

Discovery of Jet Quenching at RHIC and the Opacity of the Produced Gluon Plasma

P. Lévai^a, G. Papp^b, G. Fai^c, M. Gyulassy^d, G.G. Barnaföldi^a, I. Vitev^d and Y. Zhang^{c,a}

^a HAS KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, PO Box 49, Budapest 1525, Hungary

^b HAS Research Group for Theoretical Physics, Eötvös University, Pázmány P. 1/A, Budapest 1117, Hungary

^c Physics Department, Kent State University, Kent OH 44242, USA

^d Physics Department, Columbia University, 538 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA

The predicted quenching of jets in $A + A$ at RHIC energies has been discovered by STAR and PHENIX in preliminary data reported at this conference. We apply the GLV theory [1] of QCD radiative energy loss to estimate the opacity, L/λ_g , of the gluon plasma produced in $Au + Au$ collisions at 130 AGeV. We show that (in contrast to the factor of two Cronin enhancement of π^0 found at the SPS by WA98) the factor of 5-8 suppression of $p_T \sim 2 - 4$ GeV π^0 reported by PHENIX [2] can be accounted for with an effective static plasma opacity $L/\lambda_g \approx 3 - 4$.

1. Energy Loss of High Energy Jets

The moderately high $p_T < 6$ GeV hadron spectra in central Au+Au collisions, especially π^0 production [2], reported at this conference, reveal a 5-8 fold suppression compared to the 'expectation' based on pQCD as we show below. This suppression can be explained by the energy loss of moderate $p_T \sim 10$ GeV gluon mini-jets, the so-called "jet-quenching" effect predicted a decade ago as a signature of the production of high density matter with dense colored sources [3,4]. The observation of jet quenching is one of the major new results from RHIC not observed before at lower SPS and AGS energies. (See also talks of G. David, X.N. Wang, A. Dress, U.A. Wiedemann at this conference.)

Recently the QCD radiative energy loss was solved to all orders in opacity L/λ_g in [1,5]. Previous work BDMS [6], based on asymptotic energy and thick target approximations led to $\Delta E_{BDMS} = C_R \alpha_s / 4 \cdot L^2 \mu^2 / \lambda_g \cdot \tilde{v}$, where C_R is the color Casimir of the jet ($= N_c$ for gluons), and $\mu^2 / \lambda_g \propto \alpha_s^2 \rho$ is a transport coefficient of the medium proportional to the parton density, ρ . The factor, $\tilde{v} \sim 1 - 3$ depends logarithmically on L and the mean free path, λ_g , namely $\propto \log(L/\lambda_g)$. Note that it is the radiated gluon mean free path, λ_g , that enters above.




Figure 1. Non-abelian energy loss of a gluon jet calculated in the GLV picture [1].




Figure 2. The relative energy loss ($\Delta E/E$) is approximately constant at medium energy, $2 \leq E \leq 10$ GeV.

In GLV [1] the theory was solved for the case of “thin” plasmas and inclusion of finite kinematic effects. Numerical results revealed that the opacity series is strongly dominated by the first order term

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{\text{GLV}}^{(1)} &= \frac{2C_R\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{EL}{\lambda_g} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{k_{\text{max}}^2} \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2} \int_0^{q_{\text{max}}^2} \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}_{\perp} \mu_{\text{eff}}^2}{\pi(\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^2 + \mu^2)^2} \cdot \frac{2\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\perp} (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})_{\perp}^2 L^2}{16x^2 E^2 + (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})_{\perp}^4 L^2} \\ &= \frac{C_R\alpha_s}{N(E)} \frac{L^2\mu^2}{\lambda_g} \log \frac{E}{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $N(E) = 4$ in the asymptotic BDMS limit, but which is a rapidly increasing function of E as the jet energy decreases in GLV. A recent calculation [7] confirmed the validity of the GLV energy dependence.

We illustrate here the non-abelian energy loss for an idealized static plasma with an average screening scale $\mu = 0.5$ GeV, $\alpha_s = 0.3$, and an average gluon mean free path $\lambda_g = 1$ fm. The numerical results for the first order energy loss ΔE , taking into account the finite kinematic bounds are displayed in Figs. 1-2 for different opacities $\bar{n} = L/\lambda_g = 1-4$. As emphasized in Ref. [1] the first order opacity result reproduces the characteristic BDMS quadratic dependence of the energy loss on L .

However, the most important feature of Fig. 2 relative to observable consequences of energy loss is that unlike in the asymptotic BDMS case, where $\Delta E/E \propto 1/E$ increases rapidly with decreasing energy, the structure of the first order expression as well as finite kinematic bounds in the GLV expression lead to an approximate *linear* energy dependence of ΔE in the energy range, $E = 2 - 10$ GeV and a slow $\propto \log(E)/E$ decrease at much higher energies.

2. Pion Spectra in Au+Au Collisions from Perturbative QCD

In order to investigate the influence of the GLV energy-dependent radiative energy loss on hadron production, we apply a pQCD based description of Au+Au collisions, including energy loss prior to hadronization. In Refs. [8,9] we displayed how pQCD calculations can reproduce the experimental data in $p+p \rightarrow \pi+X$ reactions. Our calculations incorporate the parton transverse momentum (“intrinsic k_{\perp} ”) via a Gaussian transverse momentum distribution (characterized by the width $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$) [8,10,11].




Figure 3. Pion production in Au+Au collision including jet quenching with opacity $L/\lambda = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Data are from Ref. [2].




Figure 4. The ratio of the central to the peripheral pion yields (normalized by the number of binary collisions, 857 and 5.5).

In the pQCD calculation of nuclear collisions shadowing will modify the parton distribution functions (pdf-s) in the nucleons inside the nucleus. These modified pdf-s are denoted by $f_{a/A}(x_a, k_{\perp a}, Q^2)$ and $f_{b/A}(x_b, k_{\perp b}, Q^2)$. The finite size of the colliding nuclei implies an impact parameter dependence. The influence of hadronic multiscattering is investigated in detail in Refs. [8,11].

Considering the energy loss effect, jet quenching reduces the energy of the jet before fragmentation. We concentrate on mid-rapidity ($y_{cm} = 0$), where the jet transverse momentum before fragmentation is shifted by the energy loss [12], $p_c^*(L/\lambda) = p_c - \Delta E(E, L)$. This shifts the z_c parameter in the fragmentation function of the integrand (2) to $z_c^* = z_c/(1 - \Delta E/p_c)$.

With these elements the invariant cross section of hadron production in central $A + A$ collision is given by

$$E_h \frac{d\sigma_h^{AA}}{d^3p} = \int d^2b d^2r t_A(\vec{b}) t_B(\vec{b} - \vec{r}) \sum_{abcd} \int dx_a dx_b dz_c d^2k_{\perp a} d^2k_{\perp b} \cdot$$

$$f_{a/A}(x_a, k_{\perp a}(\vec{b}), Q^2) f_{b/A}(x_b, k_{\perp b}(\vec{b} - \vec{r}), Q^2) \frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}} \frac{z_c^*}{z_c} \frac{D_{h/c}(z_c^*, \hat{Q}^2)}{\pi z_c^2} \hat{s} \delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u}), \quad (2)$$

where upper limit of the impact parameter integral is $b_{\max} = 4.7$ fm for 10 % central Au+Au collisions. Here $t_A(b)$ is the usual (Glauber) thickness function. The factor z_c^*/z_c appears because of the in-medium modification of the fragmentation function [12]. Thus, the invariant cross section (2) will depend on the average opacity or collision number, $\bar{n} = L/\lambda_g$. The calculated spectra for pions are displayed for $\bar{n} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ in Fig. 3. Fig. 4. shows their ratios to the non-quenched spectra at $\bar{n} = 0$. We note that in contrast to previous energy independent estimates for the energy loss, the GLV energy-dependent energy loss leads to constant suppression of the high p_T domain in agreement with the preliminary data. The peripheral collisions are consistent with a rather small opacity in

contrast to central collisions as expected. The ratio of central to peripheral PHENIX [2] data shown in Fig. 4 clearly reveals that jet quenching at RHIC overcomes the Cronin enhancement at zero (final state) opacity. This is in stark contrast to data at SPS energies, where WA98 found no evidence for quenching in $Pb + Pb$ at 160 AGeV but a factor of two Cronin enhancement (see talk of X.N. Wang).

In summary, Figs. 3-4 indicate that an effective static plasma opacity $L/\lambda = 3 - 4$ is sufficient to reproduce the striking jet quenching pattern observed at RHIC. In the talk of Wang, a rather small constant $dE/dx \approx 0.25$ GeV/fm was also found to be consistent with the data. However, it is important to emphasize that these effective *static* plasma opacities and parameters hide the underlying rapid dilution of the plasma due to expansion. The GLV formalism including the kinematic constraints at first order has been further generalized to include effects of expansion in [13]. It was found in [13] that the inclusion of longitudinal expansion modifies the static plasma results in such a way that the moderate static plasma opacity actually implies that the produced mini-jet plasma rapidity density may have reached $dN_g/dy \sim 500$. If confirmed by further measurements and theoretical refinements, jet quenching may have already provided the first evidence that initial parton densities on the order of 100 times nuclear matter density are produced at RHIC. The full analysis of flavor composition, shape, and azimuthal moments of the high p_T spectra appears to be a promising diagnostic probe of the evolution of the gluon plasma produced at RHIC.

Acknowledgments: We thank G. David for providing the PHENIX data and for discussions. This research has been supported by the Bolyai Fellowship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (P. L.), by the Hungarian OTKA grants T032796, T034842 by the US-Hungarian Joint Fund MAKKA 649/1998, by BCPL Bergen, Norway, by the U.S. DOE under DE-FG02-86ER40251, DO-AC03-76SF00098, DE-FG02-93ER40764 and by the U.S. NSF under INT-0000211 (MTA-038).

REFERENCES

1. M. Gyulassy, P. Lévai, and I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 5535; Nucl. Phys. **B594** (2001) 371.
2. G. David for the PHENIX Coll., Talks at Quark Matter 2001; Proceedings of XXXth ISMD'2000, World Scientific, Eds. T. Csörgő, S. Hegyi and W. Kittel.
3. M. Gyulassy and M. Plümer, Phys. Lett. **B243**, (1990) 432; M. Gyulassy, M. Plümer, M.H. Thoma and X.-N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **A538** (1992) 37c.
4. X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992) 1480.
5. U.A. Wiedemann, Nucl. Phys. **B582** (2000) 409; **B588** (2000) 303; hep-ph/0103332.
6. R. Baier *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B483** (1997) 291; **B484** (1997) 265; **B531** (1998) 403.
7. B.G. Zakharov, hep-ph/0012360.
8. G. Papp, P. Lévai, and G. Fai, Phys. Rev. **C61** (2000) 021902.
9. P. Lévai, G. Papp, G. Fai, and M. Gyulassy, nucl-th/0012017.
10. X.N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **61** (2000) 064910.
11. G. Papp *et al.*, Talks at Quark Matter'2001 Conference, nucl-th/0104021.
12. X.-N. Wang and Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. **C55** (1997) 3047.
13. M. Gyulassy, I. Vitev, and X.N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 2537.