## Improved large-mode area endlessly single-mode photonic crystal fibers

N. A. Mortensen,\* M. D. Nielsen,\*<sup>†</sup> J. R. Folkenberg,\* A. Petersson,\* and H. R. Simonsen\*

\* Crystal Fibre A/S, Blokken 84, DK-3460 Birkerød, Denmark

<sup>†</sup>Research Center COM, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

We numerically study the possibilities for improved large-mode area endlessly single mode photonic crystal fibers for use in high-power delivery applications. By carefully choosing the optimal hole diameter we find that a triangular core formed by three missing neighboring air holes considerably improves the mode area and loss properties compared to the case with a core formed by one missing air hole. In a realized fiber we demonstrate an enhancement of the mode area by  $\sim 30 \%$  without a corresponding increase in the attenuation.

Applications requiring high-power delivery call for single-mode large-mode area (LMA) optical fibers. While standard-fiber technology has difficulties in meeting these requirements the new class[1] of all-silica photonic crystal fibers (PCF) has a big potential due to their endlessly single-mode properties [2] combined with (in principle) unlimited large effective areas.[3] For recent reviews we refer to Refs. 4, 5.

The cladding structure of these PCFs consists of a triangular array of air holes of diameter d and pitch  $\Lambda$  corresponding to an air-filling fraction  $f = \pi/(2\sqrt{3})(d/\Lambda)^2$ . The presence of the air holes results in a strongly wavelength dependent effective index  $n_{\rm eff}$  of the cladding and in the short and long wavelength limits we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \ll \Lambda} n_{\text{eff}} = n_{\text{si}} , \ \lim_{\lambda \gg \Lambda} n_{\text{eff}} = f \times n_{\text{air}} + (1 - f) \times n_{\text{si}} \equiv \bar{n}.$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

The numerical results in the intermediate regime can be reasonably fitted by e.q.

$$n_{\rm eff} \approx \bar{n} + (n_{\rm si} - \bar{n}) \cosh^{-2}(\alpha \lambda / \Lambda)$$
 (2)

with  $\alpha$  of order unity and only weakly dependent on  $d/\Lambda$ , see Fig. 1. It is these unusual dispersion properties of the cladding which facilitate design of large-mode area endlessly single-mode optical fibers.[2, 3]

In order to confine the light to a core region of high index a defect in the triangular air-hole array is introduced. Normally this is done by leaving out one of the air holes. In the stack-and-pull approach [1] one of the capillaries is replaced by a silica rod, see left insert of Fig. 3. By choice the index of the defect can be raised by various doping and depressed-index core has also been studied recently.[6]

The single-rod PCF can in principle be kept endlessly single-mode no matter how large a core diameter.[3] However, when scaling-up the fibre-structure the mode area is increased at the cost of an increased susceptibility to longitudinal modulations [7] such as *e.g.* micro-bending [8] and macro-bending [9] induced scattering loss. The reason is that in order to increase the mode area the pitch  $\Lambda$  is scaled to a large value, but this also implies that  $\lambda/\Lambda \ll 1$  and in this limit the core index approaches the cladding index, see Eq. (1). Fig. 1 suggests that the decreasing index step may be compensated by increasing the air hole diameter, which can be done up to  $d/\Lambda \sim 0.45$  which is the upper limit for endlessly single-mode operation. For a discussion of the particular mumber see *e.g.* Refs. 10, 11, 12. For LMA PCFs working in the UV and visible regimes this sets an upper limit on the mode areas that can be realized with a reasonable loss and many applications call for an improved LMA PCF design.

The inclusion of more than a single solid rod in the stacking has been used to form multiple-core [13] and highly birefringent PCFs.[14] In this work we demonstrate how inclusion of more neighboring solid rods can be used for improved LMA endlessly single-mode PCFs. Intuitively this may not seem to be a promising direction since a reduced value of  $d/\Lambda$  is needed to keep the PCF endlessly single-mode. For the birefringent case with two neighboring rods[14] the limit is  $d/\Lambda \sim 0.30$  and for a tri-



FIG. 1: Effective cladding index for the triangular cladding structure with different hole diameters. The points show numerical results from a fully-vectorial calculation and the solid lines are fits to Eq. (2) with  $\alpha$  as fitting parameter.

angular core formed by three neighboring rods (see right insert of Fig. 3) we have found  $d/\Lambda \sim 0.25$  as the upper limit for endlessly single-mode operation. However, for a given desired mode area this decrease in  $d/\Lambda$  is compensated for by a corresponding smaller value of  $\Lambda$ . In fact, the edge-to-edge separation  $\Lambda - d$  of the holes turns out to be the important length scale rather than the pitch  $\Lambda$ itself.

In introducing a multiple number of rods an important question about possible birefringence arises. The structure with a single rod has a six-fold symmetry and though group theory clearly excludes any intrinsic birefringence [15] there has been quite some debate based on numerical studies, see e.g. Ref. 16 and references therein. More generally, group theory predicts that for m-fold rotational symmetry and m > 2 a mode with a preferred direction is one of a pair, see Ref. 15 and references therein. PCFs with a triangular core formed by three neighboring rods have a 3-fold symmetry and thus no intrinsic birefringence. The non-birefringent property is also confirmed numerically using a fully-vectorial planewave method [17] and any small numerical birefringence originates from a numerical grid with symmetry different from the dielectric structure being studied.

In order to compare the single-rod and three-rod PCFs we study two quantities; i) the mode-field diameter MFD and ii) the coupling length  $\zeta$  to the cladding. We relate the MFD to the effective area[11]

$$A_{\text{eff}} = \left[\int d\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp} I(\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp})\right]^2 \left[\int d\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp} I^2(\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp})\right]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

by  $A_{\text{eff}} = \pi (\text{MFD}/2)^2$ . Here,  $I(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})$  is the transverse intensity distribution of the fundamental mode. For a Gaussian mode of width w Eq. (3) gives MFD = 2w and the intensity distribution in the types of PCF studied in this work can be considered close to Gaussian[11, 18] as we also confirm experimentally.

The coupling length (beat length)

$$\zeta = 2\pi/(\beta - \beta_{\rm cl}) \tag{4}$$

between the fundamental mode and the cladding (radiation field) can be used in formulating a low-loss criterion.[19] The additional competing length scales consist of the wavelength and the length scale  $L_n$  (or as set  $\{L_n\}$  of length scales) for nonuniformity along the fiber and loss will be significant when

$$\lambda \lesssim L_n \lesssim \zeta \tag{5}$$

and otherwise loss can be expected to be small. Thus, the shorter a coupling length the lower susceptibility to longitudinal modulations. We emphasize that this criterion does not quantify loss, but it gives a correct parametric dependence of loss for various loss mechanisms. For PCFs the relevance of this criteria was recently confirmed experimentally in the case of macro-



FIG. 2: Comparison of the single-rod (dashed lines) and three-rod (solid lines) PCFs with  $d/\Lambda = 0.45$  and 0.25, respectively. Panel (a) shows the coupling length versus wavelength and panel (b) shows the mode-field diameter as a function of wavelength. In panel (c) the results of panels (a) and (b) are combined to a plot of mode-field diameter versus coupling length.

bending [7] and micro-bending [8] induced nonuniformities and also in a study of PCFs with structural longperiod gratings.[20]

In Fig. 2 we compare the single-rod and three-rod PCFs with  $d/\Lambda = 0.45$  and 0.25, respectively. All numerical results are based on a fully-vectorial solution of Maxwell's equations in a plane-wave basis[17] and for silica we have for simplicity used  $n_{\rm si} = 1.444$ . Panel (a) shows the coupling length versus wavelength. The normalization by the edge-to-edge separation  $\Lambda - d$  of the air holes makes the two curves coincide at short wavelengths ( $\lambda \ll \Lambda - d$ ) which clearly demonstrates that  $\Lambda - d$  is the length scale of the fiber structure which determines the susceptibility to longitudinal modulations. Panel (b) shows the mode-field diameter as a function of wavelength and as seen the three-rod PCF provides



FIG. 3: Attenuation for a single-rod PCF (left insert) and three-rod PCF (right insert) fabricated under comparable conditions and both measured with a bend-radius of 16 cm. The single-rod PCF has  $\Lambda \simeq 10 \,\mu\text{m}$ ,  $d/\Lambda \simeq 0.45$ , and a mode-field diameter around  $10.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  whereas the three-rod PCF has  $\Lambda \simeq 6 \,\mu\text{m}$ ,  $d/\Lambda \simeq 0.25$ , and a mode-field diameter around  $12 \,\mu\text{m}$ . Though the mode area of the three-rod PCF is enhanced by ~ 30% compared to the single-rod PCF the two types of PCFs have very similar attenuation.

a larger MFD compared to the single-rod PCF for fixed  $\lambda/\Lambda$ . Panel (c) combines the results of panels (a) and (b) in a plot of mode-field diameter versus coupling length. At MFD ~ 7 ×  $\lambda$  there is a clear cross over and for

- J. C. Knight, T. A. Birks, P. S. J. Russell, and D. M. Atkin, Opt. Lett. 21, 1547 (1996).
- [2] T. A. Birks, J. C. Knight, and P. S. J. Russell, Opt. Lett. 22, 961 (1997).
- [3] J. C. Knight, T. A. Birks, R. F. Cregan, P. S. J. Russell, and J.-P. De Sandro, Electron. Lett. 34, 1347 (1998).
- [4] J. C. Knight and P. S. J. Russell, Science **296**, 276 (2002).
- [5] T. A. Birks, J. C. Knight, B. J. Mangan, and P. S. J. Russell, IEICE Trans. Electron. E84-C, 585 (2001).
- [6] B. J. Mangan, J. Arriaga, T. A. Birks, J. C. Knight, and P. S. J. Russell, Opt. Lett. 26, 1469 (2001).
- [7] N. A. Mortensen and J. R. Folkenberg, preprint.
- [8] M. D. Nielsen, G. Vienne, J. R. Folkenberg, and A. Bjarklev, Opt. Lett. in press (2002).
- [9] T. Sørensen, J. Broeng, A. Bjarklev, E. Knudsen, and S. E. B. Libori, Electron. Lett. 37, 287 (2001).
- [10] J. Broeng, D. Mogilevstev, S. E. Barkou, and A. Bjarklev, Opt. Fiber Technol. 5, 305 (1999).
- [11] N. A. Mortensen, Opt. Express **10**, 341 (2002).

MFD  $\gg \lambda$  the three-rod PCF is thus seen to be less susceptible to longitudinal modulations compared to the single-rod PCF.

Fig. 3 shows experimental results for the attenuation of both a single-rod PCF and a three-rod PCF with hole diameters ( $d/\Lambda \simeq 0.45$  and 0.25, respectively) close to the endlessly single-mode limits. The pitches are  $\Lambda \simeq$  $10\,\mu\text{m}$  and  $\Lambda \simeq 6\,\mu\text{m}$ , respectively, so that core sizes are approximately the same. The two PCFs were fabricated by aid of the stack-and-pull method under comparable conditions and both PCFs were found to be endlessly single-mode in a wavelength range of at least 400 nm to 1600 nm. As seen the two PCFs have similar spectral attenuation even though the mode area of the three-rod PCF is enhanced by ~ 30 % compared to the single-rod PCF. This demonstrate the improvement by the threerod PCF.

In conclusion we have found that a triangular core formed by three missing neighboring air holes considerably improves the mode area and/or loss properties compared to the case with a core formed by one missing air hole. This new improved large-mode area endlessly single-mode PCF is important for high-power delivery applications and in a realized fiber we have been able to demonstrate an enhancement of the mode area by  $\sim 30\%$ without a corresponding change in the loss level.

We acknowledge A. Bjarklev (Research Center COM, Technical University of Denmark) and J. Broeng (Crystal Fibre A/S) for useful discussions. M. D. N. is financially supported by the Danish Academy of Technical Sciences.

- [12] B. T. Kuhlmey, R. C. McPhedran, and C. M. de Sterke, Opt. Lett. 27, 1684 (2002).
- [13] B. J. Mangan, J. C. Knight, T. A. Birks, and P. S. J. Russell, Electron. Lett. 36, 1358 (2000).
- [14] T. P. Hansen, J. Broeng, S. E. B. Libori, E. Knudsen, A. Bjarklev, J. R. Jensen, and H. Simonsen, IEEE Photon. Tech. Lett. 13, 588 (2001).
- [15] T. P. White, R. C. McPhedran, C. M. de Sterke, L. C. Botton, and M. J. Steel, Opt. Lett. 26, 1660 (2001).
- [16] M. Koshiba and K. Saitoh, IEEE Photon. Tech. Lett. 13, 1313 (2001).
- [17] S. G. Johnson and J. D. Joannopoulos, Opt. Express 8, 173 (2001).
- [18] N. A. Mortensen and J. R. Folkenberg, Opt. Express 10, 475 (2002).
- [19] J. D. Love, IEE Proc.-J 136, 225 (1989).
- [20] G. Kakarantzas, T. A. Birks, and P. S. J. Russell, Opt. Lett. 27, 1013 (2002).