

# Pions in the Pionless Effective Field Theory

**Silas R. Beane and Martin J. Savage**

*Department of Physics, University of Washington,  
Seattle, WA 98195.*

## Abstract

We show that processes involving pions that remain very near their mass-shell can be reliably computed in the pionless effective field theory, with the pion integrated in as a heavy field. As an application, we compute the  $\pi$ -deuteron scattering amplitude near threshold to next-to-leading order in the momentum expansion. This amplitude is formally dominated by an infrared logarithm of the form  $\log(\gamma/m_\pi)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the deuteron binding momentum, and  $m_\pi$  is the mass of the pion. The coefficient of this logarithm is determined by the S-wave pion-nucleon scattering lengths.

May 2002.

## I. INTRODUCTION

For many processes involving pions scattering from multi-nucleon systems, the kinematics are such that the pions must be included as dynamical particles, as they can carry energy and momentum that is not small compared to their rest mass, and can be far off-shell. However, in the case of low-energy pion-deuteron ( $\pi d$ ) scattering one has a situation where the pions can carry energy and momentum that puts them on or near their mass-shell, both on external legs and internally in some diagrams. Furthermore, given the small binding energy of the deuteron, the two nucleons in the deuteron are off their mass-shell by an amount that is far less than the pion mass. Therefore, we can construct an effective field theory (EFT) where non-relativistic nucleons interact via contact operators, and pions near their mass-shell are included as massless particles that generate a coulomb-like potential. The construction of this low-energy EFT is similar to the development of the heavy-quark effective theory (HQET) [1,2] and non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) [3–5].

The EFT relevant to momentum transfers much less than the pion mass, in which nucleons interact via contact operators, is known as the pionless EFT, or EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ). The power-counting rules in EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ) are now an established part of the nuclear physics literature and can be found in several review articles [6], together with reviews of the substantial array of phenomena that have been studied in EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ). A recently developed variant of EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ) is particularly suited to high-order, precision calculations [7]. In this EFT, known as dibaryon pionless EFT, or dEFT( $\not{\pi}$ ), the  ${}^3S_1$  NN effective range parameter,  $r_3$ , is taken to be of order  $1/Q$  in the power counting, where  $Q$  is a small momentum [8]. This naturally leads to the use of dibaryon fields to account for nonperturbative enhancements [9]. In dEFT( $\not{\pi}$ ), operators are ordered according to powers of the total center-of-mass energy [7]. Unlike EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ), the higher-dimension operators involving external fields are not renormalized by the S-wave strong interactions, and therefore do not scale with inverse powers of the renormalization scale. Thus, naive dimensional analysis of these operators is sufficient to estimate their contribution to a given process. Due to these technical advantages, we will work with dEFT( $\not{\pi}$ ) in this paper.

## II. INTEGRATING IN THE PIONS

Given that both EFT( $\not{\pi}$ ) [10–13] and dEFT( $\not{\pi}$ ) [7,14] have been studied in detail, the remaining ingredient is the pion. In analogy with HQET and heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory (HB $\chi$ PT), for processes involving the pions very near their mass-shell it is convenient to perform field redefinitions to remove the classical trajectory of a pion and use nonrelativistic kinematics. In the limit that the nucleon mass is infinitely larger than the pion mass, and the deuteron binding energy is taken to be much smaller than any other scale in the problem, the pion kinetic energy in the deuteron rest frame,  $T_\pi$ , can be taken to be a constant even in loop diagrams. Furthermore, the theory can be constructed in terms of the annihilation and creation operators for the pions. In loop diagrams the typical pion residual kinetic energy is of order  $\gamma^2/M_N$ , while its momentum is of order  $\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the deuteron binding momentum. Thus, as is the case in NRQCD, it is possible and necessary to treat the residual energy operator in perturbation theory. Working in the isospin limit,

the leading-order (LO) Lagrange density describing non-interacting “heavy pions”,  $\pi_h$ , is <sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{tr} \left[ M_h^\dagger \left( 2m_\pi T_\pi + \nabla^2 \right) M_h \right] \quad , \quad (1)$$

where  $T_\pi$  is the kinetic energy of the pion and where  $m_\pi$  is the pion mass. The subscript “ $h$ ” denotes a heavy field, and we have defined the meson matrices

$$M_h = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_h^0/\sqrt{2} & \pi_h^+ \\ \pi_h^- & -\pi_h^0/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad M_h^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_h^{0\dagger}/\sqrt{2} & \pi_h^{-\dagger} \\ \pi_h^{+\dagger} & -\pi_h^{0\dagger}/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (2)$$

There is an implied summation over all possible pions with kinetic energy  $T_\pi$ , just as there is a summation over all possible four-velocities in HQET. The operator  $\pi_h$  annihilates a pion while the operator  $\pi_h^\dagger$  creates a pion. The propagator for the pion has the form

$$D_{T_\pi}(q^0, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{i}{2m_\pi T_\pi - |\mathbf{q}|^2 + i\epsilon} \quad , \quad (3)$$

which is independent of  $q^0$ , and reduces down to that of a “potential photon” in NRQED or a “potential gluon” in NRQCD, (i.e.  $-i/(|\mathbf{q}|^2 - i\epsilon)$ ) in the limit that the pion has vanishing kinetic energy,  $T_\pi \rightarrow 0$ .

We describe deuteron properties using dEFT( $\not{\pi}$ ), in which the scattering length *and* effective range in the  ${}^3S_1$ -channel are assumed to be unnaturally large, and to scale like  $1/Q$  in the power-counting [7]. The Lagrange density describing the dynamics of the nucleons and dibaryon,  $t^j$ , in the  ${}^3S_1$  channel is

$$\mathcal{L}_t = N^\dagger \left[ i\partial_0 + \frac{\nabla^2}{2M_N} \right] N - t_j^\dagger \left[ i\partial_0 + \frac{\nabla^2}{4M_N} - \Delta \right] t^j - y \left[ t_j^\dagger N^T P^j N + \text{h.c.} \right] \quad , \quad (4)$$

where  $y$  is the coupling between nucleons in the  ${}^3S_1$  channel and the  ${}^3S_1$ -dibaryon. The spin-isospin projector for the  ${}^3S_1$  channel is

$$P^i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sigma_2 \sigma^i \tau_2 \quad , \quad \text{Tr} \left[ P^{i\dagger} P^j \right] = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} \quad . \quad (5)$$

It is easy to show that this Lagrange density alone reproduces the NN scattering amplitude in the  ${}^3S_1$ -channel, with scattering length  $a_3$  and effective range  $r_3$ , when

$$y^2 = \frac{8\pi}{M_N^2 r_3} \quad , \quad \Delta = \frac{2}{M_N r_3} \left( \frac{1}{a_3} - \mu \right) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale. This identification is made after dressing the dibaryon propagator, as shown in Fig. 1. Higher order contributions to the scattering amplitude arising from the shape parameter and higher order terms in the effective range expansion are understood to be included perturbatively.

---

<sup>1</sup>We could have chosen a different normalization for  $\pi_h$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}_h = \sqrt{2m_\pi} \pi_h$ , in which case the kinetic term would be

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi_h^{+\dagger} \left( T_\pi + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m_\pi} \right) \pi^+ + \dots \quad ,$$

which is a more familiar form for the non-relativistic Lagrange density. The ellipses denote the kinetic-energy terms for the  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^0$ .




FIG. 1. *The dressed dibaryon propagator. The bare dibaryon propagator is dressed by nucleon bubbles to all orders. Each diagram counts as  $Q^{-2}$  in the power-counting scheme.*




FIG. 2. *Diagrams with interaction vertices that do not conserve pion number (left) appear as contact interactions (right) in the heavy-pion EFT. The single (double) dashed lines represent ordinary (heavy) pions and the solid black lines represent nucleons. The solid bar with a blob is a dressed dibaryon field.*

In order to have the incoming and outgoing nucleons near their mass-shell and also to have all the pions in a process near their mass-shell, there must be an equal number of pions entering and leaving each interaction vertex. As illustrated in Fig. 2, processes with a different number of pions entering than leaving [15–17] will be represented by local operators (on the scale of  $m_\pi$ ) in the EFT. One can assign a charge to the pions –not carried by the nucleons– which must be conserved in all processes in the EFT. Interactions between a heavy pion and a nucleon are described by a Lagrange density of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = c_0(T_\pi) N^\dagger N \text{tr} [M_h^\dagger M_h] + c_1(T_\pi) N^\dagger \tau^a N \text{tr} [\tau^a [M_h^\dagger, M_h]] . \quad (7)$$

As there are no loop contributions from the heavy pion fields <sup>2</sup>, the coefficients  $c_{0,1}(T_\pi)$  represent the complete pion-nucleon ( $\pi N$ ) scattering amplitude evaluated at the center of mass energy  $T_\pi$ . Hence, these coefficients are related to the parameters in the effective range expansion for  $\pi N$  scattering via

$$c_0(T_\pi) = -4\pi (1 + \beta) \frac{1}{k \cot \delta_{\pi N}^+ - i\sqrt{2}m_\pi T_\pi} ,$$

---

<sup>2</sup>For the bubble contributions to the  $\pi_h N$  scattering amplitude, one can close the contour in the upper half of the complex energy plane to avoid enclosing a pole in the energy integration. Thus all bubble contributions vanish. This generalizes to all bubble diagrams involving heavy pions.

$$c_1(T_\pi) = 2\pi(1+\beta) \frac{1}{k \cot \delta_{\pi N}^- - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi}} \quad , \quad (8)$$

where the effective range expansion for  $k \cot \delta_{\pi N}^\pm$  has the form

$$k \cot \delta_{\pi N}^\pm = -\frac{1}{a^\pm} + \dots \quad , \quad (9)$$

where  $a^\pm$  are the scattering lengths in the s-wave isoscalar, (+), and isovector channels, (-), and  $\delta_{\pi N}$  are the phase shifts for  $\pi N$  scattering. The ellipses denote the contributions from the effective range terms and higher. We have retained the quantity  $\beta \equiv m_\pi/M_N$  in these expressions as it arises from a trivial kinematic relation between the scattering amplitude and the Lagrange density when  $T_\pi = 0$ . However, it is important to keep in mind that there will be contributions from higher orders in the expansion that have factors of  $\beta$  associated with them due to the explicit  $1/M_N$  expansion. At threshold, these coefficients reduce down to

$$c_0(0) = 4\pi(1+\beta) a^+ \quad , \quad c_1(0) = -2\pi(1+\beta) a^- \quad . \quad (10)$$

To determine the coupling between the heavy pions and the dibaryon field in the  ${}^3S_1$ -channel, we realize that in the limit that the pions only interact once with the nucleons, and using the fact that the deuteron is isoscalar, the only contribution to the  $\pi d$  amplitude is from the operator with coefficient  $c_0$ . Furthermore, this contribution must be absolutely normalized, as the pions couple to the baryon-number operator  $N^\dagger N$ . This gives rise to a uniquely determined interaction between the heavy pions and the dibaryon field, described by

$$\mathcal{L} = -2c_0(T_\pi) t_j^\dagger t^j \text{tr} [M_h^\dagger M_h] \quad . \quad (11)$$

As the interactions induced by the heavy pions are subleading in the momentum expansion, it is anticipated that the modifications to eq. (11) occur only at subleading order. Indeed, at NLO in the EFT one expects a contribution from a counterterm whose coefficient is not dictated by baryon-number conservation. We write this contribution as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\eta(T_\pi, \mu)}{\Lambda M_N r_3} t_j^\dagger t^j \text{tr} [M_h^\dagger M_h] \quad , \quad (12)$$

which scales like  $\sim Q$  in the dEFT( $\not{\Lambda}$ ) power-counting. Here  $\Lambda \sim m_\pi$  and  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale. In general, the coefficient function  $\eta(T_\pi, \mu)$  will have both real and imaginary parts, as bubble diagrams involving the pion vanish. The factors of  $M_N$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $r_3$  enter as per the power-counting appropriate for dibaryon fields [7].

### III. $\pi d$ SCATTERING

At low-momentum, the LO amplitude for  $\pi d$  scattering receives contributions from the diagrams shown in Fig. 3. There is the one-loop diagram with a single insertion of the  $\pi N$  scattering amplitudes in eq. (7), and the local  $\pi d$  operator given in eq. (11). At LO, the operator that is inserted in the deuteron is a charge operator, and consequently it is




FIG. 3. *Graphs contributing to  $\pi d$  scattering at LO. The dark ovals in diagrams (a) and (b) are interaction vertices taken from eq. (7) and from eq. (11), respectively.*

absolutely normalized at zero-momentum transfer, and the form factor is identical to that of the deuteron charge form factor [7] at LO. Therefore, at LO, the  $\pi d$  scattering amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(LO)}(T_\pi, |\mathbf{k}|) = 2 c_0(T_\pi) \frac{\gamma r_3}{1 - \gamma r_3} \left[ \frac{4}{r_3 |\mathbf{k}|} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{4\gamma} \right) - 1 \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $\gamma = \sqrt{M_N B}$  is the deuteron binding momentum, with  $B$  the deuteron binding energy. The momentum transfer to the deuteron is  $\mathbf{k}$ . As expected, this form factor reduces to  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(LO)} = 2 c_0(T_\pi)$ , proportional to the isoscalar charge of the deuteron, in the limit  $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ .

At next-to-leading-order (NLO) in the EFT expansion, there is a contribution from a two-loop diagram (rescattering) involving a heavy-pion propagating between the nucleons, and from a local  $\pi d$  operator, as shown in Fig. 4. The amplitude at NLO is




FIG. 4. *Graphs contributing to  $\pi$ - $d$  scattering at NLO. The logarithmically divergent two-loop graph arising from heavy pion exchange, diagram (a), is renormalized by the local  $\pi d$  operator given in eq. 12, denoted by the solid square in diagram (b).*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(NLO)}(T_\pi, |\mathbf{k}|) = & \frac{\gamma}{(1 - \gamma r_3)} \left[ \tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, \mu) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ c_0(T_\pi)^2 - 8c_1(T_\pi)^2 \right] \left( \log \left( \frac{4(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})^2 + |\mathbf{k}|^2}{4\mu^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{4(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})}{|\mathbf{k}|} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})} \right) - 2 \right) \right], \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the integrals given in the Appendix of Ref. [18]. The two-loop diagram is logarithmically divergent and is regulated in the IR by the deuteron binding energy for

incident pions with vanishing kinetic energy. The  $\mu$ -dependence of the counterterm,  $\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, \mu)$ , must exactly compensate the  $\mu$ -dependence of the loop diagram. We have used the relation  $\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, \mu) = \eta(T_\pi, \mu)/(\Lambda M_N)$ . Given that the scale where dEFT( $\not{\neq}$ ) is expected to breakdown is  $\Lambda \sim m_\pi$ , we choose to renormalize the theory at  $\mu \sim m_\pi$ .

In the  $T_\pi, |\mathbf{k}| \rightarrow 0$  limit this amplitude reduces to

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(NLO)} = \frac{\gamma}{(1 - \gamma r_3)} \left[ \tilde{\eta}(0, \mu) - \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ c_0(0)^2 - 8c_1(0)^2 \right] \log \left( \frac{2\gamma}{\mu} \right) \right] , \quad (15)$$

It is important to notice that there is a contribution that is non-analytic in the deuteron binding momentum, that is logarithmically enhanced,  $\sim \log(\gamma/m_\pi)$ , arising from the two-loop diagram. This logarithm was found previously in the work of Borasoy and Griebhammer [19].

The scale dependence of the logarithm determines the naive-dimensional analysis (NDA) estimate for  $\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$ :

$$|\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)| \sim \frac{1}{\pi} |c_0(0)^2 - 8c_1(0)^2| . \quad (16)$$

Note that the logarithmic divergence in the two-loop diagram modifies the NDA analysis for the dibaryon operators of Ref. [7]. It is straightforward to show that other contributions to the amplitude, both momentum-dependent and momentum-independent, are higher order in the power-counting. Therefore the sum of amplitudes given in eq. (13) and eq. (14) constitute the complete amplitude up to NLO.

While we have constructed the EFT appropriate for describing the very-low energy behavior of  $\pi d$  scattering, there is additional information that we have at our disposal from HB $\chi$ PT. We know the chiral expansions of the coefficients  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ ; at LO,  $c_1(0) \sim m_\pi$  while  $c_0(0) \sim m_\pi^2$  [20]. Armed with this information we see that, in fact,  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(LO)} \sim c_0(0)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(NLO)} \sim c_1(0)^2$  are of the same order. This does not represent a breakdown of the low-energy EFT, but results from the LO amplitude being anomalously small. Thus, given the anomalous behavior of the LO amplitude, the leading contribution to low-energy  $\pi d$  scattering is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi d} = \mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(LO)} + \mathcal{A}_{\pi d}^{(NLO)} + \dots , \quad (17)$$

as given in eq. (13) and eq. (14). The ellipses denote terms that are higher order in the momentum expansion, suppressed by additional factors of  $\gamma/m_\pi$ .

To NLO, the  $\pi d$  scattering length is given by

$$a_{\pi d} = \frac{2}{(1 + \beta/2)} \left[ (1 + \beta) a^+ - \frac{2\gamma}{1 - \gamma r_3} \left[ (1 + \beta)^2 \left[ (a^+)^2 - 2(a^-)^2 \right] \log \left( \frac{2\gamma}{m_\pi} \right) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)}{16\pi} \right] \right] . \quad (18)$$

It is interesting to compare this formula with that given in Ref. [21]. There, instead of the logarithm, one has the overlap of the coulomb-like pion propagator between deuteron wave functions. Of course, since logarithms are universal, the long-distance tail of any sensible deuteron wave function will generate the infrared logarithm. The choice of different deuteron

wave functions corresponds to a different choice for the counterterm,  $\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$ . In principle, one may be able to determine  $\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$  uniquely using wave functions [22] computed in a fully consistent pionful EFT [23–26].

We can estimate  $a_{\pi d}$  by taking  $\tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi) = 0$ , which corresponds to choosing the scale of the logarithm to be set by  $m_\pi$ . We use the Neuchatel-PSI-ETHZ (NPE) pionic hydrogen measurement [27]<sup>3</sup> of the isovector  $\pi N$  scattering length,  $a_{exp}^- = (-0.0905 \pm 0.0042) m_\pi^{-1}$ . The isoscalar  $\pi N$  scattering length,  $a_{exp}^+ = (-0.0022 \pm 0.0043) m_\pi^{-1}$  is consistent with zero [27] and therefore we will neglect it in our estimate of  $a_{\pi d}$ . Using  $m_\pi = m_{\pi^+} = 139.57$  MeV and  $M_N = (M_n + M_p)/2 = 938.92$  MeV, we find  $a_{\pi d} = -0.019 m_\pi^{-1}$  as compared to the NPE pionic deuterium measurement [28] of  $\text{Re}(a_{\pi d}^{exp}) = (-0.0261 \pm 0.0005) m_\pi^{-1}$ . Therefore, we see that the leading non-analytic piece provides the dominant contribution to  $\pi d$  scattering. However, as expected, the contribution from the counterterm  $\tilde{\eta}$  is an important contribution that cannot be discarded. It is worth pointing out that an imaginary part of  $a_{\pi d}^{exp}$  will be induced at higher orders in the expansion. This is consistent with the smallness of the measured value of  $\text{Im}(a_{\pi d}^{exp}) = (-0.0063 \pm 0.0007) m_\pi^{-1}$  [28].

The  $\pi d$  scattering amplitude can be expressed entirely in terms of the  $\pi N$  and  $\pi d$  scattering lengths, and the difference  $\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, m_\pi) - \tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$ . At the order to which we are working, this latter difference will be completely imaginary, and will be determined from matching conditions. In particular, the scattering amplitude must be unitary (we are not allowing for energy transfer from the pion) and thus the optical theorem will determine  $\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, m_\pi) - \tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$ , since  $\tilde{\eta}$  is independent of the three-momentum transfer. Moreover, this difference will be independent of the renormalization scale at this order. Expanding out the scattering amplitudes in  $\sim \sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi}/m_\pi$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}(T_\pi, |\mathbf{k}|) = & 4\pi(1 + \beta/2) a_{\pi d} + \frac{8\pi(1 + \beta)}{1 - \gamma r_3} a^+ \left[ \frac{4\gamma}{|\mathbf{k}|} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{4\gamma} \right) - 1 \right] \\
& - i \frac{8\pi(a^+)^2 \sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi} (1 + \beta) \gamma r_3}{1 - \gamma r_3} \left[ \frac{4}{r_3 |\mathbf{k}|} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{4\gamma} \right) - 1 \right] \\
& + \frac{8\pi\gamma(1 + \beta)^2 [(a^+)^2 - 2(a^-)^2]}{1 - \gamma r_3} \left[ \log \left( \frac{16\gamma^2}{4(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})^2 + |\mathbf{k}|^2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{4(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})}{|\mathbf{k}|} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2(2\gamma - i\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi})} \right) + 2 \right] \\
& + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma r_3} [\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, m_\pi) - \tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)] \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This expression provides the formally leading energy and momentum-transfer dependence of the  $\pi d$  scattering amplitude. The formal EFT expansion parameters are  $\gamma/m_\pi$  and  $p_\pi/m_\pi$ , where  $p_\pi$  is the pion momentum. Since  $\gamma/m_\pi \sim 1/3$ , we expect important higher order contributions, arising from the momentum dependence of the  $\pi N$  scattering amplitude (and

---

<sup>3</sup>We do not use the determinations of the scattering lengths in Ref. [27] which include a measurement of  $\text{Re}(a_{\pi d})$  [28].



its effective range expansion) and also from higher-order  $\pi d$  local operators involving two or more derivatives, which are suppressed by additional factors of  $\gamma/m_\pi$ .

In order to determine  $\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, m_\pi) - \tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi)$  we integrate the square of the LO amplitude to obtain the cross section for  $\pi d$  scattering, and then enforce unitarity order by order in the  $c_i$ 's. It is convenient to define the function,  $S(x)$ , where

$$S(x) = \frac{2}{x^2} \left[ \frac{1}{4\gamma^2 r_3^2} \left( 4 \left( \tan^{-1} x \right)^2 \left( \log x - i \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - i 4 \tan^{-1} x \left( \text{Li}_2(e^{i2 \tan^{-1} x}) - \text{Li}_2(-e^{i2 \tan^{-1} x}) \right) + 2 \left( \text{Li}_3(e^{i2 \tan^{-1} x}) - \text{Li}_3(-e^{i2 \tan^{-1} x}) \right) - \frac{7}{2} \zeta(3) \right) - \frac{2}{\gamma r_3} \left( x \tan^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} \log [1 + x^2] \right) + \frac{x^2}{2} \right] , \quad (20)$$

which, by inspection, is real valued, and at  $x = 0$  has the value  $S(0) = \left( \frac{1-\gamma r_3}{\gamma r_3} \right)^2$ . The functions  $\text{Li}_n(z)$  are the polylogarithmic functions of order  $n$ . To the order we are working, unitarity implies

$$\tilde{\eta}(T_\pi, m_\pi) - \tilde{\eta}(0, m_\pi) = i 8\pi \left[ \frac{1 - \gamma r_3}{\gamma} (a^+)^2 \sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi} \left( 1 - 2 \left( \frac{\gamma r_3}{1 - \gamma r_3} \right)^2 S \left( \frac{\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi}}{2\gamma} \right) \right) - 2 \left[ (a^+)^2 - 2(a^-)^2 \right] \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2m_\pi T_\pi}}{2\gamma} \right) \right] . \quad (21)$$

In this expression we have not included contributions of order  $\beta$  or higher as they are higher order in the expansion. Evaluating eq. (19) numerically and using eqs. (20) and (21) we find that the amplitude at threshold is  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}(0, 0) = -0.46$  fm. While at  $T_\pi = 20$  MeV we find

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi d}(20, 0) = ( -0.30 - i0.00013 ) \text{ fm} , \quad \mathcal{A}_{\pi d}(20, 150) = ( -0.27 - i0.038 ) \text{ fm} . \quad (22)$$

Clearly the imaginary part of the amplitude is subleading in the EFT expansion.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this work we have shown how to include pions into the pionless effective field theory. There are kinematic regimes in processes involving more than one nucleon with pions in the external states, where there are infrared enhancements resulting from pions being near their mass-shell in intermediate states. We have constructed an effective field theory to describe these processes and have analyzed  $\pi d \rightarrow \pi d$ . The scattering amplitude for this process is dominated by the leading non-analytic contribution of the form  $\sim \log(\gamma/m_\pi)$ , which we can simply compute in this new effective field theory.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jerry Miller, Iain Stewart and Bira van Kolck for valuable conversations. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-97ER4014.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **B232**, 113 (1989); *Phys. Lett.* **B237**, 527 (1990).
- [2] H. Georgi, *Phys. Lett.* **B240**, 447 (1990).
- [3] B. Grinstein and I. Z. Rothstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D57**, 78 (1998).
- [4] M. E. Luke and M. J. Savage, *Phys. Rev.* **D57**, 413 (1998).
- [5] H. W. Griesshammer, *Phys. Rev.* **D58**, 094027 (1998); *Nucl. Phys.* **B579**, 313 (2000).
- [6] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, W. C. Haxton, D. R. Phillips and M. J. Savage, *At the Frontier of Particle Physics*, ed. M. Shifman, (World Scientific, 2001), [nucl-th/0008064](#); P. F. Bedaque and U. van Kolck, [nucl-th/0203055](#); D. R. Phillips, [nucl-th/0203040](#).
- [7] S. R. Beane and M. J. Savage, *Nucl. Phys.* **A694**, 511 (2001).
- [8] D. B. Kaplan and J. V. Steele, *Phys. Rev.* **C60**, 0604002 (1999).
- [9] D. B. Kaplan, *Nucl. Phys.* **B494**, 471 (1997).
- [10] J.-W. Chen, G. Rupak, and M. J. Savage, *Nucl. Phys.* **A653**, 386 (1999).
- [11] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **B424**, 390 (1998); *Nucl. Phys.* **B534**, 329 (1998); *Phys. Rev.* **C59**, 617 (1999).
- [12] U. van Kolck, *Nucl. Phys.* **A645**, 273 (1999).
- [13] P. F. Bedaque and U. van Kolck, *Phys. Lett.* **B428**, 221 (1998).
- [14] F. Gabbiani, [nucl-th/0104088](#).
- [15] G. A. Miller and J. V. Noble, *Phys. Rev.* **C22**, 1211 (1980).
- [16] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B295**, 114 (1992).
- [17] S. R. Beane, V. Bernard, T. S. Lee and Ulf-G. Meißner, *Phys. Rev.* **C57**, 424 (1998).
- [18] M. J. Savage and R. P. Springer, *Nucl. Phys.* **A686**, 413 (2001).
- [19] B. Borasoy and H. W. Griesshammer, [nucl-th/0105048](#).
- [20] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and Ulf-G. Meißner, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **E4**, 193 (1995).
- [21] T. E. Ericson and W. Weise, *Pion and Nuclei*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988); T. E. Ericson, B. Loiseau and A. W. Thomas, [hep-ph/0009312](#).
- [22] S. R. Beane, V. Bernard, E. Epelbaum, Ulf-G. Meißner and D. R. Phillips, *in preparation*.
- [23] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B251**, 288 (1990); *Nucl. Phys.* **B363**, 3 (1991).
- [24] C. Ordoñez, L. Ray and U. van Kolck, *Phys. Rev.* **C53**, 2086 (1996).
- [25] E. Epelbaum, W. Glöckle and Ulf-G. Meißner, *Nucl. Phys.* **A671**, 295 (2000).
- [26] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, M. J. Savage and U. van Kolck, *Nucl. Phys.* **A700**, 377 (2002).
- [27] H. C. Schröder *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B469**, 25 (1999).
- [28] P. Hauser *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **C58**, 1869 (1998).