

SAID RESULTS FOR GDH-RELATED SUM RULES

R. A. ARNDT, W. J. BRISCOE, I. I. STRAKOVSKY, AND R. L. WORKMAN

*Center for Nuclear Studies, Department of Physics,
The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA*

Model-dependence of the single-pion contribution to the GDH, Baldin, and forward spin-polarizability sum rules is explored, using the most recent SAID multipole analysis. Results from SAID and MAID are compared.

We have calculated the GDH, Baldin and forward spin-polarizability (γ_0) sum rules using our most recent analysis of single-pion photoproduction data. This set of integrals is useful in revealing discrepancies, as the integrands are weighted by different powers of the photon energy. Values are tabulated below. Results are given over the threshold region and full energy range to illustrate where differences are most pronounced. For the charged-pion contribution, this threshold component is comparable to the full result.

Table 1. Comparison of the SM02 ¹ and recent MAID2000 ² calculations for the GDH and Baldin integrals and the forward spin polarizability from threshold to 2 GeV (for MAID to 1.25 GeV) [left set] and from threshold to 200 MeV [right set] displayed as SAID/MAID.

Reaction	to 2000 MeV			to 200 MeV		
	GDH (μb)	Baldin ($10^{-4} fm^3$)	γ_0 ($10^{-4} fm^4$)	GDH (μb)	Baldin ($10^{-4} fm^3$)	γ_0 ($10^{-4} fm^4$)
$\pi^0 p$	-142/-150	4.7/4.7	-1.40/-1.47	-2/-1	0.1/0.1	-0.05/-0.04
$\pi^+ n$	-45/-18	6.8/6.9	0.55/0.79	30/32	1.2/1.2	0.99/1.02
proton	-187/-168	11.5/11.6	-0.85/-0.68	28/31	1.3/1.3	0.94/0.98
$\pi^0 n$	-148/-153	4.6/4.6	-1.44/-1.50	-1/-1	0.1/0.1	-0.04/0.04
$\pi^- p$	11/33	8.3/8.8	1.36/1.64	42/47	1.7/1.8	1.39/1.53
neutron	-137/-120	12.9/13.4	-0.08/0.14	41/46	1.8/1.9	1.35/1.49

Differences between SAID and MAID appear mainly above 450 MeV, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (differential) and Fig. 2 (total) for the difference of




Figure 1. Differential cross section ($d\sigma/d\Omega_{1/2} - d\sigma/d\Omega_{3/2}$) for $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ at $\theta = 85 \pm 4^\circ$. The solid (dash-dotted) line plots the SM02¹ (MAID2001²) solution. Experimental data are from Mainz³.

helicity 1/2 and 3/2 cross sections. The running GDH and γ_0 integrals are given, for both proton and neutron targets, in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. For both integrals the single-pion contribution is negligible above 2 GeV. It is worth noting that the SAID and MAID results for total integrals are more consistent than their individual pieces, due to cancellations which are evident in Fig.3. Results for the Baldin integral are virtually identical for the γp components, with a slight discrepancy appearing for $\gamma n \rightarrow p\pi^-$. The good agreement for $\gamma n \rightarrow n\pi^0$ may be misleading, with so little data existing in this channel.




Figure 2. Difference of the total cross sections for the helicity states 1/2 and 3/2. (a) $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ and (b) $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \pi^+ n$. The solid (dash-dotted) line represents the SM02¹ (MAID2001²) solution. Experimental data are from Mainz⁴.

In Fig. 5 we compare GDH contributions calculated using an older SAID




Figure 3. Running GDH integral. (a) for proton and (b) neutron targets. The solid (dash-dotted) line represents the SM02¹ (MAID2000²) solution.




Figure 4. Forward spin polarizability γ_0 . (a) for proton and (b) neutron targets. The solid (dash-dotted) line represents the SM02¹ (MAID2000²) solution.

fit (SM95), the present fit, and the result (SX99) of applying the SM95 parametrization to the present database. From this it is clear that both the changes in phenomenology and an improved database have modified the integral.




Figure 5. Sensitivity to parametrization/database. The present fit (dashed) falls between SM95 and SX99.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by U.S. Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-99-ER41110. Additional support has been provided by Jefferson Lab and the Southeastern Universities Research Association through the D.O.E. contract DE-AC05-84ER40150.

References

1. R. A. Arndt, W. J. Briscoe, I. I. Strakovsky, and R. L. Workman, submitted to *Phys. Rev. C.*, Eprint nucl-th/0205067, <http://gwdac.phys.gwu.edu>
2. Mainz fits are available at the MAID website <http://www.kph.uni-mainz.de/MAID/>. See also S. S. Kamalov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 032201 (2001). The Unitary Isobar Model is developed at Mainz (hereafter called MAID), D. Drechsel *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A645**, 145 (1999). MAID2000 refers to the Feb. 2001 version of the MAID solution, MAID2001 refers to the Nov. 2001 version of the MAID solution from S. Kamalov.
3. J. Ahrens *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 232002 (2002).
4. J. Ahrens *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5950 (2000).