arXiv:physics/0111013v1 [physics.atom-ph] 5 Nov 2001

Quantum theory of spontaneous emission by real moving atoms

L. G. Boussiakou, C. R. Bennett and M. Babiker

Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, England

Abstract

We outline the solution of a fundamental problem in quantum theory which has hitherto lacked a proper solution, namely finding the requisite quantum theoretical framework guaranteeing that the calculated inverse spontaneous emission rate of a moving atom, as a composite system of charged particles interacting with the Maxwell field, is slowed down exactly as in time dilation.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p, 31.30.Jv, 42.50.Ct, 03.65.Vf

It has recently been shown that a neutral atom bearing an electric dipole moment moving in an external magnetic field can accumulate a quantum phase [1-5]. A moving dipole may, under suitable conditions, exhibit a detectable Aharonov-Bohm phase shift [6] and the rotational motion of a Bose-Einstein condensate in a vortex state can induce a magnetic monopole [7,8] distribution or an electric charge distribution [8]. These and other effects associated with atomic motion continue to receive considerable attention and especially so with the advent of atom optics [9,10] and laser cooling and trapping [11]. At first sight it would appear that the requisite theory for the description of pheneomena involving moving atoms could be constructed as a straightforward extension of non-relativistic quantum optics by incorporating the translational motion of the atomic centre of mass.

In fact the need to incorporate the centre of mass motion in quantum optics theory had necessitated a re-appraisal of the corresponding non-relativistic quantum electrodynamical theory where investigations sought to elucidate how the division of the motion into centre of mass and internal motions is affected by the presence of the interaction with electromagnetic fields [12-15]. One of the main outcomes of these investigations was the emphasis on the role of the Röntgen interaction [16] energy term which couples the electric dipole moment to an effective electric field involving the centre of mass velocity and the magnetic field of the light.

It was Wilkens [17] who pointed out that a theory which excluded the Röntgen interaction would lead to spurious velocity-dependent effects when evaluating the spontaneous decay rate of an excited electric dipole moving freely in electromagnetic vacuum. Wilkens extended his work to include the Röntgen interaction and evaluated the scattering rate into a given solid angle in a given direction, deducing that this was free of any spurious velocity-dependences [18]. He did not, however, proceed to ascertain whether the calculated <u>total</u> spontaneous emission rate based on his approach would be consistent with the requirements of special relativity. It turns out that Wilken's approach in fact leads to an incorrect result for the total rate.

0

More recently, Barton and Calegoracos [19] highlighted the absence in the literature of a proper treatment of the quantum theory of spontaneous emission of atoms moving in a classically assigned trajectory. This is so even for the simplest case of a uniformly moving atom. They put forward a theory in which they considered a model system of an atom in which the nucleus is the centre of mass and merely provides the Coulomb potential binding it to the electron and this system was assumed to be interacting only with the scalar field as a simplified representative of the Maxwell field. In addition to these simplifications, their theory called for a careful distinction between energies and Hamiltonians. As far as the authors are aware, a workable theoretical framework of the problem in which a real atom interacts with the full (vector) Maxwell field is hitherto unknown and it is our purpose here to furnish such a framework.

Our theory makes use of unambiguous canonical techniques; Lorentz transformations and a gauge transformation are two of its ingredients. It turns out that not only the Röntgen interaction features prominently in the theory, but that modified atomic internal energy levels and eigenfunctions, which produce an expected Doppler shift, play important roles in the characteristics of the emission process. Finally, one needs to distinguish carefully between projections of vector fields parallel and transverse to the atomic velocity vector. These different aspects of the problem conspire in a remarkable manner leading to the correct result, namely that the inverse total spontaneous rate of the moving atom follows the time dilation formula, as required by special relativity.

The model of a real atom we consider here involves two oppositely charged particles of charges $e_1 = -e_2 = e$ and finite masses m_1 and m_2 . In the centre of mass frame (atomic frame) we denote the position vectors of the two particles by \mathbf{q}'_1 and \mathbf{q}'_2 , and the electromagnetic scalar and vector potentials as $\phi'(\mathbf{r}')$ and $\mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}')$, respectively. The Lagrangian density for the electromagnetic field including the interaction with the two charged particles is

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left[(\dot{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r}') + \nabla' \phi'(\mathbf{r}'))^2 - c^2 (\nabla' \times \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}'))^2 \right] + \mathbf{J}'(\mathbf{r}') \cdot \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}') - \rho'(\mathbf{r}') \phi'(\mathbf{r}')$$
(1)

where the electric field is $\mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{r}') = -(\dot{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r}') + \nabla' \phi'(\mathbf{r}'))$, the magnetic field is $\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{r}') = \nabla' \times \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r})$ and the current and charge densities of the particles are given, respectively, by

$$\mathbf{J}'(\mathbf{r}') = e\left[\dot{\mathbf{q}}_1'\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{q}_1') - \dot{\mathbf{q}}_2'\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{q}_2')\right]$$
(2)

$$\rho'(\mathbf{r}') = e \left[\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{q}_1') - \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{q}_2')\right]$$
(3)

The notation is such that the atomic frame (the rest-frame) is referred to as S' and all quantities relative to this frame are primed. The laboratory frame is the unprimed frame and will be referred to as S, relative to which the atomic centre of mass moves at velocity $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$, and all quantities relative to S are unprimed.

The Langrangian density in Eq.(1) can be recast in terms of the primed centre of mass coordinates, defined by

$$\mathbf{R}' = \frac{(m_1 \mathbf{q}'_1 + m_2 \mathbf{q}'_2)}{M}; \qquad \mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{q}'_1 - \mathbf{q}'_2 \tag{4}$$

where $M = m_1 + m_2$ is the atomic mass. Note that in the primed frame, or rest-frame, we must have $\dot{\mathbf{R}}' = 0$, by definition. This allows us to carry out a Power-Zienau-Woolley gauge transformation [20] and straightforwardly obtain the new Langragian density

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left[(\dot{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r}') + \nabla' \phi'(\mathbf{r}'))^2 - c^2 (\nabla' \times \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}'))^2 \right] - \mathcal{P}'(\mathbf{r}').(\dot{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r}') + \nabla' \phi'(\mathbf{r}')) + \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{r}').(\nabla' \times \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}'))$$
(5)

where the polarisation and magnetisation vectors are expressed as full multipolar series in closed analytical forms

4

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}'(\mathbf{r}') = \sum_{i=1,2} e_i \int_0^1 d\lambda (\mathbf{q}'_i - \mathbf{R}') \,\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R}' - \lambda(\mathbf{q}'_i - \mathbf{R}')) \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{r}') = \sum_{i=1,2} e_i \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda (\mathbf{q}'_i - \mathbf{R}') \times \dot{\mathbf{q}}'_i \,\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R}' - \lambda(\mathbf{q}'_i - \mathbf{R}')) \tag{7}$$

The Lagrangian density in Eq.(5) has a manifestly covariant form, viz

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{\epsilon_0}{4} F'^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} G'^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$
(8)

where in the primed S' frame $F'_{\mu\nu}$ is the well known electromagnetic field 4-tensor [21] and $G'_{\mu\nu}$ is the polarisation field 4-tensor [22]. Formally $G'^{\mu\nu}$ has the same form as $F'^{\mu\nu}$ but with the substitutions $\mathbf{E}' \to \mathcal{P}'$ and $c\mathbf{B}' \to -\mathcal{M}'/c$.

Lorentz invariance allows us to write the Lagrangian density in the unprimed (laboratory) frame S exactly as in Eq.(8), or Eq.(5), simply by removing the primes. The total Lagrangian in the unprimed frame can now be written by adding the familiar relativistic Lagrangian contributions from the two particles as follows

$$L = -m_1 \dot{q}_1^2 / \gamma(\dot{q}_1) - m_2 \dot{q}_2^2 / \gamma(\dot{q}_2) + \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(E^2(\mathbf{r}) - c^2 B^2(\mathbf{r}) \right) + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \right]$$
(9)

where $\gamma(\dot{q}) = (1 - \dot{q}^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$; the electric and magnetic fields are given by $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla\phi(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$. It is important to bear in mind that the unprimed polarisation and magnetisation fields $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r})$ appearing in Eq.(9) are *not* those in Eqs.(6) and (7). The primed polarisation and magnetisation fields are rest properties and the unprimed ones are related to them by relativistic connection rules involving a Lorentz transformation of the polarisation field 4-tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ [22]. The interaction Lagrangian density (the last two terms in Eq.(9)) can thus be rewritten in terms of the primed polarisation and magnetisation by direct substitution as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \left[\mathcal{P}'_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}') + \gamma \left(\mathcal{P}'_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathcal{M}'(\mathbf{r}') \right) \right] . \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) + \left[\mathcal{M}'_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}') + \gamma \left(\mathcal{M}'_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}') - \dot{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathcal{P}'(\mathbf{r}') \right) \right] . \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$$
(10)

where the subscript $\parallel (\perp)$ denotes the vector projection parallel (perpendicular) to $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\dot{R})$. Note that in Eq.(10) the electric and magnetic fields have been left untransformed and we have emphasised the dependence on the space arguments \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' which are connected by the Lorentz coordinate transformation equations. The term in Eq.(10) involving the velocity, electric polorisation and the magnetic \mathbf{B} field is identified as the Röntgen Lagrangian interaction term [12-16], while the term involving the velocity, magnetisation and the electric field is identified as the Aharonov-Casher term [8,23].

Having expressed the interaction Lagrangian in terms of the known rest atomic properties \mathcal{P}' and \mathcal{M}' , we now turn to the particle Lagrangian terms (given by the first two terms in Eq.(9)) and seek to express their sum in terms of the unprimed centre of mass and relative velocities, $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$, respectively, using the relations

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_1 = \dot{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{m_2}{M} \dot{\mathbf{q}}; \qquad \dot{\mathbf{q}}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{m_1}{M} \dot{\mathbf{q}}$$
(11)

Concentrating on the unprimed (S) frame we now make use of the fact that the internal dynamics of the atom are not affected by relativistic considerations other than through $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ (i.e. the motion of the electron round the nucleus is essentially non-relativistic). We may then expand the sum of the particle Lagrangian terms up to terms quadratic in $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ to obtain

$$-\left(m_{1}\dot{q}_{1}^{2}/\gamma(\dot{q}_{1})+m_{2}\dot{q}_{2}^{2}/\gamma(\dot{q}_{2})\right) \simeq -M\dot{R}^{2}/\gamma+\frac{1}{2}\gamma\mu\left(\dot{q}^{2}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{c^{2}}(\dot{\mathbf{R}}.\dot{\mathbf{q}})^{2}\right)$$
$$\simeq -Mc^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[M\dot{R}^{2}+\gamma\mu\left(\dot{q}_{\perp}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\dot{q}_{\parallel}^{2}\right)\right] \quad (12)$$

where $\mu = m_1 m_2 / M$ is the reduced mass.

After making use of Eqs.(10) and (12), the new Lagrangian emerging from Eq.(9) now becomes the starting point of the canonical procedure with \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{q} as the canonical variables for the atom and $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ for the fields. The canonical momenta are \mathbf{P} (conjugate to \mathbf{R}), \mathbf{p} (conjugate to \mathbf{q}) and $\mathbf{\Pi}(\mathbf{r})$, which is identified as $-\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r})$, the electric displacement field, is the momentum conjugate to $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$, while the momentum conjugate to ϕ is zero. Since we are interested in spontaneous emission by an atom characterised by an electric dipole moment, we may now ignore magnetic interactions by setting all terms involving \mathcal{M} to zero. The final Hamiltonian emerging from the canonical procedure can be written as a sum of three terms as follows

c

$$H = H_a^0 + H_f^0 + H_{int} (13)$$

where

$$H_a^0 = \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2\gamma\mu} \left(p_{\perp}^2 + \frac{p_{\parallel}^2}{\gamma^2} \right) + U(q)$$
(14)

$$H_f^0 = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_0^2} \Pi^2(\mathbf{r}) + c^2 B^2(\mathbf{r}) \right]$$
(15)

$$H_{int} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\parallel}'(\mathbf{r}') + \gamma \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp}'(\mathbf{r}') \right) . \boldsymbol{\Pi}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{2M} . (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}'(\mathbf{r}') \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})) + (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}'(\mathbf{r}') \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})) . \frac{\mathbf{P}}{2M} \right]$$
(16)

In Eq.(14) H_a^0 is identified as the unperturbed atomic Hamiltonian and is seen to be clearly divisible into a centre of mass part and an internal part and we should note the appearance of the relativistic factor γ in the latter. The potential U(q) in Eq.(14) is the inter-particle Coulomb potential in the unprimed (laboratory) frame. In the primed frame (rest-frame) the inter-particle Coulomb potential, denoted as U'(q'), arises in the multipolar formulation from an integral term containing the square of the irrotational part \mathcal{P}'^L of the polarisation field, together with infinite Coulomb self energies

$$\frac{1}{2\epsilon_0} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}' \left\{ \mathbf{\mathcal{P}'}^L \right\}^2 = U'(q') + \text{ infinite Coulomb self energies}$$
(17)

On disregarding the infinite Coulomb self energies, one then transforms the interparticle Coulomb energy U'(q') to obtain U(q), the interaction in the unprimed frame. The simplest and most direct route is by following the force transformation argument [24] to obtain

$$U(q) = \frac{U'(q')}{\gamma} = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\gamma q'} \tag{18}$$

The expression for H_f^0 given in Eq.(15) is the familiar unperturbed field Hamiltonian which can be quantised following the standard methods of quantisation for a free field in the laboratory frame. Finally, H_{int} , given in Eq.(16) is a new form of interaction Hamiltonian which couples the rest polarisation field to electromagnetic fields in the laboratory frame. Note the division of polarisation in terms of || and \perp contributions and the appearance of the relativistic factor γ , explicitly and also implicitly via Lorentz transformation from $\mathbf{r'}$ to \mathbf{r} . The last set of terms in H_{int} are identified as the Röntgen interaction, expressed in a symmetrised form. In order to describe the spontaneous emission process, we need to solve zero order eigenproblems for the fields and for the atom. The fields can be quantised by following the standard methods of quantisation based on the Hamiltonian in Eq.(15) in the laboratory frame. The solution of the atomic eigneproblem involves consideration of the Schröndinger equation $H_a^0\Psi(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{q}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{q})$ which can be written as

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\gamma\mu}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\gamma^2\partial q_z^2}\right) - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\gamma q'}\right]\Psi(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{q}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{q})$$
(19)

where, without loss of generality, we have taken the direction of the velocity \mathbf{R} to be the z-direction. Equation (19) admits solutions of the form $\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{q}) = e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{R}}\psi(\mathbf{q})$ where $E = \hbar^2 K^2/2M + \epsilon$. Upon making the substitution $q'_z = \gamma q_z$ we obtain a Schödinger equation governing the internal states of a hydrogenic atom in the atomic frame (restframe) S' such that $\gamma \epsilon = \epsilon'$ where ϵ' are the internal eigenenergies in the rest-frame S'.

The corresponding eigenfunction possesses the same formal expression in the two frames, but note that in the laboratory frame S the position vector would be \mathbf{q} not \mathbf{q}' which means that the atom will appear to Lorentz-contract in the direction parallel to the velocity, as should be expected. It is important to remember that these physically consistent modifications to the internal energy levels and eigenfunctions have only come to light because of the modified form of the internal kinetic energy term as well as the Coulomb potential energy terms appearing in Eqs.(14) and (18). Without the asymmetry due to the presence of γ in the internal kinetic energy terms and the dependence of the Coulomb potential energy on q', the familiar spatial symmetry of the hydrogenic Schöndinger equation would have been lost, leading to angular dependence and, consequently, to spurious features arising from the lifting of the degeneracy of the energy levels.

We are now in a position to consider the energy and momentum conservation accompanying the process of spontaneous emission of a photon described in the unprimed (laboratory) frame as having wavevector \mathbf{k} and frequency ω when the internal energy of the atom changes from ϵ_i to ϵ_f . Conservation of momentum requires that we have $\mathbf{K}_{f} = \mathbf{K}_{i} - \mathbf{k}$ where $\mathbf{K}_{i} = M\dot{\mathbf{R}}/\hbar$ is the initial centre of mass wavevector and \mathbf{K}_{f} is the final wavevector in the laboratory frame. Conservation of energy, on the other hand, demands that we have

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\hbar} (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_f) + \frac{\hbar}{2M} (\mathbf{K}_i - \mathbf{K}_f)^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar\gamma} (\epsilon'_i - \epsilon'_f) + \frac{\hbar^2}{M} (\mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}) - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2M} \simeq \frac{\omega'_0}{\gamma} + \dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{k} \quad (20)$$

where $\hbar \omega'_0$ is the energy level difference in the primed (rest) frame S' and we have ignored the second order recoil energy. Note that Eq.(20) is equivalent to a Doppler shift in the photon frequency.

Two cases in the calculation of the spontaneous emission rate will have to be considered relative to the laboratory (unprimed) frame S, namely (i) when the dipole moment vector is parallel to the velocity vector and (ii) when the dipole meanent vector is perpendicular to the velocity vector. If these two calculations yield exactly the same result, then the spontaneous emission is deemed to be isotropic i.e. free from angular dependence. Imposing the electric dipole approximation, $\mathcal{P}'(\mathbf{r}') = \mathbf{d}' \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R}')$ where $\mathbf{d}' = e\mathbf{q}'$, we obtain for the transition matrix element squared, with H_{int} as given in Eq.(16) as the interaction,

$$|ME|^{2} = d^{\prime 2} \left| \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{R})}{\gamma} + \left[\mathbf{E}_{\perp}(\mathbf{R}) - \frac{1}{2} \left(2\dot{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{M} \right) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}) \right] \right|^{2}$$
(21)

where only transverse (i.e. solenoidal or divergence-free) electromagnetic fields are involved and we have written **E** instead of $-\Pi/\epsilon_0$, anticipating free space quantisation [25]. The Röntgen term contains the average velocity of the atom before and after the transition due to the symmetrised term in Eq.(16) but we may ignore the momentum of the photon since this is small compared to the initial momentum of the atom.

The free-space normalised electromagnetic fields can be obtained straightforwardly, remembering that we should identify two orthogonal wave polarisations. We choose the z-direction, i.e. along $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$, as the axis along which there will be either a magnetic field (i.e. transverse electric or TE) or an electric field (i.e. transverse magnetic or TM). We can then write for a given wavevector $\mathbf{k} = (k, \theta, \phi)$ the following normalised

Ω

electric and magnetic field vector amplitude functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{TM}(\mathbf{r}) &= \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2V\epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2} \left[\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos(\theta)\sin(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \sin(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{z}}\right] e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}^{TM}(\mathbf{r}) &= \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2V\epsilon_0c^2}\right)^{1/2} \left[\sin(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \cos(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{y}}\right] e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} \\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{TE}(\mathbf{r}) &= \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2V\epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2} \left[\sin(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \cos(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{y}}\right] e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}^{TE}(\mathbf{r}) &= \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2V\epsilon_0c^2}\right)^{1/2} \left[\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos(\theta)\sin(\phi)\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \sin(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{z}}\right] e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} \end{aligned}$$

where V is a normalisation volume.

Turning finally to the Fermi golden rule formula in the unprimed (laboratory) frame S, we find that the spontaneous emission rate can be written as

$$\Gamma = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\lambda} |ME|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_0'/\gamma - \dot{R}k\cos(\theta)) = \frac{V}{4\pi^2\hbar^2} \sum_{\lambda} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{\omega_0'^3 |ME|^2}{\gamma^3 c^3 (1 - \dot{R}\cos(\theta)/c)^4}$$
(23)

where the sum over λ denotes the summation over the two wave polarisations, TM and TE, and the right hand side emerges after performing the integration over **k** with the help of the delta function. Substituting for the matrix element squared from Eq.(21) and the electric and magnetic fields from Eq.(22), we find that the spontaneous emission rate for a dipole parallel and perpendicular to the velocity in the laboratory frame *S* are given, respectively, by

$$\Gamma_{\parallel} = \Gamma_0' \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{3(1-x^2)}{4\gamma^5 (1-\dot{R}x/c)^4} = \frac{\Gamma_0'}{\gamma}$$
(24)

(22)

$$\Gamma_{\perp} = \Gamma_0' \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{3[(1 + \dot{R}^2/c^2)(1 + x^2) - 4\dot{R}x/c]}{8\gamma^3(1 - \dot{R}x/c)^4} = \frac{\Gamma_0'}{\gamma}$$
(25)

where $\Gamma'_0 = d'^2 \omega'_0^3 / (3\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c^3)$ is the free- space rate of spontaneous emission of the atom in the atomic rest-frame S'. Note that only the TM mode is involved in the

10

evaluation of the parallel dipole rate and that both polarisations, TM and TE, are needed to obtain the perpendicular dipole rate. It is seen that there is no angular dependence, i.e. the rate of spontaneous emission is isotropic, and it does indeed vary like a relativistic clock.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Professor G. Barton for useful discussions and for providing a copy of Ref.[19]. C.R.B would like to thank the EPSRC for financial support and L.G.B. would like to thank the University of York for financial support. This work has been carried out under the EPSRC Grant No. GR/M16313.

References

- 1. M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 5 (1994)
- 2. H. Wei, R. Han and X. Wei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2071 (1995)
- C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1656 (1996); H. Wei, R. Han and X. Wei, ibid 1657
- 4. G. Spavieri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1533 (1998)
- 5. M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1534 (1998)
- 6. U. Leonhardt and M. Wilkens, Europhys. lett. 42, 365 (1998)
- 7. U. Leonhardt and P. Piwnicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2426 (1999)
- 8. C R Bennett, L G Boussiakou and M Babiker, Phys. Rev. A, in press
- E. Arimondo and H.-A. Bachor, eds. Quant. Sem. Optics 8 (special issue), 495-753 (1996)

11

10. K. G. H. Baldwin, Aust. J. Phys. 49, 855-897 (1996)

- 11. C. S. Adams and E. Riis, Prog. Quant. Electr. 21, 1 (1997)
- M. Babiker, E. A. Power and T. Thirunamachandran, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 332, 187 (1973); 338, 235 (1974)
- 13. E. A. Power and T. Thirunamachandran, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 372, 265 (1980)
- 14. C. Baxter, M. Babiker and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. A 47, 1278 (1993)
- V. E. Lembessis, M. Babiker, C. Baxter and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. A 48, 1594 (1993)
- 16. W. C. Röntgen, Ann. Phys. Chem. **35**, 264 (1888).
- 17. M. Wilkens Phys. Rev. A 47, 671 (1993)
- 18. M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 570 (1994)
- G. Barton and A. Calogeracos in "The Cashimer Effect 50 Years Later", Ed. M. Bordag (World Scientific: Singapore 1999)
- 20. M. Babiker and R. Loudon, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 385, 439 (1983)
- L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, "The classical theory of fields" (Pergamon Press: Oxford 1969)
- 22. G. E. Vekstein Eur. J. Phys. 18, 113 (1997)
- 23. Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 319 (1984)
- 24. A. P. French, "Special Relativity" (Chapman and Hall: UK 1990)
- C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc and G. Grynberg, "Photons and Atoms, Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics" (Wiley: New York 1989)

10