

Quantum phase transition in the Hartree-Fock wave function of the hydrogen molecule

Mikhail V. Ivanov*

*Institute of Precambrian Geology and Geochronology,
Russian Academy of Sciences, Nab. Makarova 2, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia*

(Dated: November 20, 2018)

Precise solutions of the Hartree-Fock equations for the ground state of the hydrogen molecule are obtained for a wide range of internuclear distances R by means of a two-dimensional fully numerical mesh computational method. The spatial parts of the single-electron wave functions are found to be coinciding for $R < 2.30$ a.u. At larger distances they become different and as $R \rightarrow \infty$ each of them takes the form corresponding to a separate atom. This quantum phase transition occurring at $R = 2.30$ a.u. gives a natural boundary between a delocalized behavior of electrons in the molecule and their distribution over separate atoms. This phenomenon can be also considered as an analog of the Wigner crystallization or the Mott transition on the level of a single molecule.

In recent years multiple studies of quantum phase transitions were carried out. These studies are significant for understanding of many complicated phenomena in solid states, clusters and so on. As an example, studies of the Wigner crystallization in two-dimensional systems can be presented [1]. Many of these effects are associated with symmetry breakings in the Hartree-Fock ground states. On the other hand, spontaneous symmetry breakings in molecular systems were reported mainly in a negative context [2]. In this communication we study the simplest molecule with more than one electron, i.e. the H_2 molecule, for arbitrary internuclear distances R . This molecule was investigated in a number of works by means of many precise methods (see [3]). On the other hand, there are no studies of precise solutions of the Hartree-Fock equations for the H_2 molecule for arbitrary internuclear distances. We present such calculations and show that at some $R = R_{cr}$ the wave function of the ground state undergo a quantum phase transition associated with a spontaneous symmetry breaking. This transition separates a phase with two fully delocalized electrons with equal spatial parts of their wave functions and a phase with two electrons localized on separate atoms ($R > R_{cr}$). This phenomenon is very similar to the forming of Wigner crystals or Mott transitions in the solid state context. Due to this phase transition the solution of the Hartree-Fock equations has a reasonable physical meaning for arbitrary R values, that does not take place in a traditional approach with single-electron wave functions having the symmetry of the molecule as the whole at all the R values [4]. This result allows us to expect, that, as well as in the solid state physics, some symmetry breakings in more complicated molecules and similar systems also could be not computational artifacts [2] but have an appropriate physical meaning.

We solve a system of the Hartree-Fock (HF) equations by a fully numerical two-dimensional finite-difference (mesh) method [5, 6, 7]. The equations are presented in cylindrical coordinates (ρ, ϕ, z) with the axis z coinciding with the molecular axis. The point $z = 0$ corresponds to the center of gravity of the molecule. For

each single-electron wave function a definite value of the magnetic quantum number is supposed ($m = 0$ for the ground state, considered below), so that a numerical solution is carried out on the plane (ρ, z) . Thus, the wave function of the spin singlet ground state has the form

$$\Psi = \psi_1(\rho_1, z_1)\psi_2(\rho_2, z_2)$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are solutions of the Hartree-Fock equations for the first and second electrons. The corresponding energy of the electron system we denote as E_e . When $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ this wave function is not an eigenfunction of the spin operator \hat{S}^2 . In this case it is possible to consider also a spin-symmetrised two-determinant wave function

$$\Psi^{\text{symm}} = [\psi_1(\rho_1, z_1)\psi_2(\rho_2, z_2) + \psi_2(\rho_1, z_1)\psi_1(\rho_2, z_2)]$$

and the corresponding energy E_e^{symm} . The latter wave function is an eigenfunction of the operator \hat{S}^2 as $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$.

A traditional approach to solution of the molecular Hartree-Fock problem employs fully delocalized molecular orbitals. In our case this means $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. This allows obtaining reasonable results only for relatively small R values. For large distances atomic orbitals strictly localized near corresponding nuclei are sometimes considered. Of course, every combination of such approaches gives rise to a problem of intermediate values of the parameter R . In our calculations we are free from limitations associated with a choice of basis functions and do not require this or that way of behavior from ψ_1 and ψ_2 . They are direct solutions of the initial equations.

The results obtained in our numerical solution of the Hartree-Fock equations are presented in the Table I and Figures 1-3. All the data are given in atomic units. As one can see, the solutions have very different characters for $R < 2.3$ and for $R > 2.3$. Several plots of the spatial parts of the single-electron wave functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 for different R are given in Figure 1. For $R > 2.3$ we have $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ (functions a and b in the plots), and with growing R these solutions transform into wave functions of separate hydrogen atoms. On the other hand, at

$R < 2.3$ the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 fully coincide. This relation between ψ_1 and ψ_2 as well as the existence of the critical point $R = R_{\text{cr}}$ for solutions of the Hartree-Fock equations can be illustrated by the behavior of their overlap integral $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$ given in Table I and Figure 2. For $R < R_{\text{cr}}$ an exact equality $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$ takes place, whereas for R above the critical point the function $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle (R)$ shows a near to an exponential decrease with increasing R values. Our calculations in a vicinity of the critical point allow to estimate its position as $R_{\text{cr}} = 2.30$ or, more precisely, $R_{\text{cr}} = 2.29999$. For $R < R_{\text{cr}}$ we have $\psi_i(\rho, z) = \psi_i(\rho, -z)$ ($i = 1, 2$), whereas for $R > R_{\text{cr}}$ this symmetry condition does not take place and only condition $\psi_1(\rho, z) = \psi_2(\rho, -z)$ is preserved. It should be emphasized, that the transition from the single-electron wave functions, symmetric with respect to the center of the molecule, to the non-symmetric ones is an intrinsic property of the Hartree-Fock equations for the hydrogen molecule. Thus, for the hydrogen molecule at $R > R_{\text{cr}}$ a spontaneously broken symmetry in the Hartree-Fock wave function takes place. A similar effect has been found previously for the beryllium atom [7]. From the point of view of the numerical techniques the Hartree-Fock iterations can be started from initial wave functions with arbitrary small deviations from exact $z = 0$ parity. The final result of iterations does not depend on the degree of this initial asymmetry (this could be simply round-off errors) and has the form presented in Figure 1.

The Hartree-Fock electron energies of the hydrogen molecule as well as numerical values of the $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$ are presented in Table I. For $R < R_{\text{cr}}$ the Hartree-Fock electron energy is an energy calculated on a one-determinant wave function of the whole system E_e . Available precise HF result for the near to the equilibrium distance $R = 1.4$ ($E_e = -1.8479152858$, [8]) coincide with our one. Our energy for $R = 0$ can be considered as more precise than the well known result for the helium atom $E_e = -2.8616799$ [9]. For $R > R_{\text{cr}}$ it is possible to calculate the spin-symmetrised energy E_e^{symm} also presented in the table. This energy lies lower than E_e except the cases $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$, when both energies evidently coincide.

As a discussion it is expedient to note that the result obtained above contradicts to a frequently encountered opinion, that even the simplest of molecules, the hydrogen molecule, dissociates incorrectly within the Hartree-Fock approximation [4]. When we do not impose some artificial conditions (i.e. the symmetry of the molecule as a whole) on single-electron wave functions, the consistent Hartree-Fock approach allows obtaining quite reasonable solutions for arbitrary internuclear separations. An exception are Van der Waals forces, which cannot be obtained in the Hartree-Fock approximation. In the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ our solution describes two separate atoms (H + H) with electronic wave functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 respectively. On the other hand, when establishing symmetry




FIG. 1: Single electron Hartree-Fock wave functions of the hydrogen molecule for different internuclear distances R . For $R > R_{\text{cr}} \approx 2.3$ a.u. a (light-gray lines) and b (black lines) are the functions with electron densities concentrated at the left and right nuclei respectively. For $R < R_{\text{cr}}$ these wave functions coincide.

TABLE I: Physical parameters of the Hartree-Fock hydrogen molecule dependent on the internuclear distances. Atomic units.

R	E_e	E_e^{symm}	$\langle \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle$
0.0	-2.861679998		1
0.2	-2.7608175		1
0.4	-2.5798578		1
0.6	-2.396660751		1
0.8	-2.23074400		1
1.0	-2.085138396		1
1.2	-1.958359581		1
1.3	-1.901255170		1
1.4	-1.847915286		1
1.5	-1.798039362		1
1.6	-1.751347985		1
1.8	-1.666513946		1
2.0	-1.591619847		1
2.2	-1.525186768		1
2.3	-1.494754132	-1.494754253	0.9999997
2.4	-1.466869931	-1.47724495	0.92138966
2.6	-1.41976886	-1.44212958	0.784583061
2.8	-1.381746342	-1.408258544	0.66916303
3.0	-1.35055131	-1.37663659	0.57149223
3.5	-1.29277168	-1.31056772	0.38687543
4.0	-1.252894522	-1.262622240	0.262823069
5.0	-1.200485964	-1.202743366	0.121438978
6.0	-1.166747578	-1.167204293	0.055683757
7.0	-1.14287045	-1.14295695	0.025207015
8.0	-1.12500216	-1.12501783	0.011249534
9.0	-1.11111146	-1.11111420	0.004951520
10.	-1.10000006	-1.10000053	0.00215182
11.	-1.09090911	-1.09090918	0.0009244618
12.	-1.08333334	-1.08333336	0.0003931164
13.	-1.07692309	-1.07692309	0.0001656485
14.	-1.0714286	-1.0714286	0.0000692334
15.	-1.0666667	-1.0666667	0.0000287261

conditions $\psi_i(\rho, z) = \psi_i(\rho, -z)$ or/and $\psi_1 = \psi_2$, we have in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ a traditional non-physical Hartree-Fock result (see [4]), which does not correspond to the ground states of the systems $\text{H} + \text{H}$ or $\text{H}^- + p$.

Some different interpretation of our result could be obtained when we consider two separate hydrogen atoms with anti-parallel spins of electrons and trace their wave functions when shortening the distance between them. Let us examine, for example, a dipole moment $\langle z_{\text{at}} \rangle$ of a hydrogen atom, when it nears to another hydrogen atom. This dependence is presented in Figure 3. As well as the overlap integral this value increases nearly exponential with reducing R at large distances, but its behavior near the critical point is even more pronounced because its




FIG. 2: Overlap integral for the spatial parts of the single-electron wave functions of the HF hydrogen molecule as a function of the internuclear distance (a.u.).




FIG. 3: Dipole moment of a single electron wave function (a.u.) related to the position of the corresponding nucleus. The dependence on the internuclear distance.

derivative becomes infinite here. For $R < R_{\text{cr}}$ an evident for delocalized electrons relation $z_{\text{at}} = R/2$ takes place.

It is natural to ask a question about behavior of Hartree-Fock wave functions of other states of the hydrogen molecule at large R . A detailed investigation lies outside the scope of this communication and we outline here the main characteristics of states, which could be considered from some points of view as resembling the

ground state configurations of $H + H$ or $H^- + p$ in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. In the notation of a united atom they are configurations $1s \uparrow 2p_0 \downarrow$, $1s \uparrow 2p_0 \uparrow$ and $2p_0^2$, along with the ground state $1s^2$ considered above. There is no quantum phase transitions in both singlet and triplet configurations $1s2p_0$. As $R \rightarrow \infty$ the energy of the single-determinant wave function for the $1s \uparrow 2p_0 \downarrow$ (as well as for the $2p_0^2$ when $\psi_i(\rho, z) = -\psi_i(\rho, -z)$ and $\psi_1 = \psi_2$) has a non-physical limit coinciding with that for $1s^2$ under condition $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. On the other hand, due to exchange terms the energy of the triplet $1s2p_0$ configuration has the limit corresponding to the ground state of two separate hydrogen atoms with parallel electron spins. A spin-symmetrized two-determinant wave function of the singlet $1s2p_0$ tends to that of the system $H^- + p$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The most complicated is the behavior of the configuration $2p_0^2$, when we do not impose additional symmetries for it. It retains its initial atomic symmetries up to $R \approx 2.97$. For larger R values the wave functions lose their parity with respect to the plane $z = 0$, but they remain equal up to $R \approx 5.9$. At this point the second phase transition, leading to $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ takes place. However, this series of transformations does not lead to a reasonable physical result as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

The effect presented above can be considered in a variety of aspects, and analogies with several circles of phenomena could be established. One of these items is a problem of localization of electrons in molecules (discussions and references see in ref. [4], some more recent approaches see e.g. in ref. [10]). Our result gives a natural boundary, separating two regimes: 1. Delocalized molecular orbitals and 2. Electrons localized on separate atoms (where, e.g. the value $1 - \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$ could be employed as a proper characteristic of the localization). Thus, we can consider our result as a solution of this problem for the hydrogen molecule, and a possibility of similar solutions for more complicated molecules can be expected.

Another evident analogy are the Mott transition, Wigner localization and related topics like the Hubbard model. It is possible to consider the effect presented

above as an analog of the Wigner localization or Mott transition on the level of a single molecule. Following steps in this direction have to be, of course, investigations of systems with more than two electrons, correlated systems and so on. Our calculations are carried out in the Hartree-Fock approximation which can be considered as obsolete and not precise for the hydrogen molecule. On the other hand, precise solutions of Schrödinger equations for more or less complex systems are unavailable and the methods of the Hartree-Fock level are the best of existing tools for them. Our result shows that for a simplest non-ionized molecule the symmetry breaking in a solution of the HF equations allows obtaining physically correct results for arbitrary internuclear distances and could give a simple insight into various more complicated problems.

* Electronic address: mivanov@mi1596.spb.edu

- [1] C. Yannouleas, U. Landman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5325 (1999); A. V. Filinov, M. Bonitz, Yu. E. Lozovik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3851 (2001).
- [2] L. S. Cederbaum, F. Tarantelli, P. Winkler, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **23**, L747 (1990); F. Tarantelli, L. S. Cederbaum, P. Campos, J. Chem. Phys. **91**, 7039 (1989); B. Braida, D. Lauvergnat, P. C. Hiberty, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 90 (2001).
- [3] L. Wolniewicz, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 1792 (1995).
- [4] S. Wilson, *Electron correlation in molecules*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1984), p.27-31.
- [5] M. V. Ivanov, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **21**, 447 (1988); **27**, 4513 (1994).
- [6] M. V. Ivanov, P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 3793 (1998); **60**, 3558 (1999); **61**, 022505 (2000); Adv. Quant. Chem. **40**, part.2, 361 (2001); J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **34**, 2447 (2001).
- [7] M. V. Ivanov, Phys. Lett. A **239**, 72 (1998).
- [8] J. Kobus, Chem. Phys. Lett. **202**, 7 (1993); F. Jensen J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 6601 (1999).
- [9] E. Clementy, C. Roetti, Atomic data and nuclear data tables, **14**, 177 (1974).
- [10] N. V. Dobrodey, L. S. Cederbaum, F. Tarantelli, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 2316 (1998).