

Unification of classical and quantum mechanics

José B. Almeida

*Universidade do Minho, Departamento de Física, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal.**

(Dated: November 12, 2002)

This paper is a serious attempt at reconciling quantum and classical mechanics through the concept of dynamic space and the acceptance of non-zero Ricci tensor for vacuum. Starting with scalar particles, the paper shows that those two points allow predictions of General Relativity to be made with an equation which includes Klein-Gordon as a special case; this equation is designated the *source equation*. The paper then moves on to show that Dirac equation is compatible with the source equation written in a more general form. 4-dimensional optics is introduced as an alternative to space-time, which is shown to allow similar predictions in the case of gravity, but has the great advantage of ascribing also electro dynamics to space curvature.

Keywords: Unified theories; quantum mechanics; general relativity

I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics are the two most successful theories in Physics; each in its own field of application seems to be the ultimate theory, yet every effort to merge them together has encountered unsurmountable difficulties. Particle physics is reasonably explained by the Standard Model, in spite of this theory's uncomfortable number of parameters. It has frequently been said that the unification of General Relativity with Quantum Mechanics and the Standard Model can only arise from a new paradigm which drastically departs from some of the present day assumptions setting Physics in a new framework. Some people believe that String Theory provides this new way of thinking and is the road to unification [1]. In spite of some success we feel that String Theory is not yet the answer everybody is waiting for, not the least because it is an extremely complex theory while intuitively one prefers theories that are simple, at least in their basic principles. Inevitably mathematics will always become more involved as complex problems are attacked. This paper makes a contribution for a unified theory based on simple equations but it does use concepts that are unusual and may be difficult to accept due to long established habits. The paper introduces a generalization of Dirac's equation such that not only electrodynamics but also gravitation can be accounted for. Full compatibility with the Standard Model is not explored in this work but the path that must be followed is delineated.

The author has previously made some attempts at formulating a unified theory of physics, namely through the use of the *4-Dimensional Optics* (4DO) concept [2, 3]; the present work presents a more fundamental approach, departing from a mathematical equation deprived of physical interpretation. The concept of space is used as a purely geometric concept and the notions of distance, space or mass are introduced in connection to solutions

of the equation that were found in purely mathematical grounds.

In this paper we use the concept of dynamic space which is entirely linked to some dynamics under study and not preexisting. Dynamic space exists for the dynamics and the latter occupies the former completely. Ultimately all dynamics are part of the grand Universe dynamics and this supports a universal space; when we choose to consider some dynamics isolated from the Universe we also define a dynamic space which contains and is contained by the dynamics. Consider as examples a planetary system or a galaxy; in either case the study of their dynamics in isolation involves the definition of a particular dynamic space which does not extend outside the edges of each particular system. The observation of some dynamics by a non-interfering observer is impossible; the observer has to participate in the dynamics in such a way that dynamic space is extended to include him. We will find ways to deal with non-interfering observers by a suitable change of coordinates between dynamic space and observer space; this is seen as a useful approximation, frequently more manageable than the exact solution which would involve including the observer as one element of the dynamics. A useful reading on the subject of space creation is provided by Ref. [4].

Our strategy for this work involves showing that Klein-Gordon equation and gravitation can be made compatible through the dynamic space concept associated with a rejection of *empty space* and consequent zeroing of the Ricci tensor for vacuum. We will then show that the same assumptions can be applied to Dirac equation and propose its generalization such that electrodynamics and gravitation become effectively integrated. 4-dimensional optics with its associated space appear as a consequence of this process, which could not be achieved with conventional space-time. We will also suggest that the source equation may have some solutions which explain the existence of elementary particles as dynamic systems in their own right.

*Electronic address: bda@fisica.uminho.pt

II. CLASSICAL MECHANICS AND KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION

In this section we examine how Klein-Gordon equation and gravity can be merged into a single equation, designated the *source equation*; later on we will show this to be a consequence of a more fundamental equation including Dirac's as a special case. The source equation is a 4-dimensional differential equation with respect to the four variables x^μ , which should be seen as pure numbers without any physical meaning; the concepts of distance or time are absent at this stage and are later defined within the theory. The simplest form of the source equation is

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu\nu}\psi = -\psi, \quad (1)$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is a metric tensor and ψ is a function of the 4 variables with some general characteristics that will be defined below. Einstein's summation convention is used in the equation; the notation ∇_μ means covariant derivative with respect to the variable x^μ . Throughout this note greek indices and superscripts take values between 0 and 3 and roman indices run from 1 to 3. For the moment the elements $g^{\mu\nu}$ are treated as real and the function ψ as complex, although further along the equation will have to be generalized to accept other entities; in every situation $g^{\mu\nu}$ incorporates some form of *feedback* from the function ψ , characterizing what we will designate by *dynamic space*. Equation (1) can be associated with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi - \psi^2). \quad (2)$$

In a large number of situations we will not have to distinguish between covariant and partial derivatives, but the cases we analyze at the end of the paper make this distinction necessary.

We are not in a position to give a general solution to this equation but will instead discuss various particular solutions in order to understand how it becomes fundamental in generating the basic laws of physics. As a starting point we discuss the solution $\psi = \exp(ip_\alpha x^\alpha)$. Notice that if $g^{\mu\nu}$ is replaced by the metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}/m^2$, with $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ the Minkowski metric, the equation is equivalent to the Klein-Gordon equation, so that we need only to verify that gravitation is also comprehended. The solutions of Klein-Gordon equation include m in the exponent of ψ so that there feedback from the solution of the equation to the space metric, a characteristic of dynamic space. We will look at a more general situation, assuming that the metric has the form

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{m^2} \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}, -1, -1, -1\right), \quad (3)$$

where m is a constant and n is a function of the coordinates 1, 2 and 3.

The list of non-zero Christoffel symbols is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0_{0i} &= \Gamma^0_{i0} = \partial_i \log n \\ \Gamma^i_{0i} &= n^2 \partial_i n. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Performing the derivations in Eq. (1) and assuming that n is a slowly varying function, so that the Christoffel symbols are small compared to the derivatives of ψ ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} (p_0)^2 - \delta^{ij} p_i p_j \right] = 1. \quad (5)$$

The p_μ in Eq. (5) are the conjugate momenta in a space whose metric is $g^{\mu\nu}$; we call this space the dynamic space. This designation is entirely appropriate since the space metric includes the factor $1/m^2$, which will be associated with a particular dynamics. The concept of dynamic space will become clearer further along, when we make the transition to the more conventional observer space.

The relation between the conjugate momenta and the coordinates is given as usual by [5]

$$p_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} x^\nu. \quad (6)$$

Considering Eq. (3) it is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = m^2 \text{diag}(n^2, -1, -1, -1), \quad (7)$$

which we insert into Eq. (6)

$$p_0 = m^2 n^2 \frac{dx^0}{ds}, \quad p_i = -m^2 \frac{dx^i}{ds}, \quad (8)$$

with the line element ds defined by

$$(ds)^2 = m^2 \left[n^2 (dx^0)^2 - \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right]. \quad (9)$$

We stress that all the elements in the equations above are pure numbers to which we have not attributed any physical meaning. All the operations done so far are purely mathematical, including the concept of space; there are no dimensions of any sort attributed to the space characterized by the the metric just defined.

The geodesic equations of any space can be found by defining a Lagrangian with constant value $1/2$

$$2L = 1 = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds}, \quad (10)$$

and writing the associated Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{dp_\alpha}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} \right). \quad (11)$$

Applying to dynamic space we get its geodesic equations as

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= m^2 n^2 \frac{dx^0}{ds} = \text{constant}; \\ \frac{dp_i}{ds} &= m^2 n \partial_i n \left(\frac{dx^0}{ds} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since $d/ds = d/dx^0 \times dx^0/ds$ we can replace the second relation by

$$\frac{d}{dx^0} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{dx^i}{dx^0} \right) = -\frac{\partial_i n}{n}. \quad (13)$$

There is not much more we can do to the geodesic equation without particularizing the form of n ; we will do that in the following paragraphs.

We will now consider the situation where n is symmetric in all three x^i coordinates; this will be done by making n a function of $\sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}$. We will also perform a change of coordinates to remove m from the metric

$$t = mx^0; \quad (14)$$

$$r = m\sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}; \quad (15)$$

$$\theta = \arccos \left[x^3 / \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2} \right]; \quad (16)$$

$$\varphi = \arccos \left[x^1 / \sqrt{(x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2} \right]. \quad (17)$$

We are dealing here with a one body problem; we anticipate that m is somehow linked to inertial mass. In a more general situation, when multiple bodies participate in the dynamics, the scaling parameter m will vary according to which body is being considered. The use of m as scaling parameter in Eq. (15) means that points of the new space, which we will designate by observer space, can only be mapped to points in dynamic space if $m \neq 0$; there will be points of observer space where $m = 0$; these points will necessarily map to infinity in dynamic space. Anticipating the physical interpretation of the equations, we will say that points of observer space where there is no mass necessarily map to infinity in dynamic space.

Now we can write the Lagrangian in the new coordinates

$$2L = n^2 \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 - r^2 \left(\frac{d\theta}{ds} \right)^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\varphi}{ds} \right)^2; \quad (18)$$

where n is a function of r . It is reasonable to make $\theta = \pi/2$, so that the Euler-Lagrange equations become after some manipulation

$$n^2 \frac{dt}{ds} = \gamma \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{r^2}{n^2} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = J \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{dr}{dt} \right) = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} + \frac{n^2 J^2}{r^3}. \quad (21)$$

As a last step in particularizing an application of the source equation we will now assume a special form for n given by

$$n = \exp \left(\frac{-M}{r} \right), \quad (22)$$

with M a constant. Eqs. (19) to (21) become

$$e^{-2M/r} \frac{dt}{ds} = \gamma \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (23)$$

$$r^2 e^{2M/r} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = J \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} = -\frac{M}{r^2 e^{2M/r}} + \frac{2M}{r^2} \left(\frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{J^2}{r^3 e^{4M/r}}. \quad (25)$$

These equations are still written on pure numbers but we are now in position to bring in physical meaning and units. Let us start with the concepts of length and time and assume that we want to associate some length units to the values of coordinate r and time units to the values of coordinate t ; we can do this by introducing arbitrary dimensional factors such that $r = \mathcal{R}r_d$ and $t = \mathcal{T}t_d$, where the subscript d stands for "dimensional". The ratio \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{T} defines the physical constant c .

A similar procedure is done for the values of m and M , such that $M = \mathcal{M}M_d$. The fraction M/r becomes $\mathcal{M}M_d/(\mathcal{R}r_d)$ and must be non-dimensional leading to the definition of the physical constant $G = c^2 \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{R}$. There is one third relation linking the dimensional factors to physical constants; Planck's constant is defined as $\hbar = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{R}^2/\mathcal{T}$. The three physical constants c , G and \hbar are an alternative to the three dimensional factors \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{M} , the latter allowing all equations in physics to be written as non-dimensional.

At this point it is important to link c to the speed of light in vacuum. Photons have no mass and classically do not participate in the dynamics; it comes as no surprise that dynamic space is not applicable to photons, as long as they are excluded from the dynamics. We can study photon dynamics as a limiting case where the mass tends to zero. Recalling Eq. (5), consider the special case where $m = 0$ and $(p_0)^2 = n^2 \delta^{ij} p_i p_j$; the 4-dimensional equation becomes undetermined but we can write a 3-dimensional counterpart

$$\frac{n^2 \delta^{ij}}{(p_0)^2} p_i p_j = 1. \quad (26)$$

Proceeding as before to convert into observer space's coordinates but using p_0 instead of m as the scaling parameter we get

$$(ds')^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left[(dr)^2 + r^2 (d\theta)^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2 \right]. \quad (27)$$

Since both members are dimensionless we can choose to express the first member in terms of time dimensions while the second member is expressed in distance dimensions; after a little re-arrangement, considering the definition of c ,

$$c^2 n^2 (dt_d)^2 = (dr_d)^2 + r_d^2 (d\theta)^2 + r_d^2 \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2. \quad (28)$$

Equation (28) defines the worldline of a particle characterized by $(p_0)^2 = n^2 \delta^{ij} p_i p_j$; notice, though, that by

simultaneously making $m = 0$ Eq. (5) generates an indetermination and so we can say that Eq. (28) defines the worldline of a massless particle. When both members are divided by $(dt)^2$, the first member becomes the squared velocity of a massless particle in the space whose metric is given by Eq. (7).

Physical interpretation of Eqs. (23 to 25) becomes now possible. The first of these equations guarantees dt/ds is finite and so $dr/dt = (dr/ds)/(dt/ds)$ cannot grow to infinity; hence the velocity remains below the local velocity of light. The second equation is almost Kepler's second law but the presence of the exponential in the first member introduces a small perturbation which induces a precession of the perihelium in closed orbits; this is the same effect that is known from General Relativity as can easily be checked against Schwartzschild's predictions based on the metric [5]

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \quad (29)$$

The counterpart of Eq. (24) is

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} r^2 \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = J; \quad (30)$$

since $\exp(2M/r)$ and $(1 - 2M/r)^{-1}$ both expand to the first order of $1/r$ as $1 + 2M/r$, the predictions for the perihelium advance will be similar.

The last equation deserves more detailed analysis. The first term in the second member provides the compatibility with Newton's dynamics by making the acceleration inversely proportional to the second power of the distance between masses m and M . The second term introduces a repulsive acceleration which can become as important as the Newtonian term when the radial velocity approaches $c/\sqrt{2}$, thus effectively preventing the speed of light to be attained. The last term introduces a short distance correction which manifests itself only if $J \neq 0$, i.e. if there is angular momentum.

When r is of the same order of magnitude as M or smaller the present analysis becomes invalid. First of all we must realize that $r = 2M$ is the horizon of a black hole with Schwartzschild's metric, so we are now discussing situations where physics is largely untested. On the other hand, in the derivation of Eq. (8) it was assumed that n was a slowly varying function, which is not clearly the case for small values of r ; in this situation the Christoffel symbols cannot be neglected and a different dynamics appears, which is no longer described by Eq. (9).

One very important point results from the exponential form of n in Eq. (22) where the Newton potential appears as exponent of the metric coefficient g_{00} ; as a consequence Schwartzschild's condition of zero Ricci tensor in vacuum is not verified. This may mean that zero point field must be considered in the construction of the metric for vacuum in the Universe's dynamic space. In

Ref. [2] the author shows that the exponential form is related to the evanescent field of 4-dimensional waveguides; the fact that the cited work uses pseudo-Euclidean space is of no consequence in this respect, as we shall see later on. The origin of the exponential dependence does not need to be considered in the remainder of the present work if the reader accepts it based on results rather than on physical explanation.

III. DIRAC EQUATION

We want to show that the dynamic space concept is entirely compatible with Dirac equation; the aim is to ensure that quantum mechanics and dynamic space merge together flawlessly, thus merging Quantum Mechanics and gravitation.

We will start with the following form of Dirac equation [6]

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - \alpha^0 m\right) \psi = 0; \quad (31)$$

where ψ is a 4-element spinor and α^μ are 4×4 Pauli matrices for which we adopt the Chiral representation

$$\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma^0 \\ \sigma^0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

with σ^i the 2×2 Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (33)$$

in addition these two other matrices are used

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The following relations apply

$$\alpha^\mu \alpha^\nu + \alpha^\nu \alpha^\mu = 2 \text{diag}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}). \quad (35)$$

We shall prefer the full tensorial alternative to the above equation

$$\alpha_\lambda^{\beta\mu} \alpha_\beta^{\kappa\nu} + \alpha_\lambda^{\beta\nu} \alpha_\beta^{\kappa\mu} = 2\delta_\lambda^\kappa \delta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (36)$$

Considering relation (35), we can multiply Eq. (31) by α^0 on the left to get an alternate form of Dirac equation

$$\frac{i}{m} \gamma_\kappa^{\lambda\mu} \partial_\mu \psi_\lambda = \psi_\kappa, \quad (37)$$

with $\gamma_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}$ defined by

$$\gamma_\kappa^{\lambda 0} = \alpha_\kappa^{\lambda 0}; \quad \gamma_\kappa^{\lambda i} = \alpha_\beta^{\lambda 0} \alpha_\kappa^{\beta i}. \quad (38)$$

The γ matrices obey the following relations

$$\gamma_\lambda^{\beta\mu} \gamma_\beta^{\kappa\nu} + \gamma_\lambda^{\beta\nu} \gamma_\beta^{\kappa\mu} = 2\delta_\lambda^\kappa \eta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (39)$$

Application of the $i\gamma_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}\partial_\mu/m$ operator on the left to both sides of Eq. (37) results in

$$\frac{\gamma_\kappa^{\beta\mu}\gamma_\beta^{\lambda\nu}}{m^2}\partial_{\mu\nu}\psi_\lambda = -\psi_\kappa. \quad (40)$$

Considering Eq. (39) and noting that $\partial_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\nu\mu}$ the equation can be simplified to

$$\frac{\delta_\kappa^\lambda\eta^{\mu\nu}}{m^2}\partial_{\mu\nu}\psi_\lambda = -\psi_\kappa; \quad (41)$$

notice the striking similarity with Eq. (1); the factor δ_κ^λ in the first member serves only to change the subscript of ψ_λ and will be removed. The source equation is then verified by all the elements ψ_κ

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu\nu}\psi_\kappa = -\psi_\kappa; \quad (42)$$

where $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}/m^2$.

In order to accommodate gravitation we define the matrices

$$s_\kappa^{\lambda 0} = \frac{\gamma_\kappa^{\lambda 0}}{mn}; \quad s_\kappa^{\lambda i} = \frac{\gamma_\kappa^{\beta i}}{m}; \quad (43)$$

and modify Eq. (37) to

$$is_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}\partial_\mu\psi_\lambda = \psi_\kappa. \quad (44)$$

The space metric is generalized to the 4-rank tensor

$$g_\kappa^{\lambda\mu\nu} = s_\kappa^{\alpha\mu}s_\alpha^{\lambda\nu}. \quad (45)$$

Finally, Rieman's condition $\nabla_\sigma g^{\mu\nu} = 0$ is replaced by the more general one

$$\nabla_\sigma s_\kappa^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (46)$$

Due to cancellation between crossed terms it will normally be possible to use the simplified metric $g_\kappa^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(I/n^2, -I, -I, -I)/m^2$ and even forget the κ and λ indices, using a normal 2-rank tensor as metric.

IV. THE POINT OF VIEW OF 4-DIMENSIONAL OPTICS

In Refs. [2, 3], 4DO is developed in 4-space with signature $(+, +, +, +)$ and dt is defined as being the line element in that space. Here we take a more fundamental standing, considering the source equation (1) in the case where the metric defines a pseudo-Euclidean space, i.e. with Euclidean tangent space; since at this stage we are dealing with geometrical concepts, deprived of any physical interpretations, we are allowed to try any metric we decide to choose and any space signature we like. Later we will have to check if the geometrical concepts can be given physical interpretations, similarly to what has been done in section II.

Let us assume a metric of the form

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{n^2}{m^2}\delta^{\mu\nu}; \quad (47)$$

and insert this into Eq. (1). As before assume that m is a constant and n is a slowly varying function of coordinates 1, 2 and 3; after simplification it is

$$\frac{n^2}{m^2}\delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu\nu}\psi = -\psi. \quad (48)$$

Now we can try a solution $\psi = \exp(ip_\alpha x^\alpha)$, resulting in

$$\frac{n^2}{m^2}\delta^{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu = 1; \quad (49)$$

from which we can write

$$p_\mu = \frac{m^2}{n^2}\delta_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^\nu}{dt}, \quad (50)$$

with the line element, designated by dt , defined by

$$(dt)^2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}\delta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu. \quad (51)$$

Making a change of variables defined by Eqs. (15, 16, 17) together with

$$\tau = mx^0, \quad (52)$$

proceeding as before, we arrive at the following Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{r^2}{n^2}\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = J \rightarrow \text{constant}; \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\frac{dr}{dt}\right) = -n\frac{dn}{dr} + \frac{n^2 J^2}{r^3}. \quad (55)$$

Equations (53) to (55) are equivalent to Eqs. (19) to (21); considering n defined by Eq. (22) we obtain Eq. (25) as before.

It is important to verify that Poisson equation is not violated if the covariant Laplacian is used in place of the usual one. The covariant Laplacian of a scalar function f is evaluated as [7]

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu\nu}f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu f). \quad (56)$$

Using $g^{\mu\nu}$ defined by Eq. (47) and evaluating the covariant Laplacian of n given by Eq. (22) one gets

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu\nu}n^2 = 0, \quad (57)$$

as expected.

Neither Klein-Gordon or Dirac equation is compatible with 4DO, basically due to the different space signature.

With respect to Klein-Gordon equation, its 4DO counterpart is obviously obtained from Eq. (1) when $g^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\mu\nu}$. In order to obtain a 4DO equation which accounts for spin we replace $\gamma_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}$ by $\alpha_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}$ in Dirac equation (37) such that

$$\frac{i}{m} \alpha_\kappa^{\lambda\mu} \partial_\mu \psi_\lambda = \psi_\kappa. \quad (58)$$

A procedure similar to what is used in textbooks to derive Dirac equation's solutions for a particle at rest [6] would show that Eq. (58) has solutions of the form

$$\psi_\kappa = e^{\pm imx^0} u_\kappa, \quad (59)$$

when $\partial_i \psi_\kappa = 0$, corresponding to a particle at rest. For the positive exponent, u_κ has one of the two forms

$$u_\kappa = (1, 0, 1, 0), \quad u_\kappa = (0, 1, 0, 1), \quad (60)$$

corresponding to the two spin possibilities.

Equation (44) can be applied in 4DO if the matrices $s_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}$ are redefined as

$$s_\kappa^{\lambda\mu} = \frac{n\alpha_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}}{m}. \quad (61)$$

Cancellation between crossed terms will normally allow the use of the 2-rank metric defined by Eq. (47).

V. ELECTRODYNAMICS

4-dimensional optics handles electrodynamics in a way that differs substantially from the relativistic approach, the latter being synthesized in the substitution $p^\mu \rightarrow p^\mu - qA^\mu$, with p^μ the contravariant momentum, q electric charge and A^μ a vector potential. We shall demonstrate that Eq. (44) can account for electromagnetic interaction in 4DO and will not attempt a similar approach in relativistic space-time, which we believe is not possible. We propose that the $s_\kappa^{\lambda\mu}$ matrices should be defined as

$$s_\kappa^{\lambda 0} = \frac{\alpha_\kappa^{\lambda\mu} V_\mu}{m}, \quad s_\kappa^{\lambda i} = \frac{\alpha_\kappa^{\lambda i}}{m}. \quad (62)$$

Application of Eq. (45) and cancellation leads to the following metric

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{m^2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{\kappa\lambda} V_\kappa V_\lambda & V_1 & V_2 & V_3 \\ V_1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ V_2 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ V_3 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (63)$$

The lower subscript metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is obtained, as usual, by calculating the inverse of $g^{\mu\nu}$.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{m^2}{(V_0)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -V_1 & -V_2 & -V_3 \\ -V_1 & (V_0)^2 + (V_1)^2 & V_1 V_2 & V_1 V_3 \\ -V_2 & V_1 V_2 & (V_0)^2 + (V_2)^2 & V_2 V_3 \\ -V_3 & V_1 V_3 & V_2 V_3 & (V_0)^2 + (V_3)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (64)$$

Let us consider the situation where $V_i = 0$ and write the line element

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{m^2}{(V_0)^2} (dx^0)^2 + m^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j; \quad (65)$$

next we change coordinates ($x^{\bar{\mu}} = mx^\mu$); following the usual procedure we write the Lagrangian

$$2L = \frac{1}{(V_0)^2} \left(\frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} \right)^2 + \delta_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \frac{dx^{\bar{i}}}{dt} \frac{dx^{\bar{j}}}{dt}. \quad (66)$$

The equations follow directly

$$p_{\bar{0}} = \frac{1}{(V_0)^2} \frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{constant}, \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\bar{i}}}{dt^2} = -\frac{V_0}{\gamma^2} \partial_{\bar{i}} V_0. \quad (68)$$

Equation (68) represents a particle's dynamics under

an electric field if

$$V_0 = e^{-qA_0}, \quad (69)$$

with q the electric charge and A_0 the electric potential. Inserting in (68)

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\bar{i}}}{dt^2} = \frac{q}{\gamma^2} e^{-2qA_0} \partial_{\bar{i}} A_0. \quad (70)$$

The fact that the electric potential appears as exponent of the metric coefficient V_0 is seen as a consequence of it too being the manifestation of evanescence from a 4-dimensional waveguide [2]; if we compare Eq. (68) to the radial equation obtained for gravity (55) we can immediately find obvious similarities. The electric potential has a typical $1/r$ rule and consequently we will consider $V_0 = 1$ far away from any electric charges.

As a second example we consider now the situation where $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} V_\nu = 0$ except for $\partial_{\bar{1}} V_2 = qB_3$; for simplicity we make $V_0 = 1$, $V_1 = V_3 = 0$ and $V_2 = x^{\bar{1}} qB_3$; B_3 represents

the magnetic field aligned along x^3 . The Lagrangian is now defined by

$$2L = \delta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} \frac{dx^{\bar{\mu}}}{dt} \frac{dx^{\bar{\nu}}}{dt} - 2qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt} + \left(qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt} \right)^2. \quad (71)$$

Some straightforward calculations lead to the conjugate momenta

$$p_{\bar{0}} = \frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} - qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt}, \quad (72)$$

$$p_{\bar{1}} = \frac{dx^{\bar{1}}}{dt}, \quad (73)$$

$$p_{\bar{2}} = \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt} - qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} + \left(qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \right)^2 \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt}, \quad (74)$$

$$p_{\bar{3}} = \frac{dx^{\bar{3}}}{dt}. \quad (75)$$

Since the Lagrangian is independent from $x^{\bar{3}}$, from Eq. (75) one gets

$$\frac{dx^{\bar{3}}}{dt} = \text{constant}; \quad (76)$$

then, independence of the Lagrangian from $x^{\bar{0}}$ and Eq. (72) give

$$\frac{dx^{\bar{0}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\gamma} + qx^{\bar{1}}B_3 \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt}, \quad (77)$$

with γ constant.

Inserting Eq. (77) into Eq. (74) and deriving with respect to t

$$\frac{d^2x^{\bar{2}}}{dt^2} = \frac{qB_3}{\gamma} \frac{dx^{\bar{1}}}{dt}. \quad (78)$$

Finally, from Eq. (73), deriving the Lagrangian with respect to $x^{\bar{1}}$ and making the substitutions

$$\frac{d^2x^{\bar{1}}}{dt^2} = \frac{qB_3}{\gamma} \frac{dx^{\bar{2}}}{dt}. \quad (79)$$

Equations (78) and (79) represent the Lorentz force exerted by the magnetic field B_3 over a particle of electric charge q and moving with velocity dx^i/dt .

The two examples show that the definition in Eq. (61) generates a metric whose geodesics are the worldlines of particles under electromagnetic field. Electrodynamics is associated to space curvature, in much the same way as gravity. In general one can define an electromagnetic tensor akin to its relativistic counterpart

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu}{q} = \frac{\nabla_\mu V_\nu - \nabla_\nu V_\mu}{q}. \quad (80)$$

The exponential factor e^{qA_0} will normally be too near unity to be of any practical consequence in everyday situations. Note that $F_{\mu\nu}$ has been defined in observer space; the transition between dynamic and observer spaces occurs when we divide by q . Similarly to what we commented about inertial mass, mapping between observer and dynamic spaces implies the presence of an electric charge.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK

The dynamic space concept, introduced in this paper allows quantum mechanics and gravitation to be reconciled under the umbrella of the same equations; this was first checked with Klein-Gordon equation, through the definition of a gravitational law, already presented in previous work. It was shown that Klein-Gordon equation presumes dynamic space, while classical mechanics is normally set in observer space. The compatibility of Dirac equation with dynamic space and the new gravitational law was also established.

4-dimensional optics was shown to be an alternative dynamic space where both quantum and classical mechanics could be set with equivalent predictions to those made in the more usual space-time for all but extreme situations, implying a modification of Dirac equation; in this alternative space the new gravitational law was shown to obey Poisson equation. The electrodynamics of a charged particle was analyzed in 4-dimensional optics and was shown to verify the modified Dirac equation but also to be amenable to space curvature, similarly to gravity.

The work presented in this paper is largely incomplete. Several years and great effort are needed to extrapolate the conclusions to cases of mass and charge distributions, to draw cosmological consequences and to work out the compatibility with the standard model of particle physics. In this respect we believe that elementary particles must be seen as dynamic spaces on their own and the space metrics generated by the solutions of the fundamental equations must then be converted into observer space through suitable coordinate changes; suggestions in this respect have already been made in Ref. [2] and prior to that work mass has been shown to emerge from 4-dimensional guidance of a particle's wavefunction [8]. The author believes that all physics must be revised under the new perspectives and hopes to contribute to this revision in future papers but this is task too big for a single person to tackle; the present work, albeit incomplete, should set the bases for anybody who feels motivated to join in the effort.

-
- [1] B. Greene, *The Elegant Universe: Superstrings, Hidden Dimensions, and the Quest for the Ultimate Theory* (W. W. Norton & Company Inc., N. York, 1999).
- [2] J. B. Almeida, *Prospects for unification under 4-dimensional optics* (2002), hep-th/0201264.
- [3] J. B. Almeida, *K-calculus in 4-dimensional optics* (2002), physics/0201002.
- [4] R. Y. Gouin, *On the origin of space*, internet published work where the author discusses extensively space creation with clear overlap with the dynamic space concept, <http://rgouin.home.mindspring.com>.
- [5] J. L. Martin, *General Relativity: A Guide to its Consequences for Gravity and Cosmology* (Ellis Horwood Ltd., U. K., 1988).
- [6] W. N. Cottingham and D. A. Greenwood, *An Introduction to the Standard Model of Particle Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1998).
- [7] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology and Physics*, Graduate Student Series in Physics (Adam Hilger, Bristol, U.K., 1990).
- [8] J. B. Almeida, *A theory of mass and gravity in 4-dimensional optics* (2001), physics/0109027.