-1471
$6d_{3/2}$	-44308	-2067	172	28	-58	0	-46233	-46297	64
$6d_{5/2}$	-43866	-2064	157	23	-60	0	-45810	-45737	-73
				Tl III					
$6s_{1/2}$	-225476	-16312	1887	529	-899	120	-240151	-240600	449
$6p_{1/2}$	-165181	-11980	1159	443	-529	-3	-176091	-176443	352
$6p_{3/2}$	-151926	-10204	994	261	-399	1	-161273	-161630	357
$7s_{1/2}$	-98041	-3753	472	125	-191	16	-101372	-101391	19
$7p_{1/2}$	-80050	-3156	297	130	-143	-1	-82921	-82748	-173
$7p_{3/2}$	-75917	-3055	269	84	-121	0	-78739	-77066	-1673
$6d_{3/2}$	-92016	-3688	315	74	-135	0	-95450	-95245	-205
$6d_{5/2}$	-90884	-3931	294	59	-136	0	-94598	-93931	-667
				Pb IV					
$6s_{1/2}$	-325644	-16977	1985	678	-1036	167	-340827	-341350	523
$6p_{1/2}$	-252787	-13201	1337	631	-671	-4	-264695	-265192	497
$6p_{3/2}$	-233342	-11315	1161	379	-518	2	-243634	-244131	497
$7s_{1/2}$	-152137	-4495	565	183	-253	27	-156109	-156247	138
$7p_{1/2}$	-128093	-3910	421	201	-198	-1	-131580	-341350	
$7p_{3/2}$	-121553	-3825	383	131	-170	0	-125034	-341350	
$6d_{3/2}$	-152198	-5128	458	135	-224	0	-156956	-156791	-165
$6d_{5/2}$	-150159	-5654	433	107	-223	0	-155496	-154533	-963
				Bi V					
$6s_{1/2}$	-436067	-17627	2117	836	-1171	222	-451690	-451700	10
$6p_{1/2}$	-351174	-14264	1520	832	-808	-5	-363899	-363946	47
$6p_{3/2}$	-324912	-12272	1327	506	-633	3	-335981	-336026	45
$7s_{1/2}$	-214192	-5155	656	248	-315	43	-218715	-218360	-355
$7p_{1/2}$	-184093	-4527	520	283	-255	-1	-188074	-181075	-6999
$7p_{3/2}$	-174729	-4554	476	186	-220	0	-178842	-170619	-8223
$6d_{3/2}$	-223271	-6378	596	209	-318	0	-229162	-222411	-6751
$6d_{5/2}$	-220145	-7019	568	164	-317	0	-226748	-219160	-7588

Transition		$Z^{(1)}$	$Z^{(2)}$	$Z^{(3)}$	$Z^{(\mathrm{SD})}$	$Z^{(1)}$	$Z^{(2)}$	$Z^{(3)}$	$Z^{(\mathrm{SD})}$
		Au I				Bi	V		
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	2.713	2.114	1.829	1.822	1.699	1.242	1.290	1.320
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	3.704	2.972	2.543	2.546	2.383	1.784	1.840	1.877
$6p_{1/2}$	$6d_{3/2}$	4.792	4.556	3.080	3.363	2.551	2.124	2.106	2.131
$6p_{3/2}$	$6d_{3/2}$	2.557	2.447	1.823	1.859	1.292	1.092	1.086	1.095
$6p_{3/2}$	$6d_{5/2}$	7.607	7.286	5.385	5.545	3.833	3.253	3.229	2.918
$6p_{1/2}$	$7s_{1/2}$	3.119	3.113	2.257	2.317	0.881	0.920	0.867	0.867
$6p_{3/2}$	$7s_{1/2}$	5.417	5.355	4.247	4.120	1.697	1.692	1.619	1.619
$6s_{1/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	0.398	0.163	0.035	0.017	0.080	0.260	0.256	0.240
$6s_{1/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	0.799	0.479	0.299	0.252	0.121	0.163	0.190	0.168
$7s_{1/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	7.256	7.137	6.739	6.793	3.253	3.058	3.015	3.030
$6d_{3/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	12.337	12.275	11.973	11.657	2.976	2.912	2.846	2.769
$7s_{1/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	9.596	9.467	8.943	9.105	4.458	4.219	4.160	4.094
$6d_{3/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	5.404	5.388	5.325	5.175	1.174	1.171	1.139	1.069
$6d_{5/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	16.371	16.319	16.161	15.496	3.705	3.682	3.590	2.745

TABLE IV: Reduced matrix elements of the dipole operator in first-, second-, third-, and all-order perturbation theory in Au I and Bi V.

TABLE V: Oscillator strengths (f) for transitions in Au-like ions calculated in lowest order (DF approximation) $f^{(1)}$ and third order $f^{(3)}$ MBPT.

		A	u I	Hg	g II	Tl	III	Pb	IV	Bi	V
nl_j –	$n'l'_{j'}$	$f^{(1)}$	$f^{(3)}$	$f^{(1)}$	$f^{(3)}$	$f^{(1)}$	$f^{(3)}$	$f^{(1)}$	$f^{(3)}$	$f^{(1)}$	$f^{(3)}$
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	0.3454	0.1919	0.3717	0.2071	0.3754	0.2145	0.3747	0.2194	0.3723	0.2221
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	0.6996	0.4103	0.8372	0.4927	0.8950	0.5345	0.9316	0.5687	0.9587	0.5956
$6p_{1/2}$	$6d_{3/2}$	0.6080	0.3255	0.8942	0.6402	1.0722	0.7809	1.1864	0.8588	1.2646	0.9074
$6p_{3/2}$	$6d_{3/2}$	0.0733	0.0469	0.1009	0.0766	0.1158	0.0883	0.1241	0.0934	0.1289	0.0956
$6p_{3/2}$	$6d_{5/2}$	0.6510	0.4113	0.8948	0.6765	1.0347	0.7896	1.1174	0.8432	1.1686	0.8702
$6p_{1/2}$	$7s_{1/2}$	0.1640	0.1177	0.1627	0.1458	0.1620	0.1558	0.1618	0.1616	0.1616	0.1652
$6p_{3/2}$	$7s_{1/2}$	0.1878	0.1538	0.2130	0.1926	0.2252	0.2116	0.2345	0.2236	0.2422	0.2324
$6s_{1/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	0.0115	0.0001	0.0013	0.0034	0.0000	0.0107	0.0008	0.0186	0.0025	0.0262
$6s_{1/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	0.0471	0.0082	0.0232	0.0000	0.0137	0.0027	0.0087	0.0084	0.0058	0.0150
$6p_{1/2}$	$7d_{3/2}$	0.1320	0.1008	0.1362	0.0943	0.1240	0.0698	0.1096	0.0500	0.0964	0.0093
$6p_{3/2}$	$7d_{5/2}$	0.1178	0.1033	0.1062	0.0788	0.0864	0.0506	0.0683	0.0265	0.0532	0.0154
$6d_{3/2}$	$5f_{5/2}$	1.0723	0.9891	1.2019	1.0900	1.1694	0.9986	0.8777	0.6713	0.4842	0.3307
$6d_{5/2}$	$5f_{7/2}$	1.0309	0.9575	1.1535	1.0526	1.0970	0.9398	0.7918	0.6088	0.4125	0.2843
$7s_{1/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	0.4699	0.4285	0.4957	0.4401	0.4950	0.4341	0.4899	0.4301	0.4838	0.4228
$6d_{3/2}$	$7p_{1/2}$	0.1058^{*}	0.2544^{*}	0.1118	0.0946	0.1921	0.1797	0.2363	0.2245	0.2635	0.2504
$7s_{1/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	0.9170	0.8548	1.0717	0.9675	1.1305	1.0085	1.1656	1.0365	1.1912	1.0549
$6d_{3/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	0.0049^{*}	0.0074^{*}	0.0325	0.0298	0.0435	0.0419	0.0485	0.0471	0.0508	0.0495
$6d_{5/2}$	$7p_{3/2}$	0.0340^{*}	0.0814^{*}	0.1890	0.1719	0.2617	0.2515	0.2970	0.2878	0.3157	0.3068
$6d_{5/2}$	$5f_{5/2}$	0.0515	0.0479	0.0577	0.0526	0.0551	0.0472	0.0400	0.0306	0.0208	0.0141
$5f_{5/2}$	$7d_{3/2}$	0.0432	0.0084	0.1423	0.1277	0.1627	0.1514	0.1034	0.0880	0.0398	0.0141
$7p_{1/2}$	$7d_{3/2}$	0.4801	0.2558	0.8913	0.7706	1.1480	1.0501	1.3123	1.1758	1.4230	0.3889
$7p_{3/2}$	$7d_{3/2}$	0.0674	0.0437	0.1103	0.0982	0.1334	0.1231	0.1465	0.1312	0.1542	0.0292
$6p_{3/2}$	$7d_{3/2}$	0.0130	0.0115	0.0112	0.0082	0.0086	0.0048	0.0064	0.0026	0.0047	0.0003
$5f_{5/2}$	$7d_{5/2}$	0.0036	0.0013	0.0107	0.0096	0.0115	0.0096	0.0069	0.0056	0.0024	0.0023
$5f_{7/2}$	$7d_{5/2}$	0.0540	0.0194	0.1603	0.1448	0.1713	0.1442	0.1016	0.0830	0.0360	0.0340
$7p_{3/2}$	$7d_{5/2}$	0.5883	0.3726	0.9601	0.8461	1.1717	1.0312	1.2995	1.1054	1.3787	1.2589
$7p_{1/2}$	$8s_{1/2}$	0.3050	0.2619	0.2851	0.2671	0.2723	0.2648	0.2655	0.2619	0.2595	0.2590
$7p_{3/2}$	$8s_{1/2}$	0.3291	0.3012	0.3489	0.3322	0.3532	0.3433	0.3595	0.3527	0.3646	0.3591
$6p_{1/2}$	$8s_{1/2}$	0.0018	0.0171	0.0035	0.0224	0.0044	0.0255	0.0050	0.0275	0.0054	0.0287
$6p_{3/2}$	$8s_{1/2}$	0.0108	0.0142	0.0217	0.0218	0.0265	0.0260	0.0298	0.0286	0.0321	0.0303

	$6s-6p_{1/2}$	$6s-6p_{3/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$ - $6d_{3/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$ - $6d_{3/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$ - $6d_{5/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$ -7s	$6p_{3/2}$ -7s
			Au I				
$f^{\text{ SD}}$	0.188	0.408	0.420	0.053	0.477	0.139	0.167
$f^{ m theor}$	0.183	0.418	0.450	0.053	0.524	0.152	0.181
$f^{\rm expt}$	0.176	0.351	0.42		0.46		
			Hg II				
$f^{\text{ SD}}$	0.214	0.507	0.702	0.082	0.711	0.153	0.197
f^{theor}	0.200	0.493	0.719	0.075	0.741	0.164	0.206
$f^{\rm expt}$	0.21	0.52	0.62		0.59	0.15	
			Tl III				
f^{SD}	0.225	0.559	0.820	0.091	0.708	0.159	0.213
$f^{\text{ theor}}$	0.213	0.545	0.844	0.083	0.807	0.164	0.222
$f^{\rm expt}$	0.30	0.76					
			Pb IV				
$f^{\text{ SD}}$	0.230	0.594	0.885	0.094	0.680	0.163	0.225
f^{theor}	0.221	0.585	0.919	0.088	0.885	0.169	0.233
$f^{\rm expt}$	0.23	0.61	0.91		0.76		
			Bi V				
$f^{\rm SD}$	0.232	0.619	0.930	0.097	0.706	0.166	0.234

TABLE VI: Oscillator strengths evaluated in the SD approximation (f^{SD}) for transitions in Au I and Au-like ions. The data are compared with theoretical (f^{theor}) and experimental (f^{expt}) results from Ref. [13] and references therein.

TABLE VII: Lifetimes τ in ns of the 6*p* levels in Au I, Hg II, Tl III, Pb IV, and Bi V. The lifetime of the upper level is shown. The corresponding wavelengths λ in Å are also given. The data are compared with experimental results from Ref. [16] and references therein.

Lower	Upper	$\lambda^{ m (SD)}$	$ au^{(\mathrm{SD})}$	$\lambda^{ ext{expt}}$	$ au^{ ext{expt}}$					
		Au	I, Z=79							
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	2679	5.72	2677	$6.2{\pm}0.2$					
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	2414	4.28	2429	$4.7 {\pm} 0.2$					
		Hg	II, Z=80							
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	1946	2.65	1942	$2.91{\pm}0.11$					
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	1651	1.61	1650	$1.80 {\pm} 0.06$					
Tl III, $Z=81$										
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	1561	1.63	1559	$1.95 {\pm} 0.06$					
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	1268	0.862	1266	$1.06 {\pm} 0.04$					
		Pb	IV, $Z=82$							
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	1313.5	1.12	1313.1	$1.11 {\pm} 0.01$					
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	1028.9	0.534	1028.6	$0.52{\pm}0.04$					
		Bi	V, Z=83							
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{1/2}$	1139.1	0.837	1139.5	$0.88{\pm}0.10$					
$6s_{1/2}$	$6p_{3/2}$	864.2	0.362	864.5	$0.301 {\pm} 0.016$					

TABLE VIII: Hyperfine structure parameters, A (in MHz) for the 6s and 6p levels in Hg II and Tl III. The data are compared with theoretical and experimental results from Ref. [12] - (a), Ref. [11] - (b) and references therein.

Level	$A^{(\mathrm{DF})}$	$A^{(3)}$	$A^{(\mathrm{SD})}$	$A^{(\mathrm{theor})}$	$A^{(\mathrm{expt})}$	
		199 Hg II, $I{=}1/2$	2, μ =0.5058852 [27]			
$6s_{1/2}$	34072	43013	41996	42366^{a}	40460^{a}	
$6p_{1/2}$	5536	7103	7129	7116^{a}	6870^{a}	
$6p_{3/2}$	456	702	654	659^a		
		201 Hg II, $I=3/2$	2, μ =-0.560225 [27]			
$6s_{1/2}$	-12578	-15878	-15499	-15527^{a}	-14960^{a}	
$6p_{1/2}$	-2044	-2622	-2631	-2608^{a}	-2610^{a}	
$6p_{3/2}$	-169	-259	-241	-241^{a}		
		205 Tl III, $I=1/2$	2, $\mu = 1.6382135$ [27]			
$6s_{1/2}$	152604	182711	179852	182990^{b}	181670^{b}	
$6p_{1/2}$	30099	36496	36300	36750^{b}	48870^{b}	
$6p_{3/2}$	2532	3560	3357	3339^{b}	4800^{b}	