## Relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov Calculation of Specific Heat of the Inner Crust of Neutron Stars \*

Takuva Nakano<sup>1</sup> and Masavuki Matsuzaki<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Fukuoka University of Education, Munakata, Fukuoka 811-4192, Japan

## Abstract

We calculate the specific heat of the inner crust of neutron stars within a local-density approximation to an improved relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov theory. Non-uniformness of the system enhances the specific heat in particular at low temperatures. The degree of enhancement is similar to that in the spherical phase of Elgarøy et al. We examine a schematic interpolation between the results of Broglia et al. adopting the Gogny force and ours based on the Lagrangian of the relativistic mean field model.

The inner crust of neutron stars, with densities ranging from the neutron drip density to that of the order of the saturation one, is a non-uniform system consisting of a Coulomb lattice of neutron-rich nuclei and a sea of free neutrons. This part conveys temperature drop due to neutrino emission in the core to the surface. The rate of the conveyance depends on the specific heat and the thickness of the inner crust. Since the former is sensitive to superfluidity, we study this non-uniform system as an application of the improved description of pairing correlation based on the RMF interaction, that is, a one-boson exchange interaction derived from the Lagrangian of the relativistic mean field (RMF) model. As a first step of this application, we adopt a local-density approximation and neglect possible non-spherical shapes of neutron-rich nuclei, in this talk. In this sense, this is a relativistic counterpart of Ref.[1] and of the spherical part of Ref.[2].

The first attempt to describe superfluidity in uniform infinite nuclear matter adopting the RMF interaction was done in Ref.[3]; but its result was not very realistic in that the resulting pairing gap was too large. The reason can be ascribed to an unphysical behavior of the RMF interaction at high momenta: Repulsion is too strong. Note that repulsion also contributes positively to pairing gap at high momenta beyond a sign change of the gap function. Tanigawa and one of the present authors proposed a method to determine quantitatively a density-independent parameter to cut the momentum integration in the gap equation [4]. Although a more natural way to modulate the high-momentum part smoothly was also developed later [5], the simple method in Ref.[4] is enough for the present purpose since only the pairing gap at the Fermi surface at each density is necessary under a local-density approximation.

In order to describe the non-uniform system composed of a lattice of neutron-rich nuclei and a sea of free neutrons, we adopt the Wigner-Seitz (WS) approximation; each spherical cell contains at its center one nucleus permeated by free neutrons which dripped off from it. We parametrize the neutron density given by a density-dependent Hartree-Fock calculation [6] in terms of a Woods-Saxon shape,

$$\rho_n(r) = \frac{\rho_{0,n}}{1 + \exp[(r - R_n)/a_n]} + \rho_{\text{ext},n} , \qquad (1)$$

<sup>\*</sup>Talk presented at the 3rd JAERI Symposium on Science of Hadrons under Extreme Conditions, January 2001.

as done in Ref.[1]. We perform calculations at five representative densities conforming to this reference; the parameters entering into Eq.(1) and the radii of the WS cell are summarized in Table 1. Here we introduce a local-density approximation — assume uniform Fermi gas of neutrons at each spatial point. Then the local Fermi momentum is calculated from the local density given by Eq.(1) as

$$k_{\rm F}(r) = (3\pi^2 \rho_n(r))^{1/3} \,. \tag{2}$$

Its profile in each WS cell is shown in the left panel of Fig.1. This determines the profile of pairing gap since the gap in the uniform neutron matter can be calculated as a function of  $k_{\rm F}$  by using the method of Ref. [4]. (Note that the symmetric nuclear matter case was presented there.) The result is shown in the right panel. The local pairing gap shown there gives the local quasiparticle energy,

$$E(r,p) = ((E(p) - E(k_{\rm F}(r)))^2 + \Delta(r,p)^2)^{1/2}, \qquad (3)$$

with  $E(p) = (p^2 + M^{*2})^{1/2}$ ,  $M^*$  being the density-dependent effective neutron mass.

	$\rho/ ho_0$	$\rho_{0,n} [{\rm fm}^{-3}]$	$\rho_{\mathrm{ext},n} [\mathrm{fm}^{-3}]$	$R_n$ [fm]	$a_n$ [fm]	$R_{\rm ws}[{\rm fm}]$
(a)	0.46	0.114	0.0737	5.0	1.2	15.0
(b)	0.28	0.101	0.0436	7.0	1.1	20.9
(c)	0.12	0.098	0.0184	7.4	0.8	29.4
(d)	0.034	0.104	0.0047	6.8	0.9	35.5
(e)	0.005	0.108	0.0005	5.9	0.9	45.7

Table 1: Parameters entering into Eq.(1) and the radii of the WS cell.



Figure 1: Profile of the local Fermi momentum (left) and of the local pairing gap (right) in a Wigner-Seitz cell. Five curves in the order from the top to the bottom in the left panel correspond to densities (a) - (e) in Table 1.

Now we are ready to calculate the specific heat of the system. The specific heat is calculated from the entropy,

$$S = -2 \int d^3r \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ f(r,p) \ln f(r,p) + [1 - f(r,p)] \ln[1 - f(r,p)] \right\}, \tag{4}$$

as

$$C_{Vn} = \left. T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right|_V \,. \tag{5}$$

The phase-space distribution function in Eq.(4) is

$$f(r,p) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[E(r,p)/T]},$$
(6)

where the quasiparticle energy is given by Eq.(3). The final expression is

$$C_{Vn} = \frac{1}{\pi T V_{\rm ws}} \int_{V_{\rm ws}} dr \ r^2 \ \int dp \ p^2 \frac{E(r,p)}{\cosh^2[E(r,p)/2T]} \left[ \frac{E(r,p)}{T} - \frac{dE}{dT} \right] . \tag{7}$$

The spatial integration is performed over each WS cell and the momentum integration is done up to  $\Lambda = 3.60 \text{ fm}^{-1}$  determined in Ref.[4] to give physical pairing gaps. Note that the temperature dependence of the quasiparticle energy is neglected because only low temperatures are of interest in the present study. In addition to neutrons, degenerate electron gas gives a comparable contribution,

$$C_{Ve} = \frac{1}{3}k_{\rm F}^2(m^2 + k_{\rm F}^2)^{1/2}T, \qquad (8)$$

while that from protons is negligible. Then the total specific heat is given by

$$C_{V\text{tot}} = C_{Vn} + C_{Ve} \,. \tag{9}$$

The effect of non-uniformness can be seen by comparing the left (showing  $C_{Vn}$  for uniform matter) and the center (for non-uniform) panels of Fig.2. One characteristic is that density dependence is weak in the non-uniform system. This is brought about by a density-dependent increase of  $C_{Vn}$ . The reason why nonuniformness increases it can be inferred from an expression obtained by a weak-coupling approximation for the uniform system [7],

$$\frac{C_{Vn}}{T} \propto \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{T}\right)^{5/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_0}{T}\right),\tag{10}$$

with  $\Delta_0$  being the uniform gap. This is a decreasing function of  $\Delta_0/T$  at low temperatures,  $\Delta_0/T > 5/2$ , and consequently leads to  $C_{Vn}(\text{normal}) > C_{Vn}(\text{super})$ . Since non-uniformness produces a region where the gap is small, it results in an increase of  $C_{Vn}$ . This effect is conspicuous in particular at lower temperatures because a small variation in  $\Delta_0$  leads to a large one in  $\Delta_0/T$ . Conversely, difference in  $\Delta_0$  is not important at high temperatures.



Figure 2: Temperature dependence of the partial specific heat of uniform neutrons (left), non-uniform neutrons (center) and electrons (right).

Since the contribution from electrons is of the same order (the right panel of Fig.2) as that from the non-uniform neutrons, the enhancement of  $C_{V \text{tot}}$ ,

$$\frac{C_{V\text{tot}}(\text{nu})}{C_{V\text{tot}}(\text{u})} = \frac{C_{Vn}(\text{nu}) + C_{Ve}}{C_{Vn}(\text{u}) + C_{Ve}},$$
(11)

where "nu" and "u" stand for non-uniform and uniform, respectively, is factor 3 - 4 as shown in Fig.3. Comparing this to Fig.3 in Ref.[1] highlights the difference at low temperatures. The consideration above indicates that the difference originates from the region where pairing gap is small — the interior region of the nucleus at the center of the WS cell. Actually, although the Gogny force adopted in Ref.[1] is known to provide us with pairing properties similar to those given by bare interactions at low densities [8], there is a big difference at high densities — superfluid phase survives up to much above the saturation density (see Fig.5.4 of Ref.[9], for example). In contrast, our cutoff parameter in the RMF description was determined so as to reproduce the pairing properties given by the Bonn-B potential and accordingly pairing gap closes at around the saturation density. This makes the gap in the interior region vanish. In Ref.[2], the non-relativistic version of the Bonn-A potential was adopted, and the results for  $\rho/\rho_0 = 0.058$  and 0.176 in the spherical phase were also presented. Our results resemble theirs. Here one comment is in order on the double-counting problem of short-range correlations which arises when effective interactions are adopted in the gap equation. In principle this problem exists as pointed out in Ref.[2], but an analysis in Ref.[5] indicates that it is not serious practically.



Figure 3: Temperature dependence of the ratio of the total specific heat. Legend of the curves is the same as in Fig.2.

Since the essential difference between Ref.[1] and ours is the gap in the interior region as mentioned above, we examine a schematic interpolation between the two models; we vary the gap in this region by hand as shown in the left panel of Fig.4 for density (b). The resulting specific heats are presented in the center panel. Clearly  $C_{Vn}$  approaches to that in Ref.[1] (see Fig.2 there) as the gap in the interior region is increased, and to that of the uniform matter by a further increase. Finally the total specific heats are shown in the right panel. This confirms that the vanishing gap in the interior region is the very cause of the enhancement of  $C_{Vtot}$  at low temperatures.



Figure 4: Schematic variation of the gap profile (left), resulting temperature dependence of the neutron specific heat (center) and of the ratio of the total specific heat (right), at density (b).

Beyond this first-step calculation, two kinds of refinements are in order; one is to take into account non-spherical shapes of lattice nuclei in the high-density part of the inner crust, the other is the so-called proximity effect beyond the local-density approximation, that is, spread of the pair wave function. The former was examined in Refs.[2, 10] and shown to strengthen non-uniformness, whereas the latter was shown to weaken it [11].

## References

- [1] R.A. Broglia et al., Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4781.
- [2] Ø. Elgarøy et al., Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1848.
- [3] H. Kucharek and P. Ring, Z. Phys. A 339 (1991) 23.
- [4] T. Tanigawa and M. Matsuzaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 (1999) 897.
- [5] M. Matsuzaki and T. Tanigawa, Nucl. Phys. A 683 (2001) 406.
- [6] J.W. Negele and D. Vautherin, Nucl. Phys. A 207 (1973) 298.
- [7] A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems (McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1971).
- [8] G.F. Bertsch and H. Esbensen, Ann. Phys. 209 (1991) 327.
- [9] P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37 (1996) 193.
- [10] F.V. De Blasio et al., Phys. Rev. C 52 (1995) 418, ibid D 53 (1996) 4226.
- [11] F. Barranco et al., Phys. Rev. C 58 (1998) 1257.