

Self-duality of the asymptotic relaxation states of fluid and plasmas

F. Spineanu and M. Vlad

Association Euratom-MER Romania

National Institute of Laser, Plasma and Radiation Physics, MG-36 Magurele,
Bucharest, Romania

(e-mail: florin@drfc.cad.cea.fr, madi@drfc.cad.cea.fr)

Abstract

The states of asymptotic relaxation of 2-dimensional fluids and plasma present a high degree of regularity and obey to the sinh-Poisson equation. We find that embedding the classical fluid description into a field-theoretical framework, the same equation appears as a manifestation of the self-duality.

The states generated by externally driving (stirring) an ideal fluid can have very irregular form. It is however known from experiments and numerical simulations that after suppressing the drive the system evolves to states with high degree of order, essentially consisting of few large vortices, with very regular geometry. These states are attained after long time evolution and are not due to the residual dissipation. The process consists of vortex merging, which is an essentially topological event where the weak dissipation only allows the reconnection of the field lines but does not produce significant energy loss from the fluid motion. Inferring from results of numerical simulations, Montgomery *et al.* [1], [2] have proved that the scalar stream function ψ describing the motion in two-dimensional space obeys in the far asymptotic regime (where the regular structures are dominant) the *sinh*-Poisson equation

$$\Delta\psi + \gamma \sinh(\beta\psi) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where γ and β are *positive* constants. The relations of ψ to the velocity and vorticity are $\mathbf{v} = \nabla\psi \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} = -\nabla^2\psi\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ is the unitary vector perpendicular to the plane. With these variables, the Euler equations for the two dimensional ideal incompressible fluid are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We will try to develop a field-theoretical model of the stationary asymptotic relaxed states, *i.e.* we look for a model that could provide a derivation of Eq.(1). This will be done progressively, examining models elaborated for closely related problems and collecting the relevant suggestions that could allow us to write a Lagrangian density.

In the study of the two-dimensional Euler fluids, and in particular in explaining the origin of Eq.(1), an important model consists of a system of N discrete vorticity filaments perpendicular on plane, having circular transversal section of radius a and carrying the

vorticity ω_i , $i = 1, N$. A very comprehensive account of this system is given by Kraichnan and Montgomery [3] where the correspondence between the continuous and discrete representations of vorticity is discussed in detail. The motion in plane of the k -th filament of coordinates $\mathbf{r}_k \equiv (r_k^1, r_k^2) \equiv (x_k, y_k)$ is given by

$$\frac{dr_k^i}{dt} = \varepsilon^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k^j} \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^N \omega_n G(\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_n), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad k = 1, N \quad (3)$$

where the summation is over all the other filaments' positions \mathbf{r}_n , $n \neq k$, and ε^{ij} is the antisymmetric tensor in two dimensions. As shown in Ref.[3] $G(\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_n)$ can be approximated for a small compared to the space extension of the fluid, L , $a \ll L$, as the Green function of the Laplacian

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{L} \right) \quad (4)$$

The statistical properties of the system of discrete vortices have been examined using the Liouville theorem and the conservation of energy and momentum. The model consists of an equal number of positive and negative vortices with equal absolute magnitudes $|\omega_i| = |\omega|$, in contact with a thermal bath of temperature T . The possibility of the generation of two supervortices of opposite signs arises when T is *negative* and sufficiently large. When the *most probable state* is attained for a stationary configuration the stream function ψ is shown to verify the sinh-Poisson equation (1). These statistical considerations remain the reference explanation for the appearance of this equation in this context.

Highly ordered states have been obtained by numerical simulations for other systems in two spatial dimensions: systems of filaments of electric current in 2-dimensional MHD, guiding centre particles. The asymptotic states are found to be described by scalar functions obeying Liouville equation or sinh-Poisson equation. The theoretical approach which permitted to explain this result was based on the extremum of the entropy over a statistical ensemble of states of a set of discrete objects under the constraints which express the conservation of the invariants of motion and with a negative temperature.

In the equations of motion (3) the right hand side contains the *curl* of the Laplacian Green's function (4) (we take $L = 1$)

$$-\varepsilon^{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{ij} \frac{r^j}{r^2} \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln r = \delta^2(r)$$

The term in right hand side of (3) can be seen as a vector potential $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The “magnetic” field $\nabla \times \mathbf{a}$ is a sum of Dirac δ functions at the locations of the vortices. If we take equal strength ω for all vortices this potential appears as the “statistical potential” and has a topological interpretation [4]. From (5) it can be rewritten

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{ij} \frac{r^j}{r^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_i \arctan \frac{y}{x} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_i \theta \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$. The “magnetic” flux through a surface limited by a large circle is proportional with the number of vortices. The topological nature of this potential suggests that it can be naturally derived in a topological framework, *i.e.* from a Lagrangian density of the Chern-Simons type,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} A_\nu F_{\alpha\beta} \quad (7)$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$. In the analysis for the two-dimensional Euler equation (as in the investigation of similar systems), the vorticity-type functions ω are expressed as the Laplacian operator applied on a scalar function and this naturally invokes the Green function of the Laplacian. Then this approach suggests that the intrinsically determined motion of the fluid can be projected onto two distinct parts of a new model: objects (vortices) and interaction between them (the potential obtained from the Green function). The fact that the interaction potential can be derived from a Chern-Simons topological action suggests that, in order to embed the original Euler fluid system into a larger field theoretical context, we need (a) a “matter” part in the Lagrangian, which should provide the free dynamics of the vortices; (b) the Chern-Simons term, to describe the free dynamics of the field; (c) the interaction term of the (Chern-Simons-) field and the matter. The main requirement to this field-theoretical extension of the original Euler fluid model is to reproduce the discrete vortices and their equation of motion.

Jackiw and Pi [5], [6] have examined a model of N interacting particles (of charges e_s) moving in plane described by the Lagrangian

$$L = \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{2} m_s \mathbf{v}_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta) A_\gamma - \int d^2r A_\mu j^\mu \quad (8)$$

where $m_s \mathbf{v}_s = \mathbf{p}_s - e_s \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_s | \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_s | \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \equiv (a_s^i(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N))_{i=1,2}$ and $a_s^i(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{ij} \sum_{q \neq s}^N e_q \frac{r_s^j - r_q^j}{|\mathbf{r}_s - \mathbf{r}_q|^2}$. The matter current is defined as $j^\mu \equiv (\rho, \mathbf{j}) = \sum_{s=1}^N e_s v_s^\mu \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s)$, $v_s^\mu = (1, \mathbf{v}_s)$ with the metric $(1, -1, -1)$. By varying the action we obtain the following equations

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha A_\beta = j^\gamma$$

$$B = -\rho \quad (9)$$

$$E^i = \varepsilon^{ij} j^j \quad (10)$$

Here $B = \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_j A_i$. In these equations the potential appears as being generated by the matter, *i.e.* by the “current” of particles. We note that the Eq.(9) connects the matter density with the curl of the potential. This is important since in order to derive it in the context of the quantum version of their model, Jackiw and Pi have shown that one needs to include a nonlinear self-interaction of the wave function representing the matter field. The self-interaction of the scalar matter field is of the type φ^4 . The canonical momentum

expression becomes the covariant derivative in the field theory version. Even at this point where we only have some hints, it is suggestive to look for possible identifications between the fluid variables and the field theoretical variables of the model of Jackiw and Pi. The fluid variables are: the scalar potential ψ , the velocity $v^i = \varepsilon^{ij}\partial_j\psi$ and the vorticity $\omega = \varepsilon^{ij}\partial_i v_j$. In order to check the possibility that the fluid model can be embedded into the field theory just described we identify

$$\omega \equiv \rho = \Psi^*\Psi \quad (11)$$

$$v^i \equiv A^i \quad (12)$$

Consider the relation between the vector potential \mathbf{A} and the density ρ , obtained from Eq.(9)

$$\partial^i \partial_i A^j = -\varepsilon^{jk} \partial_k \rho$$

or, writting symbolically the Green function of the Laplace operator for argument $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ as the inverse of the operator at the left, we have

$$A^j(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varepsilon^{jk} \partial_k \int d^2 r' (-\partial^i \partial_i)_{rr'}^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{r}', t) \quad (13)$$

Since $\omega = -\nabla^2 \psi$ (where $\nabla^2 \equiv \partial^i \partial_i$) we have formally $\psi = -(\nabla^2)^{-1} \omega$ and use this formula to calculate the right side term of (13) taking into account the identification (11)

$$\varepsilon^{jk} \partial_k \int d^2 r' (-\partial^i \partial_i)_{rr'}^{-1} \omega(\mathbf{r}') = \varepsilon^{jk} \partial_k \psi = v^j$$

which confirms (12). It results that if we assume the identification (11) the equation (9) obtained from the Lagrangian is precisely the *definition* of the vorticity vector.

One important hint from the model of Jackiw and Pi is the idea to represent a classical quantity as the modulus of a fictitious complex scalar field, as in Eq.(11) and derive dynamical equations from a Lagrangian density expressed in terms of this field. The substitution of the dynamics expressed in terms of usual mechanical quantities by the richer dynamics of the amplitude and phase of the complex scalar field is an example of embedding of one theory into a larger framework and relies on the example of quantum mechanics.

In Ref.([7]) the sinh-Poisson equation is derived in a field theoretical model where instead of the topological coupling two complex scalar fields are considered. In that model the dynamics of the two scalar fields is independent except that the self-interaction depends on both. Combining this suggestion with the one exposed in the previous paragraph, we will take the density ρ (which we identify with the local value of the vorticity ω as in Eq.(11)) as a two-component complex field Ψ

$$\rho \sim [\Psi^\dagger, \Psi]$$

where $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Keeping the same structure of the Lagrangian density as in the previous example but extending to a non-Abelian $SU(2)$ gauge field we have [8], [6]

$$\mathcal{L} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \text{tr} \left(\partial_\mu A_\nu A_\rho + \frac{2}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho \right) + i \text{tr} (\Psi^\dagger D_0 \Psi) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left((D_i \Psi)^\dagger D_i \Psi \right) + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} ([\Psi^\dagger, \Psi])^2 \quad (14)$$

where the potential A takes values in the algebra of the group $SU(2)$. This form incorporates and adapt all the suggestions from the previous models: (a) the first term is the general non-Abelian expression of the Chern-Simons term; (b) it uses the covariant derivatives ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, i = 1, 2$) for the minimal coupling

$$D_\mu \Psi = \partial_\mu \Psi + [A_\mu, \Psi]$$

(c) Finally, it includes a scalar self-interaction of φ^4 type. Analogous to the case treated by Jackiw and Pi for the $U(1)$ gauge field (8), in Ref.[8] it is shown that the Hamiltonian density corresponding to the Lagrangian density (14) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left((D_i \Psi)^\dagger (D_i \Psi) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left([\Psi^\dagger, \Psi]^2 \right) \quad (15)$$

since the Chern-Simons term does not contribute to the energy density (being first order in the time derivatives). The equations of motion are

$$i D_0 \Psi = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}^2 \Psi - \frac{1}{2} [[\Psi, \Psi^\dagger], \Psi] \quad (16)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} J^\rho \quad (17)$$

Using the notation $D_\pm \equiv D_1 \pm i D_2$ the first term in (15) can be written

$$\text{tr} \left((D_i \Psi)^\dagger (D_i \Psi) \right) = \text{tr} \left((D_- \Psi)^\dagger (D_- \Psi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\Psi^\dagger [[\Psi, \Psi^\dagger], \Psi])$$

The last term comes from Eq.(17) and from the definition $J^0 = [\Psi, \Psi^\dagger]$. Then the energy density is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left((D_- \Psi)^\dagger (D_- \Psi) \right) \geq 0 \quad (18)$$

and the Bogomol'nyi inequality is saturated at *self-duality*

$$D_- \Psi = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\partial_+ A_- - \partial_- A_+ + [A_+, A_-] = [\Psi, \Psi^\dagger] \quad (20)$$

The first equation results from the minimum in Eq.(18) and the second is actually Eq.(17) with the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} J^0 &= [\Psi^\dagger, \Psi] \\ J^i &= -\frac{i}{2} \left([\Psi^\dagger, D_i \Psi] - [(D_i \Psi)^\dagger, \Psi] \right) \end{aligned}$$

The *static* solutions of the *self-duality* equations (19, 20) are derived in [8], using the algebraic *ansatz*:

$$A_i = \sum_{a=1}^r A_i^a H_a$$

$$\Psi = \sum_{a=1}^r \psi^a E_a + \psi^M E_{-M}$$

where H_a are the Cartan subalgebra generators for the gauge Lie algebra, E_a are the simple-root step operators and E_{-M} is the step operator corresponding to minus the maximal root [10]. The rank of the algebra is noted r , and $r = 1$ for $SU(2)$. Then

$$[\Psi^\dagger, \Psi] = \sum_{a=1}^r |\psi^a|^2 H_a + |\psi^M|^2 H_{-M} \quad (21)$$

The equations (19, 20) lead to the affine Toda equations

$$\nabla^2 \ln \rho_a + \sum_{b=1}^{r+1} \tilde{C}_{ab} \rho_b = 0 \quad (22)$$

for $a = 1, r$, plus the index for M , *i.e.* $a = 1, 2$. \tilde{C}_{ab} is the extended Cartan matrix

$$\tilde{C}_{ab} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(a)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(b)}}{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(b)}|^2}, \quad a, b = 1, 2$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(a)}$ are the simple root vectors of the algebra $su(2)$, and in addition the minus maximal root. The equations (22) can be written in detail for $\rho_1 \equiv |\psi^1|^2$, $\rho_2 \equiv |\psi^{-M}|^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ln \rho_1 + 2(\rho_1 - \rho_2) &= 0 \\ \Delta \ln \rho_2 + 2(-\rho_1 + \rho_2) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and this gives the relation

$$\Delta \ln (\rho_1 \rho_2) = 0$$

or

$$\rho_2 = \text{const } \rho_1^{-1} \quad (24)$$

since the exponential of a linear term is excluded by the conditions on a circle at infinity. Using Eq.(21) we have to identify

$$\omega = \rho_1 - \rho_2 \quad (25)$$

whose equation is obtained from equations in (23) using (24) const= 1 (see bellow)

$$\Delta \ln \rho_1 + 2(\rho_1 - \rho_1^{-1}) = 0 \quad (26)$$

The substitution $|\beta| \psi \equiv \ln \rho_1$ leads both equations (25) and (26) to the form

$$\Delta \psi + \frac{4}{|\beta|} \sinh(|\beta| \psi) = 0 \quad (27)$$

Choosing a constant different of unity in Eq.(24) imposes a linear substitution of the stream function : $\psi \rightarrow \psi' \equiv \gamma + \beta\psi$ and modifies the factor multiplying the sinh function in Eq.(27).

We have constructed the Lagrangian density (14) with a standard structure of matter-gauge field interaction and incorporating suggestions from models relevant for our objective. The aim was to reproduce the model of fluid vortex filaments supposed to be a faithful representation of the original Euler fluid dynamics. The fundamental step in the derivation of the sinh-Poisson equation is the assumption that the energy density (18) is minimum (which is equivalent to minimizing the *action* for these stationary solution) *i.e.* in the condition of *self-duality*.

We conclude that the Euler fluid equations can be embedded into a field theoretical framework via the discrete model of vortex filaments. This framework is able to provide the equation obeyed by the scalar stream function at stationarity and this is precisely the equation inferred from numerical experiments. The particularity of the field theoretical framework is that it shows that the asymptotic states consisting of regular vortices correspond to *self-dual* states in field theory. This might have deep significance and deserves further investigation.

One must also note that the statistical-theoretical model able to explain the sinh-Poisson equation [11], [12], [13], [14] appears to have strong and deep connections with the self-duality. It should be carefully considered as a possible approach in the study of models where self-duality is known to exist, like $O(n)$ or Self-Dual Yang-Mills theory.

Acknowledgement. This work has been presented at the *Forum of Theory* organized by the Department de Recherche sur la Fusion Controlee, CEA-Cadarache, in Aix-en-Provence, France (July 2001). The authors are grateful to the organizers for inviting them to this meeting.

References

- [1] D. Montgomery, W.H. Mathaeus, W.T. Stribling, D. Martinez and S. Oughton, Phys. Fluids **A4** (1992) 3

- [2] D. Fyfe, D. Montgomery and G. Joyce, *J. Plasma Phys.* **17**, 369 (1976).
- [3] R. H. Kraichnan and D. Montgomery, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **43**, 547 (1980)
- [4] C.P. Burgess, B.P. Dolan, *Particle vortex duality and the modular group: application to the quantum Hall effect and other 2-D systems*, hep-th/0010246.
- [5] R. Jackiw and So-Young Pi, *Phys. Rev. D***42**, 3500 (1990).
- [6] R. Jackiw and So-Young Pi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2969 (1990).
- [7] G. Nardelli, *Phys. Rev. D***52**, 5944 (1995)
- [8] G. Dunne, *Self-dual Chern-Simmons theories*, hep-th/9410065.
- [9] T. Hollowood, *Quantum solitons in affine Toda field theories*, hep-th/9110010.
- [10] D.H. Sattinger and O.L. Weaver, *Lie Groups and Algebras with Applications to Physics, Geometry and Mechanics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences Vol.61, Springer-Verlag New York, 1986.
- [11] D. Montgomery and G. Joyce, *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 1139 (1974)
- [12] D. Montgomery, L. Turner and G. Vahala, *J. Plasma Phys.* **21**, 239 (1979)
- [13] G. Joyce and D. Montgomery, *J. Plasma Phys.* **10**, 107 (1973)
- [14] R.A. Smith, *Phys. Rev. A***43**, 1126 (1991)