Influence of projectile α - breakup threshold on complete fusion

A. Mukherjee ∗ , Subinit Roy, M.K. Pradhan, M. Saha Sarkar, P. Basu, B. Dasmahapatra Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF, Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata-700 064, India

T. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, S.K. Basu

Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, 1/AF, Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata-700 064, India

A. Chatterjee, V. Tripathi, S. Kailas

Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400 085, India (July 10, 2018)

Complete fusion excitation functions for $11,10B+159Tb$ have been measured at energies around the respective Coulomb barriers, and the existing complete fusion measurements for ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb have been extended to higher energies. The measurements show significant reduction of complete fusion cross sections at above-barrier energies for both the reactions, ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$ and ${}^{7}Li+{}^{159}Tb$, when compared to those for ${}^{11}B+{}^{159}Tb$. The comparison shows that the extent of suppression of complete fusion cross sections is correlated with the α -separation energies of the projectiles. Also, the two reactions, ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$ and ${}^{7}Li+{}^{159}Tb$ were found to produce incomplete fusion products at energies near the respective Coulomb barriers, with the α -particle emitting channel being the favoured incomplete fusion process in both the cases.

PACS number(s): 24.10.Eq, 25.70.Jj, 25.70.Pj, 25.70.Mn, 27.70.+q

Keywords: Heavy-ion fusion; Breakup; Fusion suppression

[∗] Corresponding author. E-mail address: anjali.mukherjee@saha.ac.in

Recently a resurgence of interest has occurred in investigating the effect of breakup of weakly bound projectiles on the fusion mechanism [1–8] at energies around the barrier. This has primarily been motivated by the present availability of radioactive ion beams, some of which exhibit unusual features like halo/skin structure and large breakup probabilities. A critical understanding of the fusion mechanism with radioactive ion beams is very significant for the understanding of reactions of astrophysical interest and for the production of new nuclei near the drip lines.

It can be expected that in the fusion studies involving halo nuclei, the larger spatial extent of such nuclei may lead to a lowering of the average fusion barrier, and thus enhance the fusion cross sections over those for well-bound nuclei. On the contrary, the halo nuclei can easily breakup in the field of the other nucleus, due to their low binding energies, and can therefore lead to a loss of flux from the entrance channel thereby reducing the fusion cross sections. However, coupled channels calculations [7] carried out for the system ${}^{11}Be+{}^{208}Pb$ show that a combination of all these effects essentially leads to enhancement of fusion cross sections at sub-barrier energies and reduction of fusion cross sections at above-barrier energies. Although presently it is possible to investigate reaction mechanisms with unstable beams, experimentally such studies are limited [1–5] owing to the low intensities of the radioactive beams currently available. On the other hand, fusion reactions with high intensity weakly bound stable beams which have a significant breakup probability may serve to be an important step towards the understanding of the influence of breakup on the fusion mechanism. Indeed in the last few years, special attention has been paid towards fusion studies at near-barrier energies using the weakly bound stable projectiles, ⁹Be, ${}^6\text{Li}$ and ${}^7\text{Li}$.

In fusion with weakly bound projectiles, following the breakup of the projectile in the field of the target, one of the fragments may be captured by the target, with the other escaping with the beam velocity [9]. This process of capture of partial projectile by the target is known as incomplete fusion (ICF) and has been observed even in reactions with strongly bound projectiles like 12 C and 16 O, but mostly at higher bombarding energies [10,11]. However, the recent observation of ICF cross sections at near-barrier energies in fusion with weakly bound projectiles [12–16] has made this field even more

1

interesting, especially in view of the present availability of the radioactive ion beams.

In fusion of ⁹Be and ^{6,7}Li with heavy targets like, ²⁰⁸Pb and ²⁰⁹Bi [12–15] substantial suppression of complete fusion cross sections has been observed at energies above the respective Coulomb barriers. The complete fusion (CF) products correspond to the events where the whole of projectile fuses with the target. For medium and light mass systems, owing to the experimental difficulties, total (complete+incomplete) fusion cross sections were measured for the systems like, ${}^{9}Be+{}^{64}Zn$ [17], ${}^{6,7}Li+{}^{59}Co$ [18], ${}^{6,7}Li+{}^{12,13}C$ [19,20] and 6.7 Li+ 16 O [21,22]. These measurements show no suppression of total fusion cross sections at above-barrier energies.

To investigate the effect of breakup on fusion, all the reactions studied so far with weakly bound stable beams have been performed using ⁹Be, ⁶Li and ⁷Li projectiles that have breakup thresholds ranging from 1.45 MeV to 2.45 MeV. Among the stable nuclei, apart from the nuclei 6,7 Li and 9 Be, the nucleus 10 B also has a fairly low α separation energy of 4.5 MeV. Therefore like ^{6,7}Li and ⁹Be, the nucleus ¹⁰B also may be expected to breakup at low excitation energies, thereby affecting the fusion mechanism at considerably low bombarding energies. Particle- γ coincidence measurements carried out with 75 MeV ¹⁰B beam and ¹⁵⁹Tb target show substantial production of Er nuclei, resulting from the ICF process [10]. In this Letter, we present the CF excitation functions for the ^{11,10}B+¹⁵⁹Tb and ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb reactions, at energies around the respective Coulomb barriers. The CF measurements for ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb have been extended to energies higher than that reported in the literature [23]. The ¹¹B projectile, with α separation energy of 8.66 MeV, is expected to behave as a normal strongly bound nucleus. Thus, $^{11}B+^{159}Tb$ was chosen to be the reference strongly bound system. A comparison of the CF cross sections for the three systems at abovebarrier energies allows to study the correlation between the extent of CF suppression and the α-breakup thresholds of the projectiles.

Beams of ¹¹,¹⁰B in the energy range 38−72 MeV, and ⁷Li with energies from 28−43 MeV, provided by the 14UD BARC-TIFR Pelletron Accelerator Facility at Mumbai, bombarded a self-supporting ¹⁵⁹Tb target of thickness 1.50 ± 0.07 mg/cm². The γ -rays emitted by the evaporation residues (ERs) were detected in an absolute efficiency calibrated Compton suppressed clover detector placed at 55° with respect to the beam direction. To cross check the measured cross sections, a 125 c.c. HPGe detector was also placed at 125° with respect to the beam direction. Both online and offline spectra were taken for each exposure. The total charge of each exposure was measured in a 1m long Faraday cup placed after the target. The target thickness was determined by measuring the Rutherford scattering cross sections and also by using the 137.5 keV Coulomb excitation line of ¹⁵⁹Tb. The thickness of the target obtained from the two methods of measurement had excellent agreement.

The compound nuclei ¹⁷⁰Yb, ¹⁶⁹Yb and ¹⁶⁶Er, formed following the fusion reactions ¹¹B+¹⁵⁹Tb, $^{10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ respectively, are expected to decay predominantly by neutron evaporation producing ERs which are all well deformed nuclei. This is also predicted by the statistical model calculations done using the code PACE2 [24]. The CF cross sections in the B induced reactions were obtained from the sum of the $3n - 6n$ ER cross sections and for the ⁷Li induced reaction the same was obtained by summing the $3n - 5n$ ER cross sections.

For the even-even ERs, except the 3n channel (¹⁶⁶Yb) in the reaction ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb, the γ -ray cross sections, $\sigma(J)$, for various transitions in the ground state rotational band of the relevant nucleus were obtained using the measured γ -ray intensities after correcting for the internal conversion. The cross sections for a given even-even ER were then extracted from the extrapolated value of the γ -ray cross section at J=0. For the odd-mass nuclei, the cross sections were obtained by following the respective radioactive decay. The low lying characteristic γ -rays in the ground state band of the even-even ER ¹⁶⁶Yb, corresponding to the 3n channel in the ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb reaction, are almost identical to those in the nucleus 162 Er, a probable ICF product in this reaction. So the measured cross sections for the γ -rays corresponding to the 166Yb nucleus will also include the contributions from the 162Er nucleus. In order to estimate the contribution from the ¹⁶⁶Yb ER, and hence extract the contribution from the ICF product ¹⁶²Er, if any, we adopted the following procedure. For the reaction ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb, it was assumed that there is no significant contribution from the ICF process at energies below the Coulomb barrier. So at energies below the Coulomb barrier the measured cross sections correspond almost wholly to the ¹⁶⁶Yb ER. At below-barrier energies, the ratio, $F = \frac{\sigma(3n/4n)_{10}}{\sigma(3n/4n)_{11}}$ $\frac{\sigma(3n/4n)_{10}}{\sigma(3n/4n)_{11}}$ $_{B+159Tb}$ was obtained from the measured cross sections, at the same excitation energies for both the reactions. The cross sections for the ¹⁶⁶Yb ER at above-barrier energies were then obtained using the measured $4n$ channel cross sections for ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$, the factor F, and the measured ratios of the cross sections for $3n(^{167}{\rm Yb})/4n(^{166}{\rm Yb})$ in the $^{11}{\rm B}+^{159}{\rm Tb}$ system at the same excitations energies as in the ¹⁰B case. By this normalisation it was assumed that the ratio,

 $F=$ $\sigma(3n/4n)_{10\,B+}$ 159 $_{Tb}$ $\frac{\sigma(3n/4n)_{10}}{\sigma(3n/4n)_{11}}$ is constant over the whole energy region of measurement, and this constancy was checked using the statistical model calculations performed using the code PACE2. The contribution of the ICF product $162Er$ was then obtained using the contribution of $166Yb$, determined as above, and the measured total cross sections for the γ -rays corresponding to ¹⁶⁶Yb (or ¹⁶²Er). It needs to be mentioned here that the cross sections obtained using the data from the clover detector agreed very well with those obtained with the HPGe detector.

The CF cross sections for the three reactions $^{11,10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ were then determined by summing the respective xn channel cross sections at each energy. The results are shown in Figs. 1-3. The CF data of Broda *et al.* [23] for the 7 Li+¹⁵⁹Tb reaction are shown by the hollow points in Fig. 3. As expected, the ¹¹B+¹⁵⁹Tb system behaves as a normal strongly bound system, where no γ −lines following ICF were observed in the spectra over the energy range of present measurement. Cross sections for the $3n$ and $4n$ channels in the decay of ¹⁶⁵Er, formed following the capture of ⁶Li by ¹⁵⁹Tb in the ¹⁰B induced reaction, are also shown in Fig. 2. Cross sections for the dominant $\alpha 2n$ channel, following the t capture by 159 Tb in the $7\text{Li}+159\text{ Tb}$ reaction, are shown in Fig. 3. It needs to be mentioned that the ICF cross sections plotted in Figs. 2-3 include contributions from breakup fusion and transfer from projectile to the unbound states of the target. The errors in the cross sections plotted in Figs. 1-3 are the total errors, which include statistical errors and uncertainties in the target thickness, efficiency of the detector and the integrated beam current.

In the ⁷Li⁺¹⁵⁹Tb reaction, the contribution from the capture of the lighter projectile fragment, t, by ¹⁵⁹Tb was found to be the dominant ICF contribution, with the contribution from $\alpha + ^{159}$ Tb being negligibly small. A similar observation was reported for the reaction ${}^{7}Li+{}^{165}Ho$ [16] and was explained to be due to the higher Coulomb barrier for the α - capture compared to the t- capture. By contrast, in the ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb reaction, the γ -spectra showed no lines corresponding to the α (lighter fragment) capture by ¹⁵⁹Tb. In this reaction, the only ICF contributions which could be observed were due to the capture of ⁶Li (heavier fragment) by ¹⁵⁹Tb, even though the Coulomb barrier for the α - capture is lower than the ⁶Li- capture by the target. This observation is indeed consistent with the corresponding Q-values of the reactions. The Q-value for the ¹⁵⁹Tb(7 Li, α)¹⁶²Dy reaction is 11.1 MeV, while it is -3.2 MeV for the $({}^{7}\text{Li},t)$ reaction, indicating that the α particle emission is more favoured. In the ^{10}B induced reaction, the Q-value for the ¹⁵⁹Tb(¹⁰B, α)¹⁶⁵Er reaction is 4.6 MeV, while it is -5.2 MeV for the (¹⁰B,⁶Li) reaction, indicating that α particle emission is more favoured. In fact, in both the cases, the favorable ICF channel is where the α particle emission occurs.

To compare the CF cross sections for the three reactions at above-barrier energies, they have been plotted in a reduced scale in Fig. 4. In this figure only statistical uncertainties have been plotted, as the present measurements for the three systems were taken in one run using the same setup. The data of Ref. [23] have been plotted with the errors quoted in the article. The figure clearly shows in a model independent way that the CF cross sections for ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$ and ${}^{7}Li+{}^{159}Tb$ are suppressed at above-barrier energies compared to those for $^{11}B+^{159}Tb$, with the cross sections for $^{10}B+^{159}Tb$ being intermediate between those for ${}^{11}B+{}^{159}Tb$ and ${}^{7}Li+{}^{159}Tb$. This observation is quite consistent with the α -breakup thresholds of the projectiles. As discussed earlier, of the three projectiles, ^{11}B is the most strongly bound nucleus with $Q_{\alpha} = -8.46 \text{ MeV}$ and ⁷Li is the most weakly bound nucleus with $Q_{\alpha} = -2.47$ MeV. The ¹⁰B nucleus has $Q_{\alpha} = -4.5$ MeV, intermediate between that of ¹¹B and ⁷Li. Thus, lower the α breakup threshold of the projectile, larger is the suppression of CF. Moreover, Fig. 4 also shows that the onset of suppression depends on the α -separation energy of the projectile. Higher the breakup threshold, higher is the energy where the suppression starts. This perhaps explains why ICF products are observed in strongly bound systems at much higher bombarding energies [10,11].

To study the extent of above-barrier fusion suppression in a theoretical framework, the realistic coupled channels (CC) code CCFULL [25] was employed to calculate the total fusion cross sections. It needs to be pointed out here that these calculations do not consider couplings to unbound or continuum states. Thus the breakup of the projectiles ¹⁰B and ⁷Li is not included. In CCFULL the number of CC equations is reduced by means of the isocentrifugal approximation, and an ingoing-wave boundary condition is placed inside the barrier.

The Akyüz-Winther (AW) [26] (bare) potential parameters $(V_0, r_0, \text{ and } a)$ for the three systems are given in Table I. The corresponding uncoupled fusion barrier parameters $(V_b, R_b, \text{ and } \hbar\omega)$ are also mentioned in the table. The CCFULL calculations with the shallow AW potentials lead to oscillations of transmission coefficients of high partial waves, especially at high energies. To minimize such oscillations, the potential wells for the three systems were chosen to be deeper so that the ingoing-wave boundary condition is correctly applied. The diffuseness parameter was chosen to be $a = 0.85$ fm for all the three systems, following the systematic trend of high diffuseness required to fit the high energy part of the fusion excitation functions [27]. The radius parameter had to be changed accordingly. For $^{11}B+^{159}Tb$, with $a = 0.85$ fm, V_0 and r_0 were varied so as to fit the high-energy cross sections (>200 mb) [28]. This modified potential for CC calculations are given in Table I. For $^{10}B+^{159}Tb$, the same potential parameters were used in the CC calculations as they are very nearby systems. The similarity in the potential parameters for the two systems can also be observed in the AW potentials. In the case of ${}^{7}\text{Li}+{}^{159}\text{Tb}$, keeping a fixed at 0.85 fm, V_0 and r_0 were varied so that the corresponding one-dimensional barrier penetration model (1-D BPM) cross sections for the 7 Li+ 159 Tb agree with those with the AW potential parameters at higher energies. The 1-D BPM calculations were done using the code CCFULL, in the no coupling limit.

In CCFULL, the effects of deformation are calculated by coupling to the ground state rotational band of the deformed target nucleus. The target ¹⁵⁹Tb is a well-deformed nucleus with an unpaired valence proton. This last valence proton particle (or proton hole) can be expected to couple with the $0^+, 2^+, 4^+$, rotational states present in the neighbouring even-even rotational nucleus ¹⁵⁸Gd (or ¹⁶⁰Dy) to build up the low-lying rotational states of ¹⁵⁹Tb. To remain within the model space of CCFULL, the excitation energies and deformation parameters for ¹⁵⁹Tb were taken to be the averages of the corresponding values for the neighbouring even-even nuclei ¹⁵⁸Gd and ¹⁶⁰Dy [29]. The ground state rotational band of the corresponding average spectrum, $(\beta_2=0.344 \mid 30]$ and $\beta_4=+0.062 \mid 31]$) upto 12^+ , were included in the calculations [28]. Projectile excitations were not considered in any of the cases. The results of the 1-D BPM are shown by the dot-dot-dashed lines in Figs. 1-3. The CC calculations for $^{11}B+^{159}Tb$ are shown by the solid lines in Fig. 1 and those for ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$ and ${}^{7}Li+{}^{159}Tb$ are shown by the dashed lines in Figs. 2-3.

The CC calculations are found to be in reasonably good agreement with the measured fusion cross sections for the ¹¹B+¹⁵⁹Tb. But for ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb and ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb, at above-barrier energies the measured CF cross sections lie below the calculated cross sections. Using the data above $E_{c.m.} \sim 45$ MeV for ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb and those above $E_{c.m.} \sim 25$ MeV for ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb, the measured CF cross sections for the two systems are found to be, respectively, $\sim 86\%$ and $\sim 74\%$ of the theoretical predictions. This observation is consistent with the aforementioned model-independent comparison of the three systems. The CC calculations for $^{10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ when scaled by the factors 0.86 and 0.74 respectively, are shown by the solid curves in Figs. 2-3. A suppression factor of ∼0.74 was also obtained for other ⁷Li induced reactions, like ${}^{7}\text{Li}+{}^{209}\text{Bi}$ [14,15] and ${}^{7}\text{Li}+{}^{165}\text{Ho}$ [16].

In summary, the CF excitation functions for the three reactions, $^{11,10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ have been measured. The CF cross sections for ¹⁰B+¹⁵⁹Tb and ⁷Li+¹⁵⁹Tb show suppressions of ∼ 86% and \sim 74% respectively. The extent of this suppression is found to be correlated with the α -separation energies of the projectiles. Besides, it has also been observed that fusion with a projectile having a higher α-breakup threshold results in the onset of CF suppression at a higher bombarding energy. Both $^{10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ reactions were found to produce ICF products at energies near the respective Coulomb barriers, with the α-particle emitting channel being the favoured ICF process in both the cases. The present study with weakly bound stable nuclei and more such in the near future will perhaps, lead to a deeper systematic understanding of the effect of very weak binding of the unstable nuclei on the fusion process.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. H.C. Jain for providing the clover detector. We also thank Mr. P. Das for preparing the target and the accelerator staff at the BARC-TIFR Pelletron Facility, Mumbai, for their untiring efforts in delivering the beams.

- [1] A. Yoshida et al., Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 457.
- [2] K.E. Rehm et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3341.
- [3] J.J. Kolata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 4580.
- [4] C. Signorini et al., Nucl. Phys. A 735 (2004) 329.
- [5] A. Di Pietro et al., Phys. Rev. C 69 (2004) 044613
- [6] C.H. Dasso, A. Vitturi, Phys. Rev. C 47 (1993) 2470(R).
- [7] K. Hagino et al., Phys. Rev. C 61 (2000) 037602.
- [8] A. Diaz-Torres, I.J. Thompson, Phys. Rev. C 65 (2002) 024606.
- [9] Utsonomiya et al. Phys. Rev. C 28 (1983) 1975
- [10] D.R. Zolnowski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1978) 92.
- [11] J.H. Barker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1980) 424.
- [12] M. Dasgupta et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 1395.
- [13] C. Signorini et al., Eur. Phys. J A5 (1999) 7.
- [14] M. Dasgupta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 66 (2002) 041602(R).
- [15] M. Dasgupta et al., Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 024606 and and references therein.
- [16] V. Tripathi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 172701; Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 017601.
- [17] S.B. Moraes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 61 (2000) 064608.
- [18] C. Beck *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 054602.
- [19] A. Mukherjee et al., Phys. Lett. B 526 (2002) 295.
- [20] A. Mukherjee et al., Nucl. Phys. A 596 (1996) 299.
- A. Mukherjee et al., Nucl. Phys. A 635 (1998) 205.
- [21] A. Mukherjee *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 645 (1999) 13.
- [22] A. Mukherjee and B. Dasmahapatra, Phys. Rev. C 63 (2000) 017604.
- [23] R. Broda et al., Nucl. Phys. A 248 (1975) 356.
- [24] A. Gavron, Phys. Rev. C 21 (1980) 230.
- [25] K. Hagino et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 123 (1999) 143.
- [26] R.A. Broglia and A. Winther, Heavy Ion Reactions, Vol. 1 (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1981).
- [27] J.O. Newton et al., Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 219.
- [28] A. Mukherjee *et al.*, to be communicated.
- [29] A. Mukherjee et al., Phys. Rev. C 66 (2002) 034607.
- [30] S. Raman et al., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 36 (1987) 1.
- [31] P. Möller *et al.*, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 59 (1995) 185.

TABLE I. The parameters of the AW potential and of the modified potential used for the CC calculations (see text). Also shown are the corresponding derived uncoupled barrier heights V_b , radii R_b and curvatures $\hbar\omega$.

System	Potential	V_0 (MeV)	r_0 (f _m)	\boldsymbol{a} fm)	V_{b} (MeV)	R_b (f _m)	$\hbar\omega$ (MeV)
CC	140	1.01	0.85	39.72	10.84	3.87	
$^{10}B+^{159}Tb$	AW	54.54	1.11	0.64	40.71	10.79	4.68
	$\rm CC$	140	1.01	0.85	40.00	10.75	4.08
$Li+159}$ Tb	AW	46.43	1.18	0.62	24.70	10.69	4.48
	CC	132	0.98	0.85	24.17	10.68	3.81

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.1. Fusion excitation function for the $^{11}B+^{159}Tb$ system. The dot-dot-dashed and the solid lines are the uncoupled and coupled channels calculations performed with the code CCFULL.

Fig.2. Complete and incomplete fusion cross sections (circle and triangle) as a function of the centreof-mass energy for the ${}^{10}B+{}^{159}Tb$ system. The solid and hollow triangles are the ICF cross sections corresponding to the $\alpha 3n$ and $\alpha 4n$ channels respectively. The dot-dot-dashed and the dashed lines are the uncoupled and coupled channels calculations performed with the code CCFULL. The solid line shows the coupled channels calculations scaled by the factor 0.86.

Fig.3. Complete and incomplete fusion cross sections (circle and triangle) as a function of the centre-of mass energy for the 7 Li+ 159 Tb system. The triangles show the ICF cross sections corresponding to the dominant α 2n channel. The solid points are the present measurements and the hollow points are from Ref.[23]. The dot-dot-dashed and the dashed lines are the uncoupled and coupled channels calculations performed with the code CCFULL. The solid line shows the coupled channels calculations scaled by the factor 0.74.

Fig.4. Reduced complete fusion excitation functions for the $^{11,10}B+^{159}Tb$ and $^{7}Li+^{159}Tb$ systems.

