Deformed Boson Scheme in Time-Dependent Variational Method.II

- Deformation of the su(2)- and the su(1,1)-Algebra in the Schwinger Boson Representation —

Atsushi Kuriyama, Constança Providência*,

João da PROVIDÊNCIA*, Yasuhiko TSUE** and Masatoshi YAMAMURA

Faculty of Engineering, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan

*Departamento de Fisica, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra, Portugal

**Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

Abstract

In this paper as a continuation of Part I, the case of two kinds of boson operators is treated. The deformation of the coherent states for the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-algebra and their related deformed algebras are discussed in various form including the most popular form.

1

§1. Introduction

Part (II), a continuation of (I), ¹⁾ is concerned with the deformed boson scheme for the case of two kinds of boson operators. There exist two reasons why we intend to investigate this case. We mentioned in $\S6$ of (I) that the deformed boson scheme displays its real ability in the present case. In the case of two kinds of boson operators, we know two spin systems which obey the su(2)- and su(1,1)-algebra in the Schwinger boson representations.²⁾ As was reviewed in Ref.3), we can construct the coherent states which are suitable for obtaining the classical counterparts of these two spin systems. These counterparts are useful for describing the time-evolution of these spin systems in the framework of the time-dependent variational method. For example, with the help of the su(1, 1)-spin, the damped and amplified oscillation can be described in the conservative form.⁴⁾ Therefore, as a natural course, it becomes interesting to investigate the deformation of the coherent states. This is the first reason. The second is related with the deformed algebras. Quantum mechanically, the $su(2)_{q}$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra are quite interesting and various aspects of the $su(2)_q$ -algebra have been investigated. Especially, the investigation based on the form $[x]_q = (q^x - q^{-x})/(q - q^{-1})$ is well known.⁵⁾ The present authors also presented an idea how to derive the Holstein-Primakoff representation for the $su(2)_{q}$ and the $su(1,1)_{q}$ -algebra⁶ in the framework of MYT boson mapping.⁷⁾ Then, it is also a quite natural course to investigate the $su(2)_q$ - and $su(1,1)_q$ algebra in our deformed boson scheme. The above two are main reasons why we present Part (II).

In (I), the boson coherent state was deformed by a function f(x) which determines $[x]_q$. Boson system treated in (II) consists of two kinds of boson operators and under a certain principle, the coherent states for the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin in the Schwinger boson representation are deformed by maximally three independent functions. Algebraically, it may be enough to take up two independent functions. Therefore, by changing the forms of these three functions, we are able to derive various types of the deformations including the most popular form for the $su(2)_q$ -algebra. An interesting point is found in the fact that the Holstein-Primakoff boson representations for the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin are possible deformations of the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-algebra in the Schwinger representation. This form was already used for describing the damped and amplified oscillational motion in the su(2)-spin system.⁸

In §2, the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin system expressed in terms of two kinds of boson operators and their classical counterparts will be derived. The starting coherent states are of the same forms as those appearing in Ref.3). Section 3 will be devoted in obtaining the deformed coherent states. Its basic idea is the use of three, algebraically, two independent functions. Further, the classical counterparts will be discussed. In §4, the $su(2)_{q}$ - and the $su(1,1)_{q}$ -algebra will be obtained in our deformed boson scheme and various forms will be discussed. In §5, the deformations named as the pseudo su(2)- and the pseudo su(1,1)-algebra will be discussed and the Holstein-Primakoff representation will be derived in the Schwinger representation by an appropriate select of the functions characterizing the deformation. Finally, in §6, some concluding remarks, together with the subsequent problem, will be given.

§2. The su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin system and their classical counterparts

In Part I, we investigated the deformed boson scheme in many-body system composed of one kind of boson operator (\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*) . In the present paper (Part II), we will treat the case of many-body system consisting of two kinds of boson operators (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) and (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) . The operators (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) and (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) obey the same relations as those of (\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*) , which are shown in Eqs. (I·2·1)~(I·2·6). For the present system, we know two spin systems, which obey the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-algebra. Hereafter, various relations for these systems will be shown in parallel form such as (Eq.a) and (Eq.b). The generators $(\hat{S}^0_{\pm}, \hat{S}_0)$ for the su(2)-spin and $(\hat{T}^0_{\pm}, \hat{T}_0)$ for the su(1, 1)-spin are written down in the form

$$\hat{S}^0_+ = \hat{a}^* \hat{b} , \qquad \hat{S}^0_- = \hat{b}^* \hat{a} , \qquad \hat{S}_0 = (\hat{a}^* \hat{a} - \hat{b}^* \hat{b})/2 , \qquad (2.1a)$$

$$\hat{T}^0_+ = \hat{a}^* \hat{b}^*$$
, $\hat{T}^0_- = \hat{b}\hat{a}$, $\hat{T}_0 = (\hat{a}^* \hat{a} + \hat{b}\hat{b}^*)/2$. (2.1b)

Further, the following operators \hat{S} and \hat{T} are introduced in each spin system :

$$\hat{S} = (\hat{b}^*\hat{b} + \hat{a}^*\hat{a})/2 ,$$
 (2.2a)

$$\hat{T} = (\hat{b}\hat{b}^* - \hat{a}^*\hat{a})/2$$
 . (2.2b)

The commutation relations are given in the form

$$[\hat{S}^{0}_{+}, \hat{S}^{0}_{-}] = +2\hat{S}_{0} , \qquad [\hat{S}_{0}, \hat{S}^{0}_{\pm}] = \pm\hat{S}^{0}_{\pm} , \qquad [\hat{S}^{0}_{\pm,0}, \hat{S}] = 0 , \qquad (2\cdot3a)$$

$$[\hat{T}^0_+, \hat{T}^0_-] = -2\hat{T}_0$$
, $[\hat{T}_0, \hat{T}^0_\pm] = \pm \hat{T}^0_\pm$, $[\hat{T}^0_{\pm,0}, \hat{T}] = 0$. (2.3b)

In some papers by the present authors, we investigated two forms of normalized wave packets, which are expressed in the following forms :

$$|c_{+}^{0}\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_{0}}\right)^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{S}_{+}^{0}) \exp(\delta \hat{b}^{*})|0\rangle$$

$$= \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_0}\right)^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{a}^* \hat{b}) \exp(\delta \hat{b}^*) |0\rangle ,$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \exp(|\delta|^2 (1+|\gamma|^2)) , \qquad (2.4a)$$

$$|c_-^0\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_0}\right)^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{T}_+^0) \exp(\delta \hat{b}^*) |0\rangle$$

$$= \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_0}\right)^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{a}^* \hat{b}^*) \exp(\delta \hat{b}^*) |0\rangle ,$$

$$\Gamma_0 = (1-|\gamma|^2)^{-1} \exp(|\delta|^2/(1-|\gamma|^2)) . \qquad (2.4b)$$

The plus (+) and the minus (-) indicate the wave packets for the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)spin system, respectively. The quantities γ and δ denote complex parameters and our aim
is to investigate the behaviors of these parameters. The forms (2·4) show that the states $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$ are coherently superposed in terms of various states obtained by operating \hat{S}^{0}_{+} and \hat{T}^{0}_{+} successively on the state which is a linear combination of the states with the minimum
weights $(\hat{S}^{0}_{-} \exp(\delta \hat{b}^{*})|0\rangle = \hat{T}^{0}_{-} \exp(\delta \hat{b}^{*})|0\rangle = 0$. For the state (2·4a) and (2·4b), we can prove
the following relation :

$$\hat{\gamma}^{0}|c_{+}^{0}\rangle = \gamma(1-\epsilon(\hat{N}_{b}+\epsilon)^{-1})|c_{+}^{0}\rangle , \qquad \hat{\delta}^{0}|c_{+}^{0}\rangle = \delta|c_{+}^{0}\rangle$$
(2.5a)

for $(2\cdot4a)$ and

$$\hat{\gamma}^{0}|c_{-}^{0}\rangle = \gamma|c_{-}^{0}\rangle , \qquad \hat{\delta}^{0}|c_{-}^{0}\rangle = \delta|c_{-}^{0}\rangle$$

$$(2.5b)$$

for (2.4b), where $\hat{\gamma}^0$ and $\hat{\delta}^0$ are defined as

$$\hat{\gamma}^0 = \hat{S}^0_- (\hat{N}_b + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1} , \qquad \hat{\delta}^0 = \hat{b}$$
 (2.6a)

for $(2\cdot 4a)$ and

$$\hat{\gamma}^0 = (\hat{N}_b + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1} \hat{T}^0_-, \qquad \hat{\delta}^0 = [1 - \hat{N}_a (\hat{N}_b + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1}]\hat{b}$$
 (2.6b)

for (2.4b), respectively. Of course, \hat{N}_a and \hat{N}_b denote the boson number operators :

$$\hat{N}_a = \hat{a}^* \hat{a} , \qquad \hat{N}_b = \hat{b}^* \hat{b} .$$
 (2.7)

The symbol ϵ denotes an infinitesimal parameter and $\epsilon(\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1}$ in Eq.(2.5a) plays a role of the projection operator for the states $\{|n\rangle = (\sqrt{n!})^{-1}(\hat{b}^*)^n |0\rangle; n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$:

$$\epsilon(\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} |n\rangle = \delta_{n,0} |n\rangle . \qquad (\epsilon \longrightarrow 0)$$
(2.8)

Then, for large value of $|\delta|$, we can regard $|c_{+}^{0}\rangle$ as $|c_{+}^{0}\rangle \sim (1 - \epsilon(\hat{N}_{b} + \epsilon)^{-1})|c_{+}^{0}\rangle$. Thus, the states (2·4a) and (2·4b) are regarded as the eigenstates of $\hat{\gamma}^{0}$ and $\hat{\delta}^{0}$ defined in the relations (2·6a) and (2·6b), respectively.

The time-dependent variational method for the states $|c_{\pm}^0\rangle$ starts with the following relation :

$$\delta \int \langle c_{\pm}^0 | i \partial_t - \hat{H} | c_{\pm}^0 \rangle dt = 0 . \qquad (2.9)$$

The states $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$ satisfy the relation

$$\langle c_{\pm}^{0}|i\partial_{t}|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma^{*}\dot{\gamma} - \dot{\gamma}^{*}\gamma)\frac{\partial\Gamma_{0}}{\partial|\gamma|^{2}}\cdot\Gamma_{0}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}(\delta^{*}\dot{\delta} - \dot{\delta}^{*}\delta)\frac{\partial\Gamma_{0}}{\partial|\delta|^{2}}\cdot\Gamma_{0}^{-1}.$$
 (2.10)

Then, let us define the following quantities :

$$x = \gamma \sqrt{(\partial \Gamma_0 / \partial |\gamma|^2) \cdot \Gamma_0^{-1}} , \qquad y = \delta \sqrt{(\partial \Gamma_0 / \partial |\delta|^2) \cdot \Gamma_0^{-1}} .$$
 (2.11)

With the use of the new parameters x and y, the relation (2.10) is rewritten as

$$\langle c_{\pm}^{0} | i\partial_{t} | c_{\pm}^{0} \rangle = (i/2) \cdot (x^{*} \dot{x} - \dot{x}^{*} x) + (i/2) \cdot (y^{*} \dot{y} - \dot{y}^{*} y) .$$
 (2.12)

The above is called the canonicity condition and in the sense of the time-dependent variational method, (x, x^*) and (y, y^*) can be regarded as the boson-type canonical variables in classical mechanics. The relation (2.11) tells us that x and y can be expressed in terms of γ , δ , $|\gamma|^2$ and $|\delta|^2$. In the present case, with the use of the forms (2.4a) and (2.4b) for Γ_0 , γ and δ can be expressed inversely in terms of x, y, $|x|^2$ and $|y|^2$. For the su(2)-spin system, we have

$$\gamma = x \left(\sqrt{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \right)^{-1} , \qquad \delta = y \sqrt{(|y|^2 - |x|^2) \cdot |y|^{-2}} . \tag{2.13a}$$

In the case of the su(1, 1)-spin, we have

$$\gamma = x \left(\sqrt{|y|^2 + 1 + |x|^2} \right)^{-1} , \qquad \delta = y \sqrt{|y|^2 + 1} \cdot \left(\sqrt{|y|^2 + 1 + |x|^2} \right)^{-1} . \tag{2.13b}$$

Next, we investigate the classical counterpart of the operators $\hat{\gamma}^0$ and $\hat{\delta}^0$. First, we note the relation

$$\langle c^0_{\pm} | \hat{\gamma}^0 | c^0_{\pm} \rangle = \gamma , \qquad \langle c^0_{\pm} | \hat{\delta}^0 | c^0_{\pm} \rangle = \delta . \qquad (2.14)$$

From the argument given in the relation $(2\cdot 8)$, the former of the relation $(2\cdot 14)$ is approximated. Further, we define the difference of any function $F(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)$ in the form

$$\Delta_{\hat{N}_{a}}^{(\pm)} F(\hat{N}_{a}, \hat{N}_{b}) = \pm \left[F(\hat{N}_{a} \pm 1, \hat{N}_{b}) - F(\hat{N}_{a}, \hat{N}_{b}) \right] ,
\Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(\pm)} F(\hat{N}_{a}, \hat{N}_{b}) = \pm \left[F(\hat{N}_{a}, \hat{N}_{b} \pm 1) - F(\hat{N}_{a}, \hat{N}_{b}) \right] .$$
(2.15)

With the use of the difference (2.15), the commutation relations of $(\hat{\gamma}^0, \hat{\gamma}^{0*})$ and $(\hat{\delta}^0, \hat{\delta}^{0*})$ for the su(2)-spin system are given in the form

$$[\hat{\gamma}^0, \hat{\gamma}^{0*}] = (\varDelta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(+)} - \varDelta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(-)} - \varDelta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(+)} \varDelta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(-)})(\hat{\gamma}^{0*} \hat{\gamma}^0) ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\delta}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0*}] &= \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)}(\hat{\delta}^{0*}\hat{\delta}^{0}) , \\ [\hat{\gamma}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0}] &= \hat{\delta}^{0}\hat{\gamma}^{0} \cdot \epsilon \left[1 - \epsilon(\hat{N}_{b} + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)}(\hat{N}_{b} + \epsilon)^{-1} , \\ [\hat{\gamma}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0*}] &= \hat{\delta}^{0*}\hat{\gamma}^{0} \left[(1 - \epsilon(\hat{N}_{b} + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1})^{-1}(\hat{\delta}^{0}\hat{\delta}^{0*}) \right] \\ &\times \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)} \left[(1 - \epsilon(\hat{N}_{b} + 1 + \epsilon)^{-1})(\hat{\delta}^{0}\hat{\delta}^{0*})^{-1} \right] . \end{aligned}$$
(2.16a)

For the case of the su(1, 1)-spin system, we have

$$\begin{split} & [\hat{\gamma}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}^{0*}] = (\Delta_{\hat{N}_{a}}^{(+)} + \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)} + \Delta_{\hat{N}_{a}}^{(+)} \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)}) (\hat{\gamma}^{0*} \hat{\gamma}^{0}) , \\ & [\hat{\delta}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0*}] = \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(+)} (\hat{\delta}^{0*} \hat{\delta}^{0}) , \\ & [\hat{\gamma}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0}] = 0 , \\ & [\hat{\gamma}^{0}, \hat{\delta}^{0*}] = \hat{\gamma}^{0} \hat{\delta}^{0*} \left[(1 - \Delta_{\hat{N}_{a}}^{(-)}) (\hat{\gamma}^{0} \hat{\gamma}^{0*}) \right]^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\hat{N}_{b}}^{(-)} \left[(1 - \Delta_{\hat{N}_{a}}^{(-)}) (\hat{\gamma}^{0} \hat{\gamma}^{0*}) \right] . \end{split}$$
(2.16b)

The relation (2.16a) contains the infinitesimal parameter ϵ . After operating this relation on any state, we should make the limitation $\epsilon \to 0$. The relations (2.13a) and (2.13b) tell us that γ and δ are expressed in terms of the canonical variables (x, x^*) and (y, y^*) . Then, we can calculate the Poisson bracket for these variables defined in the form

 $[A,B]_P = (\partial_x A \cdot \partial_{x^*} B - \partial_{x^*} A \cdot \partial_x B) + (\partial_y A \cdot \partial_{y^*} B - \partial_{y^*} A \cdot \partial_y B) .$ (2.17)

For the su(2)-spin system, the result is as follows :

$$\begin{split} &[\gamma, \gamma^*]_P = (\partial_{N_a} - \partial_{N_b}) |\gamma|^2 ,\\ &[\delta, \delta^*]_P = \partial_{N_b} |\delta|^2 ,\\ &[\gamma, \delta]_P = 0 ,\\ &[\gamma, \delta^*]_P = \delta^* \gamma \cdot |\delta|^2 \partial_{N_b} |\delta|^{-2} . \end{split}$$
(2.18a)

For the su(1, 1)-spin system, we have

$$\begin{split} &[\gamma, \gamma^*]_P = (\partial_{N_a} + \partial_{N_b}) |\gamma|^2 ,\\ &[\delta, \delta^*]_P = \partial_{N_b} |\delta|^2 ,\\ &[\gamma, \delta]_P = 0 ,\\ &[\gamma, \delta^*]_P = \gamma \delta^* \cdot |\gamma|^{-2} \partial_{N_b} |\gamma|^2 . \end{split}$$

$$(2.18b)$$

Here, ∂_{N_a} and ∂_{N_b} denote the differential with respect to N_a and N_b , respectively. The variables N_a and N_b are the expectation values of \hat{N}_a and \hat{N}_b , respectively :

$$N_{a} = \langle c_{\pm}^{0} | \hat{N}_{a} | c_{\pm}^{0} \rangle = |\gamma|^{2} \partial \Gamma_{0} / \partial |\gamma|^{2} \cdot \Gamma_{0}^{-1} = |x|^{2} ,$$

$$N_{b} = \langle c_{\pm}^{0} | \hat{N}_{b} | c_{\pm}^{0} \rangle = |\delta|^{2} \partial \Gamma_{0} / \partial |\delta|^{2} \cdot \Gamma_{0}^{-1} \mp |\gamma|^{2} \partial \Gamma_{0} / \partial |\gamma|^{2} \cdot \Gamma_{0}^{-1}$$

$$= |y|^{2} \mp |x|^{2} .$$
(2.19)

For the above derivation, the following formula is useful :

$$|\gamma|^2 \partial_{N_a} |\delta|^2 \mp |\gamma|^2 \partial_{N_b} |\delta|^2 - |\delta|^2 \partial_{N_b} |\gamma|^2 = 0 . \qquad (2.20)$$

For the commutation relations (2.16a) and (2.16b), we perform the replacement

$$[\,,\,] \longrightarrow [\,,\,]_P , \qquad \Delta \longrightarrow \partial .$$
 (2.21)

Then, at the limits $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\partial_{N_a} \partial_{N_b} |\gamma|^2 \to 0$, the relations (2.16) are reduced to the relations (2.18). The limit $\partial_{N_a} \partial_{N_b} |\gamma|^2 \to 0$ means the neglect of quantal fluctuation around $(\partial_{N_a} + \partial_{N_b}) |\gamma|^2$. Thus, for γ and δ given in the relation (2.13), we have the following correspondence :

$$\hat{\gamma}^0 \sim \gamma , \qquad \hat{\delta}^0 \sim \delta .$$
 (2.22)

The above means that γ and δ introduced as the variational parameters are classical counterparts of the operators $\hat{\gamma}^0$ and $\hat{\delta}^0$, respectively.

The expectation values of $(\hat{S}^0_{\pm,0}, \hat{S})$ and $(\hat{T}^0_{\pm,0}, \hat{T})$ for the states $|c^0_{\pm}\rangle$ are expressed in the form

$$\begin{split} \langle c^0_+ | \hat{S}^0_+ | c^0_+ \rangle &= \gamma^* |\delta|^2 = x^* \sqrt{2s - |x|^2} ,\\ \langle c^0_+ | \hat{S}^0_- | c^0_+ \rangle &= \gamma |\delta|^2 = x \sqrt{2s - |x|^2} ,\\ \langle c^0_+ | \hat{S}_0 | c^0_+ \rangle &= -(1 - |\gamma|^2) |\delta|^2 / 2 = |x|^2 - s ,\\ \langle c^0_+ | \hat{S} | c^0_+ \rangle &= (1 + |\gamma|^2) |\delta|^2 / 2 = s , \end{split}$$
(2.23a)
$$\langle c^0_- | \hat{T}^0_+ | c^0_- \rangle &= \gamma^* (1 - |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-2} = x^* \sqrt{2t + |x|^2} ,\\ \langle c^0_- | \hat{T}^0_- | c^0_- \rangle &= \gamma (1 - |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-2} = x \sqrt{2t + |x|^2} ,\\ \langle c^0_- | \hat{T}_0 | c^0_- \rangle &= (1 + |\gamma|^2) (1 - |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-2} / 2 = |x|^2 + t ,\\ \langle c^0_- | \hat{T} | c^0_- \rangle &= (1 - |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1} / 2 = (|y|^2 + 1) / 2 = t . \end{split}$$
(2.23b)

The above forms are nothing but classical forms of the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-generators in the Holstein-Primakoff boson representation. The quantities s and t shown in the relations $(2\cdot23a)$ and $(2\cdot23b)$ denote the magnitudes of the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin, respectively, in the classical sense.

§3. Deformation of the coherent state $|c_{+}^{0}\rangle$

We make deformation for the state $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$ by introducing three functions \tilde{f} , \tilde{g} and \tilde{h} which play the same role as that of \tilde{f} appearing in the state (I·2·8). Our idea for the deformation starts with the following form :

$$|c_{+}\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \exp\left(\gamma \hat{a}^{*} \tilde{f}(\hat{N}_{a}) \cdot \tilde{g}(\hat{N}_{b})\hat{b}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\delta \hat{b}^{*} \tilde{h}(\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}\right) |0\rangle , \qquad (3.1a)$$

$$|c_{-}\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \exp\left(\gamma \hat{a}^{*} \tilde{f}(\hat{N}_{a}) \cdot \hat{b}^{*} \tilde{g}(\hat{N}_{b})\right) \cdot \exp\left(\delta \hat{b}^{*} \tilde{h}(\hat{N}_{b})^{+1}\right) |0\rangle .$$
(3.1b)

We can see in the expressions (3·1a) and (3·1b) that two parts of the exponential forms in the states (2·4a) and (2·4b) are deformed by $\tilde{f}(\hat{N}_a)$, $\tilde{g}(\hat{N}_b)$ and $\tilde{h}(\hat{N}_b)$. The quantity Γ denotes the normalization constant. The states $|c_{\pm}\rangle$ can be rewritten in the form

$$|c_{\pm}\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\gamma^{m} \delta^{n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n \mp m)!}}\right)^{\pm 1} f(m)g(n \mp m)^{\mp 1} (g(n) \cdot h(n)^{-1})^{\pm 1} \\ \times \left(\sqrt{m!(n \mp m)!}\right)^{-1} (\hat{a}^{*})^{m} (\hat{b}^{*})^{n \mp m} |0\rangle .$$
(3.2)

Here, f(k), g(k) and h(k) are defined through the relation

$$\tilde{f}(k) = f(k+1)f(k)^{-1}$$
, $\tilde{g}(k) = g(k+1)g(k)^{-1}$, $\tilde{h}(k) = h(k+1)h(k)^{-1}$.
 $(k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ (3.3)

The normalization constant \varGamma is obtained as

$$\Gamma = \sum_{m,n} \frac{(|\gamma|^2)^m (|\delta|^2)^n}{m!n!} \left(\frac{n!}{(n \mp m)!}\right)^{\pm 1} f(m)^2 g(n \mp m)^{-2} (g(n) \cdot h(n)^{-1})^{\pm 2} .$$
(3.4)

The state $(3\cdot 2)$ can be rewritten as

$$|c_{\pm}\rangle = \sqrt{\Gamma_0/\Gamma} \cdot \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) |c_{\pm}^0\rangle , \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = f(\hat{N}_a)g(\hat{N}_b)^{\mp 1} \left(g(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a) \cdot h(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a)^{-1}\right)^{\pm 1} .$$
 (3.5)

Concerning the functions f(k), g(k) and h(k) introduced in the expression (3·2), we must give a remark. They are well-behaved and obey

$$f(k)$$
, $g(k)$, $h(k) > 0$. $(k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ (3.6)

Further, they obey the condition

$$f(0) = h(0) = h(1) = 1$$
, $f(1)g(1) = g(0)$. (3.7)

The above is derived through the following process : The states $|c_{\pm}\rangle$ can be expressed in the form

$$\sqrt{\Gamma}|c_{+}\rangle = (1 + \tilde{h}(0)^{-1}\delta\hat{b}^{*} + \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{g}(0)\tilde{h}(0)^{-1}\gamma\delta\hat{a}^{*} + \cdots)|0\rangle$$

$$= (f(0)h(0)^{-1} + f(0)h(1)^{-1}\delta\hat{b}^* + f(1)g(0)^{-1}g(1)h(1)^{-1}\gamma\delta\hat{a}^* + \cdots)|0\rangle ,$$
(3.8a)

$$\sqrt{\Gamma}|c_-\rangle = (1 + \tilde{h}(0)\delta\hat{b}^* + \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{g}(0)\gamma\hat{a}^*\hat{b}^* + \cdots)|0\rangle$$

$$= (f(0)h(0) + f(0)h(1)\delta\hat{b}^* + f(1)g(0)^{-1}g(1)h(0)\gamma\hat{a}^*\hat{b}^* + \cdots)|0\rangle .$$
(3.8b)

For the coefficients of $\hat{b}^*|0\rangle$ and $\hat{a}^*|0\rangle$ in the state (3.8a), it is permitted to set up the condition

$$f(0)h(0)^{-1} = 1 , \qquad f(0)h(1)^{-1} = \tilde{h}(0)^{-1} = 1 ,$$

$$f(1)g(0)^{-1}g(1)h(1)^{-1} = \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{g}(0)\tilde{h}(0)^{-1} = 1 .$$
(3.9a)

In the same way, the state (3.8b) gives us

$$f(0)h(0) = 1 , \qquad f(0)h(1) = \tilde{h}(0) = 1 ,$$

$$f(1)g(0)^{-1}g(1)h(0) = \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{g}(0) = 1 . \qquad (3.9b)$$

From the relations (3.9a) and (3.9b), we have the condition (3.7). As was shown in the above, the deformation is performed by, maximally, three independent functions f(x), g(x) and h(x). However, we pay an attention to the case mentioned below. As is clear from the relations (2.2) and (2.3), the terms $g(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a) \cdot h(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a)^{-1}$ in $\Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)$ appearing in the relation (3.5) commute with all the generators of the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-algebra, respectively. Then, for the generators of the algebras, the terms $g(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a) \cdot h(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a)^{-1}$ do not give any influence and, from this reason, we restrict ourselves to the case

$$g(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a) \cdot h(\hat{N}_b \pm \hat{N}_a)^{-1} = 1$$
, (3.10)

i.e.,
$$\Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = f(\hat{N}_a) \cdot g(\hat{N}_b)^{\mp 1}$$
. (3.11)

This means that the deformation of $|c_{\pm}^0\rangle$ is characterized by two functions f(x) and g(x).

Next, we define the operators $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\delta}$ in the form

$$\hat{\gamma} = \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) \hat{\gamma}^0 \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)^{-1} , \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\hat{\delta} = \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)\hat{\delta}^0 \Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)^{-1} .$$
(3.13)

With the use of the state (3.5), the relations (2.5a) and (2.5b) give us

$$\hat{\gamma}|c_{+}\rangle = \gamma (1 - \epsilon (\hat{N}_{b} + \epsilon)^{-1})|c_{+}\rangle , \qquad \hat{\delta}|c_{+}\rangle = \delta|c_{+}\rangle , \qquad (3.14a)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}|c_{-}\rangle = \gamma|c_{-}\rangle , \qquad \hat{\delta}|c_{-}\rangle = \delta|c_{-}\rangle .$$
(3.14b)

The commutation relations for $\hat{\gamma}$, $\hat{\gamma}^*$, $\hat{\delta}$ and $\hat{\delta}^*$ for the su(2)-spin system are given as

In the case of the su(1, 1)-spin system, we have

$$\begin{split} &[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] = \left(\Delta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(+)} + \Delta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(+)} + \Delta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(+)} \Delta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(+)} \right) (\hat{\gamma}^* \hat{\gamma}) , \\ &[\hat{\delta}, \hat{\delta}^*] = \Delta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(+)} (\hat{\delta}^* \hat{\delta}) , \\ &[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}] = 0 , \\ &[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}^*] = \hat{\gamma} \hat{\delta}^* \cdot \left[(1 - \Delta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(-)}) (\hat{\gamma} \hat{\gamma}^*) \right]^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\hat{N}_b}^{(-)} \left[(1 - \Delta_{\hat{N}_a}^{(-)}) (\hat{\gamma} \hat{\gamma}^*) \right] . \end{split}$$
(3.15b)

The relation (3.15a) contains the infinitesimal parameter ϵ . After operating this relation on any state, we should make the limitation $\epsilon \to 0$. It may be interesting to see that the commutation relations (3.15a) and (3.15b) are of the forms quite similar to those of the relations (2.16a) and (2.16b).

Now, let us investigate the classical counterpart of the present case. In the same meaning as that in the case of $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$, the parameters γ and δ are the eigenvalue of the operators $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\delta}$, respectively. This fact suggests us that we can treat the deformed wave packet $|c_{\pm}\rangle$ in the same way as that for the state $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$ presented in §2. The state $|c_{\pm}\rangle$ satisfies the same relation as that shown in Eq.(2.10) :

$$\langle c_{\pm}|i\partial_t|c_{\pm}\rangle = (i/2)(\gamma^*\dot{\gamma} - \dot{\gamma}^*\gamma)(\partial\Gamma/\partial|\gamma|^2) \cdot \Gamma^{-1} + (i/2)(\delta^*\dot{\delta} - \dot{\delta}^*\delta)(\partial\Gamma/\partial|\delta|^2) \cdot \Gamma^{-1} .$$
(3.16)

The relation (3.16) can be rewritten as

$$\langle c_{\pm} | i \partial_t | c_{\pm} \rangle = (i/2) (x^* \dot{x} - \dot{x}^* x) + (i/2) (y^* \dot{y} - \dot{y}^* y) .$$
(3.17)

Here, x and y are defined as

$$x = \gamma \sqrt{(\partial \Gamma/\partial |\gamma|^2) \cdot \Gamma^{-1}}$$
, $y = \delta \sqrt{(\partial \Gamma/\partial |\delta|^2) \cdot \Gamma^{-1}}$. (3.18)

New parameters (x, x^*) and (y, y^*) can be regarded as canonical variables and, in principle, γ and δ can be expressed in terms of those canonical variables by solving inversely Eq.(3.18).

However, in general, the explicit solving is impossible. In the case of $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$, it is possible. The expectation values of \hat{N}_{a} and \hat{N}_{b} for $|c_{\pm}\rangle$ are given in the form

$$N_{a} = \langle c_{\pm} | \hat{N}_{a} | c_{\pm} \rangle = |\gamma|^{2} \partial \Gamma / \partial |\gamma|^{2} \cdot \Gamma^{-1} = |x|^{2} ,$$

$$N_{b} = \langle c_{\pm} | \hat{N}_{b} | c_{\pm} \rangle = |\delta|^{2} \partial \Gamma / \partial |\delta|^{2} \cdot \Gamma^{-1} \mp |\gamma|^{2} \partial \Gamma / \partial |\gamma|^{2} \cdot \Gamma^{-1}$$

$$= |y|^{2} \mp |x|^{2} .$$
(3.19)

Here, we used the relation (3.18). The above are the same as those given in the relation (2.19). Therefore, we can prove that (γ, γ^*) and (δ, δ^*) in the present case obey the same relations as those for (γ, γ^*) and (δ, δ^*) in the case treated in §2. For example, the Poisson brackets for (γ, γ^*) and (δ, δ^*) in the present case are given in the same forms as those shown in the relation (2.18a) and (2.18b). Thus, under the replacement (2.21), the commutation relations (3.15a) and (3.15b) are reduced to the relations (2.18a) and (2.18b). Of course, the terms related with the quantal fluctuation are neglected. This is in the same situation as that in §2. Then, we have the correspondence

$$\hat{\gamma} \sim \gamma , \qquad \hat{\delta} \sim \delta .$$
 (3.20)

In this way, we obtained the classical counterpart of the deformed boson scheme in parallel with the case of the conventional boson coherent state $|c_{\pm}^{0}\rangle$.

§4. The $su(2)_q$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra in the present deformed boson scheme

It may be an interesting problem to investigate the $su(2)_{q}$ and $su(1,1)_{q}$ -algebra in the present deformed boson scheme. Conventionally, the $su(2)_{q}$ -algebra is formulated in terms of $(\hat{S}_{\pm}, \hat{S}_{0}, [2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q})$, which obey the following commutation relation :

$$[\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_{\pm}] = \pm \hat{S}_{\pm} , \qquad [\hat{S}_+, \hat{S}_-] = +[2\hat{S}_0]_q .$$
 (4.1a)

In analogy with the above case, the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra is formulated by setting up the following relation for the set $(\hat{T}_{\pm}, \hat{T}_0, [2\hat{T}_0]_q)$:

$$[\hat{T}_0, \hat{T}_{\pm}] = \pm \hat{T}_{\pm} , \qquad [\hat{T}_+, \hat{T}_-] = -[2\hat{T}_0]_q .$$
 (4.1b)

For the operators $[2\hat{S}_0]_q$ and $[2\hat{T}_0]_q$, conventionally,

$$[x]_q = (q^x - q^{-x})/(q - q^{-1}) . \qquad (q : \text{real})$$
(4.2)

We investigate the above algebras in terms of the space composed of two kinds of the boson operators.

For the above-mentioned aim, let us define the operators

$$\hat{\alpha} = \Omega_{\pm} (\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) \hat{a} \Omega_{\pm} (\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)^{-1} ,
\hat{\beta} = \Omega_{\pm} (\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)^{\mp 1} \hat{b} \Omega_{\pm} (\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)^{\pm 1} .$$
(4.3)

Here, $\Omega_{\pm}(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)$ is defined in Eq.(3.11). Then, for \hat{S}_{\pm} and \hat{T}_{\pm} , we give the forms

$$\hat{S}_{+} = \hat{\alpha}^* \hat{\beta} , \qquad \hat{S}_{-} = \hat{\beta}^* \hat{\alpha} , \qquad (4.4a)$$

$$\hat{T}_{+} = \hat{\alpha}^* \hat{\beta}^* , \qquad \hat{T}_{-} = \hat{\beta} \hat{\alpha} . \qquad (4.4b)$$

With the use of the operators (4.3), \hat{S}_{\pm} and \hat{T}_{\pm} can be expressed as follows :

$$\hat{S}_{+} = f(\hat{N}_{a})^{-1}g(\hat{N}_{b})\hat{S}_{+}^{0}f(\hat{N}_{a})g(\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}, \qquad \hat{S}_{+}^{0} = \hat{a}^{*}\hat{b},
\hat{S}_{-} = f(\hat{N}_{a})g(\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}\hat{S}_{-}^{0}f(\hat{N}_{a})^{-1}g(\hat{N}_{b}), \qquad \hat{S}_{-}^{0} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}, \qquad (4\cdot5a)
\hat{T}_{+} = f(\hat{N}_{a})^{-1}g(\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}\hat{T}_{+}^{0}f(\hat{N}_{a})g(\hat{N}_{b}), \qquad \hat{T}_{+}^{0} = \hat{a}^{*}\hat{b}^{*},
\hat{T}_{-} = f(\hat{N}_{a})g(\hat{N}_{b})\hat{T}_{-}^{0}f(\hat{N}_{a})^{-1}g(\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}, \qquad \hat{T}_{-}^{0} = \hat{b}\hat{a}. \qquad (4\cdot5b)$$

Following the conventional manner, further, we define $[2\hat{S}_0]_q$ and $[2\hat{T}_0]_q$ through the relations

$$[\hat{S}_{+}, \hat{S}_{-}] = +[2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q} , \qquad (4.6a)$$

$$[\hat{T}_{+}, \hat{T}_{-}] = -[2\hat{T}_{0}]_{q} .$$
(4.6b)

The definitions (4.6a) and (4.6b) give us the operators $[2\hat{S}_0]_q$ and $[2\hat{T}_0]_q$ in the form

$$[2\hat{S}_0]_q = [\hat{N}_a]_f [\hat{N}_b + 1]_g - [\hat{N}_a + 1]_f [\hat{N}_b]_g , \qquad (4.7a)$$

$$[2\hat{T}_0]_q = [\hat{N}_a + 1]_f [\hat{N}_b + 1]_g - [\hat{N}_a]_f [\hat{N}_b]_g .$$
(4.7b)

Here, $[x]_f$ and $[x]_g$ are given as

$$[x]_f = xf(x)^{-2}f(x-1)^2 , \qquad [x]_g = xg(x)^{-2}g(x-1)^2 .$$
(4.8)

The above form was given in the relation (I·2·19). The operators \hat{S}_0 and \hat{T}_0 given in the relations (2·1a) and (2·1b) give us

$$[\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_{\pm}] = \pm \hat{S}_{\pm} , \qquad (4.9a)$$

$$[\hat{T}_0, \hat{T}_{\pm}] = \pm \hat{T}_{\pm} .$$
 (4.9b)

The above argument suggests us that $(\hat{S}_{\pm}, [2\hat{S}_0]_q)$ and $(\hat{T}_{\pm}, [2\hat{T}_0]_q)$ defined in the relations (4.5a), (4.7a), (4.5b) and (4.7b) form the $su(2)_q$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra, respectively. The operators $(\hat{S}_{\pm}, [2\hat{S}_0]_q)$ may be functions of \hat{S} , which commutes with them. The case of $(\hat{T}_{\pm}, [2\hat{T}_0]_q)$ is also in the same situation as that in the above : They may be functions of \hat{T} , which commutes with them. The forms (4.5a), (4.7a), (4.5b) and (4.7b) can be rewritten as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_{+} &= \hat{E}_{a}^{*} \hat{E}_{b} \sqrt{[\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0}]_{g}} = \sqrt{[\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0}]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{g}} \hat{E}_{a}^{*} \hat{E}_{b} ,\\ \hat{S}_{-} &= \hat{E}_{b}^{*} \hat{E}_{a} \sqrt{[\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0}]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{g}} = \sqrt{[\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0}]_{g}} \hat{E}_{b}^{*} \hat{E}_{a} ,\\ [2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q} &= [\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0}]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{g} - [\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1]_{f} [\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0}]_{g} , \qquad (4.10a) \\ \hat{T}_{+} &= \hat{E}_{a}^{*} \hat{E}_{b}^{*} \sqrt{[\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T}]_{g}} = \sqrt{[\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T}]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T} - 1]_{g}} \hat{E}_{a}^{*} \hat{E}_{b}^{*} ,\\ \hat{T}_{-} &= \hat{E}_{b} \hat{E}_{a} \sqrt{[\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T}]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T} - 1]_{g}} = \sqrt{[\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T}]_{g}} \hat{E}_{b} \hat{E}_{a} ,\\ [2\hat{T}_{0}]_{q} &= [\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T}]_{g} - [\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T}]_{f} [\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T} - 1]_{g} . \qquad (4.10b) \end{aligned}$$

Here, \hat{S} , \hat{T} , \hat{S}_0 and \hat{T}_0 are given in the relations (2.2) and (2.1), respectively. The operator (\hat{E}_c, \hat{E}_c^*) for c = a, b are defined as

$$\hat{E}_c = \left(\sqrt{\hat{N}_c + 1}\right)^{-1} \hat{c} , \qquad \hat{E}_c^* = \hat{c}^* \left(\sqrt{\hat{N}_c + 1}\right)^{-1} . \qquad (\hat{N}_c = \hat{c}^* \hat{c})$$
(4.11)

The property is as follows :

$$\hat{E}_c \hat{E}_c^* = 1$$
, $\hat{N}_c \hat{E}_c^* \hat{E}_c = \hat{E}_c^* \hat{E}_c \hat{N}_c = \hat{N}_c$. (4.12)

In (I), we showed some examples for the deformed boson. In this section, three of them will be applied for the $su(2)_q$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra. (i) The most popular form :

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n(q-q^{-1})/(q^n-q^{-n})} f(n-1) ,$$

$$g(n) = \sqrt{n(q-q^{-1})/(q^n-q^{-n})} g(n-1) .$$
(4.13)

In this case, both the $su(2)_q$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -generators are expressed in the form

$$\begin{split} \hat{S}_{+}^{(i)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0} + 1}} \hat{S}_{+}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0})} - q^{-(\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0})}}{q - q^{-1}}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1)} - q^{-(\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1)}}{q - q^{-1}}}, \\ \hat{S}_{-}^{(i)} &= \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0})} - q^{-(\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0})}}{q - q^{-1}}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1)} - q^{-(\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1)}}{q - q^{-1}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{S} + \hat{S}_{0} + 1}} \hat{S}_{-}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{S} - \hat{S}_{0} + 1}}}, \\ [2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q}^{(i)} &= \frac{q^{2\hat{S}_{0}} - q^{-2\hat{S}_{0}}}{q - q^{-1}}, \end{split}$$

$$(4.14a)$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{+}^{(i)} &= \hat{T}_{+}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})} - q^{-(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})}}{q - q^{-1}}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)} - q^{-(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)}}{q - q^{-1}}}, \\ \hat{T}_{-}^{(i)} &= \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})} - q^{-(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})}}{q - q^{-1}}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)} - q^{-(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)}}{q - q^{-1}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\hat{T}_{0} + \hat{T})(\hat{T}_{0} - \hat{T} + 1)}}} \hat{T}_{-}^{0}, \\ [2\hat{T}_{0}]_{q}^{(i)} &= \frac{q^{2\hat{T}_{0}} - q^{-2\hat{T}_{0}}}{q - q^{-1}}, \end{split}$$

$$(4.14b)$$

As is clear from the form of $[2\hat{S}_0]_q$ shown in Eq.(4·14a), the functions (4·13) gives us the most popular form for the $su(2)_q$ - and the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra.

(ii)_a The form presented by Penson and Solomon⁹⁾ for the $su(2)_q$ -algebra :

$$f(n) = q^{-(n-1)/2} f(n-1)$$
, $g(n) = q^{-(n-1)/2} g(n-1)$. (4.15a)

In this case, we have

$$\hat{S}_{+}^{(\text{ii})} = q^{\hat{S}-1/2} \cdot \hat{S}_{+}^{0} , \qquad \hat{S}_{-}^{(\text{ii})} = q^{\hat{S}-1/2} \cdot \hat{S}_{-}^{0} ,$$

$$[2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q}^{(\text{ii})} = q^{2(\hat{S}-1/2)} \cdot 2\hat{S}_{0} . \qquad (4.16a)$$

(ii)_b The form presented by Penson and Solomon for the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra :

$$f(n) = q^{+(n-1)/2} f(n-1)$$
, $g(n) = q^{-(n-1)/2} g(n-1)$. (4.15p)

In this case, we have

$$\hat{T}^{(\text{ii})}_{+} = q^{\hat{T}-1/2} \cdot \hat{T}^{0}_{+} , \qquad \hat{T}^{(\text{ii})}_{-} = q^{\hat{T}-1/2} \cdot \hat{T}^{0}_{-} ,
[2\hat{T}_{0}]^{(\text{ii})}_{q} = q^{2(\hat{T}-1/2)} \cdot 2\hat{T}_{0} .$$
(4·16p)

(iii)_a Modified form for the $su(2)_q$ -algebra :

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n(1-q^2)/(1-q^{2n})} f(n-1) ,$$

$$g(n) = \sqrt{n(1-q^2)/(1-q^{2n})} g(n-1) .$$
(4.17a)

In this case, we have the form

$$\hat{S}_{+}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{\hat{S}-1/2} \cdot \hat{S}_{+}^{(\text{i})} , \qquad \hat{S}_{-}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{\hat{S}-1/2} \cdot \hat{S}_{-}^{(\text{i})} ,$$

$$[2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{2(\hat{S}-1/2)} \cdot [2\hat{S}_{0}]_{q}^{(\text{i})} . \qquad (4.18a)$$

(iii)_b Modified form for the $su(1,1)_q$ -algebra :

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n(1 - q^{-2})/(1 - q^{-2n})} f(n - 1) ,$$

$$g(n) = \sqrt{n(1 - q^2)/(1 - q^{2n})} g(n - 1) .$$
(4.17r)

In this case, we have the form

$$\hat{T}_{+}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{\hat{T}-1/2} \cdot \hat{T}_{+}^{(\text{i})} , \qquad \hat{T}_{-}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{\hat{T}-1/2} \cdot \hat{T}_{-}^{(\text{i})} ,$$

$$[2\hat{T}_{0}]_{q}^{(\text{iii})} = q^{2(\hat{T}-1/2)} \cdot [2\hat{T}_{0}]_{q}^{(\text{i})} . \qquad (4.18r)$$

The above form is proposed by the present authors in (I) and it may be interesting to see that the form is in the intermediate situation between the forms (i) and (ii).

§5. The pseudo su(2)- and the pseudo su(1,1)-algebra

With the aim of describing a boson system interacting with external field, the present authors proposed three forms of coherent states in the su(2)-spin system. In this section, we reinvestigate these forms from the viewpoint of the deformed boson scheme presented in §4. For this purpose, let us consider the following case :

$$f(n) = \left(\sqrt{1+q(n-1)}\right)^{-1} f(n-1) , \quad g(n) = \sqrt{1+r(n-1)}g(n-1) .$$
 (5.1)

Here, q and r denote real parameters. It should be noted that, as was shown in §4, there exist two functions f(n) and g(n) which can be chosen independently. Then, \hat{S}_{\pm} and \hat{T}_{\pm} given in the relations (4.5a) and (4.5b), respectively, are written down in the form

$$\hat{S}_{+} = \hat{a}^{*} \sqrt{1 + q \hat{N}_{a}} \left(\sqrt{1 + r \hat{N}_{b}} \right)^{-1} \hat{b} ,$$

$$\hat{S}_{-} = \hat{b}^{*} \left(\sqrt{1 + r \hat{N}_{b}} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{1 + q \hat{N}_{a}} \hat{a} ,$$

$$\hat{T}_{+} = \hat{a}^{*} \sqrt{1 + q \hat{N}_{a}} \hat{b}^{*} \left(\sqrt{1 + r \hat{N}_{b}} \right)^{-1} ,$$

$$\hat{T}_{-} = \left(\sqrt{1 + r \hat{N}_{b}} \right)^{-1} \hat{b} \sqrt{1 + q \hat{N}_{a}} \hat{a} .$$
(5·2b)

Through the definitions (4.6a) and (4.6b), $[2\hat{S}_0]_q$ and $[2\hat{T}_0]_q$ can be expressed as follows :

$$[2\hat{S}_0]_q = +2(\hat{S}_0)_q + 2(\hat{S}_0)_p \cdot \epsilon(\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} , \qquad (5.3a)$$

$$[2\hat{T}_0]_q = -2(\hat{T}_0)_q - 2(\hat{T}_0)_p \cdot \epsilon(\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} , \qquad (5.3b)$$

$$(\hat{S}_0)_q = (1-r)/2 \cdot \left[\hat{N}_a - \hat{N}_b - q\hat{N}_a(1-\hat{N}_a+2\hat{N}_b) \right] - r/2 \cdot \left[1 + 2q\hat{N}_a \right] - r(1-r)/2 \cdot \left[(1+2q\hat{N}_a)\hat{N}_b^2(1+r\hat{N}_b)^{-1} - (1+\hat{N}_a)(1+q\hat{N}_a)(1+(r-2)\hat{N}_b-r\hat{N}_b^2)(1+r\hat{N}_b)^{-1}(1-r+r\hat{N}_b)^{-1} \right],$$

$$(\hat{T}_{0})_{q} = (1-r)/2 \cdot \left[(\hat{N}_{a} + \hat{N}_{b} + 1) + q\hat{N}_{a}(1 + \hat{N}_{a} + 2\hat{N}_{b}) \right] + r/2 \cdot \left[1 + 2q\hat{N}_{a} \right] -r(1-r)/2 \cdot \left[(1 + 2q\hat{N}_{a})\hat{N}_{b}^{2}(1 + r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1} -\hat{N}_{a}(1 - q + q\hat{N}_{a})(1 + (r - 2)\hat{N}_{b} - r\hat{N}_{b}^{2})(1 + r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}(1 - r + r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1} \right],$$
(5.4b)

$$\begin{split} (\hat{S}_{0})_{p} &= r/2 \cdot (1+\hat{N}_{a})(1+q\hat{N}_{a}) \\ &\times \left[1-(1-r)(1+(r-2)\hat{N}_{b}-r\hat{N}_{b}^{2})(1+r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}(1-r+r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}\right] , \\ (5\cdot5a) \\ (\hat{T}_{0})_{p} &= r/2 \cdot \hat{N}_{a}(1-q+q\hat{N}_{a}) \\ &\times \left[1-(1-r)(1+(r-2)\hat{N}_{b}-r\hat{N}_{b}^{2})(1+r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}(1-r+r\hat{N}_{b})^{-1}\right] . \end{split}$$

$$(5.5b)$$

Here, $\epsilon(\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1}$ was already introduced in the relation (2.5a) with its property (2.8). For the relations (5.2a) and (5.3a), three cases (q = 0, r = 0), (q = 0, r = 1) and (q > 0, r = 1)were investigated in Ref.8). The first is nothing but the Schwinger boson representation and the third enables us to describe a boson system interacting with the external field.

Under the above-mentioned background, let us treat the cases (q < 0, r = 1), (q = 0, r = 1) and (q > 0, r = 1) more systematically than that in Ref.8). First, we define the following operators :

$$\widetilde{c} = \hat{E}_b^* \hat{a} , \qquad \widetilde{c}^* = \hat{a}^* \hat{E}_b , \qquad (5.6a)$$

$$\widetilde{c} = \hat{E}_b \hat{a} , \qquad \widetilde{c}^* = \hat{a}^* \hat{E}_b^* .$$
 (5.6b)

Here, (\hat{E}_b, \hat{E}_b^*) is defined in the relation (4.11). The property of the operator (5.6a) is given by

$$[\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*] = 1 - (1 + \hat{N}_a) \cdot \epsilon (\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} ,$$

$$\tilde{c}^* \tilde{c} = \tilde{N} = \hat{N}_a .$$
(5.7a)

For the operator (5.6a), we have

$$[\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*] = 1 + \hat{N}_a \cdot \epsilon (\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} ,$$

$$\tilde{c}^* \tilde{c} = \tilde{N} = \hat{N}_a - \hat{N}_a \cdot \epsilon (\hat{N}_b + \epsilon)^{-1} .$$
(5.7b)

Then, if we restrict ourselves to the subspace which does not include the vacuum $|0\rangle$ and any state consisting only of the \hat{a} -boson, the operators (\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*) defined in the relations (5.6a) and (5.6b) can be regarded as boson operators and \widetilde{N} denotes the boson number operator :

$$[\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*] = 1 , \qquad \tilde{c}^* \tilde{c} = \tilde{N} (= \hat{N}_a) .$$
(5.8)

Hereafter, we treat the above subspace.

With the use of the operators (\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*) and \tilde{N} , we express \hat{S}_{\pm} in the form (5·2a) and $(\hat{S}_0)_q$ in the form (5·4a). Also, in the case of \hat{T}_{\pm} in the form (5·2b) and $(\hat{T}_0)_q$ in the form (5·4b), we have the following expressions : (i)_a q < 0:

$$|q|^{-1/2} \hat{S}_{+} = \tilde{c}^{*} \sqrt{|q|^{-1} - \widetilde{N}} , \qquad |q|^{-1/2} \hat{S}_{-} = \sqrt{|q|^{-1} - \widetilde{N}} \tilde{c} ,$$

$$|q|^{-1} (\hat{S}_{0})_{q} = \widetilde{N} - |q|^{-1}/2 , \qquad (5.9a)$$

 $(i)_{b} q < 0$:

$$|q|^{-1/2} \hat{T}_{+} = \tilde{c}^* \sqrt{|q|^{-1} - \widetilde{N}} , \qquad |q|^{-1/2} \hat{T}_{-} = \sqrt{|q|^{-1} - \widetilde{N}} \tilde{c} , -|q|^{-1} (\hat{T}_0)_q = \widetilde{N} - |q|^{-1}/2 , \qquad (5.9b)$$

$$(ii)_{a} q = 0$$

$$\hat{S}_{+} = \tilde{c}^{*} , \qquad \hat{S}_{-} = \tilde{c} , \qquad -2(\hat{S}_{0})_{q} = 1 , \qquad (5.10a)$$

 $(ii)_{b} q = 0:$

$$\hat{T}_{+} = \tilde{c}^{*} , \qquad \hat{T}_{-} = \tilde{c} , \qquad 2(\hat{T}_{0})_{q} = 1 , \qquad (5.10b)$$

 $(iii)_{a} q > 0$:

$$q^{-1/2}\hat{S}_{+} = \tilde{c}^{*}\sqrt{q^{-1} + \widetilde{N}} , \qquad q^{-1/2}\hat{S}_{-} = \sqrt{q^{-1} + \widetilde{N}} \ \tilde{c} ,$$

$$-q^{-1}(\hat{S}_{0})_{q} = \widetilde{N} + q^{-1}/2 , \qquad (5.11a)$$

 $(iii)_{b} q > 0$:

$$q^{-1/2}\hat{T}_{+} = \tilde{c}^{*}\sqrt{q^{-1} + \widetilde{N}} , \qquad q^{-1/2}\hat{T}_{-} = \sqrt{q^{-1} + \widetilde{N}} \tilde{c} ,$$

$$q^{-1}(\hat{T}_{0})_{q} = \widetilde{N} + q^{-1}/2 . \qquad (5.11b)$$

We can see that $(|q|^{-1/2}\hat{S}_{\pm}, |q|^{-1}(\hat{S}_0)_q)$ and $(|q|^{-1/2}\hat{T}_{\pm}, -|q|^{-1}(\hat{T}_0)_q)$ form, respectively, the su(2)-algebras in the Holstein-Primakoff representation if (\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*) can be regarded as the boson operator strictly. However, as was already mentioned, (\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*) can be regarded as the boson operator in a certain subspace. In this sense, it may be permitted to call the above algebra

the pseudo su(2)-algebra. Further, the sets $(q^{-1/2}\hat{S}_{\pm}, -q^{-1}(\hat{S}_0)_q)$ and $(q^{-1/2}\hat{T}_{\pm}, q^{-1}(\hat{T}_0)_q)$ form, respectively, the su(1, 1)-algebras in the Holstein-Primakoff representation if (\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*) can be regarded as the boson operator strictly. In this sense, in the same meaning as that of the su(2)-algebra, it may be permitted to call the above algebra the pseudo su(1, 1)-algebra. The sets (\hat{S}_{\pm}) and (\hat{T}_{\pm}) in q = 0, respectively, behave as the boson operator formally. The quantities $|q|^{-1}/2$ and $q^{-1}/2$ denote the magnitudes of the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-spin.

We showed deformations of the su(2)- and the su(1,1)-algebra in the Schwinger boson representation for three forms. Then, let us investigate the states constructed by these deformations. First, we consider the cases given in the relations (5.9a), (5.10a) and (5.11a). The condition $\hat{S}_{-}|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$ gives us

$$|\tilde{0}\rangle = (\hat{E}_b^*)^A |0\rangle . \qquad (\Lambda = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$$
(5.12)

Successive operation of \tilde{c}^* on the state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ gives us the states in which the number of the \hat{b} -boson decreases and the number of the \hat{a} -boson increases. Then, in order to make the form $(5 \cdot 9a)$ be the su(2)-spin in the Holstein-Primakoff representation in the subspace which does not include the vacuum $|0\rangle$ and any state consisting only of the \hat{a} -boson, the following relation should be set up :

$$|q|^{-1} = 2\sigma$$
, $\sigma = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots, (\Lambda - 1)/2$,
 $\sigma_0 = -\sigma, -\sigma + 1, \cdots, \sigma - 1, \sigma$. (5.13)

In the case of the relation (5.10a), the number of the operation of \tilde{c}^* on the state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ is restricted to

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, (A - 1)$$
. (5.14)

In order to make the form (5.11a) be the su(1, 1)-algebra in the Holstein-Primakoff representation in the subspace, the following relation is necessary :

$$q^{-1} = 2\tau$$
, $\tau = \text{positive but arbitrary}$,
 $\tau_0 = \tau, \tau + 1, \cdots, \tau + (\Lambda - 1)/2$. (5.15)

The relation (5.15) shows that τ_0 cannot run to the infinity and, then, strictly speaking, the form (5.11a) does not compose the su(1, 1)-algebra. However, if Λ is sufficiently large, we can regard the form (5.11a) as the su(1, 1)-spin approximately and this fact enables us to describe the damped and amplified oscillation of a boson system interacting with the external field. Next, we consider the cases (5.9b), (5.10b) and (5.11b). In this case, also, $\hat{T}_{-}|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$ gives us

$$|\tilde{0}\rangle = (\hat{E}_b^*)^A |0\rangle . \qquad (\Lambda = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$$
(5.16)

Successive operation of \tilde{c}^* on the state $|0\rangle$ gives us the states in which the numbers of the \hat{a} and \hat{b} -boson increase. Then, we have the following relations :

$$|q|^{-1} = 2\sigma$$
, $\sigma = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots$,
 $\sigma_0 = -\sigma, -\sigma + 1, \cdots, \sigma - 1, \sigma$. (5.17)

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$
 (5.18)

$$q^{-1} = 2\tau$$
, $\tau = \text{positive but arbitrary}$,
 $\tau_0 = \tau, \tau + 1, \tau + 2, \cdots$. (5.19)

The su(2)-algebra is compact and the su(1, 1)-algebra is non-compact. From this fact, the difference between the two cases appears.

§6. Concluding remarks

Following the basic idea presented in (I), in this paper, we investigated the deformation of the system obeying the su(2)- and the su(1, 1)-algebra. With the use of two independent functions f(x) and g(x), the deformation is performed. One of the interesting points presented in this paper may be to be shown that the $su(2)_q$ -algebra in the most popular form is nothing but one type of the deformations. The case of the $su(1, 1)_q$ -algebra is also in the same situation as the above. For example, the deformation discussed in §5 is interesting, because this type was already used by the present authors for describing the damped and amplified oscillational motion in the su(2)-spin system.⁸⁾ However, this treatment does not enable us to describe statistically mixed state in the system discussed in Ref.8). For this problem, we applied the su(2, 1)-algebra in three kinds of boson operators.¹⁰⁾ In Part (III), we will discuss this case in the deformed boson scheme given in (I).

References

- [1] A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Submitted to Prog. Theor. Phys.
- J. Schwinger, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, ed. L. C. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1965), p.229.
- [3] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 141 (2001), 113.
- [4] Y. Tsue, A. Kuriyama and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **91** (1994), 49, 469.

- [5] A. J. MacFarlane, J. Phys. A22 (1989), 4581.
 L. C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A22 (1989), L873.
 D. B. Fairlie, J. Phys. A23 (1990), L183.
- [6] J. da Providência, M. Yamamura and A. Kuriyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 101 (1999), 139.
- [7] T. Marumori, M. Yamamura and A. Tokunaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. **31** (1964), 1009.
 A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63** (1991), 375.
- [8] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 98 (1997), 381, 893.
- [9] K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, J. Math. Phys. 40 (1999), 2354.
- [10] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 100 (1998), 993, 1181.