# Experimental observables on nuclear liquid gas phase transition §

Y. G. Ma

Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China E-mail: ygma@sinap.ac.cn

Abstract. Progress on nuclear liquid gas phase transition (LGPT) or critical behavior has been simply reviewed and some signals of LGPT in heavy ion collisions, especially in NIMROD data, are focused. These signals include the power-law charge distribution, the largest fluctuation of the fragment observables, the nuclear Zipf law, caloric curve and critical exponent analysis etc.

#### 1. Introduction

Phase transition and critical phenomenon are extensively debatable subjects in the natural sciences. Recently, the same concept was introduced into the astronomical objects [\[1\]](#page-7-0) as well as the microscopic systems, such as in atomic cluster [\[2\]](#page-7-1) and nuclei [\[3\]](#page-7-2). Nucleus is a finite-size droplet-like quantum system in the ground state, it may experience the liquid-gas phase transition or critical behavior when the nucleus is heated. Till date, various experimental evidences have been accumulated to corroborate the nuclear liquid gas phase transition or critical behavior. For instance, violent heavy-ion collisions break the nuclei into several intermediate mass fragments (IMF) instantaneously  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$ , which might be viewed as a critical phenomenon as observed in fluid, atomic and other systems. The sudden opening of the nuclear multifragmentation and vaporization [\[8\]](#page-8-1) channels can be interpreted as the signature of the boundaries of phase mixture. In addition, temperature plateau of the nuclear caloric curve [\[9\]](#page-8-2) in a certain excitation energy range gives a possible indication of phase transition [\[10,](#page-8-3) [11,](#page-8-4) [12,](#page-8-5) [13\]](#page-8-6). On the other hand, the extraction of critical exponents in the charge or mass distribution of the multifragmentation system [\[14\]](#page-8-7) points to the continuous phase transition. More recently, the negative microcanonical heat capacity was experimentally attempted in nuclear fragmentation [\[15\]](#page-8-8) which may relate to the liquid-gas phase transition [\[16\]](#page-8-9), and in atomic cluster [\[17\]](#page-8-10) which relates to solid-liquid phase transition [\[18\]](#page-8-11), respectively. Moreover, the evidence of spinodal decomposition in nuclear multifragmentation was recently reported experimentally [\[19,](#page-8-12) [20\]](#page-8-13), which may show the presence of liquid-gas phase coexistence region in the finite nuclear systems.

§ Dedicate to the "International Seminar on Atomic and Nuclear Cross-Disciplinary Physics" in honor of Prof. Fujia Yang's 70th Birthday.

Bimodal distributions of some chosen variables measured in nuclear collisions were recently proposed as a signature of a first order phase transition in nuclei [\[21\]](#page-8-14).  $\Delta$ -scaling of the largest fragments was also investigated recently and it is probably a probe to detect the phase change [\[22\]](#page-8-15). The nuclear Zipf's law was proposed to diagnose the onset of liquid-gas phase transition or critical behavior [\[23,](#page-8-16) [24,](#page-8-17) [25\]](#page-8-18) and it is experimentally supported [\[26,](#page-8-19) [27\]](#page-8-20). Phase coexistence diagram was also tentatively constructed based on the EOS data [\[28,](#page-8-21) [29\]](#page-8-22).

While, extensive theoretical models have been developed to treat such a phase transition in the nuclear disassembly, e.g. percolation model, lattice gas model, statistical multifragmentation model and molecular dynamics model etc (e.g. see some recent articles [\[30,](#page-8-23) [31,](#page-8-24) [32,](#page-8-25) [33,](#page-8-26) [34,](#page-8-27) [35\]](#page-8-28) and references therein).

In this article which dedicates to Prof Fu-Jia Yang on the occasion of his 70th birthday, I will focus on some experimental aspects of the nuclear LGPT or critical behavior in heavy ion collisions, specially for NIMROD data.

# 2. Signals of the Nuclear Liquid Gas Phase Transition or Critical Behavior

## 2.1. Fisher Droplet Model: Power Law of Charge/Mass Distribution

The Fisher droplet model has been extensively applied to the analysis of multifragmentation since the pioneering experiments on high energy proton-nucleus collisions by the Purdue group [\[3,](#page-7-2) [36,](#page-8-29) [37\]](#page-8-30). Relative yields of fragments with  $3 \leq Z \leq 14$ could be well described by a power law dependence  $A^{-\tau}$  and it was suggested that this might reflect the disassembly of a system whose excitation energy was comparable to its total binding energy [\[36\]](#page-8-29). The extracted value of power-law exponent was  $2 \leq \tau \leq 3$ , which is in a reasonable range for critical behavior [\[38\]](#page-8-31). The success of this approach suggested that the multi-fragmentation of nuclei might be analogous to a continuous phase transition from liquid to gas which is observed in more common fluids.

In the Fisher Droplet Model the fragment mass distribution can be represented as

$$
Y(A) = Y_0 A^{-\tau} X^{A^{2/3}} Y^A,\tag{1}
$$

where  $Y_0$ ,  $\tau$ , X and Y are parameters. However, at the critical point  $X = 1$  and Y = 1, therefore the cluster distribution is given by a pure power law

$$
Y(A) = Y_0 A^{-\tau}.
$$
\n<sup>(2)</sup>

The model predicts a critical exponent  $\tau \sim 2.21$ .

We collaborated an experiment with a  $4\pi$ -detector combination of charged particle ball with neutron ball (NIMROD) in Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University to explore the quasi-projectile fragmentation from  $Ar + Al$ , Ti and Ni at 47 MeV/nucleon [\[26\]](#page-8-19). Well defined QP sources have been reconstructed using a new method which is based on three source fits and the Monte Carlo sampling for the assignment of quasiprojectile (QP) and their disassembly features have been analyzed. For simplicity, we call this experimental data as TAMU data [\[26\]](#page-8-19). The details can be found in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-8-19).



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 1. (Left Part) Charge distribution of QP in different  $E^*/A$  (in unit of MeV/nucleon) window. From the TAMU data [\[26\]](#page-8-19).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Figure 2.** (Right part) The effective Fisher-law parameter  $(\tau_{eff})$  (a), the effective exponential law parameter  $(\lambda_{eff})$  (b),  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  (c), NVZ fluctuation (d), the mean charge number of the second largest fragment  $\langle Z_{2max} \rangle$  (e), the Zipf-law parameter  $\lambda$  (f). See details in text. Figure is taken from the TAMU data [\[39\]](#page-8-32).

Fig. [1](#page-2-0) presents yield distributions  $dN/dZ$ , observed for nine different excitation energy  $(E^*/A)$  intervals for the QP from the reactions of  $^{40}Ar + ^{58}Ni$  from TAMU data. At low excitation energy a large  $Z$  residue always remains, *i.e.* the nucleus is basically in the liquid phase accompanied by some evaporated light particles. When  $E^*/A$  reaches ~ 5.6 MeV/nucleon, this residue is much less prominent. As  $E^*/A$ continues to increase, the charge distributions become steeper which indicates that the system tends to vaporize. To quantitatively pin down the possible phase transition point, we use a power law fit to the QP charge distribution in the range of  $Z = 2$  - 7 to extract the effective Fisher-law parameter  $\tau_{eff}$  by  $dN/dZ \sim Z^{-\tau_{eff}}$  in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)a) shows  $\tau_{eff}$  vs excitation energy, a minimum with  $\tau_{eff} \sim 2.3$  is seen in the  $E^* / A$  range of 5 to 6 MeV/nucleon.  $\tau_{eff} \sim 2.3$ , is close to the critical exponent of the liquid gas phase transition universality class as predicted by the Fisher's droplet model [\[38\]](#page-8-31).

While, assuming that the heaviest cluster in each event represents the liquid phase, we have attempted to isolate the gas phase by event-by-event removal of the heaviest cluster from the charge distributions. We found that the resultant distributions are better described as exponential form  $e^{-\lambda_{eff}z}$ . The fitting parameter  $\lambda_{eff}$  was derived and was plotted against excitation energy in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)b). A minimum is seen in the same region where  $\tau_{eff}$  shows a minimum.

# Y. G. Ma 4

## 2.2. The largest fluctuation

One of the well known characteristics of the systems undergoing a continuous phase transition is the occurrence of the largest fluctuations. These large fluctuations in cluster size and density of the system arise because of the disappearance of the latent heat at the critical point. In macroscopic systems such behavior gives rise to the phenomenon of critical opalescence [\[40\]](#page-8-33).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>To further explore this region we have investigated other proposed observables commonly related to fluctuations and critical behavior. Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)c) shows the excitation function of the mean normalized second moment,  $\langle S_2 \rangle$ , defined as  $S_2 = M_2/M_1$ , where  $M_2$  and  $M_1$  is the second and first moment. Generally, the k-th moment is defined as

$$
M_k = \sum_{A \neq A_{max}} A^k m,\tag{3}
$$

where m is the multiplicity of the fragment A and the largest fragment  $A_{max}$  is excluded in the summer [\[41,](#page-8-34) [25\]](#page-8-18). A peak is seen around 5.6 MeV/nucleon, it indicates that the fluctuation of the fragment distribution is the largest in this excitation energy region. Similarly, the Normalized Variance in  $Z_{max}/Z_{QP}$  distribution (i.e. NVZ =  $\frac{\sigma_{Z_{max}/Z_{QP}}^2}{Z_{max}/Z_{OP}}$  $\frac{Z_{max}/Z_{QP}}{\langle Z_{max}/Z_{QP} \rangle}$ [\[42\]](#page-8-35) shows a maximum in the same excitation energy region [Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)d)], which illustrates the maximal fluctuation for the largest fragment is reached around  $E^* / A = 5.6$  MeV. Except the largest fragment, the second largest fragment also shows its importance in the above turning point. Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)e) shows a broad peak of  $\langle Z_{2max} \rangle$  - the average atomic number of the second largest fragment exists at 5.6 MeV/nucleon.

# 2.3. Fragment Hierarchical Distribution: Zipf plot and Zipf law

In addition to the largest fluctuation, observables revealing some particular topological structure may also reflect the critical behavior for a finite system. In this section we discuss a new observable and law: nuclear Zipf plot and Zipf law.

Zipf's law has been known as a statistical phenomenon concerning the relation between English words and their frequency in literature in the field of linguistics [\[43\]](#page-8-36). The law states that, when we list the words in the order of decreasing population, the frequency of a word is inversely proportional to its rank [\[43\]](#page-8-36). Recently, Ma proposed measurements of the fragment hierarchy distribution as a tool to search for the liquid gas phase transition in a finite nuclear system based on the calculations with isospindependent lattice gas model (I-LGM) and classical molecular dynamics model [\[23,](#page-8-16) [44\]](#page-9-0). The fragment hierarchy distribution can be defined by the so-called Zipf plot, i.e., a plot of the relationship between mean sizes of fragments which are rank-ordered in size, i.e., largest, second largest, etc. and their rank [\[23\]](#page-8-16).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>In such an analysis, the cluster size is employed as a variable to make a Zipf-type plot, and the resultant distributions can be fitted with a power law,

$$
\langle Z_n \rangle \propto n^{-\lambda},\tag{4}
$$



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3.** (Left part) Zipf plots for the fragments from <sup>129</sup>Xe at temperature  $T = 3$ - 7 MeV with I-LGM calculation. The histogram are simulation rseults and the lines are Zipf power-law fits (Eq. [4\)](#page-3-0). From Ref. [\[44\]](#page-9-0).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 4. (Right part) Zipf plots in six different excitation energy bins for the QP formed in  ${}^{40}\text{Ar} + {}^{58}\text{Ni}$ . The dots are data and the lines are Zipf-law fits. The statistical error is smaller than the size of the symbols. From Ref. [\[25\]](#page-8-18).

where *n* represents the rank and  $\lambda$  is the Zipf's law parameter. Fig. [3](#page-4-0) shows the Zipftype plots for the disassembly of  $^{129}Xe$  with I-LGM calculations [\[44\]](#page-9-0). The fit parameter  $\lambda$  was extracted in each temperature. When  $\lambda \sim 1$ , Zipf's law is satisfied. In this case, the mean size of the second largest fragment is  $1/2$  of that of the the largest fragment; That of the third largest fragment is 1/3 of the largest fragment, etc.

Now we return to the TAMU data [\[26\]](#page-8-19), as shown in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) the region of 5-6 MeV/nucleon excitation energy is related to the critical behavior as shown in above sections. The significance of this region in our data is further indicated by a Zipf's law analysis. Fig. [4](#page-4-1) shows the Zipf plots in six excitation energy windows. This rank ordering of the probability observation of fragments size of a given rank, from the largest to the smallest, does indeed lead to a Zipf's law power law parameter  $\lambda \sim 1$  in the 5-6 MeV/nucleon range.

In a recent analysis for multifragment emission for CERN EMU13 data, Dabrowska et al. analyzed emulsion data for 158 A GeV Pb-Pb and Pb-Plastic collisions and tested the nuclear Zipf law of Ma [\[27\]](#page-8-20). They found that their data are roughly consistent with the nuclear Zipf law in a certain multiplicity where the multiplicity of IMF and  $\tau$  parameter of the charge distribution reveal the same turning point. This has been interpretated as an evidence for the existence of the critical temperature associated with a liquid gas phase transition [\[27\]](#page-8-20).



Figure 5. (Left part) The deduced caloric curves for Ar quasi-projectiles  $(A \sim 36)$  in TAMU data. The meanings of symbols are displayed in insert. For details, see Ref. [\[26\]](#page-8-19).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 6.** (Right part) The extraction of the critical exponent  $\beta$  (a) and  $\gamma$  (b). See texts for details.

#### 2.4. Caloric Curve

The caloric curve which relates the internal energy of an excited system at thermodynamic equilibrium to its temperature is a priori the simplest experimental tool to look for the existence of a phase transition.

In our TAMU data the caloric curve was constructed [\[26\]](#page-8-19) and a monotonous rising behavior was observed instead of a plateau-like structure observed in heavier nuclei [\[11\]](#page-8-4). The caloric curve of this lighter system looks more like cross over behavior rather than first order phase transition. The corresponding initial temperature is determined and it is  $8.3 \pm 0.5$  MeV in the critical region of 5.6 MeV/nucleon excitation energy [\[26\]](#page-8-19).

## 2.5. Critical Exponents

In terms of the scaling theory, some critical exponents can also be extracted from the moment analysis of cluster distributions [\[41,](#page-8-34) [25\]](#page-8-18), namely  $M_k$  of Eq. [3.](#page-3-1) Since, for our system, we have already deduced a critical temperature  $T_c = 8.3$  MeV at point of maximal fluctuations [\[26\]](#page-8-19) from the caloric curve, we can use temperature as a control parameter to test the critical exponents. The critical exponent  $\beta$  can be extracted from

	Exponents 3D Percolation Liquid-Gas Our Data		
$\tau$	2.18	2.21	$2.31 \pm 0.03$ (Fig.1)
	0.41	0.33	$0.33 \pm 0.01$
$\gamma$	1.8	1.23	$1.15 \pm 0.06$
$\sigma$	0.45	0.64	$0.68 \pm 0.04$

Table 1. Comparison of the Critical Exponents

the relation

$$
Z_{max} \propto (1 - \frac{T}{T_c})^{\beta},\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>and the critical exponent  $\gamma$  can be extracted from the second moment, via

$$
M_2 \propto |1 - \frac{T}{T_c}|^{-\gamma}.\tag{6}
$$

In each,  $|1-\frac{T}{T}|$  $\frac{T}{T_c}$  is the parameter which measures the distance from the critical point.

The upper panel of Fig. [6](#page-5-0) explores the dependence of  $Z_{max}$  on  $(1-\frac{T}{C})$  $\frac{T}{T_c}$ ). A dramatic change of  $Z_{max}$  around the critical temperature  $T_c$  is observed. LGM calculations also predict that the slope of  $Z_{max}$  vs T will change at the liquid gas phase transition [\[45\]](#page-9-1). Using the liquid side side points, we can deduce the critical exponent  $\beta$  by  $ln(Z_{max})$  vs  $ln|1 - T/T_c|$ . An excellent fit was obtained in the region away from the critical point and a critical exponent  $\beta = 0.33 \pm 0.01$ . Near the critical point, the finite size effects become stronger so that the scaling law is violated.

To extract the critical exponent  $\gamma$ , we take  $M_2$  on the liquid side without  $Z_{max}$ . Fig. [6\(](#page-5-0)b) shows ln( $M_2$ ) as a function of ln( $|1-\frac{T}{T}|$  $\frac{T}{T_c}$ ). We center our fit to Eq. [\(6\)](#page-6-0) about the center of the range of  $(1 - T/T_c)$  which leads to the linear fit and extraction of  $\beta$  as represented in Figure. [6\(](#page-5-0)b). The critical exponent  $\gamma = 1.15 \pm 0.06$ . It is seen that the selected region has a good power law dependence.

Since the critical exponents  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  have been obtained, the critical exponent  $\sigma$  can be deduced by the scaling relation  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta + \epsilon}$  $\frac{1}{\beta + \gamma}$ . In such way,  $\sigma = 0.68 \pm 0.04$  is obtained, which is also very close to the expected critical exponent of a liquid gas system.

To summarize critical exponents of our NIMROD data, we present the results in Table 1 as well as the values expected for the 3D percolation and liquid gas system universality classes. Obviously, our values for this light system with A∼36 are consistent with the values of the liquid gas phase transition universality class rather the 3D percolation class.

## 3. Conclusions

In conclusion, the TAMU NIMROD data was focused and some observables relating to the liquid gas phase transition or critical behavior have been presented. Around 5.6 MeV/nucleon of excitation energy for A∼36 system, the fragment yield distribution

obeys the power law which was predicted by Fisher droplet model. From fragment size structure, we found that there exists a particular hierarchical arrangement, so-called the nuclear Zipf law, which was demonstrated by both Texas A&M heavy ion data and EMU13 CERN emulsion data. While, many observables demonstrate the existence of maximal fluctuations around this excitation energy. These fluctuation observables include the Campi scattering plots and the normalized variances of the distributions of the largest fragment  $(Z_{max})$ . Caloric curve was reconstructed, and then critical temperature and critical exponents are also discussed. Caloric curve shows a gradual rise of temperature versus excitation energy, no plateau is observed for such lighter system. Thanks to the determination of critical temperature from the caloric curve, critical exponents are deduced and the values are close to the liquid gas universal class.

Finally, we should mention that a unique signal can not give any definite information whether the system is in a critical point or is undergoing a phase transition since we are facing a transient finite charged system. Only many coherent signals, such as the fluctuation peak, critical exponents, Fisher scaling as well as Zipf's law etc, emerging together can corroborate the observation of a phase transition or a critical behavior in finite nuclei.

Author appreciates many colleagues and/or friends for discussions and collaborations, especially thanks Profs. F. J. Yang, W. Q. Shen, J. B. Natowitz, J. P´eter, B. Tamain, S. Das Gupta, J. C. Pan et al. The paper is a contribution to a workshop dedicated to Professor Fu-Jia Yang, former President of Fudan University, former Director of Shanghai Institute of Nuclear Research (now Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics), and the Chancellor of Nottingham University, on his 70th birthday. Professor Yang has been an inspiration for me as a scientist since I got to know him when I was a PhD student in his Institute. He always educates and encourages me how to become a good scientist, and always gives his unselfish invaluable helps in my professional life. I'd like to take this opportunity to make a grateful acknowledgement for Professor Yang. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) for the Distinguished Young Scholar under Grant No 19725521 and NNSFC under Grant Nos. 10535010, 19705012, 10328259 and 10135030, the Shanghai Development Foundation for Science and Technology under Grant Numbers 06JC14082, 05XD14021 and 97QA14038, the Major State Basic Research Development Program under Contract No G200077404.

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[1] B. Lynden-Bell, Physica A 263 (1999) 293.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[2] G. F. Bertsch, Science 277 (1997) 1619.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[3] J. E. Finn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 1321.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[4] G. F. Peaslee et. al., Phys. Rev C49 (1994) R2271
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[5] C. A. Ogilvie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1214.
- [6] M. B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 1502.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>*Y. G. Ma* 9

- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[7] Y. G. Ma and W. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 51 (1995) 710.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[8] M. F. Rivet et al., Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 219.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[9] D. H. E. Gross, Rep. Prog. Phys. 53 (1990) 605 and references therein.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[10] J. Pochodzalla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1040.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[11] J. B. Natowitz et al., Phys. Rev. C 62 (2002) 034618.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[12] Y.G. Ma et al. (INDRA Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B390 (1997) 41.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[13] J. B. Natowitz, K. Hagel, Y. G. Ma, M. Murray, L. Qin, R. Wada, J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 212701.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[14] M. L. Gilkes et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1590.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[15] F. Gulminelli, M. D'Agostino, "Fluctuations of fragment observables", to be published in Eur. Phys. J. A.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[16] Ph. Chomaz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 3587.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[17] M. Schmidt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 1191.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[18] M. Labastie and R. L. Whetten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 1567.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[19] B. Borderie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3252.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[20] B. Borderie, P. Désesquelles, "Many fragments correlations", to be published in Eur. Phys. J. A.
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[21] O. Lopez, M.F. Rivet, "Bimodalities: a survey of experimental data and models", to be published in Eur. Phys. J. A.
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[22] R. Botet et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3514.
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[23] Y. G. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3617; Y. G. Ma, Nucl. Phys. A 681 (2001) 476c;
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[24] Y. G. Ma, Chin. Phys. Lett. **17** (2000) 340;
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[25] Y. G. Ma, "Moment analysis and Zipf law", to be published in Eur. Phys. J. A.
- [26] Y. G. Ma et al. (NIMROD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C  $69, 031604(R)$  (2004); Y. G. Ma et al. (NIMROD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 71, 054606 (2005).
- <span id="page-8-21"></span><span id="page-8-20"></span>[27] A. Dabrowska et al., Acta Phys. Polo. B 32 (2001) 3099.
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>[28] J. B. Elliott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 042701; Phys.Rev. C 67 (2003) 024609 .
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>[29] J. B. Elliott et al., Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 24609.
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>[30] J. Richert and P. Wagner, Phys. Rep. 350 (2001) 1.
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>[31] S. Das Gupta, A. Mekjian and M. B. Tsang, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 26 (2001) 91.
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>[32] A. Bonasera, M. Bruno, C.O. Dorso, P.F. Mastinu, Riv. Del Nuovo. Cim. 23 (2000) 1.
- [33] Ph. Chomaz, Proc. of the Int. Nucl. Phys. Conf. INPC2001, Berkeley CA, USA, 2001, ed. by E. Norman, L. Schroeder, G. Wozniak, AIP Conference Proceedings (Melville, New York 2002), p. 167.
- <span id="page-8-28"></span><span id="page-8-27"></span>[34] L.G. Moretto, J.B. Elliott, L. Phair, G.J. Wozniak, C.M. Mader, and A. Chappars, ibid. p. 182.
- [35] Y. G. Ma, H. Y. Zhang and W. Q. Shen, Prog. Phys. (in Chinese) 22 (2002) 99;
	- Y. G. Ma, W. Q. Shen, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 15 (2004) 4;
	- Y. G. Ma et al., Phys. Rev. C 60 (1999) 024607;
	- Y. G. Ma et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 4 (1999) 217;
	- Y. G. Ma, J. Phys. G 30 (2004) 13;
- <span id="page-8-30"></span><span id="page-8-29"></span>[36] A. S. Hirsch et al., Phys. Rev. C **29** (1984) 508.
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>[37] R. W. Minich et al., Phys. Lett. 118B (1982) 458.
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>[38] M. E. Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys. 30 (1969) 615; Physics 3 (1967) 255.
- <span id="page-8-33"></span>[39] Y. G. Ma et al., Nucl. Phys. A 749, 106c (2005)
- [40] H. E. Stanley, Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Oxford University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992.
- <span id="page-8-34"></span>[41] X. Campi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 (1988) L917;
	- X. Campi, Phys. Lett. B 208 (1988) 351.
- <span id="page-8-36"></span><span id="page-8-35"></span>[42] C. O. Dorso, V.C. Latora, and A. Bonasera, Phys. Rev. C 60 (1999) 034606.
- [43] G.K. Zipf, Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort, Addisson-Wesley Press, Cambridge, MA, 1949; D. Crystal, The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language, Cambridge University Press,

Y. G. Ma 10

Cambridge, 1987, p86.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[44] Y. G. Ma, Eur. Phys. J. A 6 (1999) 367.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span> $\left[ 45\right]$  Y. G. Ma, J. Phys. G  $\bf 27$   $\left( 2001\right)$  1.