

## Possible Gigantic Variations on the Width of Viscoelastic Fingers

EUGENIA CORVERA POIRÉ<sup>1</sup> and J. ANTONIO DEL RÍO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Departamento de Física y Química Teórica, Facultad de Química, UNAM. Ciudad Universitaria, México, D.F. 04510, México.*

<sup>2</sup> *Centro de Investigación en Energía, UNAM. AP 34, 62580 Temixco, Morelos*

PACS. 47.54.+r – Pattern selection; pattern formation.

PACS. 47.50.+d – Non-Newtonian fluid flows.

PACS. 47.60.+i – Flows in ducts, channels, nozzles, and conduits.

**Abstract.** – We analyze the effect of frequency on the width of a single finger displacing a viscoelastic fluid. We derive a generalized Darcy's law in the frequency domain for a linear viscoelastic fluid flowing in a Hele Shaw cell. This leads to an analytic expression for the dynamic permeability that has maxima which are several orders of magnitude larger than the static permeability. We then follow an argument of de Gennes [12] to obtain the smallest possible finger width when viscoelasticity is important. Using this, and a conservation law, we obtain a lowest bound for the width of a single finger displacing a viscoelastic fluid. Our results indicate that when a small amplitude signal of the frequency that maximizes the permeability is overimposed to a constant pressure drop, gigantic variations are obtained for the finger width.

Many problems associated with oil, plastic, and chemical industries involve the response of a fluid in a porous medium to a frequency dependent pressure drop. Laboratory experiments have shown for example that ultrasonic vibrations can considerably increase the rate of flow of a liquid through a porous medium [1]. A useful way to describe such frequency-dependent processes is through a dynamic permeability function [2]. Expressions for the dynamic permeability of Newtonian fluids were obtained long ago for deformable and isotropic porous media [3]. More recently, an enhancement of several orders of magnitude in the dynamic permeability was found for the case of Maxwellian fluids [4]. Many other problems in the same industries, involve the displacement of a viscoelastic fluid by a second fluid of lower viscosity. The dramatic enhancement found for the dynamic permeability of a Maxwell fluid [4] flowing in a tube, immediately suggests that similar dramatic effects might happen in problems of flow involving more than one fluid.

The viscous fingering problem has been historically very important in the area of morphology of interfaces out of equilibrium [5] and has been a model system to describe displacement of viscous fluids in porous media. The viscous fingering problem is the prediction of the shape of the fluid interface in a two-phase flow confined in a Hele-Shaw cell [6] which consists of a pair of glass plates parallel to each other separated by a small gap. A viscous fluid occupies the space between the plates and it is pushed by a second fluid whose viscosity is relatively low. When the fluid is pushed laterally, the experiment is said to take place in a linear cell. When

the fluid is injected through a hole made in one of the plates forming the cell, the experiment is said to take place in a radial cell. Both the linear and the radial geometry have been studied extensively. The interface between the fluids is unstable and the structures that are formed when the interface destabilizes are called fingers. This is the so called Saffman-Taylor instability [7]. Recently, viscous fingering experiments have been made with non-Newtonian fluids. Some of these experiments have used clays [8], polymer solutions [9,10] and lyotropic lamellar phases [11]. Non-Newtonian fluids differ widely in their physical properties, with different fluids exhibiting a range of different properties, from plasticity and elasticity to shear thickening and shear thinning. Several studies have been made, both theoretically and experimentally in order to differentiate between the effects caused by different properties [10,12–14].

In this letter we analyze the effect of frequency on the width of a single finger displacing a viscoelastic fluid. To start, we derive a generalized Darcy's law in the frequency domain for a linear viscoelastic fluid flowing in a Hele Shaw cell. This leads to an analytic expression for the dynamic permeability that in agreement with results in other geometries [4] has maxima which are several orders of magnitude larger than the static permeability. We then follow an argument of de Gennes [12] to obtain the smallest possible finger width when viscoelasticity is important. Using this, and a conservation law, we obtain a lowest bound for the width of a single finger displacing a viscoelastic fluid. What we find is that, when there is a small amplitude signal of the frequency that maximizes the permeability, overimposed to a constant pressure drop, gigantic variations are obtained for the finger width, that is, tiny perturbations in the pressure drop produce dramatic effects on the amplitude of the finger width.

We start our study taking a Maxwell fluid, which is the simplest model of a linear viscoelastic fluid, and linearize the equation governing the flow. In the frequency domain this equation is [4]

$$-\rho(t_r\omega^2 + i\omega)\vec{v} - \eta\nabla^2\vec{v} = -(1 - i\omega t_r)\nabla p \quad . \quad (1)$$

Here both the velocity  $\vec{v}$  and the pressure  $p$  are in the frequency domain, that is, they are functions of space and frequency.  $t_r$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  are respectively the relaxation time of the Maxwell model and the viscosity and the density of the fluid. We solve (1) for a homogeneous fluid flow in the  $x$  direction, confined between parallel plates at  $z = \pm l$  subject to the boundary conditions  $v_x(\pm l) = 0$ . We obtain the velocity profile between the plates. In order to obtain a generalized Darcy's law, we average over the  $z$ -direction and obtain for the average flow

$$\langle v \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{\tan\sqrt{\beta}l}{\sqrt{\beta}l}\right) \frac{(1 - i\omega t_r)}{\beta\eta} \frac{dp}{dx} \quad . \quad (2)$$

Here  $\beta(\omega) = \frac{\rho(t_r\omega^2 + i\omega)}{\eta}$ . In the limit  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  we recover the steady state Darcy's law and for  $t_r \rightarrow 0$  we obtain the newtonian fluid case. The dynamic permeability of a single Hele-Shaw cell is then given by

$$K(\omega) = - \left(1 - \frac{\tan\sqrt{\beta}l}{\sqrt{\beta}l}\right) \frac{(1 - i\omega t_r)}{\beta} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Figure 1 shows the real part of the normalized permeability  $K(\omega)/K(0)$  versus the frequency for both a Maxwell fluid and a Newtonian fluid. The figure shows that for a Newtonian fluid the permeability is a monotonically decreasing function of frequency. For a Maxwell fluid on the other hand, there are frequencies in which there are resonances which give peaks for the permeability. For typical values of density, viscosity, plate spacing and relaxation time in the common literature of Hele-Shaw problems, we find that this permeability can be two or three orders of magnitude larger than the Newtonian permeability, this is because the behavior of the flow at such frequencies is dominated by the elastic properties of the fluid. What




Fig. 1 – Dimensionless Dynamic Permeability vs Frequency. The continuous line is for a Viscoelastic Fluid with a relaxation time  $t_r = 6s$ , the dotted line for a Newtonian fluid. For both lines the viscosity  $\eta = 0.7p$ , the density  $\rho = 1g/cm^3$  and the spacing between the plates  $L = 2mm$

this result means is that if we displace the viscous fluid at the frequency that maximizes the permeability, the fluid will flow with the least possible resistance. We find that the frequency which gives the largest permeability is of the order of ten Hertz.

Figure 2 shows how the maximum of the real part of the permeability shifts towards higher frequencies when the viscosity is increased. So, the more viscous the fluid, the higher the frequency of the necessary pumping to minimize the resistance to flow.

We now analyze the problem of a low viscosity fluid displacing a high viscosity viscoelastic




Fig. 2 – Effect of viscosity on the dimensionless dynamic permeability for a viscoelastic fluid. For the dotted line the viscosity  $\eta = 0.6p$ , for the continuous line the viscosity  $\eta = 1p$ . For both lines the density  $\rho = 1g/cm^3$ , the spacing between the plates  $L = 1mm$  and the relaxation time  $t_r = 0.6s$

fluid. In particular, we analyze the case of a single finger with a time dependent velocity  $U(t)$  propagating into the viscous fluid. We call  $\lambda(t)$  the finger width. It is worth emphasizing that we are not considering a steady state. Both  $U$  and  $\lambda$  depend on time <sup>(1)</sup>.

The quantity  $U/\lambda$  gives a characteristic frequency. The viscoelastic fluid has a characteristic time  $t_r$  which in the case of a Maxwell fluid is given by  $t_r = \eta/G$ ,  $G$  being the rigidity modulus of the viscous fluid. When  $U/\lambda > 1/t_r$  the viscoelastic fluid behaves like a solid and there is no Saffman-Taylor instability. The allowed wavelengths should all correspond to  $U/\lambda < 1/t_r$  [12]. Therefore, the smallest possible finger width should be such that

$$\frac{U(t)}{\lambda(t)} = \frac{1}{t_r} \quad . \quad (4)$$

Conservation of matter implies that

$$U(t)\lambda(t) = V_\infty(t) \quad . \quad (5)$$

From equations (4) and (5) we can relate the finger width  $\lambda(t)$  and the tip velocity  $U(t)$  with the velocity at the extreme of the cell  $V_\infty(t)$  as

$$\lambda^2(t) = \frac{t_r}{W} V_\infty(t) \quad . \quad (6)$$

and

$$U^2(t) = \frac{W}{t_r} V_\infty(t) \quad . \quad (7)$$

Experimentally, the parameter that can be controlled is the pressure difference at the extremes of the cell. So, given  $\Delta p(t)$ , we can make the following calculations:

$$\Delta p(t) \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta \hat{p}(\omega),$$

*Fourier Transform*

$$\Delta \hat{p}(\omega) \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{V}_\infty(\omega),$$

*Darcy's law in frequency domain*

$$\hat{V}_\infty(\omega) \quad \rightarrow \quad V_\infty(t),$$

*Inverse F.T.*

$$V_\infty(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t), U(t)$$

In order for fingers to exist, the pressure difference should be at any moment positive. Simple oscillatory signals are not possible since the instability will exist for only half of the period. So we are thinking, for example, in signals that are overimposed to pressure drops that are large enough to destabilize the interface.

We consider the simple case of an oscillatory finger. Suppose we impose a pressure difference of the form

$$\Delta p(t) = p_o + p_a e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>(1)</sup>We have recently shown that the single finger solution exists for this case. Whether or not the solution is stable has not been shown.

that is, a constant pressure drop plus an oscillatory signal of frequency  $\omega_0$ . We obtain the following expressions for the different steps presented above:

$$\Delta\hat{p}(\omega) = \sqrt{2\pi}p_o\delta(\omega) + \sqrt{2\pi}p_a\delta(\omega - \omega_0) \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{V}_\infty(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\eta L}K(\omega) [p_o\delta(\omega) + p_a\delta(\omega - \omega_0)] \quad (10)$$

$$V_\infty(t) = \frac{p_o}{\eta L}K(0) + \frac{p_a}{\eta L}K(\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0 t} \quad (11)$$

Now, for the steady state we know that

$$V_\infty^{ss} = \frac{p_o}{\eta L}K(0) \quad (12)$$

and then we can express all of our results as

$$V_\infty(t) = V_\infty^{ss} \left[ 1 + \frac{p_a}{p_o} \frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \right], \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda^2(t) = \lambda_{ss}^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{p_a}{p_o} \frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \right], \quad (14)$$

$$U^2(t) = U_{ss}^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{p_a}{p_o} \frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \right]. \quad (15)$$

Here  $\lambda_{ss}$  and  $U_{ss}$  are defined in terms of  $V_\infty^{ss}$  through (6) and (7). What it is interesting to note, is that the behavior is totally different if the displaced viscous fluid is Newtonian or viscoelastic. For Newtonian fluids, the ratio  $\frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)}$  is always small or equal to one and the variations in the width of the finger are small. In the other hand, for viscoelastic fluids, the ratio  $\frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)}$  can be several orders of magnitude larger than in the Newtonian case. Hence, if the imposed signal has a frequency  $\omega_0$  such that it maximizes the dynamic permeability, and therefore the ratio  $\frac{K(\omega_0)}{K(0)}$ , a small amplitude in the oscillatory part of the pressure signal, will result in gigantic variations in the amplitude of both the finger velocity and the finger width.

A word of caution is needed, since when one does not consider surface tension the finger width and the velocity at the finger tip are not independent. It is worth noticing that since the surface tension has a stabilizing effect for small wavelengths any consideration of surface tension would give fingers wider or equal to the lowest bound that we are dealing with in the present work.

We are not solving the selection problem, in order to do it and to compute finger shapes, the problem should be solved as in references [7, 15]. Nevertheless the main result of the present paper should remain. That is, small variations in the pressure drop at a frequency that maximizes the permeability, will result in gigantic variations of the finger width.

\* \* \*

This project was partially supported by grants CONACYT 33920-E and 38538-E. We thank Mariano López de Haro for a critical reading of the manuscript and enlightening discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N.V. Cherskiy, V.P. Tsarev, V.M. Konovalov and O.L. Kuznetsov, Trans. (Doklady) USSR Acad. Sci. Earth Sci. Sect. 232 201 (1977). I.A. Beresnev and P.A. Johnson, Geophysics 59, 1000 (1994).
- [2] M. Sahimi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 1393 (1993); M. Avellaneda and S.T. Torquato, Phys. Fluids A 3, 2529 (1991); A. Rey and J.J. Freire, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 756 (1996), S.S. Niranjana and V.M. Soundalgekar, Rev. Mex. Fis. 43, 108 (1997). D. Tsiklauri and I. Beresnev, Phys. Rev. E 63, 046304 (2001). S. Cuevas and J.A. del Río, Phys. Rev. E 64 016313 (2001).
- [3] M.-Y. Zhou and P. Sheng, Phys. Rev. B 39, 12027 (1989).
- [4] M. López de Haro, J.A. del Río and S. Whitaker, Transport in Porous Media 25, 167 (1996), J.A. del Río, M. López de Haro and S. Whitaker, Phys. Rev. E 58, 6323 (1998); Phys. Rev. E 63 039901 (2001).
- [5] J. S. Langer. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 52:1, (1980). J. S. Langer in *Chance and matter-Les Houches, Session XLVI, 1986, J. Souletie, J. Vannimenus, and R. Stora, editors*. North-Holland, (1987). P. Pelcé. *Dynamics of Curved Fronts*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, (1988).
- [6] H. J. S. Hele-Shaw. *Nature*, 58:34, (1898).
- [7] P. G. Saffman. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 173:73, (1986). P. G. Saffman and G. I. Taylor. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 245:312, (1958). D. Bensimon, L. P. Kadanoff, S. Liang, B. I. Shraiman, and C. Tang. *Rev. of Mod. Phys.*, 58:977, (1986).
- [8] H. Van Damme, C. Laroche, L. Gatineau, and P. Levitz. *J. Physique*, 48:1121, (1987). E. Lemaire, P. Levitz, G. Daccord, and H. Van Damme. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 67:2009, (1991).
- [9] H. Zhao and J. V. Maher. *Phys. Rev. E*, 47:4278, (1993). J. Ignés-Mullol and J. V. Maher. *Phys. Rev. E*, 51:1338, (1995).
- [10] D. Bonn, J. Meunier. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79:2662, (1997). A. Lindner, P. Coussot, D. Bonn. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85:314, (2000). A. Lindner, D. Bonn, J. Meunier. *Phys. of Fluids* 12:256, (2000). A. Lindner, D. Bonn, E. Corvera Poiré, M. Ben Amar, J. Meunier. To appear in *J. of Fluid Mech.*
- [11] O. Greffier, A. Al kahwaji, J. Rouch, H. Kellay *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81:3860, (1998).
- [12] P. G. de Gennes. *Europhysics Letters*, 2:195, (1987).
- [13] L. Kondic, P. Palfy-Muhoray, and M. J. Shelley. *Phys. Rev. E*, 54, (1996). L. Kondic, M. J. Shelley, P. Palfy-Muhoray. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 80:1433, (1998). P. Fast, L. Kondic, M.J. Shelley, P. Palfy-Muhoray. *Phys. of Fluids* 13:1191, (2001).
- [14] M. Ben Amar. *Phys. Rev. E*, 51:3819, (1995). E. Corvera Poiré and M. Ben Amar. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81, (1998). M. Ben Amar, E. Corvera Poiré. *Phys. of Fluids* 11:1757, (1999).
- [15] J. W. McLean and P. G. Saffman. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 102:455, (1981).