

Nucleon-Nucleon Scattering Observables in Large- N_c QCD

Thomas D. Cohen and Boris A. Gelman

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4111

Nucleon-nucleon scattering observables are considered in the context of the large N_c limit of QCD for initial states with moderately high momenta ($p \sim N_c$). The scattering is studied in the framework of the time-dependent mean-field approximation. We focus on the dependence of those observables on the spin and isospin of the initial state which may be computed using time-dependent mean-field theory. We show that, up to corrections, all such observables must be invariant under simultaneous spin and isospin flips (*i.e.* rotations through $\pi/2$ in both spin and isospin) acting on either particle. All observables of this class obtained from spin unpolarized measurements must be isospin independent up to $1/N_c$ corrections. Moreover, it can be shown that the leading correction is of relative order $1/N_c^2$ rather than $1/N_c$.

The strong interaction between two nucleons is the basic ingredient of nuclear physics. We wish to explore qualitative features of the nucleon-nucleon interaction which may be understood from QCD. In this context, it may be useful to consider the interaction in the limit when the number of colors, N_c , of QCD becomes large [1,2], and to treat $1/N_c$ as an expansion parameter. Certain aspects of QCD can be deduced in this limit in a model-independent way. For example, the spin-flavor structure of certain amplitudes in the single baryon sector may be fixed [3]. The large N_c limit can also be used to determine the leading spin-isospin dependence of certain nucleon-nucleon scattering observables.

Baryons in large- N_c QCD were first discussed by Witten, [2], who argued that they are well approximated by a Hartree-type mean-field treatment. This picture works cleanly when dynamical gluons are integrated out. For the case of light quarks, explicitly deducing the Hartree equations of motion is not a tractable problem with present techniques. However, the N_c dependence of various baryon properties can still be deduced. Thus, for example, the baryon mass is of order N_c and the baryon size is of order unity [2].

Witten also considered the baryon-baryon interaction. He argued that the strength of this interaction is of order N_c and the proper framework is the time-dependent mean-field theory (TDMFT). The argument is similar to the single baryon case: each quark moves in an average potential due to the other $2N_c - 1$ quarks which in this context is time-dependent. The mean-field nature of the nucleon-nucleon scattering in the large N_c limit imposes constraints on spin-isospin dependence of certain observables. One of the limitations of such an approach is that only certain observables can be calculated in TDMFT. This limitation can be quite severe. For example, both the elastic and total scattering cross sections are not calculable in TDMFT.

Witten pointed out that baryon-baryon scattering observables are expected to possess a smooth limit only for momenta of order N_c . For this reason we will focus on this regime. The regime of momenta of order N_c^0 has been considered elsewhere where the stress has been on the nucleon-nucleon potential [4].

Although we do not know the TDMFT equations for

large N_c QCD, we know its general form and can deduce from this the leading order spin-isospin dependence of certain observables. As this form may not be immediately familiar, it is useful to also derive results in the context of TDMFT for the Skyrme model. The Skyrme model describes nucleons as topological solitons of a chiral field U and is believed to capture all of the model-independent large N_c results of QCD. It has the virtue that the mean-field theory is trivially equal to the classical field theory. In both the Skyrme model and the Hartree treatment the mean-field solution for a single baryon is a “hedgehog” form which breaks both rotational and isorotational invariance. At the mean-field level this means that there exist manifolds of degenerate baryon states corresponding to rotated hedgehogs. These manifolds are parameterized by the three independent variables which specify a rotation.

One can specify these as being the three Euler angles; an alternative parameterization is to specify the rotation in terms of a matrix A given by $A \equiv a_0 + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\tau} \in SU(2)$, where a_0 , a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are real collective variables satisfying a constraint $a_0^2 + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} = 1$. The standard interpretation of these states at the full quantum level is that the mean-field states correspond to linear combinations of *nearly* degenerate states—*i.e.* states whose masses are split by $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$. The quantized states can be viewed as collective wave functions associated with the variable parameterizing the rotations of the hedgehog; up to normalization constants, these collective wave functions are simply the Wigner D matrices [5]: $\Psi(A) = (J + 1/2)^{1/2} \pi^{-1} D_{m, m_I}^{J=J} (A)$, where J and I are spin and isospin of the quantized state.

In TDMFT treatments of baryon-baryon scattering, the initial conditions are two well-separated baryons moving towards each other along some axis, \hat{n} (which in an experimental situation is the beam direction), offset by an impact parameter \vec{b} . The initial baryon states are (rotated) hedgehogs. The initial states can be parameterized by two sets of rotation parameters—one associated with the orientation of each initial hedgehog. When a quantity, \mathcal{O} , is calculated in TDMFT its value will depend on the relative orientation of the two initial hedgehogs and \vec{b} . Up to $1/N_c$ corrections quantities which are amenable to calculation in TDMFT can be

written as $\mathcal{O}(A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E)$, where A_1 and A_2 represent the initial orientations of the two hedgehog baryons, \hat{n} is the beam direction, E is the initial kinetic energy of each baryon (in the center-of-mass frame) and \vec{b} is the impact parameter (the projection perpendicular to \hat{n} of the initial displacement of the two particles from each other). The orientations, A_1 and A_2 , are associated with particular linear combinations of spin and isospin components of the baryons states. By varying these orientations one can extract the part of the result which is associated with the various spin-isospin configurations of the initial nucleon states. This allows us to deduce our principal result: all observables which can be deduced from TDMFT must be invariant under simultaneous spin and isospin flips (*i.e.* rotations through $\pi/2$ in both spin and isospin) acting on either particle up to $1/N_c$ corrections.

To compute an observable from TDMFT requires that at the QCD level the observable can be expressed directly in terms of an expectation value of some Heisenberg-picture operator (which we will denote as \mathcal{O}) in an initial plane wave state of two nucleons. All sensitivity to the future time evolution is contained in the operator. First one argues that in the $p \sim N_c$ regime the expectation value in these plane-wave states can be expressed in terms of integrals of expectation values of initial states consisting of well localized wave packets of two (rotated) hedgehog baryons heading towards each other and off-set by an impact parameter \vec{b} . The integrations are over \vec{b} and the parameters specifying the initial rotations of the hedgehogs.

The integrations over \vec{b} is quite standard. For $p \sim N_c$, the characteristic momentum is much larger than the inverse size of the region of interaction. Only a small part of the interaction region is probed coherently [6]. The variable \vec{b} is essentially classical and the various types of cross sections we will use as observables can be written as an integral over the impact parameter which specifies the section of the interaction region being probed.

The integration over the hedgehog orientations follows the strategy of Ref. [7]. The mean-field theory, which is essentially classical in nature, accurately describes all of the variables except the collective ones—*i.e.* those degrees of freedom which at the classical level can be excited with no energetic cost. These variables must be quantized in order to project onto physical states with the correct quantum numbers. The variables associated with the collective isorotations of the widely-separated hedgehogs are collective variables. The way the quantization is realized is simple: one expresses the observable at the mean-field level in terms of the A 's and their time derivatives \dot{A} . The $A_{1,2}$ and $\dot{A}_{1,2}$ are dynamical variables which can be quantized.

To proceed further, one must analyze the time scale of the interaction. The interaction takes place in a time of order N_c^0 : the size of the baryons is order N_c^0 and in this regime the velocity is also N_c^0 . Thus it takes a time of order N_c^0 for the two baryons to substantially overlap

and interact. This in turn, implies that the observable as a function $A_{1,2}$ and $\dot{A}_{1,2}$ should be independent of N_c . Once the observable is written as a function of $A_{1,2}$ and $\dot{A}_{1,2}$, the next step is to make a Legendre transformation to express the observable as a function of the A 's and their conjugate momenta. The conjugate momenta can be expressed in terms of the isospin and the intrinsic isospin in the body-fixed frame which in hedgehog models is the spin. However, in the process of making this Legendre transformation, any variables $\dot{A}_{1,2}$ get mapped into $\vec{I}_{1,2}/\mathcal{I}$ and $\vec{S}_{1,2}/\mathcal{I}$. Note, that \mathcal{I} , the moment of inertia, is $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$ as can be seen in explicit model calculations, [8], and from the model independent analysis [9]. Since in our initial states the isospin and spin are of order N_c^0 , it is clear that if one does a $1/N_c$ expansion of the Legendre transformed operator, the leading order terms can depend on the A 's but not on the spins or isospins. Thus at leading order in the $1/N_c$ expansion we can neglect the spin and isospins and treat the operator as a diagonal operator in $A_{1,2}$. A simple way to understand this is that because of the large moment of inertia the characteristic rotational period of the hedgehogs is N_c and, in the large N_c limit, is irrelevant during reactions taking place during time scales of order unity.

The operators obtained from TDMFT are of the form $\mathcal{O}(A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E)$. The variables $A_{1,2}$, E and \vec{b} are fixed by initial conditions in the TDMFT; \vec{b} will be integrated over in the standard manner. The observables which we focus are expectation values in states with fixed initial nucleon spins and isospins. In experiments this is obtained by creating the given initial state multiple times and averaging over measurements. To compute nucleon matrix elements of operators which are functions of $A_{1,2}$, one integrates the operator weighted by the appropriate collective wave functions $\Psi(A)$: the expectation value of an operator $f(A_1, A_2)$ in a two-nucleon state with spin projections along an arbitrary axis $m^{(1,2)}$ and isospin projections $m_I^{(1,2)}$ is

$$\langle f \rangle_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}} = \int dA_1 dA_2 \left| D_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}}^{1/2}(A_1) \right|^2 \left| D_{m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}}^{1/2}(A_2) \right|^2 f(A_1, A_2) \quad (1)$$

where $\int dA$ is the $SU(2)$ invariant measure normalized so that $\int dA = 2\pi^2$.

The observable is a cross section associated with the \mathcal{O} for nucleons with fixed initial spins and isospins, $\sigma_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}}^{\mathcal{O}}$. It is obtained by an integration of $\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{b}, \hat{n}, E) \rangle_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}}$ over \vec{b} :

$$\sigma_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}}^{\mathcal{O}} = \int d^2\vec{b} \langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{b}, \hat{n}, E) \rangle_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}} \quad (2)$$

where corrections are higher order in $1/N_c$.

The central result of our paper is contained in Eqs. (1) and (2). The dependence on the initial spin and isospin

projections of the individual nucleons of the cross section comes about entirely from the Wigner D matrices inside the integrals of Eq. (1). Note, however, that what is relevant is the square modulus of the D matrices. There is a well-known property of the Wigner D matrices $(D_{m,n}^J)^* = (-1)^{m-n} D_{-m,-n}^J$ which implies $|D_{m,n}^{1/2}(A)|^2 = |D_{-m,-n}^{1/2}(A)|^2$. This means that up to $1/N_c$ corrections the observed cross section will be unchanged if one simultaneously flips both the spin and the isospin of either particle 1 or particle 2. This strongly constrains the spin and isospin dependence of the cross section.

To illustrate this constraint, consider some observable which averages over the direction of all detected particles. Thus, apart from the spins, the only vector left in the problem is the beam axis \hat{n} . Suppose further that the observable in question is time reversal, parity even and isoscalar. Then from general invariance properties one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mathcal{O}} = & A_0 + B_0 (\vec{\sigma}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(2)}) + C_0 (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(1)}) (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(2)}) \\ & + (A_I + B_I (\vec{\sigma}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(2)}) + C_I (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(1)}) (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(2)})) \\ & \times (\tau^{(1)} \cdot \tau^{(2)}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the A , B and C coefficients are functions of energy. The leading order amplitude must be invariant under a simultaneous spin and isospin flip of either of the two particles. This implies that $A_I = 0$, $B_0 = 0$, $C_0 = 0$ at leading order, since the terms they multiply change signs under this transformation. For a more general observable the same scheme may be employed: one finds all vectors in the problem and constructs the most general form the amplitude can take contracting the initial spins into all vectors in all ways consistent with the symmetry. One then imposes the large N_c rule to eliminate all terms which change signs under a simultaneous spin and isospin flip of either particle. One immediate consequence of this analysis is that all observables in this regime which are obtained from unpolarized measurements must be isoscalars.

There are several fundamental limitations in the use of TDMFT to directly compute scattering observables [10]. TDMFT is a treatment of average quantities rather than any particular scattering channel. Thus, one cannot directly compute S-matrix elements since S-matrix elements are defined for particular channels. Moreover, the form of the initial and final states are constrained by the form of the trial wave function which are not rich enough to include the correlations necessary to get the translationally invariant time-independent plane-wave asymptotic states of the full quantum theory. Thus the initial states are time-dependent solutions of two lumps moving towards each other while the final states are even more complicated. Clearly, since TDMFT cannot compute the S-matrix it can only give sensible information about quantities which average over many S-matrix el-

ements. Such observables might, for example, be associated with various kinds of collective flow. Moreover, such flow must be expressible in terms of operators which do not encode correlations beyond those built into the mean-field trial states; one can follow the flows of various quantum numbers but not of particular correlations of individual hadrons.

It is not hard to determine which experimental observables are accessible in mean-field theory. One simply does, or imagines doing, a TDMFT calculation. Any quantity which one both knows how to compute and to relate to an experimentally accessible quantity is fair game. To make this concrete we should consider the following experimental observable. If we scatter two nucleons at moderately high energy ($E \sim N_c$), one will generally produce a final state with a number of mesons along with two baryons (and at high enough energies possibly additional baryon-anti-baryon pairs). One can do the following experiment—fix a detector at angle, θ relative to the beam axis and measure whether an outgoing baryon (or anti-baryon) hits the detector. By dividing the number of baryons striking the detector minus the number of anti-baryons in solid angle $d\Omega$ per unit time by the incident flux, one obtains a semi-inclusive partial differential cross section, $d\sigma^B/d\Omega$. Note that this quantity is semi-inclusive; while we know the position of one baryon, it does not specify its correlations with the other baryon, nor does it specify correlations with outgoing mesons. As such this quantity averages over multiple final states and is not associated with any single S-matrix element. (In fact, with the kinematics restricted to $p \sim N_c$, the total amplitude for producing anti-baryons is both exponentially suppressed in N_c [2] and is experimentally small so one can simply use the semi-inclusive baryon differential cross section in place of the difference of the baryon from the anti-baryon. At higher energies one would have to use the difference of the two.)

It is easy to see how to compute this in TDMFT. First consider using the Skyrme model at the classical level. While the details of the Skyrme model need not reproduce QCD, it is thought that the Skyrme model captures all of the model independent large N_c results from QCD. The model is given in terms of the dynamics of a chiral field $U(r, t) \in SU(2)$ with baryons treated as solitons. We can fix initial conditions $U(r, 0)$ and $\dot{U}(r, 0)$ corresponding to widely separated two hedgehogs with orientations A_1 and A_2 moving with a velocity of $\pm v\hat{n}$ (with $v = \sqrt{2M_N E}$) and with their centers offset from the \hat{n} axis by $\pm \vec{b}/2$. Solving the equations of motion gives $U(r, t; A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E)$ where $A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E$ parameterize the initial state. In the Skyrme model the baryon current is given by the standard topological current,

$$B_\mu = \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{24\pi^2} \text{Tr}[(U^{-1}\partial^\nu U)(U^{-1}\partial^\alpha U)(U^{-1}\partial^\beta U)]. \quad (4)$$

Using $U(r, t; A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E)$ gives the baryon current as

a function of time and space as parameterized by the initial conditions: $B_\mu(\vec{r}, t; A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E)$. Now consider a large sphere of radius R centered on the nominal classical collision point of the two solitons (which we will take to be the origin). The radius R can be taken to be large compared to all distance scales in the problem. The average baryon number per unit solid angle flowing at fixed direction (specified by polar angle θ and azimuthal angle ϕ) out of a single collision is simply given by

$$\frac{dn^B(\theta, \phi)}{d\Omega} = R^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \hat{r}(\theta, \phi) \cdot \vec{B}(R\hat{r}(\theta, \phi), t; A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E). \quad (5)$$

Integration of $dn^B(\theta, \phi)/d\Omega$ over A_1 , A_2 and \vec{b} as in Eqs.(1) and (2) yields the physical observable

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma^B(\theta, \phi)}{d\Omega}\right)_{m^{(1)}m_I^{(1)}m^{(2)}m_I^{(2)}} = \int d^2\vec{b} \int dA_1 dA_2 \left|D_{m^{(1)}, m_I^{(1)}}^{1/2}(A_1)\right|^2 \left|D_{m^{(2)}, m_I^{(2)}}^{1/2}(A_2)\right|^2 \times \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \hat{r}(\theta, \phi) \cdot \vec{B}(R\hat{r}(\theta, \phi), t; A_1, A_2, \vec{b}, \hat{n}, E) \quad (6)$$

plus corrections higher order in N_c . While the proceeding derivation was done in the context of the Skyrme model it is clear that we would get the same structure in any mean-field theory including a direct time-dependent Hartree calculation (were that technically possible). And thus the form obtained is, in fact, model-independent. The semi-inclusive differential cross section, $d\sigma^B/d\Omega$, is given by the generalization of Eq. (3). The spin averaged semi-inclusive differential cross section then is given by A_0 and is isospin independent.

From this example it is clear how to construct other observables accessible in TDMFT. One can construct a variable measuring the fraction of total energy flowing out at a fixed angle. However, the most commonly used observables to describe nucleon-nucleon scattering—the total cross section and the total and differential elastic cross sections—are not amenable to mean-field treatments. Elastic cross sections are not calculable since they are directly associated with particular S-matrix elements. Moreover, it seems impossible to imagine any way in mean-field theory to extract the elastic cross section. The total cross section includes forward scattering and, as is well known, semi-classical treatments fail for forward scattering [6].

Next we consider possible corrections to the spin-isospin structure of Eq. (3) and its generalizations. One difficulty with following Witten's strategy and beginning with TDMFT as giving the leading order result is that we have not systematically formulated the full $1/N_c$ expansion. Nevertheless, it seems apparent that while generic $1/N_c$ terms can affect the values of the various coefficients in Eq. (3), they are unlikely to change the

spin-isospin structure. The spin-isospin structure came about when we neglected terms sensitive to the initial rotational velocities of the separated hedgehogs which in turn implied that each explicit power of I or S came with a factor of $1/N_c$. If we are considering observables associated with operators of good parity and isospin, the spin or isospin can only come in as pairs: *e.g.* there are no terms proportional to $I^{(1)} + I^{(2)}$ but only to $I^{(1)} \cdot I^{(2)}$. This suggests that all corrections to Eq. (3) and its generalizations will be of order $1/N_c^2$.

It is not clear whether the data on a semi-inclusive differential cross sections is readily accessible in a form that can be compared to Eq. (3). Presumably such data has been collected at some point. Comparisons with Eq. (3) would be very interesting. It would also be of interest to see if data on other observables computable from TDMFT can be found.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-93ER-40762.

-
- [1] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B72** 461 (1974).
 - [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B160** 57 (1979).
 - [3] J.L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** 87 (1984); J.L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. **D30** 1795 (1984); C. Carone, H. Georgi, S. Osofsky, Phys. Lett. **B322** 227 (1994); M. Luty and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. **B426** 71 (1994); R. Dashen, E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. **D49** 4713 (1994); R. Dashen, E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. **D51** 3697 (1995).
 - [4] D.B. Kaplan and M.J. Savage, Phys. Lett. **B365** 244 (1996); D.B. Kaplan and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. **C56** 76 (1997); M.K. Banerjee, T.D. Cohen and B.A. Gelman, hep-ph/0109274; T.D. Cohen and A.V. Belitsky, in preparation.
 - [5] M.P. Mattis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** 1103 (1986).
 - [6] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum mechanics: non-relativistic theory*, 3d ed., Pergamon Press, 1977.
 - [7] T.D. Cohen and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. **D34** 3472 (1986).
 - [8] G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B228** 552 (1983).
 - [9] E. Jenkins, Phys. Lett. **B315** 441 (1993)
 - [10] J.J. Griffin, M. Dworzecka, P.C. Lichtner, K.-K. Kan, Nucl. Phys. **A435** 205 (1985); J.J. Griffin, M. Dworzecka, Phys. Lett. **B93** 235 (1980).