

# Deformed Boson Scheme in Time-Dependent Variational Method. I

— *The Case of Many-Body System Constituted of One Kind  
Boson Operator* —

Atsushi KURIYAMA, Constança PROVIDÊNCIA\*,  
João da PROVIDÊNCIA\*, Yasuhiko TSUE\*\* and Masatoshi YAMAMURA

*Faculty of Engineering, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan*

*\*Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra,  
Portugal*

*\*\*Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520,  
Japan*

## Abstract

Various aspects of the deformed boson scheme are investigated with aiming of applying to the time-dependent variational method for many-boson system. The case of the multiboson states is also discussed. In analogy with the time-dependent Hartree-Fock theory in many-fermion system, the classical aspect of the deformed boson is discussed.

## §1. Introduction

The time-dependent variational method has played a central role in the studies of many-body systems. One of the typical examples can be found in the time-dependent Hartree-Fock theory in canonical form.<sup>1)</sup> This theory starts with a Slater determinant parametrized by complex parameters, we can describe the time-evolution of many-fermion system under a certain condition, which we call the canonicity condition, they can be expressed in terms of the canonical variables of classical mechanics and the time-evolution of the system is reduced to solving the Hamilton's equation of motion. In addition to the case of many-fermion system, we applied the above-mentioned idea to the time-evolution of many-boson system in terms of the coherent and the squeezed state. This application has been reviewed in Ref.2).

For the time-dependent variational method, selection of the trial function for the variation is the first task. In the case of many-boson system, the simplest may be so called boson coherent state. However, the boson coherent state is not always a unique trial function. Depending on the Hamiltonian under investigation, the selection should be done. In relation to this task, we proposed a possible generalization from the conventional boson coherent state.<sup>3)</sup> With the help of this generalization, we described a boson system interacting with an external harmonic oscillator in terms of the damped and the amplified motion.<sup>4)</sup> Another generalization by using an appropriate mapping method was carried out<sup>5)</sup> by the two of the present authors (C. P & J. da P.) with Brito in order to investigate the  $su(2)$ -Lipkin model in the point of view of classical  $q$ -deformation of  $su(2)$ - and  $Os(1)$ -algebra. Recently, an interesting idea was presented by Penson and Solomon.<sup>6)</sup> Under a certain theoretical framework, they found a possible generalization from the conventional boson coherent state. Especially, they investigated their form from the viewpoint of the deformed boson scheme. In this scheme, the function  $[x]_q$  plays a central role. They mentioned that for historical reason some specific form of  $[x]_q$  gained a particular popularity,<sup>7)</sup> while another deformed boson scheme is possible and was really introduced earlier.<sup>8)</sup> After stressing that there exists an infinite number of possible deformations, they proposed a specific form for  $[x]_q$ . By changing the value of the parameter specifying the deformation, this function gives us various types of the superposition of many-boson system, which are different from that given in the most popular form. We would like to discuss the generalization of the boson coherent state in the framework of the deformed boson scheme. With the aid of this viewpoint, the treatment of the time-dependent variational method in many-boson system is expected to become more transparent than the level shown in Ref.3), where no reference was given to the deformed boson scheme.

The main aim of this series of the papers is to investigate extensively the generalization of the boson coherent state which has been used in our variational description of many-boson system in the framework of the deformed boson scheme. In Part (I), the present paper, we treat the case of one kind of boson operator. By introducing a reasonable function for the boson number, the conventional boson coherent state is generalized. Further, we can find an operator, the eigenstate of which is just the above state. This operator is nothing but the deformed boson. For the demonstration of the powerfulness of the generalization, three concrete examples are discussed ; (1) the most popular form, (2) the form given in Ref.6) and (3) the combined form of (1) and (2). The idea for the multiboson states is presented in a way different from that in Ref.6) ; the use of the MYT boson mapping.<sup>9)</sup> The motivation comes from the private communication by Karpeshin, J. da Providência and C. Providência for the problem on anharmonic effects in large amplitude vibration of metal clusters.<sup>10)</sup> Our deformed boson scheme is closely connected with classical mechanics and the connection is discussed in the framework of the canonicity condition which appears in the time-dependent Hartree-Fock theory.<sup>1)</sup>

In the next section, the basic idea of our treatment will be mentioned. Section 3 will be devoted to discussing concrete examples for the deformations. In §4, the idea for the multiboson states will be described. In §5, classical aspect of the deformed boson scheme will be mentioned and in §6, short concluding remarks will be given.

## §2. Basic scheme

We set out by investigating a space constructed by the boson operator  $(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$  :

$$[\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*] = 1 . \quad (2.1)$$

This boson space is spanned by the basis  $\{|n\rangle\}$  given by the form

$$|n\rangle = (\sqrt{n!})^{-1}(\hat{c}^*)^n|0\rangle , \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\hat{c}|0\rangle = 0 . \quad (2.2b)$$

For the operators  $\hat{c}$  and  $\hat{c}^*$ , we have the relations

$$\hat{c}^*\hat{c} = \hat{N} , \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\hat{c}\hat{c}^* = \hat{N} + 1 , \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\hat{c}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle , \quad \hat{c}^*|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle , \quad (2.4)$$

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{c}] = -\hat{c} , \quad [\hat{N}, \hat{c}^*] = \hat{c}^* , \quad (2.5)$$

$$\hat{N}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle . \quad (2.6)$$

The relation (2.3a) is the definition of the operator  $\hat{N}$  and, with the use of the relations (2.1), (2.2a) and (2.3a), the others are derived.

In this space, we introduce the following wave packet :

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)(\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle . \quad (\langle c|c\rangle = 1) \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)^2 (n!)^{-1} (|\gamma|^2)^n . \quad (2.7b)$$

Here,  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  denotes complex parameter. The quantity  $f(n)$  is a well-behaved function of  $n$  obeying

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \quad f(n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots . \quad (2.7c)$$

If  $f(n) = 1$ , the wave packet  $|c\rangle$  is nothing but a conventional boson coherent state. We can see that the infinite series (2.7b) is convergent in the domain  $0 \leq |\gamma|^2 < \infty$ . In Ref.3), we started with the state

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{c}^* \tilde{f}(\hat{N})) |0\rangle . \quad (2.8)$$

Here,  $\tilde{f}(\hat{N})$  is a function of  $\hat{N}$ , the inverse of which is definable. The function  $f(n)$  in the relation (2.7a) is related with  $\tilde{f}(n)$  through

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(n-1) , \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \\ f(0) &= 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, the state (2.8) is essentially the same as the state (2.7a). Our main interest is in applying the wave packet  $|c\rangle$  to the time-dependent variational method as a trial state :

$$\delta \int \langle c | i\partial_t - \hat{H} | c \rangle dt = 0 . \quad (2.10)$$

Here,  $\hat{H}$  denotes a Hamiltonian expressed in terms of  $(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$  and the variation is performed through the parameter  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$ .

We note that the state (2.7a) can be rewritten in the following form :

$$|c\rangle = \sqrt{\Gamma_0/\Gamma} \cdot f(\hat{N}) |c^0\rangle , \quad (2.11a)$$

$$|c^0\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_0}\right)^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{c}^*) |0\rangle , \quad \Gamma_0 = \exp(|\gamma|^2) . \quad (2.11b)$$

In relation to the wave packet  $|c\rangle$ , we introduce the operators defined by

$$\hat{\gamma} = f(\hat{N}) \hat{c} f(\hat{N})^{-1} , \quad \hat{\gamma}^* = f(\hat{N})^{-1} \hat{c}^* f(\hat{N}) . \quad (2.12)$$

For the sake of the property (2·7c),  $f(\hat{N})^{-1}$  can be defined. The form (2·11) and the definition (2·12) give us the relation

$$\hat{\gamma}|c\rangle = \gamma|c\rangle . \quad (2\cdot13)$$

Here, we used  $\hat{c}|c^0\rangle = \gamma|c^0\rangle$ . The relation (2·13) shows that the state  $|c\rangle$  is, in some sense, a possible generalization from the conventional boson coherent state. With the use of  $\hat{\gamma}^*$ , the state  $|n\rangle$  can be expressed in the form

$$|n\rangle = (\sqrt{f(n)^{-2}n!})^{-1}(\hat{\gamma}^*)^n|0\rangle , \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2\cdot14a)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}|0\rangle = 0 . \quad (2\cdot14b)$$

Of course,  $|n\rangle$  is identical to  $|n\rangle$ . Further, we have the relations

$$\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma} = \hat{N}f(\hat{N})^{-2}f(\hat{N} - 1)^2 , \quad (2\cdot15a)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}^* = (\hat{N} + 1)f(\hat{N} + 1)^{-2}f(\hat{N})^2 , \quad (2\cdot15b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}|n\rangle &= \sqrt{nf(n)^{-2}f(n-1)^2}|n-1\rangle , \\ \hat{\gamma}^*|n\rangle &= \sqrt{(n+1)f(n+1)^{-2}f(n)^2}|n+1\rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (2\cdot16)$$

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{\gamma}] = -\hat{\gamma} , \quad [\hat{N}, \hat{\gamma}^*] = \hat{\gamma}^* , \quad (2\cdot17)$$

$$\hat{N}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle . \quad (2\cdot18)$$

The above relations can be interpreted in terms of the deformed boson scheme. We define the function  $[x]_q$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} [x]_q &= xf(x)^{-2}f(x-1)^2 , \quad (x = n, \hat{N} , \quad n \geq 1) \\ [0]_q &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2\cdot19)$$

Then,  $[n]_q!$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [n]_q! &= nf(n)^{-2}f(n-1)^2 \cdot (n-1)f(n-1)^{-2}f(n-2)^2 \cdots 1 \cdot f(1)^{-2}f(0)^2 \\ &= f(n)^{-2}n! , \quad (n \geq 1) \\ [0]_q! &= 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (2\cdot20)$$

For  $n = 0, 1$ , the relation (2·20) gives us  $[0]_q! = [1]_q! = 1$ . Thus, the relation (2·14)~(2·18) are summarized in the framework of the deformed boson scheme. Of course,  $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$  denotes the deformed boson. The relations (2·15) and (2·19) give us the following commutation relation :

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] &= [\hat{N} + 1]_q - [\hat{N}]_q \\ &= \Delta_{\hat{N}}(\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma}) . \end{aligned} \quad (2\cdot21)$$

Here,  $\Delta_{\hat{N}}(\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma})$  denotes the difference with  $\Delta\hat{N} = 1$ . Concerning the relation between  $\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}^*$  and  $\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma}$ , there exist infinite possibilities such as shown in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}^* - [f(\hat{N})^4 f(\hat{N} + 1)^{-2} f(\hat{N} - 1)^{-2} + F(\hat{N})] \hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma} \\ & = f(\hat{N} + 1)^{-2} f(\hat{N})^2 - F(\hat{N}) \hat{N} f(\hat{N})^{-2} f(\hat{N} - 1)^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

The form depends on the choice of the function  $F(\hat{N})$ .

### §3. Concrete examples

In this section, we will discuss some concrete examples for the choice of the function  $f(x)$  ( $x = n, \hat{N}$ ).

#### 3.1. The most popular form

This case starts in the well known form

$$[x]_q = (q^x - q^{-x}) / (q - q^{-1}) , \quad (x = n, \hat{N}) \quad (3.1)$$

where we assume that  $q$  is a real number in the domain  $0 < q \leq 1$ .<sup>7)</sup> The function  $f(x)$  can be determined through the relation (2.19) :

$$x f(x)^{-2} f(x - 1)^2 = (q^x - q^{-x}) / (q - q^{-1}) . \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $x = n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), the relation (3.2) gives us the following recursion formula :

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n(q - q^{-1}) / (q^n - q^{-n})} f(n - 1) . \quad (3.3)$$

By solving the above relation successively, we have

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 , & (n = 0, 1) \\ \sqrt{n! \prod_{k=2}^n (q - q^{-1}) / (q^k - q^{-k})} = \sqrt{n! / \prod_{k=2}^n \left( \sum_{m=-(k-1)/2}^{(k-1)/2} (q^2)^m \right)} . & (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Of course,  $f(\hat{N})$  is obtained by replacing  $n$  with  $\hat{N}$ . As  $f(n)$ , if we choose the form (3.4), our scheme is reduced to the most popular form. Since  $f(n)$  is symmetric with respect to  $q^1$  and  $q^{-1}$ , it is enough to investigate the case in the domain  $0 < q \leq 1$ . For  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , two special cases of the form (3.4) are as follows :

$$f(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \rightarrow 0 , \quad (3.5a)$$

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 1 . \quad (3.5b)$$

In these cases, the state  $|c\rangle$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle &\rightarrow (\sqrt{F})^{-1}(|0\rangle + \gamma|1\rangle) \\ &= (1 + |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} \cdot (1 + \gamma\hat{c}^*)|0\rangle \quad \text{for } q \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle &\rightarrow (\sqrt{F})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle \\ &= \exp(-|\gamma|^2/2) \cdot \exp(\gamma\hat{c}^*)|0\rangle (= |c^0\rangle) \quad \text{for } q = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6b)$$

The state (3.6a) is the simplest mixture and the state (3.6b) is nothing but the conventional boson coherent state. Therefore, the state  $|c\rangle$  in the general case is an intermediate mixture between the above two cases. We have the relation familiar to the deformed boson scheme

$$\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}^* - q^{-1}\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma} = q^{\hat{N}}, \quad (3.7)$$

if  $F(\hat{N})$  in the relation (2.22) is chosen as

$$F(\hat{N}) = q^{-1} - f(\hat{N})^4 f(\hat{N} + 1)^{-2} f(\hat{N} - 1)^{-2}. \quad (3.8)$$

### 3.2. The form presented by Penson and Solomon

Our scheme is reduced to the form proposed by Penson and Solomon,<sup>6)</sup> if  $f(n)$  is chosen as

$$f(n) = q^{n(n-1)/4}, \quad \text{i.e., } f(n) = q^{(n-1)/2} f(n-1). \quad (3.9)$$

As was stressed by them, if  $0 < q \leq 1$ , the infinite series (2.7b) is convergent for any value of  $|\gamma|^2$ . For  $f(n)$  given in Eq.(3.9), we have  $f(0) = f(1) = 1$  and for  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , the same result as shown in Eq.(3.5). Therefore, we obtain the same forms as those shown in Eq.(3.6) and the state  $|c\rangle$  in the general case is also in the intermediate mixture between the above two cases. However, the mechanism of the mixture may be different from the most popular form. In this case,  $[n]_q$  and  $[n]_q!$  are given by

$$[n]_q = nq^{-(n-1)}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$[n]_q! = q^{-n(n-1)/2} n!. \quad (3.11)$$

For  $n = 0, 1$ , the relation (3.11) gives us  $[0]_q! = [1]_q! = 1$ . If  $F(\hat{N}) = 0$ , the relation (2.22) is reduced to

$$\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}^* - q^{-1}\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma} = q^{-\hat{N}}. \quad (3.12)$$

The above is different from the previous form (3.7).

The above case can be easily extended by putting  $f(n)$  in the form

$$f(n) = q^{C(n,r)/2}, \quad C(n,r) = n!/(n-r)!r!, \quad \text{for } n \geq r, \quad (3.13)$$

$$f(n) = 1, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \quad (3.14)$$

For  $n = r, r + 1, \dots$ ,  $f(n)$  is reduced to

$$f(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.15a)$$

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 1. \quad (3.15b)$$

Therefore, for the above two cases,  $|c\rangle$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle &\rightarrow (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (n!)^{-1} (|\gamma|^2)^n \right)^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (n!)^{-1} (\gamma \hat{c}^*)^n |0\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad q \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle &= (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle \\ &= \exp(-|\gamma|^2/2) \cdot \exp(\gamma \hat{c}^*) |0\rangle (= |c^0\rangle) \quad \text{for} \quad q = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16b)$$

We can see that the form (3.16) is extended from that given in Eq.(3.6).

### 3.3. Modified forms

First, we treat the following two forms :

$$f(n) = \left[ 1 - \exp(-C(n, r)^{-1} q(1 - q)^{-1}) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$f(n) = \sqrt{2} \left[ 1 + \exp(C(n, r) q^{-1} (1 - q)) \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (3.18)$$

Here,  $C(n, r)$  is defined in Eq.(3.13). The parameter  $q$  runs in the domain  $0 < q \leq 1$ . For the above two forms, we can show the following relation :

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1. \quad (3.19)$$

Further, for  $n = r, r + 1, \dots$ ,  $f(n)$  is reduced to

$$f(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.20a)$$

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 1. \quad (3.20b)$$

The relations (3.19) and (3.20) are exactly the same as those shown in Eqs.(3.14) and (3.15). Therefore, for the cases (3.17) and (3.18), we have the form (3.16). There exists an infinite number of possible deformations. The forms (3.17) and (3.18) may be two of the possibilities. If  $r = 2$ , the forms correspond to those shown in the relations (3.4) and (3.9).

Next modification is found in the product of various  $f(n)$  appearing already at some places. An example is the product of two  $f(n)$  shown in the relations (3.4) and (3.9). In



this case, the state  $|c\rangle$  is in the intermediate mixture between the cases (3·6a) and (3·6b). The relations (3·3) and (3·9) give us the following relation for the produced new  $f(n)$  :

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n(1 - q^{-2})/(1 - q^{-2n})} f(n - 1) . \quad (3\cdot21)$$

By substituting the relation (3·21) into  $[n]_q$  shown in Eq.(2·19),  $[n]_q$  is obtained in the form

$$[n]_q = (1 - q^{-2n})/(1 - q^{-2}) . \quad (3\cdot22)$$

The deformation deduced in the last equation has been studied before in Ref.8) for  $Q = q^2$ . Then, the relations (2·15) in this case become

$$\hat{\gamma}^* \hat{\gamma} = [\hat{N}]_q = (1 - q^{-2\hat{N}})/(1 - q^{-2}) , \quad (3\cdot23a)$$

$$\hat{\gamma} \hat{\gamma}^* = [\hat{N} + 1]_q = (1 - q^{-2(\hat{N}+1)})/(1 - q^{-2}) . \quad (3\cdot23b)$$

The above relations give us

$$\hat{\gamma} \hat{\gamma}^* - q^{-2} \hat{\gamma}^* \hat{\gamma} = 1 . \quad (3\cdot24)$$

The above should be compared with the relations (3·7) and (3·12). The form is quite simple.

Third is related with the product of  $f(n)$  appearing already and new function  $g(n)$ , i.e.,  $f_M(n) = f(n)g(n)$ . Of course,  $g(n)$  obeys the same condition as that shown in the relation (2·7c). As  $f(n)$ , we can adopt, for example,  $f(n)$  shown in the relation (3·21). Then, the state  $|c\rangle$  is expressed as

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_M(n) (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle . \quad (3\cdot25)$$

The state  $|c\rangle$  at the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$  and the case  $q = 1$  can be given as

$$|c\rangle = (1 + |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \gamma \hat{c}^*) |0\rangle \quad \text{for } q \rightarrow 0 , \quad (3\cdot26a)$$

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle ,$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)^2 (n!)^{-1} (|\gamma|^2)^n \quad \text{for } q = 1 . \quad (3\cdot26b)$$

It is important to see that for  $q = 1$ , the state  $|c\rangle$  is not the conventional boson coherent state.

## §4. Multiboson states

One of the motivations of the present work comes from the investigation of a problem how to describe time-evolution of multiboson coherent state in terms of the time-dependent

variational method.<sup>10)</sup> First, we prepare another space constructed by the boson operator  $(\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}^*)$ , which is independent of  $(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$ . In this boson space, we introduce the following state :

$$|c\rangle\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} f_m(\tilde{N}) \exp(\sqrt{m}\tilde{c}^*)|0\rangle\rangle , \quad (4.1a)$$

$$f_m(\tilde{N}) = (1/m)(1 - e^{2\pi i\tilde{N}})/(1 - e^{2\pi i\tilde{N}/m}) , \quad (\tilde{N} = \tilde{c}^*\tilde{c}) \quad (4.1b)$$

$$m = 2, 3, 4, \dots . \quad (4.2)$$

It is not necessary to interpret the meaning of the notations. The state  $|c\rangle\rangle$  can be rewritten as

$$|c\rangle\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{(mn)!}\right)^{-1} \gamma^n |mn\rangle\rangle , \quad (4.3)$$

$$|mn\rangle\rangle = \left(\sqrt{(mn)!}\right)^{-1} (\tilde{c}^*)^{mn} |0\rangle\rangle , \quad (4.4)$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((mn)!)^{-1} (|\gamma|^2)^n . \quad (4.5)$$

The operator  $(\tilde{c}^*)^m$  is the building block of the state  $|c\rangle\rangle$  and we call the state  $|c\rangle\rangle$  the multiboson coherent state. It may be self-evident that the infinite series (4.5) is convergent in the domain  $0 \leq |\gamma|^2 < \infty$ .

Structure of the state (4.3) is different from that of the state (2.7a) except  $m = 1$  and, then, we cannot apply the basic scheme presented in §2 to the state (4.3) directly. In order to make it possible, we adopt the basic idea of the MYT boson mapping method<sup>9)</sup> ; the state  $|n\rangle$  given in the relation (2.2a) is the image of the state  $|mn\rangle\rangle$  given in the relation (4.4), i.e., we set up the correspondence

$$|mn\rangle\rangle \sim |n\rangle . \quad (4.6)$$

The above correspondence permits us to introduce the mapping operator  $\mathbf{U}$  in the form

$$\mathbf{U} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle mn| . \quad (4.7)$$

Then, the image of  $|c\rangle\rangle$ , which we denote  $|c\rangle$ , can be given in the form

$$|c\rangle = \mathbf{U}|c\rangle\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) \left(\sqrt{n!}\right)^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle , \quad (4.8)$$

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n!} \left(\sqrt{(mn)!}\right)^{-1} . \quad (4.9)$$

As is clear from the relations (4-8) and (4-9), we can apply the basic scheme to the state (4-8). It may be interesting to see that the state (4-8) can be rewritten as

$$|c\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \exp\left(\left(\sqrt{m^m}\right)^{-1} \gamma \hat{c}^* \left(\sqrt{F_m(\hat{N})}\right)^{-1}\right) |0\rangle, \quad (4.10)$$

$$F_m(\hat{N}) = \prod_{p=1}^{m-1} (\hat{N} + p/m). \quad (4.11)$$

The images of  $\tilde{c}^* \tilde{c}$  and  $(\tilde{c}^*)^m$ , which we denote as  $(\tilde{c}^* \tilde{c})_c$  and  $(\tilde{c}^*)_c^m$ , respectively, are expressed in the form

$$(\tilde{c}^* \tilde{c})_c = \mathbf{U} \tilde{c}^* \tilde{c} \mathbf{U}^\dagger = m \hat{c}^* \hat{c} = m \hat{N}, \quad (4.12a)$$

$$(\tilde{c}^*)_c^m = \mathbf{U} (\tilde{c}^*)^m \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \sqrt{m^m} \hat{c}^* \sqrt{F_m(\hat{N})}. \quad (4.12b)$$

With the use of  $f(n)$  shown in Eq.(4-9), we have

$$f(\hat{N} + 1)^{-1} f(\hat{N}) = \sqrt{m^m} \sqrt{F_m(\hat{N})}. \quad (4.13)$$

Then,  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}^*$  are given by

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sqrt{m^m} \sqrt{F_m(\hat{N})} \hat{c}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^* = \sqrt{m^m} \hat{c}^* \sqrt{F_m(\hat{N})}. \quad (4.14)$$

Penson and Solomon have also investigated the multiboson coherent state in their framework. Let us discuss their form in the present scheme. Their state, which we denote  $|c\rangle\rangle$ , is expressed as

$$|c\rangle\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{(mn)!} (n!)^{-1} q^{n(n-1)/4} \gamma^n |mn\rangle\rangle, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (mn)! (n!)^{-2} q^{n(n-1)/2} (|\gamma|^2)^n. \quad (4.16)$$

If  $q < 1$ , the infinite series (4-16) is convergent. But, if  $q = 1$ , the series (4-16) is convergent only for the case  $m = 2$ . However, judging from the spirit of the present treatment, the state (4-8) should be compared with the state (4-15) at  $q = 1$ . At this case, both are different from each other. Our state is normalizable, but theirs is not normalizable. However, we can introduce the effect of  $q$  in terms of the product of  $f(n)$  given in the relation (4-9) and  $f(n)$  discussed in §3, for example, such form as

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n!} \left(\sqrt{(mn)!}\right)^{-1} q^{n(n-1)/4}. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, for  $0 < q \leq 1$ , we have the state  $|c\rangle$ , which is normalizable and through the inverse process, we have  $|c\rangle\rangle$ , i.e.,

$$|c\rangle\rangle = \mathbf{U}^\dagger |c\rangle. \quad (4.18)$$

## §5. Classical aspect of the deformed boson scheme

We return to the time-dependent variational equation (2.10). In order to perform the variation, it is necessary to calculate the two quantities  $\langle c|i\partial_t|c\rangle$  and  $\langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle$ .<sup>2)</sup> Concerning the former, the time-derivative is obtained through the parameter  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  and the result is as follows :

$$\langle c|i\partial_t|c\rangle = (i/2)(\dot{\gamma}\gamma^* - \dot{\gamma}^*\gamma)F'/F . \quad (5.1)$$

Here,  $F$  is given in the relation (2.7b) and  $F'$  is given by

$$F' = dF/d|\gamma|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n+1)^2(n!)^{-1}(|\gamma|^2)^n \geq 0 . \quad (5.2)$$

The expression (5.1) can be changed in the form

$$\langle c|i\partial_t|c\rangle = (i/2)(\dot{z}z^* - \dot{z}^*z) . \quad (5.3)$$

The quantity  $(z, z^*)$  is defined as

$$z = \gamma\sqrt{F'/F} , \quad z^* = \gamma^*\sqrt{F'/F} . \quad (5.4)$$

The expectation value  $\langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle$  is obtained as a function of  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$ , i.e.,  $(z, z^*)$  :

$$\langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle = H . \quad (5.5)$$

The variation (2.10) gives us the following equation :

$$i\dot{z} = \partial H/\partial z^* , \quad i\dot{z}^* = -\partial H/\partial z . \quad (5.6)$$

The above is nothing but the Hamilton's equation of motion. The quantity  $(z, z^*)$  can be regarded as the canonical variable in boson type. The above formulation is in parallel with the TDHF theory in canonical form. By solving Eq.(5.6) under an appropriate initial condition, we can determine the time-dependence of  $(z, z^*)$ , i.e.,  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$ . Then, we have the state  $|c\rangle$  as a function of time. It is noted that the above process is possible to perform if  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  is expressed in terms of  $(z, z^*)$  in the relation (5.4). However, in general, it may be impossible to get an analytical form. Then, we will try to describe the system under investigation in terms of  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$ . For this aim, we must investigate the physical meaning of the variable  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$ .

For the above investigation, first, we note the relation (2.13), from which we have

$$\langle c|\hat{\gamma}|c\rangle = \gamma , \quad \langle c|\hat{\gamma}^*|c\rangle = \gamma^* , \quad (5.7)$$

$$\langle c|\hat{\gamma}^*\hat{\gamma}|c\rangle = \gamma^*\gamma . \quad (5.8)$$

Further,  $\langle c|\hat{N}|c\rangle$  is given as

$$\langle c|\hat{N}|c\rangle = \gamma^*\gamma\Gamma'/\Gamma = z^*z (= N) . \quad (5.9)$$

With the use of the relation (5.4), we can prove the relation :

$$[N, \gamma]_P = -\gamma , \quad [N, \gamma^*]_P = \gamma^* , \quad (5.10)$$

$$[\gamma, \gamma^*]_P = d_N(\gamma^*\gamma) . \quad (5.11)$$

Here,  $d_N$  denotes the differential with respect to  $N$  and  $[A, B]_P$  expresses the Poisson bracket\*

$$[A, B]_P = \partial A/\partial z \cdot \partial B/\partial z^* - \partial A/\partial z^* \cdot \partial B/\partial z . \quad (5.12)$$

The relations (5.10) and (5.11) should be compared with the relations (2.17) and (2.21), together with the relations (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9). Through the relation between the commutator and the Poisson bracket and, further, through the relation between the difference and the differential (in old quantum theory), we can conclude that the form presented in this section is a classical counterpart of the deformed boson scheme presented in §2 and we have the correspondence

$$\hat{\gamma} \sim \gamma , \quad \hat{\gamma}^* \sim \gamma^* , \quad \hat{N} \sim N . \quad (5.13)$$

Then, we can say that  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  is a classical counterpart of the deformed boson  $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$ .

The time-evolution of the classical counterpart of the deformed boson and/or deformed  $su(2)$ -generators has been investigated in the context of the  $q$ -deformed Lipkin model.<sup>5)</sup> In our case, the Hamilton's equation of motion (5.6) can be rewritten in terms of  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  as follows :

$$i\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \gamma^*} \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial |\gamma|^2} \right)^{-1} , \quad i\dot{\gamma}^* = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \gamma} \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial |\gamma|^2} \right)^{-1} , \quad (5.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial |\gamma|^2} = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} + |\gamma|^2 \left( \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \right)' . \quad (5.15)$$

If  $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)' = 0$ , the equation of motion (5.14) is reduced to the conventional Hamilton's equation of motion. Solution of Eq.(5.14) gives us the time-dependence of  $(\gamma, \gamma^*)$  and, then, we obtain the state  $|c\rangle$  as a function of  $t$ . For the calculation of  $H (= \langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle)$ , it is necessary to give the expectation value of  $(\hat{c}^*)^r(\hat{c}^*)^s(\hat{c})^s$  and its hermit conjugate. The expectation value is given in the form

$$\langle c|(\hat{c}^*)^r(\hat{c}^*)^s(\hat{c})^s|c\rangle = (\gamma^*)^r(|\gamma|^2)^s \cdot \Gamma_r^{(s)}/\Gamma . \quad (5.16)$$

---

\* The definition of the Poisson bracket may be usually adopted as  $\{A, B\}_P = \partial A/\partial z \cdot \partial B/\partial(iz^*) - \partial A/\partial(iz^*) \cdot \partial B/\partial z$ . The relation to our definition (5.12) is simply obtained as  $i\{A, B\}_P = [A, B]_P$ .

Here,  $\Gamma_r^{(s)}$  is defined by

$$\Gamma_r^{(s)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n+r+s)f(n+s)(n!)^{-1}(|\gamma|^2)^n . \quad (5.17)$$

In the case  $r = 0$ ,  $\Gamma_0^{(s)}$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0^{(s)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n+s)^2(n!)^{-1}(|\gamma|^2)^n \\ &= (d/d|\gamma|^2)^s \Gamma = \Gamma^{(s)} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

In the case  $r > 0$ , it is impossible to give the compact expression for  $\Gamma_r^{(s)}$  and its derivative such as shown in the relation (5.18). But, with the use of Cauchy (Schwarz) inequality,  $\Gamma_r^{(s)}$  obeys

$$\Gamma_r^{(s)} \leq \sqrt{\Gamma^{(r+s)} \Gamma^{(s)}} . \quad (5.19)$$

Therefore, if the trial state  $|c\rangle$  is not so different from the boson coherent state, the relation (5.19) may be used as the equality.

## §6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we presented our basic idea for the deformed boson scheme in the case of one kind of boson operator. This idea suggests us that the time-dependent variational method is also workable in terms of the variables which correspond to the deformed bosons. However, the deformed boson scheme displays its real ability in the case of two kinds of boson operators. In this case, we know two algebras : the  $su(2)$ - and the  $su(1,1)$ -algebra represented in terms of the Schwinger boson representation.<sup>11)</sup> In such systems, we investigated their time-evolutions in the framework of the coherent and the squeezed state.<sup>2)</sup> For this description, it would be interesting to give the deformed algebraic foundation because our treatment is systematic and general, although there is already work done in this topic such as the work about  $su(2)$ -algebra in the Schwinger boson representation.<sup>12)</sup> This is our next subject, which will be discussed in (II).

## Acknowledgements

One of the present authors (Y. T.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor K. A. Penson for introducing his work about new generalized coherent states and giving him a short lecture when he stayed in the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique des Particules Élémentaires (LPTPE) of Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI). He also expresses his

sincere thanks to Professor Dominique Vautherin for giving him a chance to discuss this problem and his great encouragement.

## References

- [1] T. Marumori, T. Maskawa, F. Sakata and A. Kuriyama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64** (1980), 1294.  
M. Yamamura and A. Kuriyama, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 93* (1987), 1.
- [2] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 141* (2001), 113.
- [3] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **98** (1997), 381.
- [4] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **98** (1997), 893.
- [5] C. Providência, L. Brito and J. da Providência, *J. Phys.* **A26** (1993), 5835.
- [6] K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999), 2354.
- [7] A. J. MacFarlane, *J. Phys.* **A22** (1989), 4581.  
L. C. Biedenharn, *J. Phys.* **A22** (1989), L873.  
D. B. Fairlie, *J. Phys.* **A23** (1990), L183.
- [8] M. Arik and D. D. Coon, *J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1976), 524.
- [9] T. Marumori, M. Yamamura and A. Tokunaga, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **31** (1964), 1009.  
A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **63** (1991), 375.
- [10] F. F. Karpeshin, J. da Providência and C. Providência, private communication.
- [11] J. Schwinger, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, ed. L. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1955), p.229.
- [12] C. Daskaloyannis, *J. Phys.* **A24** (1991), L789.