

Classical and Quantal Descriptions of Small Amplitude Fluctuations Around Equilibriums in the Two-Level Pairing Model

Yasuhiko TSUE,¹ Constança PROVIDÊNCIA,²
 João da PROVIDÊNCIA² and Masatoshi YAMAMURA³

¹*Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan*

²*Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal*

³*Faculty of Engineering, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan*

Abstract

Various classical counterparts for the two-level pairing model in a many-fermion system are presented in the Schwinger boson representation. It is shown that one of the key ingredients giving the classical descriptions for quantal system is the use of the various trial states besides the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state, which may be natural selection for the two-level pairing model governed by the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra. It is pointed out that the fictitious behavior like the sharp phase transition can be avoided by using the other states such as the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ - and the $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent states, while the sharp phase transition appears in the usual Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov and the quasi-particle random phase approximations in the original fermion system.

§1. Introduction

The use of boson representation for many-fermion systems gives a powerful method to describe the dynamics of the original fermion systems. On the basis of the Lie algebraic structure of the model Hamiltonian, the Schwinger¹⁾ and the Holstein-Primakoff²⁾ type boson realizations give helpful tools to investigate the dynamics of the original fermion systems. The boson mapping method, which was proposed in Refs.3), 4) and 5), is also useful method⁶⁾ to describe the many-body systems beyond the usual mean field, the Hartree-Fock(-Bogoliubov) and the random phase approximation. The Marumori-Yamamura-Tokunaga (MYT) boson mapping method⁴⁾ is characterized by the mapping of operators acting on the Fock space in the original systems into operators acting on the boson Fock space in the corresponding boson systems. This idea was strongly stressed by the present authors and widely applied to the many-fermion and many-boson systems to study the dynamics under consideration.⁷⁾ The oscillation around the mean field configuration is, of course, described in terms of the bosonic degrees of freedom.

As another advantage of the use of the boson representation of the original fermion system, we can say that it is possible to introduce various trial states in the framework of the time-dependent variational method. In the fermion system, the trial state may be restricted to a simple class in the mean field approximation. For example, if the fermion system is governed by the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra constructed from the bilinear forms of the fermion creation and annihilation operators, the trial state in the variational method may be restricted to the Slater determinantal or BCS state, namely, the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state in the framework of the mean field approximation. This is just the case in which the two-level pairing model in a many-fermion system is considered. On the other hand, in the boson representation of the original fermion system, various trial states are possible, even if the system is governed by, for example, the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra. The $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state corresponds to the Glauber coherent state in the boson representation. In addition to this state, the $su(2) \otimes su(1,1)$ - and the $su(1,1) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent states are possible to describe the dynamics of the original two-level pairing model governed by the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra. Thus, it is possible to give the various classical descriptions for the original unique many-fermion system.

In this paper, we deal with the two-level pairing model governed by the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra. This model is treated in the Schwinger boson representation for the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra in which four kinds of boson operators are introduced. In order to give a classical description of the pairing model, three types of trial states are introduced, namely, the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -, $su(2) \otimes su(1,1)$ - and $su(1,1) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent states. The $su(2) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent

state for the two-level pairing model has been defined in Ref. 8), which is referred to as (I). Also, the $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent state for the two-level pairing model has been defined in Ref.9). In this paper, we numerically calculate the ground state energy and the frequency of the small amplitude oscillation around the energy minimum state, and compare them with the exact results. Then, it is pointed out that the fictitious behavior like the sharp phase transition can be avoided by using the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ - and the $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent states, while the sharp phase transition appears by using of the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state which corresponds to the usual Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov and the quasi-particle random phase approximations in the original fermion system. As an additional remark, it should be mentioned that, from a viewpoint different from the present one, the authors have already reported numerical results for the two-level pairing model, which supplement the present results.¹⁰⁾

This paper is organized as follows. The outline of the two-level pairing model and its Schwinger boson representation with the four kinds of boson operators is presented in the next section. In §3, re-formation of this model in terms of one kind of boson operator and its classical counterpart is given and three types of boson coherent states are introduced. A classical description based on each one of the three types of coherent state is given in §4. In order to investigate the ground state energy with quantum correction and the frequency of the small amplitude oscillation around the static configuration, the quantal treatment is given in §5. The numerical results are also shown in this section. The last section is devoted to concluding remarks. In appendix A, some results in the case of the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state are supplemented. In appendix B, the derivation of quantal Hamiltonian is given in order to introduce the ground state energy with quantum correction and the frequency of small amplitude oscillation around the ground state.

§2. Outline of the model

In this section and partly in the next one, we list some of the relations appearing in (I). They help us to understand various results shown in this paper. The Hamiltonian of the system which we intend to describe is given in the relation (I·3·3a):

$$\tilde{H} = \epsilon \left(\tilde{S}_0(+) - \tilde{S}_0(-) \right) - G \left(\tilde{S}_+(+) + \tilde{S}_+(-) \right) \left(\tilde{S}_-(+) + \tilde{S}_-(-) \right) . \quad (2.1)$$

Here, ϵ and G denote the energy difference between the upper (specified by $\sigma = +$) and the lower (specified by $\sigma = -$) level and the strength of the interaction, respectively. The set $(\tilde{S}_{\pm,0}(\sigma); \sigma = \pm)$ obeys the $su(2)$ -algebra and it can be expressed in the form shown in the

relation (I·3·1):

$$\tilde{S}_+(\sigma) = \hbar \hat{a}_\sigma^* \hat{b}_\sigma, \quad \tilde{S}_-(\sigma) = \hbar \hat{b}_\sigma^* \hat{a}_\sigma, \quad \tilde{S}_0(\sigma) = (\hbar/2)(\hat{a}_\sigma^* \hat{a}_\sigma - \hat{b}_\sigma^* \hat{b}_\sigma). \quad (2.2)$$

The operators $(\hat{a}_\sigma, \hat{a}_\sigma^*)$ and $(\hat{b}_\sigma, \hat{b}_\sigma^*)$ denote boson annihilation and creation operators. The form (2.2) is identical to the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(2)$ -algebra. From the composition of the Hamiltonian (2.1), we can understand that the present system obeys the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra. The original form of the Hamiltonian (2.1) can be expressed in terms of fermion operators shown in the form (I·2·1). The explicit expression of the Hamiltonian (2.1) in boson operators is given in the relation (I·3·3b).

For the present boson system, the following four hermitian operators are mutually commutable:

$$\tilde{L} = (\hbar/2)(\hat{a}_+^* \hat{a}_+ + \hat{b}_+^* \hat{b}_+), \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\tilde{M} = (\hbar/2)(\hat{a}_+^* \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-^* \hat{a}_-), \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\tilde{T} = (\hbar/2)(-\hat{a}_+^* \hat{a}_+ + \hat{b}_-^* \hat{b}_- + 1), \quad (2.3c)$$

$$\tilde{K} = \hbar \hat{a}_+^* \hat{a}_+. \quad (2.3d)$$

The form (2.3) is shown in the relation (I·3·4) and it should be noted that \tilde{L} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{T} commute with the Hamiltonian (2.1). With the use of the operators (2.3), together with the expression (2.2), the Hamiltonian (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{H}_1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_0 = & - \left[\epsilon \left(\tilde{L} + \tilde{M} - \left(\tilde{T} - \hbar/2 \right) \right) + 4G\tilde{T}\tilde{M} \right] \\ & + 2 \left[\epsilon - G \left(\tilde{L} + \tilde{M} - \left(\tilde{T} - \hbar/2 \right) \right) \right] \tilde{K} + 2G\tilde{K}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\tilde{H}_1 = -G \cdot \hbar^2 \left[\hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^* \hat{b}_+ \hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^* \hat{b}_- \hat{a}_+ \right]. \quad (2.5b)$$

In (I), noting that \tilde{L} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{T} are constants of motion, we treated the Hamiltonian (2.4) quantum- and classical-mechanically. In the case of the classical treatment in (I), the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent state played a central role. In this paper, we treat the cases of the $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ - and the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent states on an equal footing with the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent state.

As was mentioned in (I), the two-level pairing model as a many-fermion system is characterized by three quantities. They are the numbers of the single-particle states in the levels

$\sigma = +$ and $\sigma = -$, namely, $2\Omega_+$ and $2\Omega_-$, respectively, and the total fermion number N . The original fermion system is related to the present boson system through

$$(\hbar/2)\Omega_+ = L, \quad (\hbar/2)N = M, \quad (\hbar/2)(\Omega_- - N/2 + 1) = T. \quad (2.6)$$

Here, the q -numbers \tilde{L} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{T} are replaced with the c -numbers L , M and T , respectively. Through the relation (2.6), the subspace, in which the eigenvalues of \tilde{L} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{T} are L , M and T , respectively, corresponds to the original fermion space specified by Ω_+ , Ω_- and N . The above can be found in the relation (I.6.3). In this paper, we treat the case $T \geq \hbar/2$. For the numerical results, we discuss the case

$$\Omega_+ = \Omega_- (= \Omega), \quad N = 2\Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

The case (2.7) corresponds to

$$L = M = (\hbar/2)\Omega, \quad T = \hbar/2. \quad (2.8)$$

The case (2.7) tells that the degeneracies of the two levels are the same and the total fermions occupy the lower level completely (a closed shell), if the interaction is switched off.

§3. Re-formation in terms of one kind of boson operator and its classical counterpart

The system presented in §2 can be expressed in terms of four kinds of bosons and it contains three constants of motion. Therefore, the present system can be described in terms of one kind of degree of freedom. With the aid of the MYT mapping method, in (I), we transcribed the system in the space spanned by one kind of boson (\hat{c} , \hat{c}^*). The Hamiltonian (2.4) is transcribed in the form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_0 = & -[\epsilon(L + M - (T - \hbar/2)) + 4GTM] \\ & + 2[\epsilon - G(L + M - (T - \hbar/2))] \hat{K} + 2G\hat{K}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\hat{H}_1 = \hat{H}_1(c_-) = \hat{H}_1(c^0) = \hat{H}_1(c),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1(c_-) = & -G \left[\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* \cdot \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_1(c^0) &= -G \left[\sqrt{2L + \hbar - \hat{K}} \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* \cdot \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c} \cdot \sqrt{2L + \hbar - \hat{K}} \right], \\
\hat{H}_1(c) &= -G \left[\sqrt{2T - \hbar + \hat{K}} \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* \cdot \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c} \cdot \sqrt{2T - \hbar + \hat{K}} \right], \tag{3.2b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{K} = \hbar \hat{c}^* \hat{c}. \tag{3.3}$$

The notations c_- , c^0 and c will be interpreted later. The relation $[\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^*, \hat{K}] = -\hbar \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^*$ and $[\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}, \hat{K}] = \hbar \cdot \sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}$ shows that $\hat{H}_1(c_-) = \hat{H}_1(c^0) = \hat{H}_1(c)$. The above can be seen in the relations (I.4.11) and (I.4.12) with the derivation.

We know that, with the aid of the appropriately chosen boson coherent state, we can derive the classical counterpart of the original quantal system. For the present system, we used in (I), the coherent state $|c_- \rangle\rangle$ shown in the form (I.5.3) and its rewritten form (I.5.6). The state $|c_- \rangle\rangle$ can be, further, rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_- \rangle\rangle &= N_c \exp \left(\frac{V_+}{U_+} \hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^* \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \frac{W_-}{U_+} \hat{b}_-^* \right) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left(\frac{A_-}{B_+} \hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^* \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} B_+ \hat{b}_+^* \right) |0 \rangle\rangle, \\
U_+ &= \sqrt{1 + |V_+|^2}. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, N_c denotes the normalization constant and V_+ , W_- , A_- and B_+ are complex parameters. We can see that the state $|c_- \rangle\rangle$ is generated by successive operation of $\hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+$ and $\hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*$. The operators $\hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+$ and $\hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*$ are the raising operators of the $su(2)$ - and the $su(1,1)$ -algebra, respectively. From the above reason, in (I), we called the state $|c_- \rangle\rangle$ the $su(2) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent state. Through the process presented in (I), the expectation value of the Hamiltonian \tilde{H} , which is given in the relation (I.3.3), was calculated. The result is shown in the relation (I.4.15). In notations slightly different from those in (I), we have the following form:

$$H(c_-) = \langle\langle c_- | \tilde{H} | c_- \rangle\rangle = H_0(c_-) + H_1(c_-), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0(c_-) &= -[\epsilon(L + M - (T - \hbar/2)) + 4GTM] \\
&\quad + 2[\epsilon - G(L + M - (T - \hbar/2))]K + 2GK^2, \tag{3.6a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$H_1(c_-) = -2G\sqrt{K(2T + K)(2M - K)(2L - K)} \cos \psi. \tag{3.6b}$$

Here, (ψ, K) denotes the angle-action variable.

In (I), we showed various forms of coherent states. One of them was presented in the form (I·7·20), which is denoted as $|c^0\rangle\rangle$. The state $|c^0\rangle\rangle$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |c^0\rangle\rangle &= N_c \exp\left(\frac{V_+}{U_+} \hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \frac{W_-}{U_+} \hat{b}_-^*\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{V_-}{U_-} \hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \frac{W_+}{U_-} \hat{b}_+^*\right) |0\rangle\rangle, \\ U_\sigma &= \sqrt{1 + |V_\sigma|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Here, of course, N_c denotes a normalization constant and V_σ and W_σ ($\sigma = \pm$) are complex parameters. We can see that $|c^0\rangle\rangle$ is generated by the operators $\hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*$ and $\hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^*$, which are the raising operators of two independent $su(1,1)$ -algebras. Then, we call the state (3.7) the $su(1,1) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent state. Under the same idea as that in the case of $|c_-\rangle\rangle$, the expectation value of \tilde{H} for $|c^0\rangle\rangle$ is given in the form

$$H(c^0) = \langle\langle c^0 | \tilde{H} | c^0 \rangle\rangle = H_0(c^0) + H_1(c^0), \quad (3.8)$$

$$H_0(c^0) = H_0(c_-), \quad (3.9a)$$

$$H_1(c^0) = -2G\sqrt{K(2T+K)(2M-K)(2L+\hbar-K)} \cos\psi. \quad (3.9b)$$

The third is the following one:

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle\rangle &= N_c \exp\left(\frac{A_+}{B_-} \hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} B_- \hat{b}_-^*\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{A_-}{B_+} \hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} B_+ \hat{b}_+^*\right) |0\rangle\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Here, N_c denotes the normalization constant and A_σ and B_σ ($\sigma = \pm$) are complex parameters. Clearly, $|c\rangle\rangle$ is generated by $\hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_-^*$ and $\hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_+^*$, which are the raising operators of two independent $su(2)$ -algebras. Therefore, we call it the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state. We did not contact with $|c\rangle\rangle$ explicitly in (I). The state $|c\rangle\rangle$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle\rangle &= N_c \exp\left(\frac{A_+}{B_+} \hat{a}_+^* \hat{b}_+^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{B_+}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \hat{b}_+^*\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{A_-}{B_-} \hat{a}_-^* \hat{b}_-^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{B_-}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \hat{b}_-^*\right) |0\rangle\rangle \\ &= N_c \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \left(A_+ \hat{a}_+^* + B_+ \hat{b}_+^* + A_- \hat{a}_-^* + B_- \hat{b}_-^*\right)\right) |0\rangle\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The form (3.11) tells that $|c\rangle\rangle$ corresponds to the BCS state and it is identical to a kind of the Glauber coherent state. Then, the expectation value of \tilde{H} for $|c\rangle\rangle$ is calculated in the form

$$H(c) = \langle\langle c|\tilde{H}|c\rangle\rangle = H_0(c) + H_1(c) , \quad (3.12)$$

$$H_0(c) = H_0(c_-) , \quad (3.13a)$$

$$H_1(c) = -2G\sqrt{K(2T - \hbar + K)(2M - K)(2L - K)} \cos \psi . \quad (3.13b)$$

In the above, we showed that, for one quantal system, three classical counterparts were derived. It may be natural, because we used three different coherent states. Our final problem of this section is related to the re-quantization. For this task, it may be convenient to introduce new canonical variable in boson-type, (c, c^*) , through

$$\sqrt{\hbar}c = \sqrt{K}e^{-i\psi} , \quad \sqrt{\hbar}c^* = \sqrt{K}e^{i\psi} . \quad (K = \hbar c^*c) \quad (3.14)$$

The re-quantization may be performed by the replacement

$$\sqrt{\hbar}c \longrightarrow \sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c} , \quad \sqrt{\hbar}c^* \longrightarrow \sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^* , \quad K \longrightarrow \hat{K} . \quad (3.15)$$

Under the replacement (3.15), $H_0(c_-) = H_0(c^0) = H_0(c)$ is requantized to \hat{H}_0 shown in the relation (3.2a). Concerning $H_1(c_-)$, $H_1(c^0)$ and $H_1(c)$, the following relation is interesting:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hbar}c^*\sqrt{2T+K}\sqrt{2M-K}\sqrt{2L-K} &\longrightarrow \sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^*\sqrt{2T+\hat{K}}\sqrt{2M-\hat{K}}\sqrt{2L-\hat{K}} \\ &\text{for } |c_-\rangle\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hbar}c^*\sqrt{2T+K}\sqrt{2M-K}\sqrt{2L+\hbar-K} &= \sqrt{2L+\hbar-K}\sqrt{\hbar}c^*\sqrt{2T+K}\sqrt{2M-K} \\ \longrightarrow \sqrt{2L+\hbar-\hat{K}}\sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^*\sqrt{2T+\hat{K}}\sqrt{2M-\hat{K}} &= \sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^*\sqrt{2T+\hat{K}}\sqrt{2M-\hat{K}}\sqrt{2L-\hat{K}} \\ &\text{for } |c^0\rangle\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.16b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hbar}c^*\sqrt{2T-\hbar+K}\sqrt{2M-K}\sqrt{2L-K} &= \sqrt{2T-\hbar+K}\sqrt{\hbar}c^*\sqrt{2M-K}\sqrt{2L-K} \\ \longrightarrow \sqrt{2T-\hbar+\hat{K}}\sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^*\sqrt{2M-\hat{K}}\sqrt{2L-\hat{K}} &= \sqrt{\hbar}\hat{c}^*\sqrt{2T+\hat{K}}\sqrt{2M-\hat{K}}\sqrt{2L-\hat{K}} \\ &\text{for } |c\rangle\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.16c)$$

If we note the relation $2K \cos \psi = \sqrt{K}(\sqrt{\hbar}c^* + \sqrt{\hbar}c)$, the relation (3.16) leads to

$$H_1(c_-) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_1(c_-) , \quad H_1(c^0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_1(c^0) , \quad H_1(c) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_1(c) . \quad (3.17)$$

As was shown in the relation (3.2b), we have $\hat{H}_1(c_-) = \hat{H}_1(c^0) = \hat{H}_1(c) = \hat{H}_1$. In the above, the meanings of the symbols c_- , c^0 and c may be clear. From the above argument, we can understand that three different classical systems become to single quantal system, depending on the ordering of the variables. Therefore, the above three are on equal footing, and then, it may be interesting to investigate what results they give for each classical and quantal case.

§4. Classical treatment

In §7 of (I), we sketched an idea how to treat the Hamiltonian obtained in classical and quantum framework. In this section, we apply this idea to the three classical cases discussed in §3. We treat the concrete case (2·8) which is equivalent to the condition (2·7) in the original fermion space. Further, in order to simplify various relations, we adopt $\hbar = 1$ and $\epsilon = 2$. Then, the functions $F(K)$ and $f(K)$ introduced in the form (I·7·1) is given in the following form:

$$H = F(K) - f(K) + 2f(K) (\sin \psi/2)^2 . \quad (4.1)$$

$$F(K) = F_0 + F_1(K) , \quad (4.2)$$

$$F_0 = -(2 + G)\Omega , \quad (4.3a)$$

$$F_1(K) = 2(2 - G\Omega)K + 2GK^2 , \quad (4.3b)$$

$$f(K) = 2G\sqrt{K(K + \delta)(\Omega - K)(\Omega + \Delta - K)} (= f(K; \delta, \Delta)) . \quad (4.4)$$

Here, (δ, Δ) denote parameters for discriminating the three cases:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta = 1 , \Delta = 0) & \quad \text{for } H(c_-) , \\ (\delta = 1 , \Delta = 1) & \quad \text{for } H(c^0) , \\ (\delta = 0 , \Delta = 0) & \quad \text{for } H(c) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Our picture is based on small amplitude oscillation around the energy minimum point. If the energy minimum point can be found in the region $0 < K < \Omega$ for $G \neq 0$, the form (4.1) gives

$$\psi = 0 . \quad (4.6)$$

Then, our problem is reduced to finding a solution of the relation

$$F'(K) - f'(K) = 0 , \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad F_1'(K) - f'(K) = 0 . \quad (4.7)$$

Here, $F_1'(K)$ and $f'(K)$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(K) &= 2(2 - G\Omega) + 4GK , \\ f'(K) &= G \left[\frac{2K + \delta}{\sqrt{K(K + \delta)}} \sqrt{(\Omega - K)(\Omega + \Delta - K)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{K(K + \delta)} \frac{2\Omega + \Delta - 2K}{\sqrt{(\Omega - K)(\Omega + \Delta - K)}} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$




Fig. 1. The behavior of $F_1(K)$ is depicted in the case (a) $G\Omega < 2$ ($\Omega = 5$ and $G = 0.25$) and (b) $G\Omega > 2$ ($\Omega = 5$ and $G = 0.75$). Here, $F_1'(0) = 2(2 - G\Omega)$.




Fig. 2. The behavior of $f(K)$ is depicted in the cases of (a) $\delta = 1$, $\Delta = 0$ (case (i)), (b) $\delta = 1$, $\Delta = 1$ (case (ii)) and (c) $\delta = 0$, $\Delta = 0$ (case (iii)). In (a) and (b), $f'(0)$ goes to infinity, $f'(0) \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, in (c), $f'(0) = 2G\Omega$.

Figs.1 and 2 show that $F_1'(0)$ is finite and $f'(0) \rightarrow +\infty$ in the case $\delta = 1$, and $(F_1(K) - f(K))$ is decreasing near $K = 0$ and then, afterward, changes to increasing function until $K = \Omega$. Therefore, we can find the solution of the relation (4.7) in the region $0 < K < \Omega$. On the other hand, in the case ($\delta = 0$, $\Delta = 0$), if $F_1'(0) - f'(0) > 0$, i.e., $G\Omega < 1$, $(F_1(K) - f(K))$ is an increasing function in the region $0 < K < \Omega$ and we cannot find the solution of the relation (4.6). In this case, $K = 0$ gives the energy minimum point and the condition (4.6) is not necessary. If $F_1'(0) - f'(0) < 0$, i.e., $G\Omega > 1$, $(F_1(K) - f(K))$ is decreasing near $K = 0$ and then, afterward, changes to increasing function. Therefore, we can find the solution of the relation (4.6). The above consideration gives the energy of the energy minimum point in the form

$$E_c(K_0; \delta, \Delta) = F_0 + F_1(K_0) - f(K_0; \delta, \Delta) . \quad (4.9)$$

Here, for the cases $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 0$ ($G\Omega > 1$), K_0 is given as the solution of the relation (4.7):

$$F_1'(K_0) - f'(K_0; \delta, \Delta) = 0 . \quad (4.10)$$

For the case $\delta = 0$ ($G\Omega < 1$), K_0 is given as

$$K_0 = 0 . \quad (4.11)$$

The frequency ω which characterizes the small amplitude oscillation around the energy minimum point is given in the form for the cases $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 0$ ($G\Omega > 1$)

$$\omega = [(F''(K_0) - f''(K_0)) f(K_0)]^{1/2} . \quad (4.12)$$

This form can be found in the relation (I.7.5). Of course, the frequency (4.12) depends on K_0 , δ and Δ . Then, denoting as $\omega(K_0; \delta, \Delta)$ and using the relation (4.10), we have

$$\omega(K_0; \delta, \Delta) = \left[F_1'(K_0)^2 + F_1''(K_0) f(K_0; \delta, \Delta) - (1/2) (f^2)''(K_0; \delta, \Delta) \right]^{1/2} . \quad (4.13)$$

Here, $(f^2)''(K; \delta, \Delta)$ denotes second derivative of $f(K; \delta, \Delta)^2$ for K . The frequency in the case $\delta = 0$ ($G\Omega < 1$) is also obtained. The method is discussed in the Appendix B. Thus, the Hamiltonian H is expressed as

$$H = E_c(K_0; \delta, \Delta) + \omega(K_0; \delta, \Delta) d^* d . \quad (4.14)$$

Here, (d, d^*) denotes boson-type canonical variable.

The above general argument presents the following concrete expressions:

(i) For $|c_-\rangle$ ($\delta = 1, \Delta = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} &= (\Omega - 2K_0) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{K_0}{K_0 + 1}} \right) + \frac{\Omega - 3K_0}{4\sqrt{K_0(K_0 + 1)}} , \\ E_c(c_-) &= -2\Omega + 4K_0 - G \left[\Omega + 2\sqrt{K_0} \left(\sqrt{K_0} + \sqrt{K_0 + 1} \right) (\Omega - K_0) \right] , \\ \omega(c_-) &= 2 \left[(2 - G\Omega)^2 + 4G(2 - G\Omega)K_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G^2 \left(2K_0^2 - 3(2\Omega - 1)K_0 + \Omega(\Omega - 2) - 2\sqrt{K_0(K_0 + 1)}(\Omega - K_0) \right) \right]^{1/2} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

(ii) for $|c^0\rangle$ ($\delta = 1, \Delta = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} &= (\Omega - 2K_0) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{K_0(\Omega - K_0)}{(K_0 + 1)(\Omega - K_0 + 1)}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(\Omega - 2K_0)(\Omega + 1)}{4\sqrt{K_0(K_0 + 1)}(\Omega - K_0)(\Omega - K_0 + 1)} , \\ E_c(c^0) &= -2\Omega + 4K_0 \\ &\quad - G \left[\Omega + 2\sqrt{K_0(\Omega - K_0)} \left(\sqrt{K_0(\Omega - K_0)} + \sqrt{(K_0 + 1)(\Omega - K_0 + 1)} \right) \right] , \\ \omega(c^0) &= 2 \left[(2 - G\Omega)^2 + 4G(2 - G\Omega)K_0 \right. \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 3. The classical energies are depicted as a function of G in the cases of (i) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($E_c(c_-)$), (ii) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 1$ ($E_c(c^0)$) and (iii) $\delta = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($E_c(c)$), where $\Omega = 5$. Here, E_{exact} shows the exact eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & -G^2(2K_0^2 - 6\Omega K_0 + (\Omega^2 - \Omega - 1) \\ & - 2\sqrt{K_0(K_0 + 1)(\Omega - K_0)(\Omega + 1 - K_0)}) \end{aligned} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (4.16)$$

(iii) for $|c\rangle\rangle$ ($\delta = 0$, $\Delta = 0$, $G\Omega < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= 0, \\ E_c(c) &= -2\Omega - G\Omega, \\ \omega(c) &= 4\sqrt{1 - G\Omega}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17a)$$

(iii)' for $|c\rangle\rangle$ ($\delta = 0$, $\Delta = 0$, $G\Omega > 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} &= \Omega - 2K_0, \\ E_c(c) &= G^{-1} - G\Omega(\Omega + 1), \\ \omega(c) &= 2\sqrt{(G\Omega)^2 - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17b)$$

Here, each E_c is identical to the energy in the classical description of the two-level pairing model. In this paper, we have presented three different classical counterparts for the original system, which lead to the corresponding energies, $E_c(c_-)$, $E_c(c^0)$ and $E_c(c)$, respectively. Figure 3 shows them together with exact eigenvalue E_{exact} . Then $E_c(c)$ reveals the energy obtained by using the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state, that is, the Glauber coherent state, which corresponds to the energy obtained by the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov calculation in the original fermion system. It seems that the use of the $su(2) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent state, $|c_- \rangle\rangle$, and the $su(1,1) \otimes su(1,1)$ -coherent state, $|c^0 \rangle\rangle$, comparatively give good results in

comparison with the exact energy eigenvalue in the region of small force strength G . On the other hand, the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state $|c\rangle\rangle$ gives rather good result in the region of large force strength G in this stage. In the next section, we reinvestigate the ground state energy and the oscillation frequency around the static configuration in terms of the quantal description based on these three classical counterparts.

§5. Quantal treatment including quantum fluctuations

In order to compare the energies derived in the above procedure with the exact ground-state energy in quantal description, we introduce the energy E_q with quantum correction \mathcal{E} as

$$\begin{aligned} E_q &= E_c + \mathcal{E} , \\ \mathcal{E} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[f'(K_0) - \frac{f(K_0)}{K_0} - \frac{g'(K_0)}{g(K_0)} f(K_0) + \omega \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $g(K) \equiv g(K; \delta, \Delta)$ is defined through $f(K)$ in (4.4) as

$$f(K; \delta, \Delta) = 2G\sqrt{K(K+1)}(\Omega - K)\sqrt{\frac{g(K; \delta, \Delta)}{g(K+1; \delta, \Delta)}} , \quad (5.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(K; \delta = 1, \Delta = 0) &= 1 , \\ g(K; \delta = 1, \Delta = 1) &= \Omega - K + 1 , \\ g(K; \delta = 0, \Delta = 0) &= K . \end{aligned} \quad (5.2b)$$

In a form similar to the Hamiltonian (4.14), we have the quantized Hamiltonian in the form

$$\hat{H} = E_q(K_0; \delta, \Delta) + \omega(K_0; \delta, \Delta)\hat{d}^*\hat{d} . \quad (5.3)$$

Here, it should be noted that the frequency ω , which is given in the relation (4.13), plays the role of the excitation energy in the present case. The derivation of (5.3) with (5.1) and the frequency ω is given in appendix B.

Figure 4 shows the behavior of E in the cases (i), (ii) and (iii), compared with the exact ground-state energy E_{exact} , as a function of the strength of interaction G . The behavior is almost the same in each case except for case (iii) near $G = 0.2$. In the case (iii), a dip appears at $G = 0.2$, which corresponds to the phase transition point in the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov calculation, namely, $G\Omega = 1$ with $\Omega = 5$. The $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state $|c\rangle\rangle$ in (3.11) in case (iii) is identical with the Glauber coherent state. This state corresponds to the Hartree-Fock and/or the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov state in the original fermion space. This behavior near $G = 0.2$ in case (iii) is realized in the quasi-particle random phase approximation




Fig. 4. The energies are depicted as a function of G in the cases of (i) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($E_{(i)}$), (ii) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 1$ ($E_{(ii)}$) and (iii) $\delta = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($E_{(iii)}$), where $\Omega = 5$. Here, E_{exact} shows the exact eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian.




Fig. 5. The frequencies are depicted as a function of G in the cases of (i) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($\omega_{(i)}$), (ii) $\delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 1$ ($\omega_{(ii)}$) and (iii) $\delta = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ ($\omega_{(iii)}$), where $\Omega = 5$. Here, ω_{exact} shows the exact result.

(QRPA).¹¹⁾ Thus, it is seen that the energy correction \mathcal{E} gives the ground state correlation in the random phase approximation. On the other hand, in the cases (i) and (ii), the dip structure does not appear.

Figure 5 shows the behavior of the frequency ω in the cases (i), (ii) and (iii), compared with the exact frequency ω_{exact} , which is exactly calculated for the excitation energy from the ground state. In the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state $|c\rangle\rangle$, the dip structure appear at $G = 0.2$, which is the same behavior as the QRPA calculation. However, by using the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent state $|c_{-}\rangle\rangle$ in case (i) and the $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent state $|c^0\rangle\rangle$ in case (ii), this fictitious dip structure does not appear and the derived frequencies well reproduce the exact frequency. Especially, the result in the case (i) shows same behavior as the exact frequency

in the region below the appearance of the dip in case (iii). However, the case (iii) based on the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state approaches the exact frequency in the region of the large G .

§6. Concluding remarks

We investigate the ground-state energy and the frequency of the small amplitude oscillation around the ground state in the two-level pairing model governed by the $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -algebra with the viewpoint of using the various states based on the $su(2)$ - and the $su(1, 1)$ -algebras in the boson representations. Various classical descriptions are possible through the various states mentioned above, while the original fermionic quantum theory is unique.

The $su(2) \otimes su(2)$ -coherent state in the boson representation is identical with the Glauber coherent state, which corresponds to the BCS state (Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov state) in the QRPA calculation. Then, the fictitious sharp phase transition point appears. However, taking into account the possibility of the various classical descriptions based on the various states, the fictitious sharp phase transition can be avoided. Thus, a reasonable approximation can be obtained by using other coherent states such as the $su(2) \otimes su(1, 1)$ - and $su(1, 1) \otimes su(1, 1)$ -coherent states.

Further numerical results will be reported in a subsequent paper, where $g(K)$ introduced in the relation (5.2a) is adopted in a form different from those shown in the relation (5.2b).

Acknowledgements

This work started when two of the authors (Y. T. and M. Y.) stayed at Coimbra in September 2005 and was completed when Y. T. stayed at Coimbra in September 2006. They would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor João da Providência, a co-author of this paper, for his invitation and warm hospitality. One of the authors (Y. T.) is partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.15740156 and No.18540278) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

Appendix A

— *Proof of the result (4.17a)* —

In this appendix, we show a proof of the result (4.17a). For this aim, let us start with the classical Hamiltonian (3.12), which was obtained under the Glauber coherent state, and treat the case in which the effect of K is negligibly small compared with $\hbar\Omega$. Under this

condition, by picking up linear terms for K , the Hamiltonian (3.12) is approximated to

$$H = -\hbar(\epsilon + \hbar G\Omega) + 2(\epsilon - \hbar G\Omega)K - 2\hbar G\Omega K \cos \psi . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 1)$$

Of course, we consider the case $L = M = \hbar\Omega/2$ and $T = \hbar/2$. Since (ψ, K) is a set of canonical variables, we have the following Hamilton equation of motion:

$$\dot{\psi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial K} = 2(\epsilon - \hbar G\Omega) - 2\hbar G\Omega \cos \psi , \quad (\text{A}\cdot 2\text{a})$$

$$\dot{K} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi} = -2\hbar G\Omega K \sin \psi . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 2\text{b})$$

We can solve Eq.(A.2) directly. But, it may be much more transparent to solve it by introducing the quantities

$$T_x = K \cos \psi , \quad T_y = K \sin \psi , \quad T_z = K . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 3)$$

These are constrained by the condition

$$T_z^2 - (T_x^2 + T_y^2) = 0 . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 4)$$

We describe the present system in terms of (T_x, T_y, T_z) . With the use of the relation (A.3), the time-derivative $(\dot{T}_x, \dot{T}_y, \dot{T}_z)$ is given in the form

$$\dot{T}_x = -2(\epsilon - \hbar G\Omega)T_y , \quad (\text{A}\cdot 5\text{a})$$

$$\dot{T}_y = 2(\epsilon - \hbar G\Omega)T_x - 2\hbar G\Omega T_z , \quad (\text{A}\cdot 5\text{b})$$

$$\dot{T}_z = -2\hbar G\Omega T_y . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 5\text{c})$$

The relation (A.5) gives us

$$\ddot{T}_y = -(2\epsilon)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\hbar G\Omega}{\epsilon} \right) T_y . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 6)$$

Since T_y should be finite, the solution of Eq.(A.6) is meaningful in the case

$$1 - \frac{2\hbar G\Omega}{\epsilon} > 0 . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 7)$$

Then, we define the frequency ω in the form

$$\omega = 2\epsilon \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\hbar G\Omega}{\epsilon}} . \quad (\text{A}\cdot 8)$$

It may be clear that T_y shows a harmonic oscillator type behavior and the relation (A.4) and (A.5) give

$$T_x = A \left[\frac{2(\epsilon - \hbar G \Omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega t + \alpha) + \frac{2\hbar G \Omega}{\omega} \right] , \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{9a})$$

$$T_y = A \sin(\omega t + \alpha) , \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{9b})$$

$$T_z = A \left[\frac{2\hbar G \Omega}{\omega} \cos(\omega t + \alpha) + \frac{2(\epsilon - \hbar G \Omega)}{\omega} \right] . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{9c})$$

Here, A and α denote constants to be determined by the initial condition. The Hamiltonian (A.1) reduces to

$$H = -\hbar(\epsilon + \hbar G)\Omega + \omega A . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{10})$$

If we put $\hbar = 1$ and $\epsilon = 2$, the above result obtains the form (4.17a). Later, the meaning of ω will be discussed.

If we disregard the starting condition $K \ll \hbar\Omega$, the present result can be accepted in the region $2\hbar G\Omega/\epsilon < 1$ and any value of A . If we keep in mind the starting condition, some discussions are necessary. Since $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ and $T_z = K$, the form (A.9c) gives us

$$A \left(\frac{\omega}{2\epsilon} \right) \leq K \leq A \left(\frac{2\epsilon}{\omega} \right) . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{11})$$

Then, combining $K \ll \hbar\Omega$ with the relation (A.11), we have $A(2\epsilon/\omega) \ll \hbar\Omega$, i.e.,

$$A \ll \hbar\Omega \cdot \frac{\omega}{2\epsilon} . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{12})$$

If ω becomes smaller, the value of A may also become smaller.

It may be interesting to see that (T_x, T_y, T_z) obeys the $su(1, 1)$ -algebra classically. This can be seen in the relation

$$[T_+ , T_-]_P = 2iT_0 , \quad [T_0 , T_{\pm}]_P = \mp iT_{\pm} . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{13})$$

Here, $[,]_P$ denotes the Poisson bracket and $(T_{\pm,0})$ is defined as

$$T_{\pm} = T_x \pm iT_y , \quad T_0 = T_z . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{14})$$

The relation (A.4) is rewritten as

$$T_0^2 - T_+ T_- = 0 . \quad (\text{A}\cdot\text{15})$$

Appendix B

— The derivation of quantal Hamiltonian with the disguised form —

In this appendix, we give the quantal Hamiltonian describing the small oscillation around the energy minimum state in a slightly disguised form for the original Hamiltonian (3.1).

A part of the Hamiltonian, \hat{H}_1 , is recast into

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_1 &= -G \left[\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* \cdot \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ &= -G \left[\left(\sqrt{g(\hat{K})} (\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* - \sqrt{\hat{K}}) \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(\hat{K} + \hbar)}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sqrt{\hat{K}} \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \sqrt{\frac{g(\hat{K})}{g(\hat{K} + \hbar)}} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B}\cdot 1)$$

where $\hat{K} = \hbar \hat{c}^* \hat{c}$ and $g(\hat{K})$ is an arbitrary function with respect to \hat{K} . Here, h.c. represents the Hermite conjugate. Thus, the Hamiltonian (3.1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &= F_0 + F_1(\hat{K}) - f(\hat{K}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[2G \sqrt{g(\hat{K})} (\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* - \sqrt{\hat{K}}) \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(\hat{K} + \hbar)}} + \text{h.c.} \right], \\ F_0 &= -[\epsilon - G(L + M - (T - \hbar/2)) + 4GTM], \\ F_1(\hat{K}) &= 2[\epsilon - G(L + M - (T - \hbar/2))] \hat{K} + 2G\hat{K}^2, \\ f(\hat{K}) &= 2G\sqrt{\hat{K}} \sqrt{2T + \hat{K}} \sqrt{2M - \hat{K}} \sqrt{2L - \hat{K}} \sqrt{\frac{g(\hat{K})}{g(\hat{K} + \hbar)}}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B}\cdot 2)$$

Here, let us focus on the last bracket in the Hamiltonian \hat{H} . From (I.7.11), we know that $\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{c}^* - \sqrt{\hat{K}} = i\sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma})/2 - ((\sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma}))^2 - 2\hbar)/8\sqrt{K_0}$. Then, substituting the above relation into the last term and expanding the terms up to the second order of $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &= F_0 + F_1(K_0) - f(K_0) + (F_1'(K_0) - f'(K_0))\delta\hat{K} + \frac{1}{2}(F_1''(K_0) - f''(K_0))(\delta\hat{K})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f(K_0) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma}) \right)^2 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(f'(K_0) - \frac{f(K_0)}{K_0} - \frac{g'(K_0)}{g(K_0)} f(K_0) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B}\cdot 3)$$

Here, we have introduced $\delta\hat{K} = \hat{K} - K_0 = \sqrt{K_0} \cdot i\sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* - \hat{\gamma}) + \hbar\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma}$ in (I.7.8) and used the commutation relation $[\delta\hat{K}, i\sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma})/2] = \hbar\sqrt{K_0}$. Then, K_0 is determined by eliminating the linear term of $\delta\hat{K}$ as $F_1'(K_0) - f'(K_0) = 0$ in Eq. (4.7). Further, the above

mentioned commutation relation can be expressed as $[\delta\hat{K}, \sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma})/2\sqrt{K_0}] = -i\hbar$. Thus, the above Hamiltonian (B-3) is easily diagonalized by means of newly introduced operator (\hat{d}, \hat{d}^*) , which is written as $\hat{d} = u\sqrt{\hbar}(\hat{\gamma}^* + \hat{\gamma})/2\sqrt{K_0} + v\delta\hat{K}$ with $u-v$ factor satisfying $u^2 - |v|^2 = 1$. We finally obtain the Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H} = F_0 + F_1(K_0) - f(K_0) + \hbar\omega\hat{d}^*\hat{d} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(f'(K_0) - \frac{f(K_0)}{K_0} - \frac{g'(K_0)}{g(K_0)} f(K_0) + \omega \right), \quad (\text{B}\cdot 4)$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{(F_1''(K_0) - f''(K_0))f(K_0)}. \quad (\text{B}\cdot 5)$$

In this paper, since we discuss the case $L = M = (\hbar/2)\Omega$ and $T = \hbar/2$ in Eq.(2.8) and the parameter $\epsilon = 2$ in the natural unit $\hbar = 1$, we obtain (4.3) and (5.2).

References

- 1) J. Schwinger, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, ed. L. C. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1955), p.229.
- 2) T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, *Phys. Rev.* **58** (1940), 1098.
- 3) S. T. Beliaev and V. G. Zelevinsky, *Nucl. Phys.* **39** (1962), 582.
- 4) T. Marumori, M. Yamamura and A. Tokunaga, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **31** (1964), 1009.
- 5) J. da Providência, *Nucl. Phys. A* **108** (1968), 589.
- 6) A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **63** (1991), 375.
- 7) A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 141* (2001), 113.
- 8) C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **115** (2006), 739.
- 9) C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **115** (2006), 759.
- 10) M. Yamamura, C. Providência, J. da Providência, F. Cordeiro and Y. Tsue, *J. of Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 11193.
- 11) A. Rabhi, R. Bennaceur, G. Chanfray and P. Schuck, *Phys. Rev. C* **66** (2002), 064315. N. Dinh Dang, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **16** (2003), 181.