

Transverse Momentum Spectra of J/ψ and ψ' Mesons from Quark Gluon Plasma Hadronization at CERN SPS

Kyryll A. Bugaev

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine
GSI, Darmstadt, Germany

To O.L.

Abstract

A popular derivation of the apparent temperature of the particle transverse momentum spectra and its inconsistency are considered. An improved formula for the apparent temperature is discussed. It is shown that recent results on transverse mass spectra of J/ψ and ψ' mesons support a hypothesis of statistical production of charmonia at hadronization and suggest the early thermal freeze-out of these mesons. Using the apparent temperature formula the collective transverse velocity of the hadronizing quark gluon plasma is extracted to be $\langle v_T \rangle \approx 0.2$. Predictions for transverse mass spectra of hidden and open charm mesons along with bottomonium at SPS and RHIC energies are discussed.

1. Statistical Production of Particles. J/ψ suppression in nuclear collisions was suggested by T. Matsui and H. Satz to be a signal of the deconfinement phase transition. Nowadays there are several models which mainly differ from the original idea of the J/ψ suppression in the mechanism of charmonia dissociation either in quark gluon or in nuclear media. Recently a principally different picture of the statistical charm production was suggested [1]. The next breakthrough in this field, the statistical coalescence model, suggests [2] that charmonium is generated by the coalescence of earlier produced c and \bar{c} quarks. However, important questions, what happens after hadronization and what are the transversal mass spectra of J/ψ and ψ' mesons, were not considered in [2]. Therefore, I will mainly concentrate on the problem of the J/ψ and ψ' freeze-out (FO) and will analyze their apparent temperature according to [3]. Then I will answer the question, “What can we learn from experimental data about hadronization of quark gluon plasma (QGP)?”

The idealized concept of chemical (hadron multiplicities) and thermal (hadron momentum distributions) freeze-outs enables one to interpret data on hadron production in relativistic nucleus-nucleus (A+A) collisions. The first experimental results on yields and transverse mass ($m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}$) spectra suggest the following scenario: for the most abundant hadron species (π, N, K, Λ) the chemical FO, which seems to coincide with the hadronization of the QGP, is followed by the thermal or kinetic FO occurring at a rather late stage of the A+A reaction. Thus for the central Pb+Pb collisions at 158 A-GeV the temperature of the chemical FO was extracted from the fit of the multiplicity data to be $T_H = 175 \pm 10$ MeV [4]. The thermal FO parameters, i.e., temperature T_F and averaged transversal velocity $\langle v_T \rangle$, for pions were determined from the results of two pion correlations [5] to be quite different

$$T_F = 120 \pm 12 \text{ MeV}, \quad \langle v_T \rangle = 0.5 \pm 0.12 . \quad (1)$$

On the other hand from hadronic cascade simulations it is known that thermal FO of multistrange hadrons (ϕ, Ξ, Ω) happens, probably, earlier than the kinetic FO of pions. Now the main question is, “When does the J/ψ freeze-out occur? Late or early?”

The convolution of the transverse flow velocity of the matter element with the thermal motion of hadrons in the rest frame of this element leads to a nearly exponential shape of

final m_T spectrum $\frac{1}{m_T} \cdot \frac{dN}{d m_T} \approx C \cdot e^{-m_T/T^*}$ with the apparent temperature (AT) T^* which is defined from the p_T^2 distribution at fixed longitudinal rapidity y_p of the particle as

$$T^* = - \left[2m_T \frac{d}{dp_T^2} \ln \left(\frac{dN}{dy_p dp_T^2} \right) \right]^{-1} . \quad (2)$$

In the limit $m_T \gg T$ and $p_T \rightarrow 0$ the AT depends on the particle mass and $\langle v_T \rangle$ as follows

$$T^* = T_F + \alpha \cdot m \cdot \langle v_T \rangle^2 . \quad (3)$$

For the spherical fireball Eq. (3) was first found in Ref. [6]. For the cylindrical geometry, surprisingly, there are two answers which differ by the value of the coefficient α : there is a *naive result* $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ [7] and *more elaborate* one $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi}$ [8]. Therefore, before going further it is necessary to discuss the origin of the difference between the results of Refs. [7] and [8].

2. Particle Spectra and Apparent Temperature. The general expression for the momentum distribution of the outgoing particle having the 4-vector of momentum $p^\mu = (m_T \cosh y_p, p_T \cos(\phi_p), p_T \sin(\phi_p), m_T \sinh y_p,)$ which is emitted from the fluid element having hydrodynamical 4-velocity $u^\mu = \gamma_T(\cosh y_L, v_T \cos(\phi_u), v_T \sin(\phi_u), \sinh y_L,)$ of the arbitrary FO hypersurface Σ is given by the *cut-off distribution function* [9, 10]

$$E \frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \int_{\Sigma} p^\mu d\Sigma_\mu \varphi \left(\frac{p^\nu u_\nu}{T} \right) \Theta(p^\rho d\Sigma_\rho) , \quad (4)$$

where the standard notations are assumed for 4-vectors (y_p, y_T and y_L are particle longitudinal, fluid transversal and fluid longitudinal rapidities, respectively, and ϕ denotes the corresponding polar angles), $\gamma_T = 1/\sqrt{1-v_T^2}$ is the relativistic γ -factor, $d\Sigma_\mu$ denotes the 4-vector of external normal to the FO hypersurface Σ and φ is the one-particle phase space distribution function. The Θ -function in (4) is of crucial importance because it makes sure that only outgoing particles are counted from both the time- and space-like FO hypersurfaces which are defined, respectively, by the positive sign $ds^2 > 0$ and negative sign $ds^2 < 0$ of the element square $ds^2 = dt^2 - dR^2 - dz^2$ of the hypersurface (see [9, 10] for detail).

For further analysis I shall neglect the contributions coming from the time-like parts of the FO hypersurface and then comment on general case. Now the product $p^\rho d\Sigma_\rho > 0$ is positive for any momentum and the *cut-off distribution* (4) automatically reproduces the famous Cooper-Frye result [11]. For the Boltzmann distribution $\varphi = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\frac{p^\nu u_\nu}{T}}$ of particles emitted from the FO hypersurface $R^* = R^*(t, z)$ one obtains from (4)

$$\frac{d^2N}{dy_p dp_T^2} = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Sigma} dz dt R^* e^{-\frac{m_T \gamma_T \cosh(y_p - y_L)}{T}} m_T \cosh y_p I_\phi \left(\frac{p_T v_T \gamma_T}{T} \right) , \quad (5)$$

$$I_\phi \left(\frac{p_T v_T \gamma_T}{T} \right) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_p \left(\frac{\partial R^*}{\partial t} - \tanh y_p \frac{\partial R^*}{\partial z} - \frac{p_T \cos(\phi_p)}{m_T \cosh y_p} \right) e^{\frac{p_T v_T \gamma_T \cos(\phi_p)}{T}} . \quad (6)$$

Now it is clearly seen that the main contribution to the integral (6) corresponds to the small angles ϕ_p between a 3-momentum of particle and a 3-vector of the hydrodynamic velocity, whereas contributions coming from the large angles ϕ_p are suppressed exponentially.

Neglecting the term with $\frac{p_T}{m_T}$ in Eq. (6) for $p_T \ll m_T$ and expanding the Bessel function $I_0(x) \approx 1 + (0.5x)^2$ for $p_T v_T \gamma_T \ll T$, one finds from (2) the following result for the AT

$$\frac{1}{T^*} \approx \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\gamma_T \cosh(y_p - y_L) - \frac{m_T v_T^2 \gamma_T^2}{2T}}{T} \right\rangle \right\rangle \approx \left\langle \frac{\gamma_T - \frac{m_T v_T^2 \gamma_T^2}{2T}}{T} \right\rangle \quad (7)$$

where the double averaging means a double integration over time and longitudinal coordinate with the weight function defined by Eq. (5). For the longitudinal expansion depending on

the rapidity y_L only (e.g. Bjorken expansion) the integration over z -coordinate can be done because for heavy particles the Boltzmann exponential behaves like a Kronecker δ -function, i.e., $e^{-m_T \gamma_T \cosh(y_p - y_L)/T} \cong \delta(y_p - y_L)$. This leads to a single averaging over the evolution time (last equality in Eq. (7)) with a slightly modified weight function. The next approximation in (7) implies the nonrelativistic transversal expansion and $m_T \rightarrow m$

$$T^* \approx T + \frac{m \langle v_T^2 \rangle}{2} \approx T + \frac{m \langle v_T \rangle^2}{2}, \quad \text{for } mv_T^2 \gamma_T \ll T, \quad (8)$$

where the last equality is fulfilled within 10 % for the linear dependence of the velocity on the transversal radius. Note, however, that a popular expression (8) was obtained under the condition which is hardly fulfilled in practice for heavy particles and, hence, Eq. (8) cannot be established from Eq. (7). Moreover, for particles of $m_T \geq 1$ GeV, freezing out under conditions (1), Eq. (7) leads to negative contributions to the AT and even to negative values of the AT! The latter follows from the fact that contributions coming from the small transversal radii (and, hence, small v_T) in (5) are suppressed.

Thus an accurate examination of the derivation of Ref. [7] shows that **negative AT** can be seen, if the FO hypersurface is a space-like one. In Ref. [10] there are examples given that **negative AT** exist, if the Cooper-Frye formula is applied to the time-like hypersurfaces, whereas the cut-off formula (4) generates positive AT in that case. Discussion of the AT for the arbitrary hypersurface is out of the scope of this talk. I mention only that negative AT not seen experimentally may be an artifact of the Cooper-Frye formula.

In contrast an improved derivation of Ref. [8] accounts for the finiteness of the system and is partly free of the problems discussed above. In [8] the Cooper-Frye formula is modified by an additional factor $\exp\{-a(\cosh y_T - 1)\}$ (with $a = 1/(\langle R^* \rangle v_T'(0))^2$) which in case of non-relativistic transversal expansion reduces to $\exp\{-\frac{R^{*2}}{2(R^*)^2}\}$. Here $\langle R^* \rangle$ is the mean transverse radius and $v_T'(0)$ is the transverse velocity derivative at the center of the fireball. The large radii contributions in (7) are suppressed due to such a factor and, hence, they do not lead to negative AT values. Further evaluation ends in (3) with $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi}$ which appears from the additional factor and reflects the cylindrical symmetry. Therefore, the latter is used below.

3. Freeze-out of J/ψ Meson. Recently it was found that the data on J/ψ and ψ' yields in central Pb+Pb collisions at 158 A·GeV are consistent with the results of the statistical model for the typical value of $T_H \cong 175$ MeV extracted from light hadron systematics (see Refs. in [1, 3]). The hypothesis of statistical J/ψ production at hadronization can be further tested using data on m_T spectra. New NA50 data [19] on transverse mass spectra of J/ψ mesons in central Pb+Pb collisions at 158 A·GeV confirm this expectation: the spectrum is nearly exponential with $T^*(J/\psi) = 245 \pm 5$ MeV. The measured $T^*(J/\psi)$ value is significantly smaller than expected one from Eq. (3) for the pion FO parameters (1) ($T^* \cong 610$ MeV).

The ‘low’ value of T^* for J/ψ suggests its rather early thermal FO. The low interaction cross section of the J/ψ meson with the most abundant hadrons [12] and its large mass lead to a very low probability of the J/ψ rescattering on hadrons. Following [3], it is assumed therefore that the thermal FO of J/ψ coincides with the hadronization of QGP, i.e., that the J/ψ meson does not participate in the hadronic rescattering after hadronization. It is, however, natural to expect that there is a significant collective transverse flow of hadronizing QGP developed at the early stage of partonic rescattering. Consequently the AT of the J/ψ meson as well as all other hadrons for which chemical and thermal freeze-outs coincide with hadronization can be expressed as:

$$T_H^*(m) = T_H + \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot m \cdot \langle v_T^H \rangle^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\langle v_T^H \rangle$ is the mean transverse flow velocity of the QGP at the hadronization. Assuming $T_H = 175$ MeV and using the measured value of $T^*(J/\psi) = 245$ MeV one finds from Eq.(9): $\langle v_T^H \rangle \cong 0.19$. As expected the obtained transverse flow velocity of QGP at hadronization is significantly smaller than the transverse flow velocity of pions (≈ 0.5). The linear m -dependence of T_H^* (9) is shown in Fig. 1 by the lower solid line. Within the approach discussed here, Eq. (9) can be used to obtain a next estimate of the lower limit of the measured AT for all hadrons. In fact the values of the parameter T^* for all light hadrons are higher than $T_H^*(m)$. The recent results [19] on the m_T spectra of the ψ' meson indicate that $T^*(\psi') \approx T_H^*(\psi') = 258 \pm 5$ MeV, which suggests that the ψ' meson (like J/ψ) does not participate in the hadronic rescattering either.

One may expect that the thermal FO may coincide with hadronization also for D and Υ mesons. Under this assumption one can calculate the value of the apparent temperature for those mesons : $T^*(D) \cong T_H^*(D) \cong 217$ MeV and $T^*(\Upsilon) \cong T_H^*(\Upsilon) \cong 392$ MeV, respectively.

For the production of open and hidden charm particles in A+A collisions at RHIC energies one can expect stronger transverse collective flow effects than at the SPS. This will lead to a linear mass dependence (9) of the AT with approximately the same value of $T_H \cong 175$ MeV, but with a larger value of $\langle v_T^H \rangle$. A recent analysis [20] of the RHIC data leads to the above value $T_H \cong 175$ MeV of the chemical FO temperature.

Since the RHIC data for J/ψ mesons are not measured yet, we use the hydrodynamic calculations of Ref. [21] which predict the value of $\langle v_T^H \rangle \cong 0.30$ at the hadronization in Au+Au collisions at RHIC. This leads to an increase of the AT of charmed hadrons at RHIC in comparison to those values at SPS, e.g., $T^*(J/\psi) \cong T_H^*(J/\psi) \cong 350$ MeV and $T^*(D) \cong T_H^*(D) \cong 280$ MeV. If bottomonium experiences the same FO conditions at RHIC like J/ψ meson, then its apparent temperature will be about $T^*(\Upsilon) \cong T_H^*(\Upsilon) \cong 720$ MeV.

In summary, recent results on transverse mass spectra of J/ψ and ψ' mesons in central Pb+Pb collisions at 158 A·GeV are considered. It is shown that these data support the hypothesis of the statistical production of charmonia at hadronization and suggest a simultaneous hadronization and the thermal FO for J/ψ and ψ' mesons. Based on this approach the collective transverse velocity of hadronizing quark gluon plasma is estimated to be $\langle v_T^h \rangle \approx 0.2$. Predictions for transverse mass spectra of hidden and open charm along with hidden bottom mesons at SPS and RHIC are discussed.

Acknowledgments. I am thankful to M. Gaździcki, M. I. Gorenstein, P. T. Reuter and D. H. Rischke for valuable comments. The financial support of the NATO Linkage Grant PST.CLG.976950 is acknowledged. The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Award No. UP1-2119 of the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF).

References

- [1] Gazdzicki M and Gorenstein M I 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4009
- [2] Braun-Münzinger P and Stachel J 2000 *Phys. Lett.* **B 490** 196; Gorenstein M I *et al* 2001 *Phys. Lett.* **B 509** 277 and *J. Phys.* **G 27** L47; Gorenstein M I 2001 *Preprint hep-ph/0111097* 8p
- [3] Bugaev K A, Gazdzicki M and Gorenstein M I 2001 *Preprint nucl-ph/0106342* 8p
- [4] Yen G D and Gorenstein M I 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **C 59** 2788; Braun-Münzinger P, Heppe I and Stachel J 1999 *Phys. Lett.* **B 465** 15




Figure 1: The inverse slope parameter as a function of the particle mass for central Pb+Pb collisions at 158 A·GeV. The results are compiled from: [13] (filled \triangle), [14] (open \square), [15] (open \triangle), [16] (filled ∇), [17] (open ∇), [18] (filled \diamond), [19] (filled \square). The filled circles are predictions of the model for ψ' and D mesons. The upper solid line is given by Eq. (3) with $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi}$ for the FO parameters (1). The lower solid line is given by Eq. (9) with $T_H = 175$ MeV and $\langle v_T^H \rangle = 0.19$ which correspond to the QGP hadronization.

- [5] Appelshauser H *et al* (NA49 Collaboration) 1998 *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 2** 661
- [6] Csorgo T, Lorstad B and Zimanyi J 1994 *Phys. Lett.* **B 338** 134
- [7] Heiselberg H and Levy A 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **C 59** 2716
- [8] Sinyukov Yu M, Akkelin S V and Xu N 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **C 59** 3437
- [9] Bugaev K A 1996 *Nucl. Phys.* **A 606** (1996) 559; Bugaev K A, Gorenstein M I and Greiner W 1999 *J. of Phys.* **G 25** 2147 and 1999 *Heavy Ion Phys.* **10** 333
- [10] Bugaev K A and Gorenstein M I 1999 *Preprint nucl-th/9903072* 70p
- [11] Cooper F and Frye G 1974 *Phys. Rev.* **D 10** 186
- [12] Haglin K L and Gale Ch 2001 *Phys. Rev.* **C 63** 065201; Bureau G R G, Blaschke D B and Kalinovsky Y L 2001 *Phys. Lett.* **B 506** 297
- [13] Afanasev S V *et al.* (NA49 Collab.) 2000 *Phys. Lett.* **B 486** 22
- [14] Abreu M C *et al.* (NA50 Collab.) 1999 *Nucl. Phys.* **A 661** 534c
- [15] Afanasev S V *et al.* (NA49 Collab.) 2000 *Phys. Lett.* **B 491** 59
- [16] Margetis S *et al.* (NA49 Collab.) 1999 *J. Phys.* **G 25** 189
- [17] Barton R A *et al.* (NA49 Collab.) 2001 *J. Phys.* **G 27** 367
- [18] Beusch W *et al.* (WA97 Collab.) 2001 *J. Phys.* **G 27** 375
- [19] Abreu M C *et al.* (NA50 Collaboration) 2001 *Phys. Lett.* **B 499** 85
- [20] Braun-Munzinger P *et al.* 2001 *Phys. Lett.* **B 518** 41
- [21] Dumitru A and Spieles C 2001 *Phys. Lett.* **B 446** 326