

Potentials of a uniformly moving point charge in the Coulomb gauge*

V. Hnizdo

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, West Virginia 26505

Abstract

The gauge function for the transformation between the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges is calculated for a uniformly moving point charge. It is shown that the expression obtained for the difference between the vector potentials in the two gauges satisfies the requisite inhomogeneous wave equation and a Poisson equation to which this wave equation can be reduced.

I. INTRODUCTION

Gauge invariance is an important property of electrodynamics. Notwithstanding the thorough attention it has received in textbooks,¹⁻⁴ the topic of gauge invariance seems to be still very much alive. Recently, articles have appeared on the resolution of apparent causality problems in the Coulomb gauge,⁵ the transformation from the Lorentz gauge to the Coulomb and some other gauges,⁶ and the historical development of the whole concept of gauge invariance.⁷ A discordant voice in this is a claim by Onoochin⁸ that the electric field of a uniformly moving point charge comes out differently when it is calculated in the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges, which this author takes as evidence that the two gauges are not physically equivalent.

An explicit expression for the Coulomb-gauge vector potential of a uniformly moving charge does not seem to have appeared in the literature, and so the formulae for the transformation between the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges given by Jackson⁶ have come timely to provide an analytical check on the claim of Onoochin. The purpose of the present paper is to clear up the problem of the Coulomb-gauge potentials of a uniformly moving charge using Jackson's results as well as other methods.

In Sec. II, we calculate the difference between the potentials in the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges for a uniformly moving point charge using the formalism of Jackson's paper,⁶ which guarantees that the two gauges will yield the same electric and magnetic fields. In Sec. III, we demonstrate that the expression obtained for the difference between the vector potentials in the two gauges satisfies the inhomogeneous wave equation for this difference, and in Sec. IV we show where and why the procedure used by Onoochin for solving that wave equation

*This paper is written by V. Hnizdo in his private capacity. No official support or endorsement by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is intended or should be inferred.

went wrong. Concluding remarks are made in Sec. V, and an appendix contains some calculational details.

II. TRANSFORMATION FROM THE LORENTZ GAUGE TO THE COULOMB GAUGE

The scalar potential V and the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ of a uniformly moving point charge in the Lorentz gauge, defined by the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \partial \mathbf{A} / c \partial t = 0$, are well known. For a point charge q moving with a constant velocity $\mathbf{v} = v \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, the Lorentz-gauge potentials V_L and $\mathbf{A}_L$ are given by (we shall use the Gaussian system of units):

$$V_L(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)}}, \quad \mathbf{A}_L(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} V_L(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ and, for simplicity, the charge is assumed to pass through the origin $x=y=z=0$ at time $t = 0$ (see, e.g., Ref. 3, Sec. 19-3). In the Coulomb gauge, defined by the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, the scalar potential V_C of the charge takes a particularly simple form,

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad (2)$$

as the scalar potential in this gauge is exactly the same as that of the instantaneous Coulomb interaction of electrostatics. On the other hand, the Coulomb-gauge vector potential $\mathbf{A}_C$ is the retarded solution to a relatively complicated inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\square \mathbf{A}_C = -\frac{4\pi}{c} q \mathbf{v} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) + \nabla \frac{\partial V_C}{c \partial t}, \quad (3)$$

where $\square = \nabla^2 - \partial^2 / c^2 \partial t^2$ is the d'Alembertian operator and $q \mathbf{v} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)$ is the point-charge current density of the present problem (see, e.g., Ref. 1, Sec. 6.3).

The gauge invariance of electrodynamics implies that there is a gauge function χ_C that connects the Coulomb- and Lorentz-gauge potentials by

$$V_C = V_L - \frac{\partial \chi_C}{c \partial t}, \quad \mathbf{A}_C = \mathbf{A}_L + \nabla \chi_C, \quad (4)$$

which ensures that the Lorentz-gauge and Coulomb-gauge potentials will yield the same electric and magnetic fields. This is because the fields are generated from the potentials via the prescription

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla V(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{c \partial t}, \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (5)$$

and thus any electric-field and magnetic-field differences that could arise from the use of the different gauges are guaranteed to vanish:

$$-\nabla(V_C - V_L) - \frac{\partial(\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L)}{c \partial t} = \nabla \frac{\partial \chi_C}{c \partial t} - \frac{\partial}{c \partial t} \nabla \chi_C \equiv 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L) = \nabla \times \nabla \chi_C \equiv 0. \quad (7)$$

Nevertheless, it should be instructive to demonstrate explicitly that it is indeed so also in the present case by finding the requisite gauge function. Before we turn to this task, we give here for completeness the fields that the prescription (5) yields with the Lorentz-gauge potentials V_L and $\mathbf{A}_L$ of Eq. (1):

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{q}{\gamma^2} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t}{\left[(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)\right]^{3/2}}, \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (8)$$

The same expressions for the electric and magnetic fields can be obtained also by Lorentz-transforming the electrostatic Coulomb field of the charge from its rest frame to the “laboratory” frame.

Jackson⁶ has derived the following integral expression for the gauge function χ_C in terms of the charge density:

$$\chi_C(\mathbf{r}, t) = -c \int d^3r' \frac{1}{R} \int_0^{R/c} d\tau \rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \tau), \quad (9)$$

where $R = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ (a gauge function is defined to within an arbitrary additive constant, which we omit here). For a point charge q moving with a constant velocity $\mathbf{v}$ along the x -axis, the charge density is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = q\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) = q\delta(x - vt)\delta(y)\delta(z). \quad (10)$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} q \int_0^{R/c} d\tau \delta[\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{v}(t - \tau)] &= q\delta(y')\delta(z') \int_0^{R/c} d\tau \delta[x' - v(t - \tau)] \\ &= \frac{q}{|v|} \delta(y')\delta(z') \int_0^{R/c} d\tau \delta[\tau - (t - x'/v)] \\ &= \frac{q}{|v|} \delta(y')\delta(z') \{\Theta[R/c - (t - x'/v)] - \Theta[-(t - x'/v)]\} \\ &= \frac{q}{v} \delta(y')\delta(z') [\Theta(x' - x_0) - \Theta(x' - vt)], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function and

$$x_0 = x - \gamma^2 \left[x - vt + \frac{v}{c} \sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)} \right], \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \quad (12)$$

The gauge function (9) with the charge density (10) is thus

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_C(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -q \frac{c}{v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \frac{\Theta(x' - x_0) - \Theta(x' - vt)}{\sqrt{(x - x')^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \\ &= -q \frac{c}{v} \int_{x_0}^{vt} \frac{dx'}{\sqrt{(x - x')^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \\ &= q \frac{c}{v} \left[\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{y^2 + z^2}} - \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{x - x_0}{\sqrt{y^2 + z^2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let us first check that the gauge function (13) yields the established difference $V_C - V_L$ between the scalar potentials in the two gauges. Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \frac{v}{c}(x - vt) + \sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)}, \quad (14)$$

which follows from (12), to simplify the result of the partial differentiation $-\partial\chi_C/c\partial t$, we obtain

$$V_C - V_L = -\frac{\partial\chi_C}{c\partial t} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - \frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)}}. \quad (15)$$

This is indeed the correct result [see Eqs. (1) and (2)].

Calculating the x -component of the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ between the vector potentials in the two gauges is now very simple because $\partial\chi_C/\partial x = -(1/v)\partial\chi_C/\partial t$ on account of the dependence of the gauge function (13) on the variables x and t only through the combination $x - vt$. We thus have

$$A_{Cx} - A_{Lx} = \frac{\partial\chi_C}{\partial x} = -\frac{c}{v} \frac{\partial\chi_C}{c\partial t} = \frac{c}{v}(V_C - V_L). \quad (16)$$

The y - and z -components of the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L = \nabla\chi_C$ are obtained by performing direct differentiations in a similar way to that of calculating the value (15) for the difference $V_C - V_L$, yielding

$$A_{Cy} - A_{Ly} = \frac{\partial\chi_C}{\partial y} = -\frac{c}{v} \frac{y(x - vt)}{y^2 + z^2}(V_C - V_L), \quad (17)$$

$$A_{Cz} - A_{Lz} = \frac{\partial\chi_C}{\partial z} = -\frac{c}{v} \frac{z(x - vt)}{y^2 + z^2}(V_C - V_L). \quad (18)$$

These components have no singularities (they vanish at $x - vt = y = z = 0$). As $A_{Ly} = A_{Lz} = 0$, Eqs. (17) and (18) also give the Coulomb-gauge components A_{Cy} and A_{Cz} themselves, respectively.

It is instructive to perform the Lorentz transformation of the Coulomb-gauge four-potential $(V_C, \mathbf{A}_C)$ from the “laboratory” frame where the charge moves with the constant velocity $\mathbf{v} = v\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to its rest frame (the primes denote the rest-frame quantities):

$$V'_C = \gamma(V_C - vA_{Cx}/c), \quad (19)$$

$$A'_{Cx} = \gamma(A_{Cx} - vV_C/c), \quad A'_{Cy} = A_{Cy}, \quad A'_{Cz} = A_{Cz}. \quad (20)$$

We note first that with the x -component A_{Cx} of the vector potential in the Coulomb gauge given by

$$A_{Cx} = \frac{c}{v}(V_C - V_L/\gamma^2), \quad (21)$$

which follows from the expression (16) for the difference $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx}$ and the fact that $A_{Lx} = (v/c)V_L$, Eq. (19) gives the rest-frame scalar potential V'_C as

$$V'_C = \frac{1}{\gamma} V_L = \frac{q}{\gamma \sqrt{(x-vt)^2 + (y^2 + z^2)/\gamma^2}} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}}, \quad (22)$$

where the Lorentz transformation of the coordinates, $x' = \gamma(x - vt)$, $y' = y$, $z' = z$, is performed on the right-hand side. The rest-frame scalar potential V'_C is simply that of a point charge q in electrostatics. We note also that because the Coulomb-gauge condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ is not Lorentz invariant, the rest-frame vector potential $\mathbf{A}'_C$ is not divergenceless in the rest-frame variables x' , y' , z' ; the potentials V'_C , $\mathbf{A}'_C$ are therefore no longer those of the Coulomb gauge. However, a direct calculation shows that the vector potential $\mathbf{A}'_C$ is irrotational, $\nabla' \times \mathbf{A}'_C = 0$, which expresses the fact that there is no magnetic field in the rest frame. Moreover, the vector potential $\mathbf{A}'_C$ is independent of the rest-frame time t' , and thus the electric field in the rest frame is given only by $\mathbf{E}' = -\nabla' V'_C$, which yields correctly the electrostatic Coulomb field of a charge at rest.

III. INHOMOGENEOUS WAVE EQUATION FOR THE VECTOR-POTENTIAL DIFFERENCE

The difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ must satisfy the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\square(\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L) = \nabla \frac{\partial V_C}{c \partial t}, \quad (23)$$

which is obtained by subtracting the wave equation for $\mathbf{A}_L$,

$$\square \mathbf{A}_L = -\frac{4\pi}{c} q \mathbf{v} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t), \quad (24)$$

(see Ref. 1, Sec. 6.3) from the wave equation (3) for $\mathbf{A}_C$. It is straightforward to show that the x -component $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx} = (c/v)(V_C - V_L)$ of the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ indeed satisfies the x -component of the inhomogeneous wave equation (23):

$$\square \left[\frac{c}{v} (V_C - V_L) \right] = \frac{\partial^2 V_C}{c \partial t \partial x}. \quad (25)$$

The fact that Eq. (25) holds true follows directly from the wave equations

$$\frac{c}{v} \square V_C = \frac{\partial^2 V_C}{c \partial t \partial x} - \frac{4\pi c}{v} q \delta(x - vt) \delta(y) \delta(z) \quad (26)$$

and

$$\square V_L = -4\pi q \delta(x - vt) \delta(y) \delta(z). \quad (27)$$

The wave equation (26) in turn holds true because of the facts that the d'Alembertian $\square = \nabla^2 - \partial^2/c^2 \partial t^2$, $\nabla^2 V_C = -4\pi q \delta(x - vt) \delta(y) \delta(z)$, and $\partial V_C / \partial t = -v \partial V_C / \partial x$; the wave equation (27) embodies the fact the Lorentz-gauge scalar potential V_L is the retarded solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation with the right-hand side $-4\pi q \delta(x - vt) \delta(y) \delta(z)$.

It is also straightforward to show that the y -component (17) and z -component (18) of the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ satisfy the inhomogeneous wave equation (23) by using the identity

$$\square(fg) = g\square f + f\square g + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla g - 2\frac{\partial f}{c\partial t}\frac{\partial g}{c\partial t} \quad (28)$$

and Eq. (25) in the evaluation of the requisite derivatives; the singularities of the functions $y(x-vt)/(y^2+z^2)$ and $z(x-vt)/(y^2+z^2)$ at $y = z = 0$ cannot introduce any delta-function terms in the d'Alembertians of the components (17) and (18) as the latter are functions with no singularities.

IV. POISSON'S EQUATION FOR THE VECTOR-POTENTIAL DIFFERENCE

Onoochin⁸ attempts to solve the x -component of the inhomogeneous wave equation (23) for the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ directly by reducing it to a Poisson equation, which is a method based on the fact that the space and time partial derivatives are not independent when the source term is moving uniformly (see Ref. 3, Sec. 19-3). In the present case, the dependence on the variables x and t is only through the combination $x - vt$, and thus the x -component of the difference $\mathbf{A}_C - \mathbf{A}_L$ can be written as $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx} \equiv f(x - vt, y, z)$, where the function $f(x - vt, y, z)$ satisfies an inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\square f(x - vt, y, z) = \frac{\partial^2 V_C}{c\partial t\partial x} = -q\frac{v}{c}\frac{2(x - vt)^2 - y^2 - z^2}{[(x - vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2]^{5/2}} \quad (29)$$

that can be cast as a Poisson equation on the substitutions $\partial^2/\partial x^2 - \partial^2/c^2\partial t^2 = \partial^2/\gamma^2\partial x^2$ and $x - vt = \chi/\gamma$, where $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right) f(\chi/\gamma, y, z) = -q\frac{v}{c}\frac{2\chi^2/\gamma^2 - y^2 - z^2}{(\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (30)$$

The standard integral expression for the solution to Poisson's equation (30) is given by

$$\frac{qv}{4\pi c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\chi' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz' \frac{2\chi'^2/\gamma^2 - y'^2 - z'^2}{(\chi'^2/\gamma^2 + y'^2 + z'^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\chi - \chi')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}}, \quad (31)$$

where one recovers the original variables by putting $\chi = \gamma(x - vt)$. Evaluating the above three-dimensional integral in closed form does not seem possible. However, this integral can be evaluated for the special case $y = z = 0$, and the result is (see Appendix):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{qv}{4\pi c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\chi' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz' \frac{2\chi'^2/\gamma^2 - y'^2 - z'^2}{(\chi'^2/\gamma^2 + y'^2 + z'^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\chi - \chi')^2 + y'^2 + x'^2}} \\ = \frac{qv\gamma}{3c|\chi|} = \frac{qv}{3c|x - vt|}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This value is in direct conflict with the result (16) that we obtained for the difference $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx}$, which vanishes at $y = z = 0$. As $\partial(V_C - V_L)/\partial x = 0$ at $y = z = 0$, and the partial time derivative of (32) does not vanish, the result (32), if it were the true difference $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx}$ at $y = z = 0$, would lead to a non-zero difference between the Coulomb- and Lorentz-gauge x -components of the electric field at $y = z = 0$. This is Onoochin's evidence against the equivalence of the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges.⁹

The fault is with the standard integral solution (31)—it simply does not give the correct solution to the Poisson equation (30). The reason for this is that the right-hand side of (30) is not a sufficiently well-behaved function in the vicinity of the point $\chi = y = z = 0$. However, the integral expression (31) will give the correct solution to the Poisson equation (30) after a suitable regularization,

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{qv}{4\pi c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\chi' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz' \frac{2\chi'^2/\gamma^2 - y'^2 - z'^2 - a^2}{(\chi'^2/\gamma^2 + y'^2 + z'^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\chi - \chi')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}}. \quad (33)$$

This can be shown as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}} \\ &= -\frac{3a^2}{(\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{\gamma^2} \frac{2\chi^2/\gamma^2 - y^2 - z^2 - a^2}{(\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\chi^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}} = -\frac{3\gamma a^2}{(\chi^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (35)$$

Subtracting Eq. (35) from Eq. (34), multiplying the result by qc/v , and taking the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \frac{c}{v} \left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}} - \frac{q\gamma}{\sqrt{\chi^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}} \right) \\ = -\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} q \frac{v}{c} \frac{2\chi^2/\gamma^2 - y^2 - z^2 - a^2}{(\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

as the first term on the right-hand side of (34) and the right-hand side of (35) are, in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, representations of the same delta-function expression $-4\pi\gamma\delta(\chi)\delta(y)\delta(z)$. Equation (36) shows that the function

$$f = \frac{c}{v} \left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{\chi^2/\gamma^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - \frac{q\gamma}{\sqrt{\chi^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right) \quad (37)$$

is the solution to the Poisson equation (30) by the same token the function $g = 1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ is the well-known solution to the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 g = -4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})$. The regularized integral solution (33) thus has to equal the function f of Eq. (37). Transforming back to the original variables through $\chi = \gamma(x - vt)$, the function f becomes

$$f = \frac{c}{v} \left[\frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - \frac{q}{\sqrt{(x - vt)^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(y^2 + z^2)}} \right] = \frac{c}{v}(V_C - V_L), \quad (38)$$

which is the value (16) for the difference $A_{Cx} - A_{Lx}$.

While a direct analytical evaluation of the regularized integral solution (33) may not be possible in general, we note that such an evaluation can be done in the special case $y = z = 0$ and it yields the correct value of zero (see Appendix). Moreover, direct numerical three-dimensional integration of the regularized integral solution resulted in values that approached closely those of the solution (37) when the regularization parameter a was made sufficiently small.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We found an explicit expression for the gauge function of the transformation between the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges for a uniformly moving point charge. The Coulomb-gauge potentials obtained using the gauge function are guaranteed to yield the same electric and magnetic fields as the well-known Lorentz-gauge potentials of the charge. The expression obtained for the difference between the vector potentials in the two gauges satisfies the Poisson equation to which the inhomogeneous wave equation for this difference reduces after a transformation of the variables. However, the right-hand side of the Poisson equation has a singularity arising from the vanishing extension of a point charge, and the standard recipe for its solution has to be suitably regularized to yield the correct solution.

Although gauge invariance is a foregone conclusion in a gauge-invariant theory, we believe that the calculations exhibiting the equivalence of the Lorentz and Coulomb gauges in the basic problem of a uniformly moving charge were an instructive exercise.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges correspondence with V. V. Onoichin, whose determined objections against “mainstream” electrodynamics motivated the writing of this paper.

APPENDIX

After the transformation $\chi'/\gamma = x'$, the integral (32) can be written as $(qv\gamma/c)I(\gamma, X)$, where $I(\gamma, X)$ is the integral (we drop the primes on the integration variables):

$$I(\gamma, X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{2x^2 - y^2 - z^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - x)^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad (39)$$

where $X = \chi/\gamma$ and $\gamma > 1$ are real parameters. Transforming from the Cartesian coordinates x, y, z to the spherical ones, r, θ, ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\gamma, X) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} r^2 dr \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta d\theta \frac{r^2(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)}{r^5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - r \cos \theta)^2 + r^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} dr \int_{-1}^1 d\xi \frac{3\xi^2 - 1}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - r\xi)^2 + r^2(1 - \xi^2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Let us do the integration with respect to ξ first:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(r, \gamma, X) &= \int_{-1}^1 d\xi \frac{3\xi^2 - 1}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - r\xi)^2 + r^2(1 - \xi^2)}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\omega^5 r^4} \left[3\gamma\omega(A+B) + (1+2\gamma^2)(\omega^2 r^2 - 3\gamma^2 X^2) \ln \frac{\gamma\omega|X+r| - \omega^2 r - \gamma^2 X}{\gamma\omega|X-r| + \omega^2 r - \gamma^2 X} \right], \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}, \quad A = (\omega^2 r - 3\gamma^2 X)|X + r|, \quad B = (\omega^2 r + 3\gamma^2 X)|X - r|. \quad (42)$$

The function $F(r, \gamma, X)$ has the properties

$$F(r, \gamma, X) = F(r, \gamma, -X), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} F(r, \gamma, X) = 0. \quad (43)$$

It peaks at $r = |X|$, where its derivative with respect to r is discontinuous. The argument of the logarithm in (41) reduces to $(\gamma|X| - \omega r)/(\gamma|X| + \omega r)$ for $r < |X|$, and to $(\gamma - \omega)/(\gamma + \omega) = (\gamma - \omega)^2$ for $r > |X|$.

The integration with respect to r is performed in two parts:

$$I_1(\gamma, X) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{|X|} dr F(r, \gamma, X) = \frac{(2 + \gamma^2)(1 + 2\gamma^2)}{6\gamma\omega^4|X|} + \frac{1 + 2\gamma^2}{2\omega^5|X|} \ln(\gamma - \omega), \quad (44)$$

$$I_2(\gamma, X) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|X|}^{\infty} dr F(r, \gamma, X) = -\frac{3\gamma}{2\omega^4|X|} - \frac{1 + 2\gamma^2}{2\omega^5|X|} \ln(\gamma - \omega), \quad (45)$$

where again $\omega = (\gamma^2 - 1)^{1/2}$. The whole integral $I(\gamma, X)$ is thus

$$I(\gamma, X) = I_1(\gamma, X) + I_2(\gamma, X) = \frac{1}{3\gamma|X|}, \quad (46)$$

and the integral (32) has the value $(qv\gamma/c)I(\gamma, X) = qv/(3c|X|) = qv\gamma/(3c|\chi|)$.

We now show that the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ of the integral (39) after a regularization with a parameter a ,

$$I(\gamma, X, a) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{2x^2 - y^2 - z^2 - a^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - x)^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad (47)$$

vanishes.

We transform the Cartesian coordinates x, y, z in (47) to the cylindrical ones, x, ρ, ϕ , and then perform the transformation $\rho^2 = \zeta$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\gamma, X, a) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{\infty} \rho d\rho \frac{2x^2 - \rho^2 - a^2}{(x^2 + \rho^2 + a^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - x)^2 + \rho^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{\infty} d\zeta \frac{2x^2 - \zeta - a^2}{(x^2 + \zeta + a^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X - x)^2 + \zeta}}. \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

The integration with respect to ζ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x, \gamma, X, a) &= \int_0^\infty d\zeta \frac{2x^2 - \zeta - a^2}{(x^2 + \zeta + a^2)^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2(X-x)^2 + \zeta}} \\
&= 2 \frac{x^2 - a^2 + \gamma^2(X-x)^2}{[x^2 + a^2 - \gamma^2(X-x)^2]^2} \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\gamma|X-x|}{(x^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \frac{2x^4 + a^2x^2 - a^4 + a^2\gamma^2(X-x)^2}{[x^2 + a^2 - \gamma^2(X-x)^2]^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

This is a function of x with no singularities (it does not diverge at the roots of the denominators), displaying a minimum near $x = 0$ that moves closer to $x = 0$ and deepens and narrows as the regularization parameter a tends to zero; its derivative is discontinuous at $x = X$.

The integrals $\int_{-\infty}^X dx G(x, \gamma, X, a)$ and $\int_X^\infty dx G(x, \gamma, X, a)$ are long and complicated expressions, but their limits $a \rightarrow 0$ are simple:

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^X dx G(x, \gamma, X, a) = -\frac{2}{(1+\gamma)X}, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_X^\infty dx G(x, \gamma, X, a) = \frac{2}{(1+\gamma)X}. \tag{50}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} I(\gamma, X, a) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^X dx G(x, \gamma, X, a) + \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4} \int_X^\infty dx G(x, \gamma, X, a) = 0. \tag{51}$$

The results of this Appendix were obtained using the software system *Mathematica*,¹⁰ and were checked by performing numerical integrations.

REFERENCES

- ¹ J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1999), 3rd ed.
- ² D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics* (Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1999), 3rd ed.
- ³ W. K. H. Panofsky and M. Phillips, *Classical Electricity and Magnetism* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1962), 2nd ed.
- ⁴ L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1976), 4th revised English ed.
- ⁵ F. Rohrlich, “Causality, the Coulomb field, and Newton’s law of gravitation,” *Am. J. Phys.* **70** (4), 411–414 (2002); O. D. Jefimenko, “Comment on ‘Causality, the Coulomb field, and Newton’s law of gravitation,’ by F. Rohrlich,” *Am. J. Phys.* **70** (9), 964 (2002); F. Rohrlich, “Reply to ‘Comment on Causality, the Coulomb field, and Newton’s law of gravitation, by O. D. Jefimenko’” *Am. J. Phys.* **70** (9), 964 (2002).
- ⁶ J. D. Jackson, “From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations,” *Am. J. Phys.* **70** (9), 917–928 (2002).
- ⁷ J. D. Jackson and L. B. Okun, “Historical roots of gauge invariance,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 663–680 (2001).
- ⁸ V. V. Onnochin, “On non-equivalence of Lorentz and Coulomb gauges within classical electrodynamics,” *Annales de la Fondation Louis de Broglie* **27** (2), 163–183 (2002); e-print ArXiv.org physics/0111017 (2001).
- ⁹ V. V. Onnochin, personal communication (2003). In his paper (Ref. 8), Onnochin does not evaluate the integral expression (31), but he has communicated to the present author a calculation of its value at $y = z = 0$ that differs from the value given by Eq. (32) by a factor of 3.
- ¹⁰ S. Wolfram, *The Mathematica Book* (Wolfram Media, Champaign, IL, 1999), 4th ed.