

# Two-fermion bound states in the explicitly covariant Light-Front Dynamics

V. A. Karmanov<sup>a</sup>, J. Carbonell<sup>b</sup> and M. Mangin-Brinet<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Lebedev Physical Institute,  
Leninsky Pr. 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia

<sup>b</sup>Institut des Sciences Nucléaires,  
53, avenue des Martyrs, 38 026 Grenoble, France

## 1. CONSTRUCTING THE ANGULAR MOMENTUM

We solve the bound state problem for two relativistic fermions in the ladder approximation. The problem is studied in the framework of the explicitly covariant light-front dynamics [1,2]. In this approach, the state vector is defined on an hyperplane given by the invariant equation  $\omega \cdot x = 0$  with  $\omega^2 = 0$ . The standard light-front, reviewed in [3], is recovered for  $\omega = (1, 0, 0, -1)$ . Because of explicit covariance, the wave functions for a given angular momentum are decomposed in a few covariant spin structures multiplied by scalar spin components.

For two scalar particles with zero and non-zero angular momentum, this problem was solved in [4,5]. The light-front deuteron wave function was calculated, in a perturbative way, in [6]. Now we develop a general method, based on explicitly covariant light-front dynamics, to solve the two-fermion bound state problem. We calculate the spin components non-perturbatively for one-boson exchange kernels: scalar, pseudoscalar, vector and pseudovector exchanges [4,7,8].

The interaction kernel  $V$  is a scalar, i.e., in c.m.-system, it depends on the scalar products of all available vectors, including the vector  $\vec{n} = \vec{\omega}/|\vec{\omega}|$ , determining the light-front orientation, that is:  $V = V(\vec{k}, \vec{k}', \vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, \vec{n}, M^2)$ . Here  $\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2$  are fermion spin operators. Thus, for the two-fermion system, the angular momentum operator com-

muting with the kernel reads:

$$\vec{J} = -i[\vec{k} \times \partial/\partial \vec{k}] - i[\vec{n} \times \partial/\partial \vec{n}] + \vec{s}_1 + \vec{s}_2. \quad (1)$$

Besides, the three operators  $\vec{J}^2, J_z$  and  $A^2 = (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{J})^2$  commute not only with the kernel, but also with each other. Therefore, one should construct the eigenstates of  $\vec{J}^2, A^2$  (and  $J_z$ ):

$$\vec{J}^2 \psi = J(J+1) \psi \quad (2)$$

$$A^2 \psi = a^2 \psi \quad (3)$$

and solve the bound state problem for given  $(J, a)$ . The equations for the functions with different  $(J, a)$  are not coupled with each other. Then for given  $J \geq 1$ , one should construct from the states with different  $a$ , a superposition satisfying the angular condition.

### 1.1. The state with $J = 0^+$

The basis for the state with  $J = 0^+$  contains two spin structures. The counting rule is based on the dimension of the spin matrix forming the two-fermion wave function:  $N = (2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1)/2 = 2 \times 2/2 = 2$ . The division by 2 takes into account the parity conservation. The vector  $\vec{n}$  enters in the wave function on the equal ground with the momenta of particles. In the reference system where  $\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = 0$ , the wave function of two fermions in the state  $J = 0^+$  is represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\sigma_2 \sigma_1} &= \sqrt{m} w_{\sigma_2}^\dagger \psi(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) \sigma_y w_{\sigma_1}^\dagger, \\ \psi(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( f_1 + \frac{i \vec{\sigma} \cdot [\hat{k} \times \vec{n}]}{\sin \theta} f_2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

\*Now at D.P.N.C., University of Geneva/CERN

where  $\vec{k} = \vec{k}_1 = -\vec{k}_2$ ,  $\hat{k} = \vec{k}/k$ . The functions  $f_{1,2}$  depend on  $k$  and  $\theta$ , where  $\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n} = \cos \theta$ . It is convenient to represent this wave function in the four-dimensional form, in order to use in calculations the trace techniques of the Dirac matrices. Namely,

$$\Phi_{\sigma_2 \sigma_1}(k_1, k_2, p, \omega \tau) = \sqrt{m} \bar{u}_{\sigma_2}(k_2) \phi U_c \bar{u}_{\sigma_1}(k_1) \quad (5)$$

with  $\phi = f_1 S_1 + f_2 S_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_k} \gamma_5, \\ S_2 &= \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2\sqrt{2}mk \sin \theta} \left( \frac{2m\hat{\omega}}{\omega \cdot p} - \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon_k^2} \right) \gamma_5, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{\omega} = \omega_\mu \gamma^\mu$ ,  $U_c = \gamma^2 \gamma^0$ ,  $\varepsilon_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ . When  $\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = 0$ , eqs. (5), (6) turn into (4). The basis (6) is orthonormalized.

### 1.2. The states with $J = 1^+$

The wave function of the  $J = 1^+$  state (deuteron, for example) is determined by six spin structures. They are split in two families with two spin structures for  $J = 1^+, a = 0$  and with four spin structures for  $J = 1^+, a = 1$ .

One can easily check that the function  $\vec{\psi}^0(\vec{k}, \vec{n})$  satisfying the equation (3) with  $a = 0$  is parallel to  $\vec{n}$ , i.e., it satisfies the condition  $\vec{\psi}^0 = \vec{n}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\psi}^0)$ . It has the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\psi}^0(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ g_1^{(0)} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_2^{(0)} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{k} \cos \theta - \vec{n})}{\sin \theta} \right\} \vec{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The function  $\vec{\psi}^1(\vec{k}, \vec{n})$  corresponding to  $a = 1$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{n}$ , i.e., it satisfies the condition  $(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\psi}^1) = 0$ . It is convenient to introduce the vectors orthogonal to  $\vec{n}$ :

$$\hat{k}_\perp = \frac{\hat{k} - \cos \theta \vec{n}}{\sin \theta}, \quad \vec{\sigma}_\perp = \vec{\sigma} - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{n}.$$

Then the function  $\vec{\psi}^1$  obtains the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\psi}^1(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) &= g_1^{(1)} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \vec{\sigma}_\perp \\ &\quad + g_2^{(1)} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( 2\hat{k}_\perp (\hat{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\perp) - \vec{\sigma}_\perp \right) \\ &\quad + g_3^{(1)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{k}_\perp (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) + g_4^{(1)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} i [\hat{k} \times \vec{n}] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Both wave functions (7) and (8) can be also represented in the four-dimensional form [7,8], similarly to (5). The functions  $f_{1,2}$  for  $J = 0$  and  $g_{1,2}^{(0)}$  for  $J = 1^+, a = 0$  states are determined by a system of two equations. The functions  $g_{1-4}^{(1)}$  for  $J = 1^+, a = 1$  state are determined by a system of four equations. The equations are given in [4,7-9].

## 2. SATISFYING THE ANGULAR CONDITION

The angular momentum operator in light-front dynamics is a dynamical one. Its equivalence to the kinematical operator (in particular, to the operator (1) for two fermions) is ensured by the so called angular condition (see [2]). This condition cannot be satisfied for the state with fixed  $a \neq 0$ , its solution is a superposition of the states with different  $a$ . Therefore, the wave function for  $J = 1^+$ , satisfying the angular condition, is represented as:

$$\vec{\psi}(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) = c_0 \vec{\psi}^0(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) + c_1 \vec{\psi}^1(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) \quad (9)$$

Since we don't solve the full field theory, but restrict ourselves by a particular (OBE) interaction, the angular condition can be also imposed in an approximate form. One can require that the wave function  $\vec{\psi}(\vec{k}, \vec{n})$  at  $\vec{k} = 0$  does not depend on  $\vec{n}$ . The coefficients  $c_0, c_1$  in (9) are unambiguously determined by this requirement.

The masses  $M_a$  for  $a = 0, 1$  are split, though they should be degenerate in the exact solution. In standard LFD, this split – the mass dependence on the angular momentum projection – is the violation of the rotational invariance. Solution (9) corresponds to average mass  $M^2 = c_0^2 M_0^2 + c_1^2 M_1^2$ . For two scalar particles with massless exchange, this formula gives results close to the Bethe-Salpeter solution and restores with high accuracy the Coulombian degeneration [5].

One can show that at  $k = 0$ , the components  $g_{1,2}^{(0)}$  of the wave function  $\vec{\psi}^0$ , eq. (7), depend on  $\theta$  as:  $g_1^{(0)}(k = 0, \theta) = b_0 \cos \theta$ ,  $g_2^{(0)}(k = 0, \theta) = -b_0 \sin \theta$ , where  $b_0$  is a constant. In the wave function  $\vec{\psi}^1$ , eq. (8), the component

$g_1^{(1)}(k=0, \theta) = b_1$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , whereas  $g_{2-4}^{(1)}(k=0, \theta) = 0$ . The coefficients in the solution (9) are expressed through  $b_0, b_1$ :

$$c_0 = \frac{b_1}{\sqrt{2b_0^2 + b_1^2}}, \quad c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}b_0}{\sqrt{2b_0^2 + b_1^2}}. \quad (10)$$

The wave function (9) is now can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\psi}(\vec{k}, \vec{n}) = & f_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{\sigma} + f_2 \frac{1}{2} (3\hat{k}(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) - \vec{\sigma}) \\ & + f_3 \frac{1}{2} (3\vec{n}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) - \vec{\sigma}) \\ & + f_4 \frac{1}{2} (3\hat{k}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) + 3\vec{n}(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) - 2(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{n})\vec{\sigma}) \\ & + f_5 i \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} [\hat{k} \times \vec{n}] + f_6 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\vec{n}(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) - \hat{k}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})). \end{aligned}$$

The six components  $f_i$  are expressed through  $g_{1,2}^{(0)}$  and  $g_{1-4}^{(1)}$ .

### 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For illustration, we give here the results of numerical calculation with the kernel corresponding to the exchange of a scalar meson with mass  $\mu$ . The form factor in the fermion-meson vertex is not introduced, but the calculation is done on the finite interval  $k \leq k_{max}$ . Note that for  $J = 0^+$  and for the coupling constant  $\alpha = g^2/(4\pi) < \alpha_c \approx 3.72$  the solution is stable at  $k_{max} \rightarrow \infty$  [9]. For  $J = 1^+$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $\mu = 0.25$   $\alpha = 1.18$ ,  $B = 2m - M = 0.0501$ ,  $k_{max} = 10$ , the energy split between the states  $a = 0$  and  $a = 1$  is rather small: 2%, in comparison to 20% for two scalar particles [5]. For two fermions interacting by pseudoscalar exchange, the energy split is much more significant: for  $\alpha = 60$ ,  $B_{a=0} = 0.103$  and  $B_{a=1} = 0.0494$ , that is more than a 100% splitting in energy [8].

The components  $f_i(k, \theta = 30^\circ)$  are shown in figure 1. One can see that for scalar exchange the dominating component is  $f_1$  (turning into the S-wave in the nonrelativistic limit). For the coefficients (10) the following values were found:  $c_0 = 0.5817$ ,  $c_1 = 0.8134$ , that is very close to  $1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.5773$ ,  $\sqrt{2/3} \approx 0.8165$ .

The details of approach and the numerical results for all exchanges (S, PS, V and PV) will be




Figure 1. The spin components  $f_i(k, \theta = 30^\circ)$  determining the  $J = 1^+$  state wave function for scalar exchange.

published in [8].

### REFERENCES

1. V.A. Karmanov, ZhETF 71 (1976) 399 (transl.: JETP 44 (1976) 210).
2. J. Carbonell, B. Desplanques, V.A. Karmanov and J.-F. Mathiot, Phys. Reports 300 (1998) 215.
3. S.J. Brodsky, H.-C. Pauli and S.S. Pinsky, Phys. Rep., 301 (1998) 299.
4. M. Mangin-Brinet, *Thèse Université de Paris-7* (2001).
5. M. Mangin-Brinet, J. Carbonell and V.A. Karmanov, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 90 (2000) 123.
6. J. Carbonell and V.A. Karmanov, Nucl. Phys. A589 (1995) 713.
7. M. Mangin-Brinet, J. Carbonell and V.A. Karmanov, hep-th/0107235, to be published in Phys Rev D (2001).
8. M. Mangin-Brinet, J. Carbonell and V.A. Karmanov, in preparation.
9. M. Mangin-Brinet, J. Carbonell and V.A. Karmanov, Phys Rev D64 (2001) 027701.