

On isospin–breaking corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen

A. N. Ivanov ^{*†}, M. Cargnelli [‡], M. Faber [§], H. Fuhrmann [¶],
V. A. Ivanova ^{||}, J. Marton ^{**}, N. I. Troitskaya ^{††}, J. Zmeskal ^{‡‡}

October 30, 2018

*Atominstitut der Österreichischen Universitäten, Arbeitsbereich Kernphysik und
Nukleare Astrophysik, Technische Universität Wien,
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, A-1040 Wien, Österreich*
and
*Stefan Meyer Institut für subatomare Physik der Österreichische Akademie der
Wissenschaften,
Boltzmannngasse 3, A-1090, Wien, Österreich*

Abstract

In the model of low–energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions near threshold (EPJA **21**, 11 (2004); **25**, 79 (2005)) we calculate isospin–breaking corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, investigated by Meißner, Raha and Rusetsky (EPJC **35**, 349 (2004)) within the non–relativistic effective Lagrangian approach based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler. Our results agree well with those by Meißner *et al.*. In addition we calculate the dispersive corrections, caused by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell. We show also how hypothesis on the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp for the S–wave amplitude of low–energy K^-p scattering near threshold, used by Meißner *et al.*, can be realized in our approach. The result agrees fully with that by Meißner *et al.*.

PACS: 36.10.–k, 11.10.Ef, 11.55.Ds, 13.75.Jz

^{*}E–mail: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at, Tel.: +43–1–58801–14261, Fax: +43–1–58801–14299

[†]Permanent Address: State Polytechnic University, Department of Nuclear Physics, 195251 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

[‡]E–mail: michael.cargnelli@oeaw.ac.at

[§]E–mail: faber@kph.tuwien.ac.at, Tel.: +43–1–58801–14261, Fax: +43–1–58801–14299

[¶]E–mail: hermann.fuhrmann@oeaw.ac.at

^{||}E–mail: viola@kph.tuwien.ac.at, State Polytechnic University, Department of Nuclear Physics, 195251 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

^{**}E–mail: johann.marton@oeaw.ac.at

^{††}State Polytechnic University, Department of Nuclear Physics, 195251 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

^{‡‡}E–mail: johann.zmeskal@oeaw.ac.at

1 Introduction

Recently Gasser has outlined the contemporary experimental and theoretical status of kaonic atoms in low-energy QCD [1] and resumed that the experimental data on the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen

$$- \epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{exp})} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{(\text{exp})}}{2} = (-194 \pm 41) + i (125 \pm 59) \text{ eV}, \quad (1.1)$$

obtained by the DEAR Collaboration [2], have been understood theoretically within the Effective Field Theory (the EFT) approaches [3, 4] (see also [5]). Nevertheless, as has been pointed by Gasser [1], only more precise experimental data can testify whether the contemporary theoretic approaches are able to describe the complicated situation properly¹.

The theoretical value for the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, calculated in [3, 5], is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} - \epsilon_{1s}^{(0)} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{(0)}}{2} &= 2\alpha^3 \mu^2 f_0^{K^-p}(0) = 421 [\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0) + i \mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)] = \\ &= (-203 \pm 15) + i (113 \pm 14) \text{ eV}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $2\alpha^3 \mu^2 = 421 \text{ eV/fm}$ with $\mu = m_K m_p / (m_K + m_p) = 324 \text{ MeV}$ is the reduced mass of the K^-p pair, computed for $m_K = 494 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_p = m_N = 940 \text{ MeV}$, and $\alpha = 1/137.036$ is the fine-structure constant, then $f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ equal

$$f_0^{K^-p}(0) = \mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0) + i \mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) = (-0.482 \pm 0.034) + i (0.269 \pm 0.032) \text{ fm} \quad (1.3)$$

is the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold [3].

The result Eq.(1.2) has been obtained within a quantum field theoretic and relativistic covariant model of strong low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions near threshold of K^-p scattering. This is an Effective Field Theory approach, formulated in terms of Effective Chiral Lagrangians with a relativistic covariant quantization of quantum fields.

The S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold is computed to leading order in chiral expansions of Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) by Gasser and Leutwyler [6] (or to leading order Effective Chiral Lagrangians [7] (see also [8])).

The imaginary part $\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold is defined by inelastic channels $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$, $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$, $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0$, which are saturated by the contributions of strange resonances $\Lambda(1405)$, $\Lambda(1800)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ [3]:

$$\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) = \mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R. \quad (1.4)$$

In Gell-Mann's $SU(3)$ classification of hadrons, the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance is an $SU(3)$ singlet, whereas the resonances $\Lambda(1800)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ are components of an $SU(3)$ octet [9].

¹We cite Gasser [1]: “**Conclusions:** 3. The ground state of *kaonic hydrogen* has been investigated in a beautiful experiment at DEAR^[7]. Data are available, the S-states of the atom are theoretically understood^[18,17]. 4. On the other hand, the theory of $\bar{K}p$ scattering leaves many questions open. More precise data will reveal whether present techniques are able to describe the complicated situation properly.” References [17] and [18] are Refs.[3] and [4] of this paper.

This allows to describe the experimental data on the cross sections for inelastic reactions $K^-p \rightarrow Y\pi$, where $Y\pi = \Sigma^-\pi^+, \Sigma^+\pi^-, \Sigma^0\pi^0$ and $\Lambda^0\pi^0$, at threshold of the K^-p pair [10, 11]

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= \frac{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+)}{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-)} = 2.360 \pm 0.040, \\
R_c &= \frac{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+) + \sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-)}{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+) + \sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-) + \sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0) + \sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0)} = \\
&= 0.664 \pm 0.011, \\
R_n &= \frac{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0)}{\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0) + \sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0)} = 0.189 \pm 0.015 \tag{1.5}
\end{aligned}$$

with an accuracy of about 6% [3]. According to Kaiser, Siegel and Weise [12], any quantum field theoretic model of low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions near threshold should reproduce the experimental data Eq.(1.5). As a consequence of the experimental data Eq.(1.5), we obtain also that the $\Lambda(1800)$ -resonance decouples from the K^-p system at low energies [3].

Due to the optical theorem the imaginary part $\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering can be defined in terms of the parameters γ and R_c . We get [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) &= |f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+)|^2 k_{\Sigma^-\pi^+} + |f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-)|^2 k_{\Sigma^+\pi^-} \\
&+ |f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0)|^2 k_{\Sigma^0\pi^0} + |f(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0)|^2 k_{\Lambda^0\pi^0} = \\
&= \frac{1}{R_c} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) |f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+)|^2 k_{\Sigma^-\pi^+} = (0.269 \pm 0.032) \text{ fm}, \tag{1.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where $k_{Y\pi}$ is a relative momentum of the $Y\pi$ system at threshold of the K^-p pair.

The S-wave amplitude $f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+)$ of the inelastic reaction $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+$ is equal to $f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+) = (0.379 \pm 0.023) \text{ fm}$ [3]. It is computed with an accuracy of about 6%. The main contribution to $f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^-\pi^+)$ comes from the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance. The contribution of the $\Sigma(1750)$ -resonance makes up about 2.7% [3]. This agrees well with a well-known assertion that the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance dominates in low-energy interactions of the K^-p pair in the S-wave state [12].

The real part $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold, i.e. the S-wave scattering length of K^-p scattering,

$$\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0) = \mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R + \mathcal{R}e \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0), \tag{1.7}$$

is defined by (i) the contribution of the strange baryon resonances $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ in the s-channel of low-energy elastic K^-p scattering, (ii) the contribution of the exotic four-quark (or $K\bar{K}$ molecules) scalar states $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ in the t-channel of low-energy elastic K^-p scattering and (iii) the contribution of low-energy interactions of hadrons with non-exotic quark structures, i.e. $q\bar{q}$ for mesons and qqq for baryons [9].

We would like to notice that with an accuracy 6% the contribution of the strange resonances $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$, which we denote as $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R$, explains 32% of the mean value $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0) = (-0.482 \pm 0.034) \text{ fm}$ of the S-wave scattering length of K^-p

scattering. It is equal to [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\mu}{m_K} \left[\frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda(1405)} - m_K - m_N} + \frac{4}{9} \frac{g_2^2}{m_{\Sigma^0(1750)} - m_K - m_N} \right] = \\ &= (-0.154 \pm 0.009) \text{ fm}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where the coupling constants $g_1 = 0.097$ and $g_2 = 1.123$ have been calculated in [3]. The contribution of the $\Sigma(1750)$ -resonance makes up only 5.5 %. This corroborates again the dominance of the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance in low-energy interactions of the K^-p pair in the S-wave state [12].

The contribution of the exotic scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ and non-exotic hadrons, calculated to leading order in chiral expansion, is equal to [3]:

$$\mathcal{R}e \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) = 0.398 - 0.614 \xi \text{ fm}, \quad (1.9)$$

where the first term is defined by strong low-energy interactions of non-exotic hadrons, whereas the second one is caused by the exotic scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ coupled to the K^- -meson and the proton.

The parameter ξ is a low-energy constant (LEC), defining low-energy SNN interactions, where $S = a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$.

Using the hypothesis of the quark-hadron duality, formulated by Shifman, Veinshtein and Zakharov within non-perturbative QCD [13], and the Effective quark model with chiral $U(3) \times U(3)$ symmetry [8], we have calculated the parameter ξ [3]: $\xi = 1.2 \pm 0.1$.

The validity of the application of the Effective quark model with chiral $U(3) \times U(3)$ symmetry to the quantitative analysis of strong low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions at threshold has been corroborated by example of the calculation of the S-wave scattering length of elastic K^-n scattering in [5] in connection with the calculation of the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic deuterium.

For the description of the contributions of the strange resonances $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ and the exotic scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ we have used Effective Chiral Lagrangians with $\Lambda(1405)$, $\Sigma(1750)$, $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ treated as elementary particles, represented by local interpolating fields. Such a description of resonances and exotic mesons does not contradict to ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler [6] and power counting.

Indeed, as has been shown by Ecker *et al.* [14], the exotic scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ can be described in ChPT as elementary particle fields. The resonances $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ as well as the $\Delta(1232)$ can be also treated in ChPT as elementary particle fields (baryon matter fields) without contradiction to power counting [7, 15]. As has been pointed out by Brown, Rho *et al.* in [7], the inclusion of the resonance $\Lambda(1405)$ as well as the resonance $\Sigma(1750)$ as elementary particle fields (baryon matter fields) allows to compute the amplitudes of $\bar{K}N$ scattering at threshold to leading order Effective Chiral Lagrangians.

Recently, Ericson and Ivanov [16] have shown that the treatment of the $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance as an elementary particle field gives a possibility to compute the ChPT parameter f_1 , describing in ChPT isospin breaking phenomena in πN scattering at threshold. As has been shown in [16], the contribution of the $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance is necessary to saturate the value of f_1 in agreement with estimates obtained from different experimental

data and quark model approaches [17]².

The energy level shift and width ($\epsilon_{1s}^{(0)}, \Gamma_{1s}^{(0)}$) of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen Eq.(1.2) have been calculated in [3, 5] at neglect of corrections induced by isospin–breaking interactions.

A systematic analysis of corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, caused by the isospin–breaking interactions, has been recently carried out by Meißner, Raha and Rusetsky [4] within the non–relativistic effective Lagrangian approach based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler [6]. The results are presented in terms of the S–wave scattering lengths $a_0^I = (a_0^0, a_0^1)$ of $\bar{K}N$ scattering with isospin $I = 0$ and $I = 1$, the mass difference ($m_d - m_u$) of current quark masses of the u and d quarks, which is a quantity of order $(m_d - m_u) = O(\alpha)$ [4], and the fine–structure constant α .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we outline a hypothesis on the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp for the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold proposed by Dalitz and Tuan in 1960 [19, 20] and reproduced by Meißner *et al.* within the non–relativistic effective Lagrangian approach based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler [4]. In Section 3 we calculate the dispersive corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, caused by the contribution of the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell. We get $\delta_{EL}^{Disp} = (\delta_S^{Disp}, \delta_W^{Disp}) = ((4.8 \pm 0.4)\%, (8.0 \pm 1.0\%))$. We would like to emphasize that such dispersive corrections from the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell can exist only as corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen but not to the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold. Therefore, these corrections could not be calculated by Meißner *et al.*, who analysed the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold [4]. In Section 4 we calculate the corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, induced by isospin–breaking interactions. We get $\delta_{EL}^{ISB} = (\delta_S^{ISB}, \delta_W^{ISB}) = (0.04\%, -0.04\%)$. These corrections can be attributed to $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$, introduced by Meißner *et al.* [4]. Their values corroborate the smallness of $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$ that agrees well with the assertion by Meißner *et al.* [4]. In Section 5 we show how the hypothesis on the \bar{K}^0n –cusp dominance for the S–wave scattering amplitude of low–energy K^-p scattering near threshold can be realized in our approach. The result agrees fully with Meißner *et al.* [4]. In the Conclusion we discuss the obtained results. In Appendix we adduce the analytical calculation of the momentum integrals in Eq.(3.7), defining the contribution of the intermediate \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen.

2 On K^-p scattering in S–wave state and \bar{K}^0n –cusp enhancement

For the numerical estimate of the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen Meißner *et al.* have proposed no theoretical model for the calculation of the S–wave scattering lengths a_0^0 and a_0^1 of K^-N scattering. Therefore, we estimate the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, obtained by Meißner *et al.* [4],

²We refer also on [18], where the constant f_1 , computed within the quark model [17], has been used for the numerical estimates in ChPT.

using the S–wave scattering lengths a_0^0 and a_0^1 , computed in our model [3, 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^0 &= (-1.221 \pm 0.072) + i(0.537 \pm 0.064) \text{ fm}, \\ a_0^1 &= (+0.258 \pm 0.024) + i(0.001 \pm 0.000) \text{ fm}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

For $m_d = m_u$ and the S–wave scattering lengths Eq.(2.1) the numerical value of the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, obtained by Meißner *et al.* [4], is equal to

$$-\epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{th})} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{(\text{th})}}{2} = (-266 \pm 23) + i(177 \pm 21) \text{ eV}. \quad (2.2)$$

This agrees with both our theoretical result (1.2) and the experimental data (1.1) by the DEAR Collaboration within 1.5 standard deviations for the shift and one standard deviation for the width. However, it does not contradict also the experimental data by the KEK Collaboration [21]:

$$-\epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{exp})} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{(\text{exp})}}{2} = (-323 \pm 64) + i(204 \pm 115) \text{ eV}. \quad (2.3)$$

Of course, one can try to solve an inverse problem. Indeed, using the experimental data by the DEAR Collaboration Eq.(1.1) or the KEK Collaboration Eq.(2.3) and theoretical formula for the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen in terms of a_0^0 and a_0^1 (see Eq.(2.4)) to determine the S–wave scattering lengths a_0^0 and a_0^1 . Unfortunately, a solution of this problem is rather ambiguous, since one needs to define four parameters ($\mathcal{R}e a_0^0, \mathcal{I}m a_0^0, \mathcal{R}e a_0^1, \mathcal{I}m a_0^1$) in terms of two experimental values ($\epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{exp})}, \Gamma_{1s}^{(\text{exp})}$).

The main part of the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold is obtained in [4] at the assumption of the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n -cusp, induced by the intermediate \bar{K}^0n state below threshold of K^-p scattering, i.e. the dominant role of the channel $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$. The hypothesis on the dominant role of the channel $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ for the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering near threshold has a long history. It has been proposed by Dalitz and Tuan in 1960 [19] (see also [20]) and discussed by Deloff and Law [22].

According to Dalitz and Tuan [19, 20] the S–wave amplitude $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)$ of K^-p scattering at threshold, calculated within the K -matrix approach in the zero-range approximation, is defined by

$$\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) = \frac{\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2} + q_0 a_0^0 a_0^1}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right) q_0}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $q_0 = \sqrt{2\mu(m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_{K^-} + m_n - m_p)} = 58.4 \text{ MeV} = O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ is a relative momentum of the \bar{K}^0n pair below threshold of K^-p scattering, calculated for $m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_{K^-} = 3.97 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_n - m_p = 1.29 \text{ MeV}$ [9], a_0^0 and a_0^1 are complex S–wave scattering lengths of $\bar{K}N$ scattering with isospin $I = 0$ and $I = 1$.

The S–wave amplitude Eq.(2.4) has been reproduced by Meißner *et al.* within the non–relativistic effective Lagrangian approach based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler (see Eq.(17) of Ref.[4]).

The energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is equal to $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)$, given by Eq.(2.4), multiplied by $2\alpha^3\mu^2 = 421 \text{ eV/fm}$ (see Eq.(1.2)).

For the analysis of the contribution of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp it is convenient to transcribe the S–wave amplitude Eq.(2.4) into the form:

$$\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) = a_0^{K^-p} + \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)_{\text{cusp}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $a_0^{K^-p} = (a_0^0 + a_0^1)/2$ is the S–wave scattering length of K^-p scattering in the limit of isospin invariance, i.e. at $q_0 = 0$, and $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)_{\text{cusp}}$ is defined by the \bar{K}^0n –cusp for $q_0 \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)_{\text{cusp}} &= - \left(\frac{a_0^0 - a_0^1}{2} \right)^2 \frac{q_0}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2} \right) q_0} = \\ &= (-0.149 \pm 0.042) + i(0.151 \pm 0.037) \text{ fm}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The S–wave amplitude $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)_{\text{cusp}}$ has a distinct form of the contribution of the inelastic channel $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$ with the final–state \bar{K}^0n interaction, calculated in the zero–range approximation. The momentum q_0 in the numerator of Eq.(2.6) is caused by the phase volume of the \bar{K}^0n pair in the S–wave state. The numerical value of $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)_{\text{cusp}}$ is calculated for the S–wave scattering lengths Eq.(2.1). It is seen that the corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, caused by the \bar{K}^0n –cusp, make up about 24% and 36% of the mean values of the energy level shift and width given by Eq.(2.2), respectively. Such an enhancement of the K^-p interaction in the S–wave state at threshold agrees with experimental data by the DEAR Collaboration within 1.5 standard deviations for the shift and one standard deviation for the width. There is no also contradiction to the experimental data by the KEK Collaboration within the experimental error bars.

Hence, the improvement of the precision of the measurements of the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen by the DEAR Collaboration and the KEK Collaboration should be important for the confirmation of the hypothesis on the \bar{K}^0n –cusp enhancement [1].

In Section 5 we show how the hypothesis on the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp for the S–wave scattering amplitude of low–energy K^-p scattering near threshold can be realized in our approach. This testifies that our approach agrees fully with that by Meißner *et al.* [4]. However, in this paper we do not follow the hypothesis on the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp in the K^-p interaction at threshold.

Since the assumption of the dominant role of the \bar{K}^0n –cusp for the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering near threshold is a dynamical hypothesis which should be confirmed or rejected by experiments [1], the theoretical analysis of this hypothesis goes beyond scope of this paper.

In this paper we focus our analysis on the confirmation of the assertion by Meißner *et al.* that “... *this piece of information*³ *should be still supplemented by the arguments in*

³The \bar{K}^0n –cusp contribution to the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold (see [4]).

favour of the conjecture that the remaining corrections are small, i.e. $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$, as done in the present paper⁴.”

We calculate the isospin–breaking corrections which can be attributed to $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$ (see [4]), and compare our results with those obtained by Meißner *et al.* [4]. In addition we compute the dispersive corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, induced by the intermediate \bar{K}^0n state in the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell. Such corrections have been taken into account neither in our papers [3, 5] nor in [4].

3 Energy level displacement induced by the reaction $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell

According to [3], the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is defined by the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
-\epsilon_{1s} + i\frac{\Gamma_{1s}}{2} &= \frac{1}{4m_K m_p} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma_p=\pm 1/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{k})E_p(\vec{k})}} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{q})E_p(\vec{q})}} \\
&\times \Phi_{1s}^\dagger(\vec{k}) M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p)) \Phi_{1s}(\vec{q}), \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p))$ is the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering, $\Phi_{1s}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ and $\Phi_{1s}(\vec{q})$ are the wave functions of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen in the momentum representation normalized to unity: $\Phi_{1s}(\vec{p}) = 8\sqrt{\pi a_B^3}/(1 + p^2 a_B^2)^2$; $a_B = 1/\alpha\mu = 83.6$ fm is the Bohr radius.

By derivation of Eq.(3.1) [3], $M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p))$ is an exact S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering. It is defined also to arbitrary order in the fine–structure constant expansion.

Due to the wave functions $\Phi_{1s}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ and $\Phi_{1s}(\vec{q})$ the main contributions to the integrals over \vec{k} and \vec{q} come from the regions of 3–momenta $k \sim 1/a_B$ and $q \sim 1/a_B$, where $1/a_B = 2.4$ MeV. Since the integrand is concentrated around the momenta, which are much less than masses of coupled particles $m_K \gg 1/a_B$ and $m_N \gg 1/a_B$, the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering can be defined in the low–energy limit. The reduction of Eq.(3.1) to the form of the well–known DGBTT (Deser–Goldberger–Baumann–Thirring–Trueman) formula [23] has been discussed in [3].

The contribution of the intermediate \bar{K}^0n state to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen with the \bar{K}^0n pair on–mass shell can be defined as [5, 24, 25]

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n} + i\frac{\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}}{2} = \\
&= \frac{1}{8m_K m_p} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{k})E_p(\vec{k})}} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{q})E_p(\vec{q})}} \Phi_{1s}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \Phi_{1s}(\vec{q}) \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma_p=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\sigma_n=\pm 1/2} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\bar{K}^0}(Q) 2E_n(Q)} \frac{1}{E_{\bar{K}^0}(Q) + E_n(Q) - E_K(k) - E_p(k) - i0}
\end{aligned}$$

⁴see Ref.[4].




Figure 1: Feynman diagrams of the amplitude of the reaction $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$ taking into account the final-state \bar{K}^0n interaction.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(\vec{Q})n(-\vec{Q}, \sigma_n))M(\bar{K}^0(\vec{Q})n(-\vec{Q}, \sigma_n) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p)) \\
& + \frac{1}{8m_K m_p} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{k})E_p(\vec{k})}} \sqrt{\frac{m_K m_p}{E_K(\vec{q})E_p(\vec{q})}} \Phi_{1s}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \Phi_{1s}(\vec{q}) \\
& \times \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma_p=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\sigma_n=\pm 1/2} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\bar{K}^0}(Q)2E_n(Q)} \frac{1}{E_{\bar{K}^0}(Q) + E_n(Q) - E_K(q) - E_p(q) - i0} \\
& \times M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(\vec{Q})n(-\vec{Q}, \sigma_n))M(\bar{K}^0(\vec{Q})n(-\vec{Q}, \sigma_n) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(\vec{Q})n(-\vec{Q}, \sigma_n))$ is the S-wave amplitude of the inelastic reaction $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$. To the imaginary part of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering the inelastic channel $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$ gives a contribution for relative momenta of the K^-p pair exceeding $q_0 = O(\sqrt{\alpha})$. Hence, the region of the integration over \vec{k} and \vec{q} is restricted from below by $|\vec{k}|, |\vec{q}| \geq q_0$.

In the non-relativistic limit Eq.(3.2) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
- \delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n} + i \frac{\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}}{2} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2\mu} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dk k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) f_{\bar{K}^0n}^*(Q)}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - k^2 - i0} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dq q^2 f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q) \Phi_{1s}(q) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi^2\mu} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dk k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) f_{\bar{K}^0n}^*(Q) \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dq q^2 f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q) \Phi_{1s}(q)}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - q^2 - i0},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q)$ is the S-wave amplitude of the reaction $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$ near threshold of the \bar{K}^0n pair with the final-state \bar{K}^0n interaction [5], defined by Feynman diagrams depicted in Fig.1. It is equal to

$$f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q) = \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)}{2} \frac{1}{1 - i \left(\frac{a_0^1 + a_0^0}{2} \right) Q}. \tag{3.4}$$

The S-wave amplitude $f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q)$ is taken in the zero-range approximation. The contribution of the finite effective range $r_{\bar{K}N}$ of low-energy $\bar{K}N$ scattering we discuss in the end of this Section.

Substituting Eq.(3.4) into Eq.(3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n} + i \frac{\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}}{2} = \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2}{8\pi^4\mu} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dk k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dq q^2 \Phi_{1s}(q) \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dQ Q^2}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 Q^2} \left[\frac{1}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - k^2 - i0} + \frac{1}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - q^2 - i0} \right]. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

The integral over Q , calculated for $k \geq q_0$ and $q \geq q_0$, reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dQ Q^2}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 Q^2} \left[\frac{1}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - k^2 - i0} + \frac{1}{Q^2 + q_0^2 - q^2 - i0} \right] = \\
& = i \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{k^2 - q_0^2}}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (k^2 - q_0^2)} + \frac{\sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2}}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)} \right] \\
& + \frac{\pi}{a_0^0 + a_0^1} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (k^2 - q_0^2)} + \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)} \right]. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

The corrections $\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}$ and $\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n} &= -\frac{2\pi}{\mu} \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{a_0^1 - a_0^0}{a_0^1 + a_0^0}\right)^2 \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dk dq k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) q^2 \Phi_{1s}(q)}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)}, \\
\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n} &= \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2}{8\pi^3\mu} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dk dq k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) q^2 \sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2} \Phi_{1s}(q)}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)}. \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The r.h.s. of Eq.(3.7) should be computed to leading order in q_0 -expansion, extracting from the energy level shift a contribution independent on q_0 . Indeed, such a contribution has been already taken into account in [3]. The momentum integrals are calculated in Appendix. Keeping only the leading order contributions in the q_0 -expansion we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_S^{Disp} &= \frac{\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}}{\epsilon_{1s}^{(0)}} = \frac{1}{4} (a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2 q_0^2 = (4.8 \pm 0.4) \%, \\
\delta_W^{Disp} &= \frac{\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}}{\Gamma_{1s}^{(0)}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) a_B} \ell n \left[\frac{2a_B}{|a_0^0 + a_0^1|} \right] = (8.0 \pm 1.0) \%, \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) = (0.269 \pm 0.032) \text{ fm}$ [3]. We have also taken into account the theoretical uncertainty of the calculation of the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, which is equal to $\pm 7\%$ [3].

The parameter $2/|a_0^0 + a_0^1| = (409 \pm 29) \text{ MeV}$, appearing in the argument of the logarithm $\ell n(2a_B/|a_0^0 + a_0^1|)$ in Eq.(3.8), has a meaning of a natural cut-off, introduced by the final-state \bar{K}^0n interaction.

The dispersive corrections Eq.(3.8) are caused by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell. Hence, these corrections are only to the energy level

displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen but not to the S–wave amplitude of low–energy K^-p scattering at threshold. That is why these corrections could not be calculated by Meißner *et al.* [4], who analysed the isospin–breaking corrections to the S–wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold.

Now let us discuss the contribution of the finite effective range of low–energy $\bar{K}N$ scattering. The S–wave amplitude $f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q)$ in the finite effective–range approximation takes the form [26, 27]

$$f_{\bar{K}^0n}(Q) = \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{\bar{K}N} \left(\frac{a_0^1 + a_0^0}{2} \right) Q^2 - i \left(\frac{a_0^1 + a_0^0}{2} \right) Q}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $r_{\bar{K}N}$ is the effective range of low–energy $\bar{K}N$ scattering. Unfortunately, there are no experimental data on the value of the effective range $r_{\bar{K}N}$ [26]. However, without loss of generality one can assume that $r_{\bar{K}N}$, defined by strong low–energy interactions, is smaller compared with the S–wave scattering length, i.e. $r_{\bar{K}N} \ll 2/|a_0^0 + a_0^1|$. In this case due to a fast convergence of the integral over Q (see Eq.(3.6)) one can keep only the leading terms in the effective range expansion. This leads to the replacement $(a_0^0 + a_0^1) \rightarrow (a_0^0 + a_0^1 + r_{\bar{K}^0})$ in the integrand of Eq.(3.6).

The correction to the energy level width δ_W^{Disp} , amended by the contribution of the finite value of the effective range $r_{\bar{K}N}$, is equal now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_W^{Disp} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) a_B} \ln \left[\frac{4a_B^2}{(a_0^0 + a_0^1 + r_{\bar{K}N})^2} \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(a_0^1 - a_0^0)^2}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0) a_B} \left\{ \ln \left[\frac{4a_B^2}{(a_0^0 + a_0^1)^2} \right] + \frac{2 r_{\bar{K}N}}{|a_0^0 + a_0^1|} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, the contribution of the finite effective range of low–energy $\bar{K}N$ scattering increases the value of the correction to the energy level width. However, due to the inequality $r_{\bar{K}N} \ll 2/|a_0^0 + a_0^1|$ the contribution of the finite effective range is smaller compared with the mean value $(8.1 \pm 1.0)\%$, calculated in the zero–effective range approximation.

For a finite effective range $r_{\bar{K}N} \ll 2/|a_0^0 + a_0^1|$ the correction to the energy level shift δ_S^{Disp} acquires a factor $(1 + 2r_{\bar{K}N}/(a_0^0 + a_0^1))$. This can change slightly the value of δ_S^{Disp} relative to that, obtained in the zero–range approximation.

4 Energy level displacement induced by the QCD isospin–breaking interaction

It is well–known that the contribution of the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow n\gamma$, kinematically allowed at threshold of the π^-p pair, to the width of the energy level of the ground state of pionic hydrogen makes up about 40% [28]. In turn, the contribution of this reaction to the energy level shift reduces to a level of a few percents [16].

For kaonic hydrogen in the ground state there are two electromagnetic reactions $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\gamma$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$ allowed kinematically at threshold of the K^-p pair in the S–wave state. As has been shown in [3], the contribution of these reactions to the width of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen makes up about 1%.

The correction to the energy level shift is much less than 1% [16]. Therefore, in this Section we analyse the isospin-breaking corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen induced by the QCD isospin-breaking interaction. Following Meißner *et al.* [4] we take into account isospin-breaking corrections of order $O(m_d - m_u) \sim O(\alpha)$ only.

According to Gasser and Leutwyler [29, 30], the Lagrangian of the QCD isospin-breaking interaction is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^{\Delta I=1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) [\bar{u}(x)u(x) - \bar{d}(x)d(x)], \quad (4.1)$$

where the current quark masses $m_u = 4 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_d = 7 \text{ MeV}$ are defined at the scale of the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry $\Lambda_\chi \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$ [29].

In our model of strong low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions near threshold [3, 5] the main contributions of the QCD isospin-breaking interaction to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen are defined by (i) the inelastic channels $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Lambda^0(\eta(550) \rightarrow \pi^0)$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow (\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0)\pi^0$ to $\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ (see Eq.(1.6)) in terms of the amplitudes of the isospin-breaking transitions $\eta(550) \rightarrow \pi^0$ and $\Sigma^0 \leftrightarrow \Lambda^0$, and (ii) the elastic channel $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair off-mass shell⁵ to $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R$ (see Eq.(1.8)) in terms of the mass differences $m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K$ and $m_n - m_p$.

The effective low-energy interactions of the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance, which we denote below as Λ_1^0 , with the $\Sigma^0\pi^0$, K^-p , \bar{K}^0n , and $\Lambda^0\eta$ pairs are defined by [3]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_1^0 BP}(x) = g_1 \bar{\Lambda}_1^0(x) \left(\Sigma^0(x)\pi^0(x) - p(x)K^-(x) + n(x)\bar{K}^0(x) + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda^0(x)\eta(x) \right) + \dots, \quad (4.2)$$

where $g_1 = 0.907$. The coupling constant $g_1 = 0.907$ has been calculated in [3] using the experimental data on the mass and width of the $\Lambda(1405)$ -resonance [9].

4.1 Imaginary part of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold

The S-wave amplitudes $f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0)$ and $f(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0)$ in Eq.(1.6), corrected by the contributions of the QCD isospin-breaking interaction Eq.(4.1), are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\mu}{m_K} \sqrt{\frac{m_{\Sigma^0}}{m_N}} \left[- \frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_{\Sigma_2^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \Lambda^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Sigma^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}} \right], \\ f(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\mu}{m_K} \sqrt{\frac{m_{\Lambda^0}}{m_N}} \left[- \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_{\Sigma_2^0} - m_K - m_N} \right. \\ &- \frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \Lambda^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Sigma^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}} \\ &- \left. \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle}{m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2} \right], \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

⁵The contribution of the intermediate \bar{K}^0n state with the \bar{K}^0n with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell we have taken into account in Section 2.

where $m_{\Sigma^0} = 1750$ MeV is the mass of the $\Sigma(1750)$ resonance and $g_2 = 1.123$ [3]. The coupling constant $g_2 = 1.123$ has been calculated in [3] using the experimental data on the width and mass of the $\Sigma(1750)$ -resonance [9].

The isospin-breaking correction to the imaginary part of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_W^{ISB} &= \frac{\delta^{ISB} \mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} = -\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{g_2^2}{g_1^2} \frac{m_{\Lambda^0} - m_K - m_N}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{|f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0)|^2 k_{\Sigma^0 \pi^0}}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \Sigma^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Lambda^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}} + 3\sqrt{3} \frac{g_1^2}{g_2^2} \frac{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_K - m_N}{m_{\Lambda^0} - m_K - m_N} \\
&\times \frac{|f(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0)|^2 k_{\Lambda^0 \pi^0}}{\mathcal{I}m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \left[\frac{\langle \Sigma^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Lambda^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}} \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle}{m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2} \right], \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $k_{\Sigma^0 \pi^0} = 183$ MeV and $k_{\Lambda^0 \pi^0} = 256$ MeV are the relative momenta of the $\Sigma^0 \pi^0$ and $\Lambda^0 \pi^0$ pairs at threshold of the initial K^-p state.

The effective Lagrangian of the transitions $\Sigma^0 \longleftrightarrow \Lambda^0$ and $\eta(550) \longleftrightarrow \pi^0$, induced by the QCD isospin-breaking interaction Eq.(4.1), can be written as [30]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{ISB}(x) &= \theta_B^{ISB} (m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}) (\bar{\Sigma}^0(x) \Lambda^0(x) + \bar{\Lambda}^0(x) \Sigma^0(x)) \\
&+ \theta_M^{ISB} (m_\eta^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2) \eta(x) \pi^0(x), \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_B^{ISB} and θ_M^{ISB} are mixing angles defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_B^{ISB} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \Sigma^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Lambda^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}}, \\
\theta_M^{ISB} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle}{m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2}. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Following Gasser and Leutwyler [30], we set $\theta_B^{ISB} = \theta_M^{ISB} = \theta^{ISB}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^{ISB} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \Sigma^0 | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \Lambda^0 \rangle}{m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{\Lambda^0}} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (m_d - m_u) \frac{\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle}{m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2}. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the $\eta(550)$ -meson is the eighth component of the pseudoscalar octet [6] (see also [5]), the matrix element $\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle$, calculated to leading order in chiral expansion of ChPT [6] and Current Algebra [31, 32], is equal to

$$\langle \eta | [\bar{u}(0)u(0) - \bar{d}(0)d(0)] | \pi^0 \rangle = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{F_\pi^2} \langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle = \langle \bar{d}(0)d(0) \rangle = -(255)^3 \text{ MeV}^3$ is the quark condensate, calculated at $\Lambda_\chi = 940$ MeV [8], and $F_\pi = 92.4$ MeV, the PCAC constant [9].

Substituting Eq.(4.8) into Eq.(4.7) we get

$$\theta^{ISB} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}F_\pi^2} \frac{(m_d - m_u) \langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle}{m_\eta^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2} = 0.012. \quad (4.9)$$

This result agrees well with that obtained by Gasser and Leutwyler within ChPT [30] (see Eq.(8.3) of Ref.[30]):

$$\theta^{ISB} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}(m_d - m_u)}{2m_s - m_d - m_u} \right) = 0.010, \quad (4.10)$$

calculated for $m_u = 4$ MeV, $m_d = 7$ MeV and $m_s = 135$ MeV [29, 30].

The values of the current quark masses $m_u = 4$ MeV and $m_d = 7$ MeV and $m_s = 135$ MeV have been used in the Effective quark model with chiral $U(3) \times U(3)$ symmetry for the analysis of strong low-energy interactions [8]. According to [8], the scale of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry is equal to $\Lambda_\chi = 0.94$ GeV, that agrees well with $\Lambda_\chi \simeq 1$ GeV [29].

We would like to emphasize that in QCD the product $m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle = m_q(\mu) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle(\mu)$ is an observable renormalization group invariant quantity, independent on the renormalization scale μ [33]. Therefore, the product $(m_d - m_u) \langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle$, calculated for the normalization scale μ equal the scale of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry $\mu = \Lambda_\chi \simeq 1$ GeV, i.e.

$$(m_d(\mu) - m_u(\mu)) \langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle(\mu) = (m_d(\Lambda_\chi) - m_u(\Lambda_\chi)) \langle \bar{u}(0)u(0) \rangle(\Lambda_\chi) = - (255 \text{ MeV})^3,$$

is observable.

Due to the relation Eq.(4.7) the r.h.s. of Eq.(4.4) can be transcribed into the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_W^{ISB} &= \frac{\delta^{ISB} \mathcal{I} m f_0^{K^-p}(0)}{\mathcal{I} m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} = 4\sqrt{3} \theta^{ISB} \left[-\frac{1}{9} \frac{g_2^2}{g_1^2} \frac{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N}{m_{\Sigma_2^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{|f(K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0)|^2 k_{\Sigma^0 \pi^0}}{\mathcal{I} m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{g_1^2}{g_2^2} \frac{m_{\Sigma_2^0} - m_K - m_N}{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N} \frac{|f(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0)|^2 k_{\Lambda^0 \pi^0}}{\mathcal{I} m f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \right] = -0.04 \%. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Hence, the correction, induced by the QCD isospin-breaking interaction, to the width of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is negligible in agreement with the assertion by Meißner *et al.* [4].

4.2 Real part of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold

The isospin-breaking correction to $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_S^{ISB} &= \frac{\delta^{ISB} \mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)}{\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\mu}{m_K} \left(\frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda_1^0} - m_K - m_N} \right)^2 \\ &\times \mathcal{F.P.} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4 i} \frac{1}{m_{K^0}^2 - p^2} \frac{m_n}{m_n^2 - p^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0) = (-0.482 \pm 0.034)$ fm [3] and $\mathcal{F.P.}$ stands for the *finite part* of the integral over p . The correction δ_S^{ISB} is defined by the contribution of the transition $K^-p \rightarrow$

$\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 n \rightarrow \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow K^- p$ with the $\bar{K}^0 n$ pair off-mass shell to $\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)_R$ (see Eq.(1.8)).

Expanding the integrand in powers of $(m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_{K^-})$ and $(m_n - m_p)$ and keeping only the leading terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F.P.} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^{4i}} \frac{1}{m_{\bar{K}^0}^2 - p^2} \frac{m_n}{m_n^2 - p^2} &= -(m_{\bar{K}^0}^2 - m_{K^-}^2) \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^{4i}} \frac{1}{(m_K^2 - p^2)^2} \frac{m_N}{m_N^2 - p^2} \\ - 2(m_n - m_p) \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^{4i}} \frac{m_N^2}{m_K^2 - p^2} \frac{1}{(m_N^2 - p^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{m_n - m_p}{m_N} - \frac{m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K}{m_K} \right) \\ \times \frac{m_K^2 m_N^3}{(m_N^2 - m_K^2)^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_N^2}{m_K^2} \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K}{m_K} \frac{m_K^2}{m_N^2} - \frac{m_n - m_p}{m_N} \right) &\frac{m_N^3}{m_N^2 - m_K^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Substituting Eq.(4.13) into Eq.(4.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_S^{ISB} &= \frac{\delta^{ISB} \mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)}{\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}e f_0^{K^-p}(0)} \frac{1}{64\pi^3} \frac{\mu}{m_K} \left(\frac{g_1^2}{m_{\Lambda^0} - m_K - m_N} \right)^2 \\ &\times \left\{ \left(\frac{m_n - m_p}{m_N} - \frac{m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K}{m_K} \right) \frac{m_K^2 m_N^3}{(m_N^2 - m_K^2)^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_N^2}{m_K^2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\frac{m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K}{m_K} \frac{m_K^2}{m_N^2} - \frac{m_n - m_p}{m_N} \right) \frac{m_N^3}{m_N^2 - m_K^2} \right\} = 0.04 \%. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

The isospin-breaking correction $\delta_S^{ISB} = 0.04 \%$ is of order $O(\alpha)$.

The isospin-breaking corrections, computed in Section 3, can be attributed to $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$ of Ref.[4]. We have shown that they are negligible small in agreement with the assertion by Meißner *et al.* [4].

5 On $\bar{K}^0 n$ -cusp enhancement

In this Section we show how the hypothesis on the dominant role of the $\bar{K}^0 n$ -cusp contribution to the S-wave amplitude of low-energy $K^- p$ scattering can be incorporated in our approach. For this aim it suffices to use Eq.(3.1) and to determine the amplitude of $K^- p$ scattering in accordance with Dalitz and Tuan for $m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K \neq 0$ and $m_n - m_p \neq 0$ [19, 20]. Due to the wave functions of kaonic hydrogen in the ground state, the S-wave amplitude of $K^- p$ scattering in Eq.(3.1) can be determined near threshold of $K^- p$ scattering as [26]:

$$M(K^-(\vec{q})p(-\vec{q}, \sigma_p) \rightarrow K^-(\vec{k})p(-\vec{k}, \sigma_p)) = 8\pi (m_K + m_N) f_0^{K^-p}(\sqrt{kq}), \quad (5.1)$$

where $f_0^{K^-p}(p)$ is equal to [19, 20]:

$$f_0^{K^-p}(p) = \frac{\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2} - i\sqrt{p^2 - q_0^2} a_0^0 a_0^1}{1 - i\left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)\sqrt{p^2 - q_0^2} - ip\left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2} - i\sqrt{p^2 - q_0^2} a_0^0 a_0^1\right)}. \quad (5.2)$$

Here $\sqrt{p^2 - q_0^2}$ is a relative momentum of the $\bar{K}^0 n$ pair, tending to iq_0 at $p \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\sqrt{p^2 - q_0^2} \rightarrow iq_0$ at $p \rightarrow 0$.

Substituting the S–wave amplitude Eq.(5.1) into Eq.(3.1) and taking into account that due to the wave functions of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen the main contribution to the integrand comes from the regions of 3–momenta $k \sim 1/a_B$ and $q \sim 1/a_B$, where $1/a_B = 2.4 \text{ MeV}$, the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is determined by

$$- \epsilon_{1s}^{\text{DT}} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{\text{DT}}}{2} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \left\{ \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) |\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2 + i \left[\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) \right]^2 \left| \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{k} \Phi_{1s}(k) \right|^2 \right\}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)$ is given by Eq.(2.4). As the integral over k is equal to

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{k} \Phi_{1s}(k) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2a_B}} |\Psi_{1s}(0)|, \quad (5.4)$$

the r.h.s. of Eq.(5.3) reads

$$- \epsilon_{1s}^{\text{DT}} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{\text{DT}}}{2} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \left\{ \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) + i \frac{9}{2a_B} \left[\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0) \right]^2 \right\} |\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2. \quad (5.5)$$

Following Meißner *et al.* [4] and introducing the notation $\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)} = 4\pi (1+m_K/m_N) \tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)$, we transcribe the r.h.s. of Eq.(5.5) into the form

$$- \epsilon_{1s}^{\text{DT}} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{\text{DT}}}{2} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{KN}}{2m_K} |\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2 = \frac{\alpha^3 \mu^3}{2\pi m_K} \mathcal{T}_{KN}, \quad (5.6)$$

where we have used $|\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2 = \alpha^3 \mu^3 / \pi$ and \mathcal{T}_{KN} is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{KN} = \mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)} + i \frac{9}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha \mu^2}{2m_K} \left[\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)} \right]^2. \quad (5.7)$$

The expression Eq.(5.7) agrees well with Eq.(17) of Ref.[4]

$$\mathcal{T}_{KN} = \mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)} + i \frac{\alpha \mu^2}{2m_K} \left[\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)} \right]^2 + \delta \mathcal{T}_{KN}. \quad (5.8)$$

The deviation of the second term in Eq.(5.7) from that in Eq.(5.8) we define as $\delta \mathcal{T}_{KN}$, the relative value of which is equal to

$$\left| \frac{\delta \mathcal{T}_{KN}}{\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)}} \right| = 2\pi \alpha \mu \left(1 - \frac{9}{4\pi} \right) |\tilde{f}_0^{K^-p}(0)| = 1.4 \%. \quad (5.9)$$

The smallness of this correction agrees well with the assertion by Meißner *et al.* [4].

Of course, we understand that $\delta \mathcal{T}_{KN}$, given by Eq.(5.9), does not describe the total set of corrections of order $O(\alpha)$, which have been taken into account by Meißner *et al.* [4]. However, as has been pointed out by Meißner *et al.*, these corrections can be neglected in comparison with the main contribution defined by the first term $\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)}$ in Eq.(5.8). This has been also corroborated by our calculations in Section 3. We would like to note that finally the second term in Eq.(5.8) has been also included by Meißner *et al.* into $\delta \mathcal{T}_{KN}$ (see Eq.(19) of Ref.[4] and [34]). Hence, it can be dropped in comparison with $\mathcal{T}_{KN}^{(0)}$ as well.

6 Conclusion

We have calculated the isospin-breaking corrections and the dispersive corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen within the quantum field theoretic model of low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions developed in [3, 5] (see also [24, 25] and [35, 36]). The isospin-breaking corrections are caused by the QCD isospin-breaking interaction, whereas the dispersive corrections are defined by the contribution of the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ to the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell.

As has been shown in [3] the contribution of the electromagnetic reactions $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\gamma$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$, allowed kinematically at threshold of the K^-p pair, to the energy level width of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen makes up about 1%. The correction of these reactions to the energy level shift is much less than 1% [16]. Therefore, in this paper we have calculated the isospin-breaking corrections induced by the QCD isospin-breaking interaction Eq.(4.1).

We have got $\delta_{EL}^{ISB} = (\delta_S^{ISB}, \delta_W^{ISB}) = (0.04\%, -0.04\%)$. The contribution to the energy level width $\delta_W^{ISB} = -0.04\%$ we have obtained in terms of the corrections to the amplitudes of the inelastic channels $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0\pi^0$ with the isospin breaking transitions $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0$, $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ and $\eta(550) \rightarrow \pi^0$. This is a complete set of the QCD isospin-breaking corrections at the tree-hadron level, i.e. to leading order Effective Chiral Lagrangians. The correction to the energy level shift $\delta_S^{ISB} = 0.04\%$ is obtained in terms the mass differences $(m_{\bar{K}^0} - m_K)$ and $(m_n - m_p)$, which are defined by the electromagnetic and QCD isospin-breaking interactions [29, 30]. It is induced by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda^0(1405) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow \Lambda^0(1405) \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair off-mass shell.

The isospin-breaking corrections $\delta_{EL}^{ISB} = (\delta_S^{ISB}, \delta_W^{ISB}) = (0.04\%, -0.04\%)$ are of order $O(\alpha)$. They can be attributed to the S-wave amplitude $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$, introduced by Meißner *et al.* [4]. The smallness of these corrections $\delta_{EL}^{ISB} = (\delta_S^{ISB}, \delta_W^{ISB}) = (0.04\%, -0.04\%)$ agrees well with the assertion by Meißner *et al.* that the contribution of $\delta\mathcal{T}_{KN} = O(\alpha)$ is negligible small relative to the main part of the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold.

In addition to the isospin-breaking corrections δ_{EL}^{ISB} we have computed the dispersive corrections to the shift and width of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, induced by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell. They are equal to $\delta_S^{Disp} = (4.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $\delta_W^{Disp} = (8.0 \pm 1.0)\%$, respectively. These corrections are defined only for the energy level displacement through Eq.(3.1) and do not exist for the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold. Therefore, they have not been calculated by Meißner *et al.*, who have investigated the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold. Such corrections have not been also calculated in [3].

We have also shown that the hypothesis on the dominate role of the \bar{K}^0n -cusp for the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering near threshold can be realized in our approach. The energy level displacement of the ground state kaonic hydrogen as well as the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold are computed in complete agreement with the results obtained by Meißner *et al.* [4].

Hence, our approach to the description of low-energy dynamics of strong low-energy $\bar{K}N$ interactions near threshold together with Eq.(3.1) agrees well with general description of strong low-energy interactions of hadrons within the non-relativistic Effective Field

Theory based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler [4, 6]. Nevertheless, we would like to emphasize that the hypothesis on the dominate role of the \bar{K}^0n -cusp is a dynamical one, the correctness of which should be confirmed experimentally through the improvement of the precision of measurements [1]. A theoretical discussion of this hypothesis goes beyond scope of this paper.

6.1 Energy level shift and the $\sigma_{KN}^{I=1}(0)$ -term

In our approach, taking into account the dispersive corrections Eq.(3.8), the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is equal to

$$-\epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{th})} + i \frac{\Gamma_{1s}^{(\text{th})}}{2} = (-213 \pm 15) + i(123 \pm 14) \text{ eV}. \quad (6.1)$$

The theoretical value of the energy level width fits well the mean value of the experimental data Eq.(1.1). The discrepancy between the theoretical value of the shift and the mean value of the experimental data Eq.(1.1) can be improved by taking into account the contribution of the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ -term, given by [35]:

$$\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{(\sigma)} = \frac{\alpha^3 \mu^3}{2\pi m_K F_K^2} \left[\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) - \frac{m_K^2}{4m_N} i \int d^4x \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | T(J_{50}^{4+i5}(x) J_{50}^{4-i5}(0)) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

Here $J_{50}^{4\pm i5}(x)$ are time-components of the axial-vector hadronic currents $J_{5\mu}^{4\pm i5}(x)$, changing strangeness $|\Delta S| = 1$, $F_K = 113 \text{ MeV}$ is the PCAC constant of the K -meson [9] and the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ -term is defined by [37]–[41]

$$\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) = \frac{m_u + m_s}{4m_N} \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | \bar{u}(0)u(0) + \bar{s}(0)s(0) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle, \quad (6.3)$$

where $u(0)$ and $s(0)$ are operators of the interpolating fields of u and s current quarks [33].

The correction $\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{(\sigma)}$ to the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, caused by the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$, is obtained from the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering, calculated to next-to-leading order in ChPT expansion at the tree-hadron level [35] and Current Algebra [31, 32] (see also [37]):

$$4\pi \left(1 + \frac{m_K}{m_N} \right) f_0^{K^-p}(0) = \frac{m_K}{F_K^2} - \frac{1}{F_K^2} \sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) + \frac{m_K^2}{4m_N F_K^2} i \int d^4x \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | T(J_{50}^{4+i5}(x) J_{50}^{4-i5}(0)) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle. \quad (6.4)$$

The contribution of the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ -term, $-\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)/F_K^2$, to the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering in Eq.(6.4) has a standard structure [37].

Since the first term, m_K/F_K^2 computed to leading order in chiral expansion, has been already taken into account in [3], the second term, $-\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)/F_K^2$, and the third one define next-to-leading order corrections in chiral expansion to the S-wave amplitude of K^-p scattering at threshold.

According to the DGBT formula [23] (see also [3]), the contribution of these terms to the energy level shift can be obtained multiplying them by the factor $-2\alpha^3\mu^2$ (see Eq.(1.2)). Hence, the last two terms in Eq.(6.4) determine a next-to-leading order correction in chiral expansion, which is a correction of order $O(\alpha^3)$ to the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen.

Taking into account the contribution $\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{(\sigma)}$, the total shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{th})} &= (213 \pm 15) + \frac{\alpha^3\mu^3}{2\pi m_K F_K^2} \sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) \\ &- \frac{\alpha^3\mu^3 m_K}{8\pi F_K^2 m_N} i \int d^4x \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | \text{T}(J_{50}^{4+i5}(x) J_{50}^{4-i5}(0)) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

The theoretical estimates of the value of $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$, carried out within ChPT with a dimensional regularization of divergent integrals, are converged around the number $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) = (200 \pm 50) \text{ MeV}$ [39, 40]. Hence, the contribution of $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ to the energy level shift amounts to

$$\frac{\alpha^3\mu^3}{2\pi m_K F_K^2} \sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0) = (67 \pm 17) \text{ eV}. \quad (6.6)$$

The total contribution to the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen is given by

$$\epsilon_{1s}^{(\text{th})} = (280 \pm 23) - \frac{\alpha^3\mu^3 m_K}{8\pi F_K^2 m_N} i \int d^4x \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | \text{T}(J_{50}^{4+i5}(x) J_{50}^{4-i5}(0)) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle. \quad (6.7)$$

The theoretical analysis of the second term in Eq.(6.7) is required for the correct understanding of the contribution of the $\sigma_{KN}^{I=1}(0)$ -term to the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen.

Of course, one can solve the inverse problem. Indeed, carrying out a theoretical calculation of the term

$$\frac{\alpha^3\mu^3 m_K}{8\pi F_K^2 m_N} i \int d^4x \langle p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) | \text{T}(J_{50}^{4+i5}(x) J_{50}^{4-i5}(0)) | p(\vec{0}, \sigma_p) \rangle \quad (6.8)$$

in Eq.(6.5) and using the experimental data on the shift of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, measured by the DEAR Collaboration Eq.(1.1), one can extract the value of the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ -term. Such a value of the $\sigma_{KN}^{(I=1)}(0)$ -term should be compared with the theoretical predictions, obtained within the EFT approach based on ChPT by Gasser and Leutwyler. We are planning to perform this analysis in our forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Torleif Ericson for helpful discussions and reading the manuscript and to Jürg Gasser for discussions of $\Sigma^0 \leftrightarrow \Lambda^0$ and $\eta(550) \leftrightarrow \pi^0$ mixing angles, caused the QCD isospin-breaking interaction. We thank Akaki Rusetsky for the clarification of the results obtained in [4].

Appendix. Calculation of momentum integrals

In this Appendix we calculate the momentum integrals Eq.(3.7), defining the dispersive corrections to the energy level displacement of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen, caused by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell.

For the analysis of the correction $\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}$ to the energy level shift we have to calculate the double momentum integral, which we denote as $f_S(q_0)$:

$$f_S(q_0) = \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dk dq k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) q^2 \Phi_{1s}(q)}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We have to expand $f_S(q_0)$ in powers of q_0 and to keep only the first non-vanishing term dependent on q_0 , since the contribution of $f_S(0)$ has been already taken into account in [3]. The integral over k is equal to

$$\int_{q_0}^{\infty} dk k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) = 4\pi \left[\arctan\left(\frac{1}{x_0}\right) + \frac{x_0}{1+x_0^2} \right] |\Psi_{1s}(0)|, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $x_0 = q_0 a_B$ and $|\Psi_{1s}(0)| = 1/\sqrt{\pi a_B^3}$.

The result of the calculation of the integral over q reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dq q^2 \Phi_{1s}(q)}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)} &= 4\pi \left\{ \frac{1 + \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}{(1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2)^2} \arctan\left(\frac{1}{x_0}\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2} \frac{x_0}{1 + x_0^2} - \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}}{(1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2)^2} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}}{\lambda x_0}\right) \right\} |\Psi_{1s}(0)|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where we have denoted

$$\lambda = \left| \frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2a_B} \right| = O(\alpha) \quad , \quad \lambda x_0 = \left| \frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2} \right| q_0 = O(\sqrt{\alpha}). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The function $f_S(q_0)$ is defined by the product of the expressions given by Eqs.(A.2) and (A.3). Expanding the function $f_S(q_0)$ in powers of q_0 we get

$$f_S(q_0) = f_S(0) + 4\pi^4 \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 q_0^2 |\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2 + \dots \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For the calculation of the contribution to the shift $\delta\epsilon_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}$ we have to use only the term proportional to q_0^2 .

The correction $\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0n}$ to the energy level width, caused by the transition $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n \rightarrow K^-p$ with the \bar{K}^0n pair on-mass shell, is defined by the double momentum integral, which we denote as

$$f_W(q_0) = \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dk dq k^2 \Phi_{1s}(k) q^2 \sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2} \Phi_{1s}(q)}{1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Since the integral over k is given by Eq.(A.2), we have to calculate the integral over q . The calculation runs as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dq q^2 \sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2} a_B^4}{(1 + a_B^2 q^2)^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)\right)} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{da} \left[\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx x^2 \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2}}{(a^2 + x^2)(1 + \lambda^2 (x^2 - x_0^2))} \right]_{a=1} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{da} \left[-\frac{a^2}{1 - \lambda^2 a^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2}}{a^2 + x^2} + \frac{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}{1 - \lambda^2 a^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2}}{1 + \lambda^2 (x^2 - x_0^2)} \right]_{a=1}. \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we make a change of variables $x = x_0 \cosh \varphi$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dq q^2 \sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2} a_B^4}{(1 + a_B^2 q^2)^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)\right)} = \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}}{(1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2)^2} \ell n \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 x_0^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \frac{2 + (1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2) x_0^2}{(1 - \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 x_0^2)^2 \sqrt{1 + x_0^2}} \ell n \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + x_0^2} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + x_0^2} - 1} \right). \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

To leading order in q_0 -expansion the integral over q reads

$$\int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{dq q^2 \sqrt{q^2 - q_0^2} a_B^4}{(1 + a_B^2 q^2)^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_0^0 + a_0^1}{2}\right)^2 (q^2 - q_0^2)\right)} = \left(1 + 2\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2 x_0^2\right) \ell n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \dots \tag{A.9}$$

Hence, the double momentum integral $f_W(q_0)$, calculated to leading order in q_0 -expansion, is equal to

$$f_W(q_0) = \frac{16\pi^3}{a_B} \ell n \left[\frac{2a_B}{|a_0^0 + a_0^1|} \right] |\Psi_{1s}(0)|^2. \tag{A.10}$$

The function $f_W(q_0)$, given by Eq.(A.10), defines the correction $\delta\Gamma_{1s}^{\bar{K}^0 n}$ to the width of the energy level of the ground state of kaonic hydrogen Eq.(3.7), caused by the transition $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 n \rightarrow K^- p$ with the $\bar{K}^0 n$ pair on-mass shell.

References

- [1] J. Gasser, *Kaonic atoms in QCD*, Invited talk in Plenary Session of DAΦNE 2004 *Physics at Meson Factories* at Frascati, June 7–11, 2004; hep-ph/0412393.
- [2] M. Cargnelli *et al.* (the DEAR Collaboration), *Kaonic Nuclear Clusters* – Miniworkshop, (IMEP, Wien), 9 February 2004; M. Cargnelli *et al.* (the DEAR Collaboration), in Proceedings of HadAtom03 Workshop, 13–17 October 2003, ECT* (Trento Italy), hep-ph/0401204; J. Marton *et al.* (the DEAR Collaboration): *Results on Kaonic Hydrogen*, Proceedings of the Conference *Hadron Structure 2004*, Smolenice Castle, Slovakia, Acta Physica Slovaca **55**, 69 (2005).
- [3] A. N. Ivanov, M. Cargnelli, M. Faber, J. Marton, N. I. Troitskaya, and J. Zmeskal, Eur. Phys. J. A **21**, 11 (2004); nucl-th/0310081.
- [4] U.-G. Meißner, U. Raha, and A. Rusetsky, Eur. Phys. J. C **35**, 349 (2004); hep-ph/0402261.
- [5] A. N. Ivanov, M. Cargnelli, M. Faber, H. Fuhrmann, V. A. Ivanova, J. Marton, N. I. Troitskaya, and J. Zmeskal, Eur. Phys. J. A **23**, 79 (2005), nucl-th/0406053.
- [6] J. Gasser, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **86**, 257 (2000) and references therein. H. Leutwyler, PiN Newslett. **15**, 1 (1999); Ulf-G. Meißner, PiN Newslett. **13**, 7 (1997); H. Leutwyler, Ann. of Phys. **235**, 165 (1994); G. Ecker, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **36**, 71 (1996); Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 1 (1995); Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **16**, 581 (1990); J. Gasser, Nucl. Phys. B **279**, 65 (1987); J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985); Ann. of Phys. **158**, 142 (1984); J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. B **125**, 321, 325 (1983).
- [7] C.-H. Lee, D.-P. Min, and M. Rho, Phys. Lett. B **326**, 14 (1994); C.-H. Lee, G. E. Brown, and M. Rho, Phys. Lett. B **335**, 266 (1994); C.-H. Lee, G. E. Brown, D.-P. Min, and M. Rho, Nucl. Phys. A **585**, 401 (1995); C.-H. Lee, D.-P. Min, and M. Rho, Nucl. Phys. A **602**, 334 (1996).
- [8] A. N. Ivanov, M. Nagy, and N. I. Troitskaya, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 451 (1999) and references therein.
- [9] S. Eidelman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).
- [10] D. N. Tovee *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **33**, 493 (1971).
- [11] R. J. Nowak *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **139**, 61 (1978).
- [12] N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel, and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A **594**, 325 (1995).
- [13] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Veinshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 385, 448, 519 (1979); Proceedings of the Workshop on *NON-PERTURBATIVE METHODS*, edited by S. Narison, Montpellier, 9–13 July 1985, World Scientific, 1985.

- [14] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich, and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B **321**, 311 (1989); A. Bramon, Phys. Lett. B **333**, 153 (1994); A. Bramon, R. Escribano, J. L. L. Martinez, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074008 (2004).
- [15] N. Fettes and Ulf-G. Meißner, Nucl. Phys. A**679**, 629 (2001).
- [16] T. E. O. Ericson and A. N. Ivanov, *Isospin breaking in threshold πN scattering by radiative processes*, hep-ph/0503277; T. E. O. Ericson and A. N. Ivanov, *The $\pi^- p$ atomic level shift and Isospin Violation in πN scattering*, Invited talk at Workshop HadAtom05 at Institute of Theoretical Physics at Bern University, 15–16 February 2005, Bern, Switzerland.
- [17] V. E. Lyubovitskij, Th. Gutsche, A. Fäßler, and R. Vinh Mau, Phys. Lett. B **520**, 204 (2001).
- [18] J. Gasser, M. A. Ivanov, E. Lipartia, M. Mojžiš, and A. Rusetsky, Eur. Phys. J. C **26**, 13 (2002).
- [19] R. H. Dalitz and S. F. Tuan, Ann. of Phys. **3**, 307 (1960).
- [20] R. H. Dalitz, in *Strange Particles and Strong Interactions*, Oxford University Press, 1962.
- [21] M. Iwasaki *et al.* (the KEK Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3067 (1997); T. M. Ito *et al.* (the KEK Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **58**, 2366 (1998).
- [22] A. Deloff and J. Law, Phys. Rev. C **20**, 1597 (1979).
- [23] S. Deser, M. L. Goldberger, K. Baumann, and W. Thirring, Phys. Rev. **96**, 774 (1954) T. L. Trueman, Nucl. Phys. **26**, 57 (1961).
- [24] A. N. Ivanov, M. Faber, A. Hirrtl, J. Marton, and N. I. Troitskaya, Eur. Phys. J. A **18**, 653 (2003); nucl-th/0306047.
- [25] A. N. Ivanov, M. Faber, A. Hirrtl, J. Marton, and N. I. Troitskaya, Eur. Phys. J. A **19**, 413 (2004); nucl-th/0310027.
- [26] M. M. Nagels *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 189 (1979).
- [27] T. E. O. Ericson and W. Weise, in *Pions and Nuclei*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988.
- [28] D. Gotta *et al.*, Physica Scripta, T **104**(2003) 94, hep-ex/0305012; H.-Ch. Schröder *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **21** (2001) 473.
- [29] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **94**, 269 (1975); H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **94**, 108 (2001).
- [30] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. **87**, 77 (1982).
- [31] S. L. Adler and R. Dashen, in *Current Algebras*, Benjamin, New York 1968.

- [32] V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and C. Rossetti, in *Currents in Hadron Physics*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam • London, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1973.
- [33] F. J. Yndurain, in *Quantum Chromodynamics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [34] A. Rusetsky (private communication).
- [35] A. N. Ivanov, M. Cargnelli, M. Faber, V. A. Ivanova, J. Marton, N. I. Troitskaya, and J. Zmeskal, *Energy level displacement of excited np state of kaonic hydrogen*, nucl-th/0411026 (to appear in Phys. Rev. A).
- [36] A. N. Ivanov, M. Cargnelli, M. Faber, V. A. Ivanova, J. Marton, N. I. Troitskaya, and J. Zmeskal, *On radiative $np \rightarrow 1s + \gamma$ transition, induced by strong low-energy interactions, in kaonic atoms*, nucl-th/0412065.
- [37] E. Reya, Phys. Rev. D **6**, 200 (1972); Phys. Rev. D **7**, 3472 (1973); Rev. Mod. Phys. **46**, 545 (1974); J. F. Gunion, P. C. Mcamee, and M. D. Scadron, Nucl. Phys. B **123**, 445 (1977); B. di Claudio, A. M. Rodriguez-Vargas, and G. Violini, Z. Phys. C **3**, 75 (1979); E. E. Kolomeitsev, in *Kaonen in Kernmaterie*, PhD, 1998; <http://www.physik.tu-dresden.de/publik/1998>
- [38] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, and M. E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B **253**, 252, 260 (1991).
- [39] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and Ulf-G. Meißner, Z. Phys. C **60**, 111 (1993); B. Borasoy and Ulf-G. Meißner, Ann. of Phys. **254**, 192 (1997).
- [40] B. Borasoy, Eur. Phys. J. C **8**, 121 (1999)
- [41] J. Gasser and M. E. Sainio, *Sigma-term Physics*, Invited talk given at the Workshop *Physics and Detectors for DAΦNE*, Frascati, November 16–19, 1999.