

CONNECTING BLACKBODY RADIATION AND ZERO-POINT RADIATION WITHIN CLASSICAL PHYSICS: A NEW MINIMUM PRINCIPLE AND A STATUS REVIEW

Timothy H. Boyer

*Department of Physics, City College of the City
University of New York, New York, NY 10031*

Abstract

A new thermodynamic analysis is presented for the intimate connections between blackbody radiation and zero-point radiation within classical physics. First, we discuss the thermodynamics of a classical harmonic oscillator. Using the behavior under an adiabatic change of frequency, we show that the thermodynamic functions can all be derived from a single function of ω/T , analogous to Wien's displacement theorem. The high- and low-frequency limits allow asymptotic energy forms involving T alone or ω alone, corresponding to energy equipartition and zero-point energy. It is then suggested that the actual thermodynamic behavior for a harmonic oscillator is given by the function satisfying the Wien displacement result which provides the smoothest possible interpolation between scale-decoupled energy equipartition at low frequency and scale-invariant zero-point energy at high frequency. This suggestion leads to the Planck spectrum with zero-point energy. Second, we turn to radiation in a box in one space dimension. We consider a box with conducting walls and a conducting partition so that the discrete normal mode structure of the box becomes important. The contrasting Casimir energies are explored for the Rayleigh-Jeans and zero-point spectra. The Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum involves no change of energy with partition position, and the zero-point spectrum involves no change of entropy. It is suggested that the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation satisfies a natural minimum principle which corresponds to greatest independence of the system energy from the position of the partition for a fixed temperature. Numerical calculation shows that the familiar spectra other than the Planck spectrum violate the minimum principle. It is also remarked that in the absence of zero-point radiation, there is no finite energy minimum solution and the familiar "ultra-violet divergence" reappears. Third, we review the previous derivations of the Planck radiation spectrum in classical physics, all of which involve zero-point radiation. We again suggest, based upon scaling symmetry, that only purely electromagnetic scattering systems will lead to thermal equilibrium involving finite thermal radiation energy above the zero-point radiation spectrum.

INTRODUCTION

Discussions of blackbody radiation rarely make reference to zero-point radiation. Within quantum physics textbooks, blackbody radiation is regarded as comprehensible only in terms of quantum statistical mechanics, and quantum statistical mechanics has no role for zero-point radiation. However, within classical physics, the two spectra are intimately related; zero-point radiation provides the basis for understanding the blackbody spectrum. This has been emphasized in the past, and in this article we describe a new aspect of this relation. It is pointed out that the thermal radiation spectrum is the smoothest possible transition from the scale-decoupled Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum at low frequency to the scale-invariant zero-point radiation spectrum at high frequency. In this article we will provide a quantitative meaning to this "smoothest" criterion while providing a review of the connections between blackbody radiation and zero-point radiation within purely classical physics.

OUTLINE OF THE PRESENTATION

Our presentation is divided into three basic parts. The first part reviews the thermodynamics of the classical harmonic oscillator and obtains the energy functional dependence $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \omega f(\omega/T)$ which is associated with the Wien displacement law. We note that zero-point energy and energy equipartition represent the opposite extremes of this theorem, one energy spectrum involving frequency alone and the other temperature alone. Next we suggest that the actual thermodynamic behavior is given by the smoothest possible interpolation between the equipartition asymptotic form at low frequency and the zero-point energy asymptotic form at high frequency. Using this demand for a smooth interpolation, we give a suggestive derivation of the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation.

In the second part of this paper, we turn from a single oscillator over to thermal radiation. Because of the greater ease of calculations, we carry out the analysis for waves in one spatial dimension rather than three dimensions. We first obtain the Stefan-Boltzmann law for the energy density of thermal radiation in a very large one-dimensional box. Then we discuss the thermodynamics of a one-dimensional system involving Casimir forces on a partition which divides the box into two compartments, and we point out that any spectrum of random classical radiation will lead to Casimir forces. Then we obtain the Casimir energies associated with the zero-point spectrum and the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum. The zero-point radiation spectrum is special because it is the unique spectrum which involves no dependence of the entropy upon the position of the Casimir partition; the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum is special because it is the unique spectrum which involves no dependence of the energy upon the position of the Casimir partition. From numerical calculations, it is easily seen that thermodynamic principles applied to the Casimir thermodynamic system lead to restrictions on the allowed thermal radiation spectra. We then explore a natural minimum principle for the Casimir energies of various spectra. We find the traditional "ultra-violet catastrophe" when classical zero-point energy is not included in the minimum principle. When zero-point energy is included, then numerical calculation suggests that Planck's spectrum satisfies the minimum principle whereas other spectra do not. We conjecture that analytic solution of the minimum principle would confirm the Planck spectrum.

In the third part of this paper, we review the information in the literature regarding blackbody radiation within classical physics, noting the variety of classical derivations of the Planck spectrum, all of which depend upon the presence of zero-point radiation. Also, we note the contradictory evidence regarding scattering by general classical mechanical systems. In this connection we again suggest that only purely electromagnetic systems have the possibility of giving the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation as the equilibrium radi-

ation spectrum. Finally we make some comments on classical theory within contemporary physics.

**PART I: THERMODYNAMICS OF A HARMONIC OSCILLATOR
DERIVATION OF FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCE CORRESPONDING TO
WIEN'S DISPLACEMENT THEOREM**

As a beginning step in discussing thermal radiation, we consider the thermodynamics of a classical harmonic oscillator system. We first need to recognize the generalized force associated with a change in the natural oscillator frequency. We consider a simple harmonic oscillator system with natural frequency ω described by the Lagrangian $L(q, \dot{q})$ and Hamiltonian $H(q, p)$

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = (1/2)m\dot{q}^2 - (1/2)m\omega^2 q^2, \quad H(q, p) = p^2/(2m) + (1/2)m\omega^2 q^2 \quad (1)$$

This system can also be described in terms of action-angle variables \tilde{J}, \tilde{w} where

$$q = \left(\frac{2\tilde{J}}{m\omega} \right)^{1/2} \sin(\tilde{w}), \quad p = (2m\tilde{J}\omega)^{1/2} \cos(\tilde{w}) \quad (2)$$

and the Hamiltonian is $H(\tilde{J}) = \tilde{J}\omega$. [1] Now we are interested in the change of energy of the oscillator under a very slow alteration of the oscillator's natural frequency ω . During such a slow alteration, the action variable \tilde{J} is constant.[2] Thus the change of energy of the oscillator is

$$dH = \tilde{J}d\omega = (H/\omega)d\omega \quad (3)$$

and the work done by the oscillator on the agent altering ω is just the negative of this

$$dW = -(H/\omega)d\omega. \quad (4)$$

Thus for an oscillator of energy \mathcal{U} and (angular) frequency ω , we regard $\mathcal{X} = -\mathcal{U}/\omega$ as the generalized force associated with a change in ω .

When the oscillator is at thermal equilibrium in a bath at temperature T , the oscillator will have an (average) energy $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ and an entropy $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega)$ depending upon the temperature T and natural oscillation frequency ω . Using the work expression in(4), the laws of thermodynamics for the oscillator give

$$dQ = Td\mathcal{S}(T, \omega) = d\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) - (\mathcal{U}/\omega)d\omega \quad (5)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$T \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial T} \right)_{\omega} dT + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega} \right)_{T} d\omega \right] = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_{\omega} dT + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \omega} \right)_{T} d\omega - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\omega} d\omega \quad (6)$$

Since the variables ω and T are independent, this requires

$$T \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial T} \right)_{\omega} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_{\omega}, \quad T \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega} \right)_{T} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \omega} \right)_{T} - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\omega} \quad (7)$$

Differentiating the first equation of (7) with respect to ω and the second with respect to T gives

$$T \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega \partial T} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial \omega \partial T}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega} \right)_T + T \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial T \partial \omega} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial T \partial \omega} - \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_\omega \quad (8)$$

Subtracting the first equation of (8) from the second as as to eliminate the second-derivative terms, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega} \right)_T = -\frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_\omega \quad (9)$$

Next using (9) together with the first equation of (7), we find

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \omega} \right)_T = -\frac{T}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial T} \right)_\omega \quad (10)$$

which has the general solution $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega) = g(\omega/T)$ where g is an arbitrary function of the single variable ω/T . On the other hand if we use the second equation of (7), then we find from Eq.(9)

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \omega} \right)_T - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\omega} = -\frac{T}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_\omega \quad (11)$$

which has the general solution

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \omega f(\omega/T) \quad (12)$$

where f is an arbitrary function of the single variable ω/T . This is corresponds to the information in the Wien displacement theorem.[3] Although the Wien theorem is often derived from the adiabatic compression of waves in a cavity, our analysis shows that it holds in thermal equilibrium for any system described by a simple harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian.

THERMODYNAMIC FUNCTIONS FOR A HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

The Wien displacement results $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega) = g(\omega/T)$ and $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \omega f(\omega/T)$ give constraints upon all the thermodynamic functions for a harmonic oscillator. The thermodynamic potential[4] $\phi(\omega/T)$, from which all the other thermodynamics functions may be derived, must be a function of the combination ω/T . The average oscillator energy \mathcal{U} in thermal equilibrium follows as

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial T} \right)_\omega = -\omega \phi'(\omega/T) \quad (13)$$

The entropy \mathcal{S} of the oscillator is again a function of ω/T ,

$$\mathcal{S}(\omega/T) = \phi(\omega/T) + \mathcal{U}(T, \omega)/T = \phi(\omega/T) - (\omega/T)\phi'(\omega/T) \quad (14)$$

The Helmholtz free energy \mathcal{F} is directly related to the thermodynamic potential $\phi(\omega/T)$

$$\mathcal{F}(T, \omega) = -T\phi(\omega/T) \quad (15)$$

The generalized force \mathcal{X} associated with a change in ω is

$$\mathcal{X}(\omega/T) = T \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \omega} \right)_T = \phi'(\omega/T) \quad (16)$$

and the specific heat \mathcal{C} at constant ω is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(\omega/T) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T} \right)_\omega = \left(\frac{\omega}{T} \right)^2 \phi''(\omega/T) \quad (17)$$

Thus the equilibrium thermodynamics of a classical harmonic oscillator system is determined by one function, the unknown function $\phi(\omega/T)$.

WIEN DISPLACEMENT RESULT AND ZERO-POINT ENERGY

There are two natural extremes for the oscillator energy given by the Wien displacement result in (13); one extreme makes the energy $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ independent of temperature T , and the other makes the energy $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ independent of the natural frequency ω .

We deal first with the temperature-independent energy. When the potential function $\phi'(\omega/T) = \text{const}$ and so $\phi(\omega/T) = \text{const}(\omega/T)$, then the oscillator energy in (13) takes the form

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = \text{const} \times \omega \quad (18)$$

This corresponds to temperature-independent zero-point energy.

We note that substitution of the zero-point energy (18) into the first law of thermodynamics in the form

$$dQ = d\mathcal{U} - (\mathcal{U}/\omega)d\omega = d(\text{const} \times \omega) - (\text{const} \times \omega/\omega)d\omega = 0 \quad (19)$$

tells us that no heat dQ enters the system on changing the natural frequency of the oscillator ω . Thus changes in zero-point energy occur without any change in the thermodynamic entropy $\mathcal{S}(\omega/T)$ of the system. Indeed, we see that if ϕ'_{zp} is constant, then $\phi_{zp}(\omega/T) = \text{const} \times \omega/T$ must be linear in its argument, and the entropy \mathcal{S} in Eq.(14) vanishes for any function ϕ which is linear in its argument.

Classical zero-point energy is random energy which is present even at zero temperature. Classical thermodynamics allows the possibility of classical zero-point energy and experimental evidence (such as that for van der Waals forces) requires its existence.[5] It is natural to choose the unknown constant for the zero-point energy so as to fit the experimentally measured van de Waals forces. This corresponds to an oscillator energy

$$\mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = (1/2)\hbar\omega \quad (20)$$

where \hbar is a constant which takes the value familiar for Planck's constant.

WIEN DISPLACEMENT RESULT AND ENERGY EQUIPARTITION

The other extreme for the Wien displacement result (13) is the case where the oscillator energy depends upon the temperature but has no dependence upon the natural oscillator frequency ω . Thus when $\phi'(\omega/T) = \text{const}/(\omega/T)$ in equation (13), then the oscillator energy is

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) = \text{const} \times T. \quad (21)$$

This is the familiar energy equipartition law (proposed by Rayleigh and Jeans for low-frequency radiation modes) where the constant is chosen as Boltzmann's constant k_B ,

$$\mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) = k_B T. \quad (22)$$

In this case, an isothermal change of the natural oscillator frequency ω produces no change in the oscillator internal energy. Rather, from (5), the isothermal work done on changing the natural frequency ω is provided by heat added which keeps the internal oscillator energy constant,

$$dQ = Td\mathcal{S}(\omega/T) = d\mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) - (\mathcal{U}/\omega)d\omega, \quad \text{constant } T \quad (23)$$

Then

$$d\mathcal{S}_{RJ} = 0 - (k_B/\omega)d\omega, \quad \text{constant } T, \quad (24)$$

and since we know the functional form $\mathcal{S}(\omega/T)$, we have the familiar result

$$\mathcal{S}_{RJ}(\omega/T) = -k_B \ln(\omega/T) + \text{const} \quad (25)$$

Indeed if $\phi'_{RJ}(\omega/T) = -k_B/(\omega/T)$, then $\phi_{RJ}(\omega/T) = -\ln(\omega/T)$ and the entropy in (14) takes the form (25).

USE OF NATURAL UNITS IN THE ANALYSIS

In this paper we are not interested in the numerical evaluation of thermodynamic quantities but rather in the fundamental connections between thermal energy and zero-point energy. On this account we will measure all quantities in terms of energy and take the entropy as a pure number. Thus we will take $\hbar = 1$ and measure frequencies in energy units. Also, we will take $k_B = 1$ and measure temperature in energy units. Finally, we note that we can choose to take the speed of light $c = 1$ and measure distances in inverse energy units.[6] Thus the limiting form corresponding to zero-point energy has

$$\phi_{zp}(\omega/T) = -(1/2)(\omega/T), \quad \mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = (1/2)\omega \quad (26)$$

while the limiting form corresponding to energy equipartition takes the form

$$\phi_{RJ}(\omega/T) = -\ln(\omega/T), \quad \mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) = T \quad (27)$$

ASYMPTOTIC LIMITS FOR THERMAL OSCILLATOR ENERGY

In general, the energy of an oscillator system will depend upon both frequency ω and temperature T as in (13). In the limit as $T \rightarrow 0$, we expect to recover the zero-point energy of the oscillator

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} [-\omega \phi'(\omega/T)] = \mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = (1/2)\omega \quad (28)$$

and in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$, we expect to obtain the equipartition energy

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} [-\omega \phi'(\omega/T)] = \mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) = T \quad (29)$$

It is useful to make a distinction between the THERMAL energy $\mathcal{U}_T(T, \omega)$ of an oscillator and the oscillator's TOTAL energy $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$. The thermal energy is just the (average) energy above the (average) zero-point energy

$$\mathcal{U}_T(T, \omega) = \mathcal{U}(T, \omega) - \mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = -\omega \phi'(\omega/T) - (1/2)\omega = -\omega[\phi'(\omega/T) - \phi'_{zp}(\omega/T)] \quad (30)$$

Although the total oscillator energy $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ is related to forces, it is only the thermal oscillator energy $\mathcal{U}_T(T, \omega)$ which is related to changes in thermodynamic entropy since (as seen above) $\phi_{zp}(\omega/T)$ does not give any thermodynamic entropy.

THERMAL OSCILLATOR ENERGY AS THE SMOOTHEST INTERPOLATION BETWEEN THE EQUIPARTITION AND ZERO-POINT LIMITS

The two limiting forms (26) and (27) which make the average energy independent of one of the two variables T or ω correspond to the expected limiting cases of high frequency-low temperature $\omega/T \gg 1$ or low frequency-high temperature $\omega/T \ll 1$ in (28) and (29). It is clear that the actual thermodynamic functions for a classical harmonic oscillator should all be smooth functions between these asymptotic forms. Prompted by our ideas that thermodynamic functions represent the maximum of some quantity in the presence of constraints, we suggest that "the equilibrium thermodynamic behavior of a harmonic oscillator is given by that function satisfying the Wien displacement results which provides the smoothest possible interpolation between the asymptotic forms given by energy equipartition at low frequency and zero-point energy at high frequency." Let us explore this idea.

The limiting thermodynamic potentials

$$\phi_{RJ}(\omega/T) = -\ln(\omega/T), \quad \phi_{zp}(\omega/T) = -(1/2)\omega/T \quad (31)$$

involve a logarithmic behavior at the low-frequency limit. Since the logarithmic function is more complicated analytically than the exponential function, it is convenient to take the exponential of the negative of these functions and to consider

$$\exp[-\phi_{RJ}(\omega/T)] = \omega/T, \quad \exp[-\phi_{zp}(\omega/T)] = \exp[\omega/(2T)] \quad (32)$$

The exponentiation will not change the "smoothest possible" criterion required of the interpolation. Thus we are searching for the "smoothest possible interpolation" between linear behavior ω/T at small argument and exponential behavior $\exp[\omega/(2T)]$ at large argument. A familiar analytic function which joins exactly these asymptotic limits is the hyperbolic sine function. Thus we write this smooth interpolation function as

$$\exp\left[-\phi_{Pzp}\left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)\right] = 2 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T}\right) \quad (33)$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand side of (33) has exactly the asymptotic forms demanded in (32). This smooth interpolation (33) then leads to the thermodynamic functions

$$\phi_{Pzp}\left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right) = -\ln\left[2 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T}\right)\right] \quad (34)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{Pzp}(T, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}\omega \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T}\right) = \frac{\omega}{\exp(\omega/T) - 1} + \frac{1}{2}\omega \quad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_P\left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right) = -\ln\left[2 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T} \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{T}\right) \quad (36)$$

We have labeled these thermodynamic functions with the subscript "Pzp" or "P" because they corresponds exactly to those related to the Planck average oscillator energy including

zero-point energy. As noted above, the entropy depends upon the Planck thermal spectrum but does not reflect the zero-point energy.

Thus demanding the smoothest interpolation between the equipartition and zero-point limits suggests the Planck spectrum. Certainly, the hyperbolic sine function is smooth along the real axis in the sense that it is an analytic function, and the function, together with all its derivatives, is monotonic for positive argument. Also, the differences between the hyperbolic sine function (33) and both asymptotic forms in (32) are monotonic functions and indeed all the derivatives of the differences are monotonic functions for positive argument. Clearly a "smooth" interpolation should lead to thermodynamic functions all of which are monotonic (demanded by the thermodynamics) and which have monotonic differences with the asymptotic limit functions. The Planck result with zero-point energy in Eq.(34) indeed leads to "smooth" interpolations for all the thermodynamic functions. Because of the monotonic behavior for the thermodynamic potential which includes higher derivatives, we suggest that there is a natural sense in which the Planck result is the "smoothest possible" interpolation between the equipartition and zero-point energy limits. However, someone more mathematically knowledgeable than the author must judge whether the "smoothness" criterion can easily be made numerical.

PART II: THERMODYNAMICS OF WAVES IN A BOX USE OF ONE DIMENSIONAL WAVES FOR SIMPLICITY

Because we have not been able to give a numerical criterion for "the smoothest possible interpolation between the equipartition and zero-point asymptotic forms," we will turn to Casimir energies within the full radiation problem where such a criterion seems easily possible. Once again we will find that thermal radiation is intimately connected with zero-point radiation.

Although the calculations to be described below can be carried through for electromagnetic waves in a three-dimensional box, we will consider a thermodynamic wave system in one spatial dimension rather than in three because the mathematics is distinctly simpler while the physical ideas are unchanged.[7] Thus we can imagine one-dimensional thermodynamic systems consisting of beads on parallel frictionless wires which move between two walls, or of waves on a string, or of electromagnetic waves which are required to move between two conducting walls with wave vectors \mathbf{k} which are always perpendicular to the walls. For electromagnetic waves in this case of one spatial dimension, the pressure p on the walls would be related to the energy density \mathcal{E}/V as

$$p = \mathcal{E}/V \tag{37}$$

which holds for normally incident plane waves, rather than the three-dimensional situation $p = (1/3)\mathcal{E}/V$ which holds for waves incident on a wall when averaged over all available directions. If we multiply by the area of the walls, then Eq.(37) becomes

$$X = u \tag{38}$$

for our waves in one spatial dimension where X is the force on a bounding partition and u is the energy per unit length. [8]

DERIVATION OF THE STEFAN-BOLTZMANN LAW

For waves in one spatial dimension, we can use the usual thermodynamic arguments to obtain the corresponding Stefan-Boltzmann law for the thermal radiation energy which is connected to thermodynamic entropy. Thus we assume that at thermal equilibrium the

thermal energy $U_T(T, L)$ and entropy $S(T, L)$ of our waves in a very large one-dimensional box of length L satisfy

$$U_T(T, L) = Lu_T(T), \quad S(T, L) = Ls(T) \quad (39)$$

so that, in this large-L limit, the thermal energy density u_T and entropy density s are functions of temperature only. Then the laws of thermodynamics give

$$TdS(T, L) = dU_T(T, L) + X_T dL \quad (40)$$

where we connect the thermal-related force X_T to the thermal-related energy density u_T , $X_T = u_T$ as in (38). Then from (39) and (40),

$$T \left(sdL + L \frac{ds}{dT} dT \right) = u_T dL + L \frac{du_T}{dT} dT + u_T dL \quad (41)$$

Comparing differentials on both sides, we have

$$s = \frac{2u_T}{T}, \quad \frac{ds}{dT} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{du_T}{dT} \quad (42)$$

Differentiating the first equation in (42) with respect to temperature and substituting into the second, we find

$$\frac{-2u_T}{T^2} + \frac{2}{T} \frac{du_T}{dT} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{du_T}{dT} \quad (43)$$

with solution

$$u_T = \alpha T^2 \quad (44)$$

where α is an unknown constant. This corresponds to the Stefan-Boltzmann law for this one-spatial-dimension system. Also the entropy per unit length s follows from (42) and (44) as

$$s = 2\alpha T \quad (45)$$

Had we used the 3-dimensional form $p = (1/3)u$ and the same procedure with volume V replacing the length L , we would have found the familiar expressions $u_T = \alpha T^4$ and $s = (4/3)\alpha T^3$. [9]

WAVE NORMAL MODES IN A ONE-DIMENSIONAL BOX

Systems satisfying the wave equation in a container with conducting walls can be described in terms of normal modes of oscillation, each of which corresponds to a harmonic oscillator system [10]

$$L(q_\lambda, \dot{q}_\lambda) = \Sigma_\lambda (1/2) (\dot{q}_\lambda^2 - \omega_n^2 q_\lambda^2) \quad (46)$$

where the q_λ are the amplitudes of the normal modes. For waves in one spatial dimension inside a box of length L , the normal modes can be labeled by a single integer index n where the associated frequency ω_n is given by $\omega_n = cn\pi/L$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where c is the speed of the waves. For light, we can choose $c = 1$. Accordingly we can use the harmonic-oscillator Wien-displacement result (12) or (13) for each normal mode to obtain the Stefan-Boltzmann

law for the thermal part of \mathcal{U}_T of the radiation energy. We consider only the thermal energy \mathcal{U}_T since this is a finite quantity when summed over all normal modes, in contrast to the total energy \mathcal{U} or zero-point energy \mathcal{U}_{zp} both of which diverge in the sum over (infinitely many) high-frequency modes. In a one-dimensional box which is so large that the discrete sum over normal modes can be replaced by an integral, we have the total thermal energy $U_T(T, L)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_T(T, L) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_T(T, \frac{n\pi c}{L}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\pi c}{L} \left[\phi'(\frac{n\pi c}{LT}) - \frac{1}{2} \right] \\
 &\approx \int_0^{\infty} dn \frac{n\pi c}{L} \left[\phi'(\frac{n\pi c}{LT}) - \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{L}{c\pi} T^2 \int_0^{\infty} dz z \left[\phi'(z) - \frac{1}{2} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

for one space dimension. This is just the Stefan-Boltzmann result obtained earlier in Eq.(44). In the case of 3 spatial dimensions, the frequencies of the normal modes ω_{lmn} would be labeled by 3 integer indices and the same procedure would lead to a T^4 temperature dependence for a large container.

THERMODYNAMICS OF CASIMIR FORCES

The Stefan-Boltzmann law given in (44) and (47) connects the total thermal radiation energy per unit length to the thermodynamic temperature T , and (through Eq.(38)) gives the thermal forces for a large box. However, it provides no information regarding the spectrum of thermal radiation. Now in obtaining Eq.(47), we took the limit of a large box L and so replaced the sum over normal modes by an integral. However, by going to the continuum limit, we lost the information which might be available in the discrete spectrum of the normal modes. It was Casimir who saw the possibility of new forces and energies linked to this discreteness of the classical normal mode structure. The most famous example of such forces is the original Casimir calculation[11] of the force between conducting parallel plates arising from electromagnetic zero-point radiation. Casimir worked specifically with zero-point fields; however, the idea is not limited to zero-point radiation. Any spectrum of random classical radiation will lead to Casimir energies associated with the discrete classical normal mode structure of a container. Indeed, every thermodynamic variable will depend upon the normal modes structure.

It should be emphasized how totally different this classical wave situation is from the classical particle situation of ideal gas particles in a box. Thus if a box with reflecting walls is filled with ideal gas particles at temperature T , then the introduction of a thin reflecting partition does not change the system energy and does not involved any average force on the partition. In total contrast, the introduction of a conducting partition into a conducting-walled box of thermal radiation leads to a change in the normal mode structure and hence both to position-dependent energy changes (Casimir energies) and to average forces on the partition (Casimir forces). These Casimir energies and forces will depend upon the precise spectrum of random radiation and upon the precise location of the partition. In this article we are proposing that the Planck spectrum for thermal radiation equilibrium arises from a natural minimum principle for the Casimir energy changes associated with the placement of a partition in a box of radiation.

CHANGE IN CASIMIR ENERGY DUE TO A PARTITION

We now consider a one-dimensional box of length L and calculate the change of radiation energy $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ with position x for a partition which is located a distance x from the

left-hand end of the box, $0 \leq x \leq L$. The energy of each normal mode of frequency ω_n is given by $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega_n)$. The partition changes the normal mode frequencies and so produces a position-dependent energy change $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ which is a Casimir energy. We will calculate the Casimir energy $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ as the change in the system energy when the partition is placed a distance x from the left-hand wall compared to when the partition is placed at $x = L/2$ in the middle of the box,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U(x, L, T) &= \{U(T, x) + U(T, L - x)\} - \{U(T, L/2) + U(T, L/2)\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}\left(T, \frac{cn\pi}{x}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}\left(T, \frac{cn\pi}{L-x}\right) \right\} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}\left(T, \frac{cn\pi}{L/2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

CASIMIR ENERGY FOR THE ZERO-POINT SPECTRUM

We consider first the two extreme cases for the thermodynamic potential $\phi(\omega/T)$ which make the energy spectrum $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ independent of one of its two variables. The zero-point spectrum (26) and the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum (27) both give divergent energies when summed over the infinite number of normal modes. However, this need not deter us from calculating the Casimir energies associated with these spectra. The Casimir energies $\Delta U_{zp}(x, L)$ and $\Delta U_{RJ}(x, L, T)$ are defined as limits and are finite. In contrast to an ideal system, any physical system (such as a string with clamped ends or else electromagnetic fields in a region bounded by good conductors) will not enforce the normal mode structure at very high frequencies (short wavelengths). Thus it is natural to introduce a smooth cut-off $\exp(-\Lambda\omega/c)$ related to frequency $\omega = ck$

$$U(T, L, \Lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(T, \omega_n) \exp(-\Lambda\omega_n/c) \quad (49)$$

Next we carry out the subtractions corresponding to (48) to obtain the Casimir energy, $\Delta U(x, L, T, \Lambda)$, and then allow the no-cut-off limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Although here we will work with an exponential cut-off because it is easy to sum the geometric series, the result is very general; any smooth cut-off function dependent on frequency alone will give the same result[12].

In this fashion, we obtain the Casimir energy for the zero-point radiation spectrum (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U_{zp}(x, L) &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{cn\pi}{x} \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{x}\right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{cn\pi}{L-x} \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{L-x}\right) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{cn\pi}{L/2} \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{L/2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ -\frac{c}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \left[\frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{x}) - 1} + \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{L-x}) - 1} - 2 \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{L/2}) - 1} \right] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \left[\frac{cx}{2\Lambda^2\pi} - \frac{c\pi}{24x} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \right] + \left[\frac{c(L-x)}{2\Lambda^2\pi} - \frac{c\pi}{24(L-x)} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \right] - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \left[\frac{c(L/2)}{2\Lambda^2\pi} - \frac{c\pi}{24(L/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \right] \Big\} = -\frac{c\pi}{24} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{L-x} - \frac{2}{L/2} \right) \quad (50)$$

Thus we obtain the change in zero-point energy associated with the position x of the partition,

$$\Delta U_{zp}(x, L) = -\frac{c\pi}{24} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{L-x} - \frac{2}{L/2} \right) \quad (51)$$

CASIMIR ENERGY FOR THE RAYLEIGH-JEANS SPECTRUM

In an analogous calculation we obtain the Casimir energy for the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum (27),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U_{RJ}(x, L, T) &= \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{x}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{L-x}\right) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{n\pi}{L/2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{T}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{x}) - 1} + \frac{T}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{L-x}) - 1} - 2 \frac{T}{\exp(\frac{\Lambda\pi}{L/2}) - 1} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ T \left[\frac{x}{\Lambda\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi\Lambda}{12x} - \dots \right] + T \left[\frac{L-x}{\Lambda\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi\Lambda}{12(L-x)} - \dots \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2T \left[\frac{L/2}{\Lambda\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi\Lambda}{12(L/2)} - \dots \right] \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum is the unique spectrum which produces no Casimir energy changes associated with the placement of the Casimir partition, $\Delta U_{RJ}(x, L, T) = 0$.

AN EXTREMUM PRINCIPLE FOR THERMAL RADIATION

We note the striking difference in the Casimir energy for these two limiting cases. The Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum gives $\Delta U_{RJ}(x, L, T) = 0$, corresponding to complete independence of the Casimir energy from the location x of the partition. This is just like the ideal-gas particle situation where the system energy is independent of the partition location. In contrast, the zero-point spectrum involves a smooth change in the Casimir energy as given in Eq.(51) with a divergent change as the separation x from the wall decreases to zero; the Casimir spectrum is special because it involves no entropy change with the location of the partition.

Just as we suggested earlier that the thermodynamic behavior of a harmonic oscillator system could be obtained as the smoothest possible transition from the equipartition limit to the zero-point limit, here we suggest the same idea for the Casimir energies arising from thermal radiation. We expect that the thermal radiation spectral function represents the smoothest possible transition between the two extreme cases for Casimir energy changes calculated above. Thus, for fixed temperature T , we want to find that spectrum $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = -\omega\phi'(\omega/T)$ which is a monotonic interpolation between energy equipartition at temperature T at low

frequency and zero-point energy $(1/2)\omega$ at high frequency which makes the Casimir energy $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ depart from zero by the least possible amount. In other words, the position of the partition plays as little role as possible in changing the system energy. This assumption arises from our sense that the thermal radiation spectrum should give the greatest possible energy uniformity consistent with finite thermal energy. We recall that for ideal gas particles at thermal equilibrium, the system energy has no dependence at all on the partition's location.

We must turn this qualitative suggestion into a quantitative criterion. Since the Casimir energy vanishes at the middle of the box, $\Delta U(L/2, L, T) = 0$, any departure of the Casimir energy from zero represents a failure of uniformity. Specifically, at fixed finite temperature T , let us minimize the integral I of the absolute value of the Casimir energy integrated over the length of the box

$$I = \int_{x=\delta}^{x=R/2} dx |\Delta U(x, L, T)| \quad (53)$$

where δ is a small cut-off distance which is much less than any other length in the situation, $0 < \delta \ll \min(L, c/T)$. The need for such a cut-off arises because of the divergence of the zero-point Casimir energy at small distances.

CASIMIR ENERGIES FOR VARIOUS RADIATION SPECTRA

In one spatial dimension, it is quick to evaluate the Casimir energies for various monotonic spectral functions $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = \omega f(\omega/T)$ on a home computer. One separates out the divergent zero-point energy contribution corresponding to (51) and then evaluates the thermal contribution to the Casimir energy for any assumed thermal spectrum $\mathcal{U}_T(T, \omega) = Tg(\omega/T)$ where $g(0) = 1$, corresponding to the equipartition limit at low frequency, and also $\int_0^\infty dzg(z) < \infty$, corresponding to finite thermal radiation density in space. The total Casimir energy $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ is the sum of the thermal and zero-point contributions.

We require that $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega)$ be a monotonically increasing function of frequency as it goes from the low frequency limit $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = T$ to the high-frequency limit $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = (1/2)\omega$. This already puts restrictions on the monotonically decreasing functions $g(\omega/T)$. However, we find that even monotonically decreasing functions $g(\omega/T)$ which satisfy the limits $g(0) = 1$ and $\int_0^\infty dzg(z) < \infty$ as well as giving monotonic functions $\mathcal{U}(T, \omega) = Tg(\omega/T) + (1/2)\omega$ still do not necessarily lead to monotonic Casimir energy changes $\Delta U(x, L, T)$ with partition position x . Such functions are excluded by fundamental thermodynamics ideas. Nevertheless, for all spectra $\mathcal{U}_T(T, \omega)$ satisfying the two required limiting conditions, we can calculate the test integral given in Eq.(53). The spectrum providing the smallest value for the integral appears to be the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation. Indeed, we may use the Planck form (or other functional forms) in a variational calculation to obtain the parameters which give the smallest value to the test integral for the given functional form. Thus the Planck form for the thermal part of the radiation at frequency ω can be written as

$$\mathcal{U}_{PT}(T, \omega) = \mathcal{U}_P(T, \omega) - \frac{1}{2}\omega = \frac{\omega}{\exp(\omega/T) - 1} \quad (54)$$

We can introduce parameters C_1 and C_2 into a generalization of this form giving an energy spectrum including zero-point energy as

$$\mathcal{U}_{C_1 C_2}(T, \omega) = \frac{C_1 \omega \exp[-C_2(\omega/T)]}{1 - \exp[-C_1(\omega/T)]} + (1/2)\omega \quad (55)$$

For all positive parameters C_1 and C_2 , this spectrum goes over to energy equipartition in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ and goes over to zero-point energy at high frequency while giving finite total thermal radiation energy. Accordingly we can search for the values of C_1 and C_2 which make the test integral (53) a minimum. Numerical calculation shows that the minimum value for the test integral is achieved when $C_1 = C_2 = 1$, corresponding to exactly the Planck spectrum (35).

Indeed, of all the functional forms tested numerically, the Planck spectrum gave the smallest value of the test integral. We conjecture that analytic calculation would show that this spectrum provides the minimum for this integral, and hence in this sense provides the smallest Casimir energies in the presence of zero-point radiation.[13]

'ULTRA-VIOLET CATASTROPHE' WITHOUT ZERO-POINT RADIATION

We should also note that our minimum principle indeed requires the presence of zero-point energy. If no zero-point energy were present, then we would still require that the thermal spectrum give energy equipartition at low frequency and go to zero at high frequency so as to give a finite energy density for thermal radiation. For this case, the thermal energy would be the total energy in (53). However, there would be no natural high-frequency limit. If we tried a smooth spectrum such as that suggested by Rayleigh $\mathcal{U}_{RT}(T, \omega) = T \exp[-C(\omega/T)]$ with an adjustable parameter C but without zero-point energy, then we would find that the test integral given in Eq.(53) decreases as the parameter C decreases, bringing the spectrum ever closer to the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum, in which limit the integral vanishes $I = 0$ and there are no Casimir energy changes. The absence of any natural cut-off frequency represents behavior reminiscent of the "ultraviolet catastrophe" emphasized by Einstein and named by Ehrenfest in 1911. What prevents the catastrophic shift of thermal radiation to ever-higher frequencies is precisely the presence of zero-point radiation.

ENTROPY CHANGES IN THE HIGH-TEMPERATURE LIMIT

For fixed frequency ω and increasing temperature T , the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation goes over to the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum. Similarly, for fixed length x and increasing temperature T the Casimir energy $\Delta U_{Pzp}(x, L, T)$ for the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation goes over to the Rayleigh-Jeans result $\Delta U_{RJ}(x, L, T) = 0$. However, the net force $\Delta X_{Pzp}(x, L, T)$ and the net change in entropy $\Delta S_P(x, L, T)$ do not go to zero with increasing temperature. Indeed, these quantities go over to the values for the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum, which values do not vanish. Thus at high temperature, Casimir forces are associated with changes in system entropy, not system energy.

The net force on the conducting partition in a box of radiation is calculated by summing the forces due to the radiation in the normal modes on either side of the partition. Since the total force on one side alone diverges with the infinite number of normal modes, we introduce a frequency-dependent cut-off, carry out the subtraction of the forces on the opposite sides, and then take the no-cut-off limit. The force X_n associated with a change in box length L and due to the n th mode is related to the force in (4) and (16) $\mathcal{X}_n = -\mathcal{U}_n/\omega_n$ associated with a change in ω_n by

$$X_n = \mathcal{X}_n(d\omega_n/dL) \quad (56)$$

Then we obtain the net ΔX force on a conducting partition in our one-dimensional conducting-walled box as a sum over the contributions from the X_n on both sides. For the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_{RJ}(x, L, T) = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} & \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-T}{cn\pi/x} \frac{-cn\pi}{x^2} \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{cn\pi}{x}\right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-T}{cn\pi/(L-x)} \frac{cn\pi}{(L-x)^2} \exp\left(-\Lambda \frac{cn\pi}{L-x}\right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Once again we are dealing with a geometrical series which can be summed easily to give

$$\Delta X_{RJ}(T, x, L) = \frac{-T}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{L-x} \right) \quad (58)$$

We notice that this force, which arises from the discrete classical normal mode structure of the box, is significant only if x or $L-x$ is small compared to T .

Then applying thermodynamic analysis for a one-dimensional box of radiation at a uniform temperature T with a partition, an isothermal change of the the position of the partition gives no change in system energy but involves heat added so that

$$Td\Delta S_{RJ} = d\Delta U_{RJ} + \Delta X_{RJ}dx = 0 + \Delta X_{RJ}dx \quad (59)$$

$$d\Delta S_{RJ} = \frac{X_{RJ}}{T}dx = \frac{-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{L-x} \right) dx \quad (60)$$

and

$$\Delta S_{RJ}(x, L, T) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \ln \left(\frac{x}{L-x} \right) \right| \quad (61)$$

We emphasize that the right-hand side of Eq.(61) is independent of temperature T . Thus due to the normal mode structure of the box, there is a temperature-independent change of entropy when the partition is moved. This seems reminiscent of the temperature-independent change associated with mixing entropy for ideal gas particles. This same result (61) can be obtained by summing the entropy over the normal modes of the box when using a cut-off function, subtracting, and allowing the cut-off to disappear. This sort of entropy change was noted recently in quantum field theoretic analyses of Casimir forces in three-dimensional situations involving electromagnetic radiation.[14]

The Casimir entropy change $\Delta S_P(x, L, T) = S_P(T, x) + S_P(T, L) - 2S_P(T, L/2)$ associated with the Planck spectrum (and involving convergent sums) goes to the Rayleigh-Jeans limit (61) at high temperature for fixed values of x and L . However, for fixed finite temperature T , the entropy change $\Delta S_P(x, L, T)$ for the Planck spectrum goes to a finite temperature-dependent limit as x goes to 0 or L .

PART III: REVIEW OF CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THERMAL AND ZERO-POINT ENERGIES CLASSICAL ZERO-POINT RADIATION

The discussion of the thermodynamics of blackbody radiation given here involves two ideas which are usually absent from discussions of thermodynamics in classical physics: i) classical zero-point radiation and ii) Casimir forces. Both these ideas have appeared in the physics literature for some time but have not yet been accepted into textbooks of classical physics.

Classical zero-point energy is random energy which is present even at zero temperature. Classical thermodynamics allows the possibility of classical zero-point energy and experimental evidence requires its existence. Thus classical electromagnetic theory derived from Maxwell's differential equations requires boundary conditions on these differential equations. Although traditional classical electron theory[15] assumes vanishing electromagnetic fields in the far past $E^{in} \rightarrow 0$, $B^{in} \rightarrow 0$, this boundary condition is in contradiction to Nature. Experimental measurements of van der Waals forces[5] require the existence of classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation with a Lorentz-invariant spectrum as the boundary conditions on Maxwell's equations. The unique Lorentz-invariant spectrum[16] of random classical electromagnetic radiation corresponds to an energy $\mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = const \times \omega$ per normal mode. In order to fit the experiments, the constant must be chosen as half Planck's constant, $const = \hbar/2$.

Amongst physicists today, zero-point radiation is regarded as a "quantum" phenomenon.[17] Actually however, zero-point radiation needs have nothing to do with "quanta," with discontinuous in contrast to continuous energy changes. Classical zero-point radiation is classical random radiation, just as thermal radiation was regarded as classical random radiation in the years before 1900. When the appropriate classical boundary conditions including zero-point radiation are applied, classical physics can describe far more of Nature than is credited in the current physics textbooks[18].

PREVIOUS CLASSICAL DERIVATIONS OF THE PLANCK SPECTRUM

Derivations of the blackbody radiation spectrum within classical physics have taken many different forms, but all involve the presence of classical zero-point radiation. In contrast to the one-dimensional thermodynamic analysis given here, all the previous work involves classical electromagnetic radiation in three spatial dimensions. The derivations include the following. 1) The original Einstein-Hopf calculation for the average translational kinetic energy of a point electric dipole oscillator in a box with thermal electromagnetic radiation does not include zero-point radiation; when classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation is included, the Einstein-Hopf analysis leads to the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation as the thermal radiation spectrum.[19] 2) The modification of the Einstein fluctuation argument in the presence of classical zero-point radiation also gives the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation. Furthermore, we find an understanding of photon-like fluctuations in terms of fluctuations related to classical zero-point radiation.[20] 3) An analysis of the diamagnetic behavior of a free particle in classical thermal radiation including zero-point radiation leads to the Planck spectrum by comparison with a the behavior of a heavy paramagnetic particle free to rotate in space at finite temperature.[21] 4) A classical point electric dipole oscillator uniformly accelerated through classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation behaves as though it were at rest in the Planck spectrum of thermal radiation including zero-point radiation.[22] 5) A classical spinning point magnetic moment uniformly accelerated through classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation also behaves as though it were at rest in the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation.[23]

CLASSICAL ELECTRON THEORY WITH CLASSICAL ELECTROMAGNETIC ZERO-POINT RADIATION

All of these results involving classical thermal radiation and classical zero-point radiation point beyond the blackbody problem to the larger questions of atomic physics. They suggest that one consider classical electron theory with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation, a theory which is often termed "stochastic electrodynamics." [24] Indeed, for point electric dipole oscillators, such calculations have been presented repeatedly [25] with results which show satisfying agreement with quantum oscillator systems. Furthermore, the correspondence holds well for all point mechanical systems which have no harmonics. Thus the inclusion of classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation in classical physics can provide quantitative explanations of van der Waals forces, diamagnetism, and the specific heats of solids as well as semiquantitative understanding of the absence of atomic collapse. [18]

Despite these significant successes, many of those interested in classical analyses of atomic phenomena have despaired of an eventual classical understanding. The confounding analysis appears in attempts to understand thermal equilibrium in the presence of a classical electromagnetic scatterer. All of the work to date, [26] including the heroic calculations of Blanco, Pesquera, and Santos, [27] suggests that the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum is the unique spectrum of random radiation which is invariant under scattering by a classical electromagnetic system which has harmonics. Such a conclusion dooms a classical point of view.

Such a gloomy outlook may not be justified. It is the author's opinion that theoretical physics continues to suffer from a blindness to the mismatch between mechanics and electromagnetism which was noted in the nineteenth century. The ideas of special relativity and of relativistic mechanics arose at the beginning of the twentieth century from a recognition of this mismatch. Quantum mechanics began at the same time as an ad hoc system to accommodate this mismatch while still treating all mechanical systems as legitimate. The inclusion of classical zero-point radiation as a boundary condition on Maxwell's equations is one of the ideas which is required in any attempt to understand Nature in terms of classical theory. However, two other ideas are also surely needed. The first is the restriction to classical systems which share the scaling properties of electromagnetic theory. The second is an understanding of fluctuations at a level beyond the quasi-Markov approximation.

SCALING OF BLACKBODY RADIATION

Classical electromagnetic theory governed by Maxwell's equations contains no intrinsic length, time, or energy; the theory is scale invariant and indeed conformal invariant. [28] However, the scales of length, time, and energy are all coupled together. [29] If the scale of one of these is changed, then, the scales of the others must change. Thus the scales of length and time are connected through the speed of light in vacuum c . The scales of energy and length are coupled through Coulomb's law for particles of unique charge e .

Nonrelativistic classical mechanics has no such connections between scales; rather the scales of length, time, and energy can be chosen completely independently. Relativistic classical mechanics moves toward the electromagnetic situation since it introduces a coupling between the scales of length and time through the special velocity c which is the limiting speed of a massive particle. However, within all of classical mechanics, energy still scales completely separately from length and time.

The extremes of thermal radiation show the same contrast in energy coupling and decoupling as noted here. The spectrum of zero-point radiation $\mathcal{U}_{zp}(\omega) = (1/2)\hbar\omega$ is invariant under a scale transformation which couples length, time, and energy. [30] Such a transformation preserves the values of both the velocity of light in vacuum c and the constant factor (\hbar) which gives the scale of the zero-point spectrum. In contrast, the energy-equipartition

Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum $\mathcal{U}_{RJ}(T) = k_B T$ completely decouples the thermal energy scale from the length and time scales. Thus it is perhaps not surprising that scattering calculations relying on classical mechanical systems, which do not share the scaling properties of purely electromagnetic systems, should produce only the scale-decoupled Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum.

SCATTERING BY PURELY ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS

Now back in 1989, when the scaling properties of blackbody radiation were analyzed, it was suggested that only purely electromagnetic systems of charged particles were suitable scatterers leading to equilibrium for classical thermal radiation.[29] Purely electromagnetic systems allow conformal symmetry where only the point masses must be transformed. Furthermore, the zero-point radiation spectrum is the unique spectrum of random classical radiation which is conformal invariant.[30] It is noteworthy that to date none of the classical scattering calculations for radiation equilibrium has involved the Coulomb potential or purely electromagnetic systems. Indeed, the previous calculations place constraints on the allowed systems which specifically exclude these systems from consideration.[31] The blackbody spectrum of thermal radiation makes a smooth connection between the scale-coupled and the scale-decoupled extremes, and one may suspect that only a purely electromagnetic scattering system will show that the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation is the equilibrium spectrum of random classical radiation.[32]

Indeed, some unrelated classical electromagnetic work completed recently again reminds us that purely electromagnetic systems can behave totally differently from general mechanical systems. The interaction of a point charge and a magnetic moment is completely different when the magnetic moment is modeled as a charged particle in a Coulomb potential compared to when the magnetic moment is modeled as a charged particle on a rigid ring.[33] It is only the purely electromagnetic system which gives the foundation for understanding the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in terms of classical electromagnetic forces.[34]

CONCLUDING SUMMARY OF THE ANALYSIS

In this article, we explore the intimate connections between thermal radiation and zero-point radiation within classical physics. First of all we review the thermodynamics of a classical harmonic oscillator. Using the invariance of the entropy under adiabatic changes of oscillator frequency, we find the familiar result for the average oscillator energy \mathcal{U} at (angular) frequency ω and temperature T , $\mathcal{U} = \omega f(\omega/T)$ where f is an arbitrary function. This corresponds to Wien' displacement theorem. However, all the derivations of this theorem in the textbooks involve moving pistons in a cylindrical cavity rather than emphasizing that this is a result holding for any system having a harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian. We then list the associated forms of all the thermodynamic functions for a harmonic oscillator system.

Next we discuss the high- and low-temperature limits which make the average oscillator energy \mathcal{U} independent of one of its two variables, ω or T . Thus we recognize the equipartition result and the zero-point result as the two extremes allowed by thermodynamics. Since zero-point radiation is present in nature, we assume that the equilibrium thermodynamic behavior of a harmonic oscillator moves monotonically between $\mathcal{U} = k_B T$ at low frequency and $\mathcal{U} = (1/2)\hbar\omega$ high frequency. It is the problem of classical theory to determine the actual interpolation formula. It is suggested that the true thermal spectrum corresponds to the smoothest possible interpolation between the scale-decoupled equipartition energy at low frequency and the scale-invariant zero-point energy at high frequency. This suggestion leads to the Planck spectrum with zero-point energy.

At this point we turn from the thermodynamics of a single oscillator over to the thermodynamics of radiation. For the sake of simplicity, we consider waves in one space dimension.

We derive the Stefan-Boltzmann law applicable in the case of one space dimension, and we note that it gives us no information about the radiation spectrum. Next we introduce a movable conducting partition inside a box with conducting walls. The conducting boundary condition gives rise to Casimir energies and Casimir forces associated with the changes in the discrete spectrum of normal modes associated with the partition position. Every different spectrum of random radiation leads to different Casimir forces and energies. The zero-point spectrum is unique in that there is no entropy change as the partition is moved within the conducting box. The equipartition Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum is unique in that the energy of the box has no dependence on the location of the conducting partition. Once again we suggest that Nature would choose the blackbody radiation spectrum as the "smoothest possible" interpolation between these extremes. We give a quantitative criterion for the "smoothest possible interpolation" and report that of all the monotonic energy spectra we have considered, the Planck spectrum is the smoothest. We conjecture that analytic calculation would show that it is the smoothest of all possible spectra. We emphasize that in the absence of classical zero-point radiation, our criterion collapses and the traditional "ultraviolet catastrophe" ensues. It is precisely the presence of zero-point radiation which prevents the shift of thermal radiation to ever higher frequencies.

There are several other aspects of Casimir forces which are noted. We find that although the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum involves no energy changes with the partition position at fixed temperature, it still involves Casimir forces on the partition and hence changes in the Helmholtz free energy. The changes in the Helmholtz free energy are tied to temperature-independent changes in the entropy associated with the placement of the partition. Such temperature-independent entropy changes are reminiscent of mixing entropy in traditional classical statistical mechanics.

Although the calculations presented here involve waves in one spatial dimension, the ideas can be carried over to electromagnetic waves in three spatial dimensions.

Continuing our theme of the connections between blackbody radiation and thermal radiation, we mention several derivations of Planck's spectrum in the literature of classical physics, all of which depend upon classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation.

Finally we discuss the failure of classical scattering calculations to give anything but the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum as an equilibrium spectrum. Working from the scaling properties of thermal radiation and of electromagnetism, we suggest that only purely electromagnetic systems have the appropriate scaling properties to give the Planck spectrum with zero-point radiation as the equilibrium spectrum.

CLOSING STATEMENT

The blackbody radiation spectrum is a fundamental physical quantity which has been of interest to physicists since the nineteenth century. The study of this spectrum was crucial in the development of quantum mechanics. However, discussion of blackbody radiation is disappearing from the text books.[35] Indeed, today many physicists regard quantum theory as so firmly established that it can be taught from axioms without regard to the physical phenomena which caused the historical development of the theory. Nevertheless, there are still some physicists who believe that the foundations of modern physics, particularly in regard to classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation, have never been adequately explored. At the same time, today's physicists are sometimes being asked to swallow bizarre new "quantum" explanations simply because physicists are unaware of the results of accurate classical electromagnetic theory. The Aharonov-Bohm and Aharonov-Casher phase shifts, on which the author has worked in recent years, seem prime examples; the "force-free quantum topo-

logical effects” which are given as ”explanations” for these phenomena are accepted simply because of physicists’ ignorance of accurate classical electromagnetic predictions.[34]

It is suggested that twentieth century classical physics suffered from three fundamental failures. i)The failure to include classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation in the boundary conditions on Maxwell’s equations. ii)The failure to restrict analysis to purely electromagnetic systems when dealing with fundamentally electromagnetic phenomena. iii)The failure to go beyond the quasi-Markoffian approximation when dealing with systems in random classical electromagnetic radiation. It is hoped that some time in the future these failures will be rectified, and then we will indeed know the predictions of classical physics in the atomic domain

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank Professor Daniel C. Cole for his kind invitation to present a talk on historical aspects of the Casimir model of the electron at the Seventh International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations held at Boston University during June 2001. The beginnings of this work arose out of communications with Professor Cole.

-
- [1] See, for example, H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics 2nd ed* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980), p. 462. We are using the notation $\tilde{J} = J/(2\pi)$, $\tilde{w} = 2\pi w$, where J and w are the action-angle variables used in this text.
 - [2] See, for example, ref. 1, pp. 531-540. The situation involving adiabatic change in frequency is most famous in the case of a pendulum length which is changed slowly. See, for example, Goldstein’s exercise 10, p. 543.
 - [3] See, for example, M. Planck, *The Theory of Heat Radiation* (Dover, NY 1959), pp. 72-83, or F. K. Richtmyer, E. H. Kennard, and T. Lauritsen, *Introduction to Modern Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York 1955), pp. 113-118.
 - [4] C. Garrod, *Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics* (Oxford, New York 1995), p. 128.
 - [5] M. J. Sparnaay, ”Measurement of the attractive forces between flat plates,” *Physica* **24**, 751-764 (1958); S. K. Lamoreaux, ”Demonstration of the Casimir force in the 0.6 to 6 μ m range,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 5-8 (1997), **81**, 5475-5476 (1998); U. Mohideen, ”Precision measurement of the Casimir force from 0.1 to 0.9 μ m,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4549-4552 (1998); and H. B. Chan, V. A. Aksyuk, R. N. Kleiman, D. J. Bishop, and F. Capasso, ”Quantum mechanical actuation of microelectromechanical systems by the Casimir force,” *Science* **291**, 1941-1944 (2001).
 - [6] The choice $\hbar = 1$ is familiar to particle physicists. The measurement of temperature in energy units is familiar in thermodynamics where our choice corresponds to the use of what is usually termed τ instead of T . See, for example, C. Kittel, *Elementary Statistical Physics* (Wiley, New York 1958), p. 27.
 - [7] In this article we have discussed the case of waves in one spatial dimension. However, the same thermodynamic analysis applies immediately in three dimensions. The behavior of Casimir forces within a three-dimensional rectangular conducting box with a conducting partition can be shown numerically to repeat the same sort of behavior as found in the one-dimensional case. Indeed, related calculations were done decades ago in the three-dimensional calculations of M. Fierz, ”Zur Anziehung leitender Ebenen im Vacuum,” *Helvetica Physica Acta* **33**, 855-858 (1960), and of T. H. Boyer, ”Some Aspects of Quantum Electromagnetic Zero-Point Energy

- and Retarded Dispersion Forces,” Harvard doctoral thesis 1968 (unpublished), particularly Fig. 4.
- [8] This force expression is consistent with the generalized force given below Eq.(4).
- [9] See, for example, M. Planck, *The Theory of Heat Radiation* (Dover, NY 1959), pp. 61-63, or R. Becker and G. Leibfried, *Theory of Heat 2nd ed.* (Springer, NY 1967), pp. 16-17, or P.M. Morse, *Thermal Physics 2nd ed* (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA 1969), pp. 78-79.
- [10] See, for example, E. A. Power, *Introductory Quantum Electrodynamics* (American Elsevier, NY 1964), pp. 18-22.
- [11] H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetenschap. **51**, 793 (1948) gives the force per unit area due to electromagnetic zero-point radiation. The Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum gives a different force per unit area, $F/A = -\zeta(3)k_B T/(4\pi x^3)$. See, for example, T. H. Boyer, ”Temperature dependence of Van der Waals forces in classical electrodynamics with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation,” Phys. Rev. A **11**, 1650-1663 (1975).
- [12] See for example, G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series* (Oxford University Press, London, 1956).
- [13] It is curious and perhaps significant that the Euler-Maclaurin expansion which enters Casimir calculations involves the same Bernoulli numbers as appear in the coefficients of the hyperbolic tangent function. See, for example, R. P. Boas and C. Stutz, ”Estimating sums with integrals,” Am. J. Phys. **39**, 745 (1971) and M. Abramowitz and J. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1965), pp. 804 and 806.
- [14] J. C. da Silva, A. Matos Neto, H. Q. Placido, M. Revzen, and A. E. Santan, ”Casimir effect for conducting and permeable plates at finite temperature,” Physica A **292**, 411-421 (2001).
- [15] H. A. Lorentz, *The Theory of Electrons* (Dover, New York, 1952). This is a republication of the 2nd edition of 1915. Note 6, p. 240, gives Lorentz’s explicit assumption on the boundary condition.
- [16] The idea of classical zero-point radiation has occurred repeatedly. The earliest extensive suggestion is given by W. Nernst, ”Über einen Versuch, von quantentheoretischen Betrachtungen zur Annahme stetiger Energie änderungen zurückzukehren,” Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft, **18**, 83-116 (1916). Nernst was struck by the invariance of the zero-point spectrum under adiabatic compression. The Lorentz invariance of the spectrum was noted by T. W. Marshall, ”Statistical electrodynamics,” Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **61**, 537-546 (1965), and later by others.
- [17] Far too many foolish referees see Planck’s constant and conclude that quantum mechanics is being used somehow. Indeed, there are no quanta whatsoever in the classical analysis of the present paper. By the symbol \hbar , we actually mean $[\pi^2 k_B^4/(15c^3 a)]^{1/3}$ where c is the speed of light, k_B is Boltzmann’s constant, and a is Stefan’s energy-density constant, all constants of classical physics. Stefan’s constant a was introduced into physics in 1879, long before any suggestions of quanta.
- [18] L. de la Pena and A. M. Cetto have provided a extensive review of work on classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation in *The Quantum Dice: An Introduction to Stochastic Electrodynamics* (Kluwer, Boston 1996). For a short review, see also T. H. Boyer, ”Random electrodynamics: The theory of classical electrodynamics with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation,” Phys. Rev. D **11**, 790-808 (1975).
- [19] T. H. Boyer, ”Derivation of the blackbody radiation spectrum without quantum assumptions,” Phys. Rev. **182**, 1374 (1969).
- [20] T. H. Boyer, ”Classical statistical thermodynamics and electromagnetic zero-point radiation,” Phys. Rev. **186**, 1304-1318 (1969).

- [21] T. H. Boyer, "Diamagnetism of a free particle in classical electron theory with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Phys. Rev. A* **21**, 66-72 (1980); "Derivation of the Planck radiation spectrum as an interpolation formula in classical electrodynamics with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 2906-2911 (1983); **29**, 2418-2419 (1984).
- [22] T. H. Boyer, "Thermal effects of acceleration for a classical dipole oscillator in classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1089-1095 (1984); "Derivation of the blackbody radiation spectrum from the equivalence principle in classical physics with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1096-1098 (1984).
- [23] T. H. Boyer, "Thermal effects of acceleration for a classical spinning magnetic dipole in classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 1228-1232 (1984).
- [24] Ideas of classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation appear extensively in the work of T. W. Marshall, T. H. Boyer, D. C. Cole, and others. See the review by L. de la Pena and A. M. Cetto listed in reference 18.
- [25] Among the earlier calculations is that of P. Braffort and C. Tzara, "Energie de l'osillateur harmonique dans le vide," *Compte Rendu Acad. Sci. Paris* **239**, 1779-1780 (1954). See Chapter 7 in the review by L. de la Pena and A. M. Cetto listed in reference 18.
- [26] J. H. van Vleck and D. L. Huber, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49**, 939 (1977). T. H. Boyer, "Equilibrium of random classical electromagnetic radiation in the presence of a nonrelativistic nonlinear electric dipole oscillator," *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 2832-2845 (1976); "Statistical equilibrium of nonrelativistic multiply periodic classical systems and random classical electromagnetic radiation," *Phys. Rev. A* **18**, 1228-1237 (1978).
- [27] R. Blanco, L. Pesquera, and E. Santos, "Equilibrium between radiation and matter for classical relativistic multiperiodic systems. Derivation of Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution from Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum," *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1254-1287 (1983); "Equilibrium between radiation and matter for classical relativistic multiperiodic systems. II. Study of radiative equilibrium with Rayleigh-Jeans radiation," *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 2240-2254 (1984).
- [28] E. Cunningham, "The principle of relativity in electrodynamics and an extension thereof," *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8**, 77-98 (1910); H. Bateman, "The transformation of the electro-dynamical equations," *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8**, 223-264 (1910).
- [29] T. H. Boyer, "Scaling symmetry and thermodynamic equilibrium for classical electromagnetic radiation," *Found. Phys.* **19**, 1371-1383 (1989).
- [30] T. H. Boyer, "Conformal symmetry of classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation," *Found. Phys.* **19**, 349-365 (1989).
- [31] See references 26 and 27. It seems noteworthy that the quasi-Markov limit required in the available scattering calculations leads to singular behavior for electromagnetic systems.
- [32] Note that classical electron theory fits naturally into the curved spacetime of general relativity whereas most mechanical systems do not. This is related to the conformal symmetry of Maxwell's equations.
- [33] T. H. Boyer, "Classical Electromagnetic Interaction of a Point Charge and a Magnetic Moment: Considerations Related to the Aharonov-Bohm Phase Shift," *Found. Phys.* **32**, 1-39 (2002).
- [34] T. H. Boyer, "Semiclassical Explanation of the Matteucci-Pozzi and Aharonov-Bohm Phase Shifts;" *Found. Phys.* **32**, 41-49 (2002); "Does the Aharonov-Bohm Effect Exist?" *Found. Phys.* **30**, 893 (2000).
- [35] For example, blackbody radiation does not appear in J. J. Sakurai's text, *Modern Quantum Mechanics (Revised Edition)* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994), nor in L. E. Ballentine's

text, *Quantum Mechanics* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1990).